

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Feb 11, 2013 14:07

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-13-0126

LOGGING DATE: 02/11/2013

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO

To: Leeds, NRR
cys: OEDO
RIU
Mereke, OEDO

AUTHOR:

Doris Schiller

AFFILIATION:

ADDRESSEE:

Chairman Resource

SUBJECT:

Concerns safety issue at San Onofre

ACTION:

Appropriate

DISTRIBUTION:

RF, SECY to Ack.

LETTER DATE:

02/09/2013

ACKNOWLEDGED

Yes

SPECIAL HANDLING:

Lead office to publicly release 24 hours after SECY's assignment, via SECY/EDO/DPC.

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION:

ADAMS

DATE DUE:

DATE SIGNED:

Joosten, Sandy

From: Doris Schiller [dorisschiller55@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2013 12:05 PM
To: CHAIRMAN Resource
Subject: San Onofre

I just read that apparently Edison knew about the vibration safety issue at San Onofre, and did not address it due to the requirement for re-licensing. I can't believe "industry standards" support this type of action. This appears to be a reckless disregard for public safety in order to save money. What other actions were taken to cut costs on this project? A full investigation of Edison needs to be done. This company should not be running a nuclear power plant if it is hiding info from the NRC. Also, if the NRC was knowledgeable about this safety concern, the people involved need to be investigated as well.