

PMSTPCOL PEmails

From: Tai, Tom
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 11:01 AM
To: Richard Scheide
Cc: STPCOL; 'wemookhoek@stpegs.com'; Wunder, George
Subject: RE: Table 3.2-1 clarifications

Dick,

Thanks for the quick turn-around. However, I do have a question and we can discuss this afternoon:

In the January 9, 2013 discussion on your proposed Table 3.2-1 markup, I questioned if it is appropriate/unusual to list the radwaste system (K-1) components under the radwaste building (U-13). The decision was to add K-1 to Table 3.2-1 with a note to refer to U-13 such that in the future, there is proper paper-trail to determine the classification of RWS SSCs.

For the record, I still maintain that listing system components under a structure is unusual and I have never seen it done before. Certainly not in ABWR DCD Revision 4 and the current STP FSAR. But if you think I'm wrong and you can show me an example of this practice anywhere, on any application, it will be helpful.

Regards

Tom Tai
DNRL/NRO
(301) 415-8484
Tom.Tai@NRC.GOV

From: Richard Scheide [<mailto:rhscheide@ninalc.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 10:30 AM
To: Tai, Tom
Subject: Table 3.2-1 clarifications

Tom,

Attached is a proposed clarification to Note jj of Table 3.2-1

Hearing Identifier: SouthTexas34Public_EX
Email Number: 3550

Mail Envelope Properties (0A64B42AAA8FD4418CE1EB5240A6FED1011AE9677FF6)

Subject: RE: Table 3.2-1 clarifications
Sent Date: 1/16/2013 11:00:42 AM
Received Date: 1/16/2013 11:00:43 AM
From: Tai, Tom

Created By: Tom.Tai@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"STPCOL" <STP.COL@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"wemookhoek@stpegs.com" <wemookhoek@stpegs.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Wunder, George" <George.Wunder@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Richard Scheide" <rhscheide@ninallc.net>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1138	1/16/2013 11:00:43 AM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: