

### EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER





<b>Chief:</b>	Steve Garchow	<b>Facility:</b>	DC	<b>Date of Written Exam:</b>	11/23/2012
<b>Start of Op Test:</b>			11/26/2012	<b>End of Op Test:</b>	12/5/2012
<b>Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>			<b>Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
5/25/2012	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	4/23/12	JNB		
7/20/2012	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	4/23/12	JNB		
7/20/2012	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	4/23/12	JNB		
7/20/2012	Corporate Notification Letter sent	6/27/12	JNB	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
8/24/2012	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	4/23/12	JNB	ES-201 Att-3	
9/7/2012	Integrated exam outlines due	9/7/12	JNB		
9/14/2012	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	9/17/12	JNB	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
9/14/2012	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	9/17/12	JNB		
10/5/2012	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	10/9/12	JNB		
10/19/2012	Peer review of written exam complete	9/1/12 NA	JNB	Document review on ES-401-9	
10/19/2012	Preliminary license applications due	10/15/12	JNB	NRC Forms 398/396	
10/26/2012	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	10/15/12	JNB		
10/26/2012	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	10/25/12	JNB		
10/26/2012	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	10/25/12	JNB		
8/11/2008	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	11/14/12	JNB		
11/9/2012	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	11/19/12	JNB	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
11/16/2012	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	11/19/12	JNB		
11/16/2012	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	11/19/12	JNB	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
11/16/2012	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	11/13/12	JNB		
11/19/2012	Exam material to exam team	11/14/12	JNB		
11/26/2012	Administer Operating Test	12/3/12	JNB		
12/12/2012	Facility post-exam documentation due	12/3/12	JNB		
12/12/2012	NRC written exam grading completed	12/3/12	JNB	ES-403-1 to BC	
12/12/2012	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	12/10/12	JNB		
12/19/2012	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	12/16/12	JNB	Signed ES 303's to BC	
12/26/2012	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	12/11/12	JNB		
1/2/2013	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	12/11/12	JNB		
1/2/2013	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	12/12/12	JNB		
1/2/2013	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	11/15/12	JNB	print Report-21	
1/16/2013	Examination Report Issued *	12/18/12	JNB	produced by CE	
1/23/2013	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	12/18/12	JNB	SUNSI checklist to LA	
1/30/2013	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	NA	JNB		

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, Supp 1, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1





\* In Routing

Facility: <b>Diablo Canyon Power Plant</b>		Date of Examination: <b>11/26/2012</b>		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.		NA	JNB
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.			JNB
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.			SJB
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.		↓	JNB
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	JNB	CJM	JNB
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	JNB	CJM	JNB
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	JNB	CJM	JNB
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	JNB	CJM	JNB
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	JNB	CJM	JNB
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	JNB	CJM	SJB
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	JNB	CJM	SJB
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	JNB	CJM	SJB
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	JNB	CJM	SJB
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	RF	CJM	SJB
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	RF	CJM	SJB
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	JNB	CJM	SJB
a. Author <u>JOHN BRUCUS</u> Printed Name/Signature <u>John Brucus</u> Date <u>9/4/12</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>CHRIS MEHL</u> <u>Chris Mehl</u> <u>9/5/12</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>Steve Garchow</u> <u>Steve Garchow</u> <u>11/15/12</u> d. NRC Supervisor <u>VINCENT GARDY</u> <u>Vincent Gardy</u> <u>11/19/12</u>				
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines				

Facility:		Date of Exam:		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c#			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	MB	NA	NH			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	MB		NH			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	MB		NH			
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).	MB		NH			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or ___ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)	MB		NH			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	MB		NH
	/	/	/			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		C/A	MB		NH
	/		/			
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	MB					NH
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	MB					NH
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	MB					NH
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	MB					NH
a. Author		Printed Name / Signature			Date	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)		NA MB			11/15/2012	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)		Steve Garchow			11/15/12	
d. NRC Regional Supervisor		VINCENT BARRY			11/19/12	
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: <u>Diablo Canyon</u>		Date of Examination: <u>11/26/2012</u>		Operating Test Number: <u>L111-NRC</u>	
1. GENERAL CRITERIA			Initials		
			a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	✓	CSM	JMS	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	✓	CSM	JMS	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	✓	CSM	JMS	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	✓	CSM	JMS	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	✓	CSM	JMS	
2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA			-	-	-
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• initial conditions</li> <li>• initiating cues</li> <li>• references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>• reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>• operationally important specific performance criteria that include:                         <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>- system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>- statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>- criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>- identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>- restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	✓	CSM	JMS	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	✓	CSM	JMS	
3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA			-	-	-
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.			✓	CSM	JMS
	Printed Name / Signature			Date	
a.	Author	Gary Hutchison /			10/2/12
b.	Facility Reviewer (*)	Chris Mehigan /			10/2/12
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Steve Garchow			11/15/12
d.	NRC Supervisor	VINCENT CADDY			11/19/12
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.					

Facility: <b>Diablo Canyon</b> Date of Exam: <b>11/26/2012</b> Scenario Numbers: <b>01 / 02 / 03</b> Operating Test Number: <b>L111</b>		Initials		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10CFR55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM	JMB
<b>Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)</b>		<b>Actual Attributes</b>		
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	7 / 6 / 7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM JMB
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 2 / 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM JMB
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4 / 3 / 5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM JMB
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM JMB
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 2 / 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM JMB
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	0 / 1 / 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM JMB
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2 / 2 / 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CSM JMB

Facility: Diablo Canyon		Date of Exam: 11/21/2012		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	RF	JMS	JMS		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A		NA JMS		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check >25% of examinations)	RF	JMS	JMS		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% ± 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ± 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	N/A		NA JMS		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A		NA JMS		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	RF	JMS	JMS		
		Printed Name / Signature		Date	
a. Author	Ron Fortier / 		11/27/12		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	John Becerra / 		12/2/12		
c. NRC Chief Examiner(*)	Steve Garchow / 		12/3/12		
d. NRC Supervisor(*)	Vincent Gaddy / 		12/11/12		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

# Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2012 To 12/15/2012

08:09:12

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
03/01/2012	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2013301 TAC #: X02490		Prep	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	DEVERCELLY, RICHARD FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D. DEVERCELLY, RICHARD FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. DEVERCELLY, RICHARD FARINA, THOMAS J.
03/01/2012	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2013301 TAC #: X02491		Prep	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	
11/05/2012	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2013301 TAC #: X02490		Prep	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	
11/05/2012	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2013301 TAC #: X02491		Prep	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	
11/26/2012	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2013301 TAC #: X02490	RO - 4 SROU - 1	Admin	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. DEVERCELLY, RICHARD FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. DEVERCELLY, RICHARD FARINA, THOMAS J.
11/26/2012	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2013301 TAC #: X02491	SROI - 1	Admin	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D. DEVERCELLY, RICHARD FARINA, THOMAS J.
12/03/2012	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2013301 TAC #: X02490	RO - 4 SROU - 1	Doc	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D. DEVERCELLY, RICHARD FARINA, THOMAS J.
12/03/2012	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2013301 TAC #: X02491	SROI - 2	Doc	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D. DEVERCELLY, RICHARD FARINA, THOMAS J.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule  
 From 10/01/2012 To 12/15/2012

Page 2 of 4  
 11/15/2012 08:09:12  
 Report 21

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Summary By Date

Summary By Date	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 0
03/2012 DIAB - Diablo Canyon	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 0
03/2012	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 03/2012: 0
11/2012 DIAB - Diablo Canyon	RO - 8	SROI - 3	SROU - 2	LSRO - 0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 13
11/2012	RO - 8	SROI - 3	SROU - 2	LSRO - 0	Total for 11/2012: 13
12/2012 DIAB - Diablo Canyon	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 0



Operator Licensing Exam Schedule  
From 10/01/2012 To 12/15/2012

Page 3 of 4  
11/15/2012 08:09:12  
Report: 21

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Summary By Site

DIAB - Diablo Canyon  
RO - 8 SROI - 3 SROU - 2 LSRO - 0  
Total for Diablo Canyon: 13

# Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2012 To 12/15/2012

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

**Summary By Region**

Region 4

RO - 8

SROI - 3

SROU - 2

LSRO - 0

Total for Region 4: 13

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 11/21-12/5/12 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 11/21-12/5/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. <i>[Signature]</i>	<i>7th Exam Room</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>	02/21/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
2. John Buckley	PG&E - Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	05/01/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/3/12
3. CHRIS MATHLOAD	PG&E - Opt. Liaison for exam	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/1/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
4. JOHN BECERRA	PG&E - OPS TNG MER	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/1/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
5. ADRIAN VAN BUREN	PG&E - OPS SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/1/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
6. JOSHUA K. MEADE	PG&E - OPS RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/11/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/14/12
7. GARY HUTCHINSON	PG&E - Sim Specialist	<i>[Signature]</i>	5/24/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/13/12
8. Brian Sizemore	SFM	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-20-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-11-12
9. CHARLES BOWLER	SFM	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-20-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-11-12
10. SAM WILLIAMS	OPS RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/20/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
11. <i>[Signature]</i>	OPS RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/20/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
12. Damon Nishimura	OPS NO	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/20/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
13. GARY OHM	OPS INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	07-30-2012	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-04-2012
14. HENNER MATTESON	OPS RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-30-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-3-12
15. H. PAUL HERDE	SFM	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-30-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-3-12

NOTES:

① ACKNOWLEDGED BY E-mail (ATTACHED) *[Signature]*

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 11/21-12/5/12 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator) is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 11/21-12/5/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. SHANE GUESS	SHIFT FOREMAN	<i>Shane Guess</i>	7/30/12	<i>Shane Guess</i>	12/3/12
2. Scott CAPP	Simulator SGT (NRC)	<i>Scott Capp</i>	8/15/12	<i>SEE ATTACHED EMAIL</i>	12/3/12
3. Dale Lessard	Simulator Specialist	<i>Dale Lessard</i>	9/5/12	<i>Dale Lessard</i>	12/3/12
4. Wes Flint	Shift Foreman	<i>Wes Flint</i>	9/17/12	<i>SEE ATTACHED EMAIL</i>	12/3/12
5. KADIN SAWYER	WCL	<i>Kadin Sawyer</i>	9/17/12	<i>Kadin Sawyer</i>	12/11/12
6. Joe Lorenz	RO	<i>Joe Lorenz</i>	9/17/12	<i>Joe Lorenz</i>	12/14/12
7. Earl Lowe	WCL	<i>Earl Lowe</i>	9/18/12	<i>Earl Lowe</i>	12/14/12
8. Bill LaLore	SRO	<i>Bill LaLore</i>	9/18/12	<i>Bill LaLore</i>	12/14/12
9. Ken Emvicki	SRO	<i>Ken Emvicki</i>	10/3/12	<i>Ken Emvicki</i>	12/3/12
10. David Tolson	SRO	<i>David Tolson</i>	9/17/12	<i>David Tolson</i>	12/3/12
11. David Burns	GPS Training Manager	<i>David Burns</i>	10/19/12	<i>David Burns</i>	12/3/12
12. Adam Peak	Shift Foreman	<i>Adam Peak</i>	10/30/12	<i>Adam Peak</i>	12/11/12
13. William Kyllston	WCL	<i>William Kyllston</i>	11/6/12	<i>William Kyllston</i>	12/3/12
14. Mike Bandman	PO	<i>Mike Bandman</i>	11/6/12	<i>Mike Bandman</i>	12/3/12
NOTES:	ACKNOWLEDGE BY EMAIL (ATTACHED)				

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 11/21-12/5/12, as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 11/21-12/5/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. James Dilts	SRO	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/6/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/29/12
2. Grey Weir	ACC	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/7/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/14/12
3. Charlene Schultz	Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/21/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/5/12
4. William Robinson	Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/21/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
5. Gayle Barry	Senior Admin.	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/21/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
6. Kaye Gabe		<i>[Signature]</i>	11/21/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
7. Carolyn Selas	Administrative Specialist	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/21/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
8. Aaron Jones	RO / Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/21/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/4/12
9. Steve Wilson	Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/26/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/3/12
10. Jim Shannon	Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/26/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
11. John Lytle	ICC Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/26/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
12. Thomas Stimpert	Office's lead	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/27/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
13. Jeff Koors	Instructor / DEPUTY	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/27/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/3/12
14.					
15.					

NOTES: