

### **U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**Revision 27** November 1990

# REGULATORY GUIDE

## OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REGULATORY RESEARCH

#### **REGULATORY GUIDE 1.85**

#### MATERIALS CODE CASE ACCEPTABILITY ASME SECTION III DIVISION 1

#### A. INTRODUCTION

Section 50.55a, "Codes and Standards," of 10 CFR Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," requires, in part, that components of the reactor coolant pressure boundary be designed, fabricated, erected, and tested in accordance with the requirements for Class 1 components of Section III, "Nuclear Power Plant Components,"1 of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code or equivalent quality standards. Footnote 6 to §50.55a states that the use of specific Code Cases may be authorized by the Commission upon request pursuant to §50.55a(a)(2)(ii), which requires that proposed alternatives to the described requirements or portions thereof provide an acceptable level of quality and safety.

General Design Criterion 1, "Quality Standards and Records," of Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," to 10 CFR Part 50 requires, in part, that structures, systems, and components important to safety be designed, fabricated, erected, and tested to quality standards commensurate with the importance of the safety function to be performed. Where generally recognized codes and standards are used, Criterion 1 requires that they be identified and evaluated to determine their applicability, adequacy, and sufficiency and be supplemented

<sup>1</sup>Copies may be obtained from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, United Engineering Center, 345 East 47th Street, New York, New York 10017.

or modified as necessary to ensure a quality product in keeping with the required safety function.

Criterion 30, "Quality of Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary," of the same appendix requires, in part, that components that are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary be designed, fabricated, erected, and tested to the highest quality standards practical.

Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants," to 10 CFR Part 50 requires, in part, that measures be established for the control of special processing of materials and that proper testing be performed.

This regulatory guide lists those Section III ASME Code Cases oriented to materials and testing that are generally acceptable to the NRC staff for implementation in the licensing of light-water-cooled nuclear power plants.

Any information collection activities mentioned in this regulatory guide are contained as requirements in 10 CFR Part 50, which provides the regulatory basis for this guide. The information collection requirements in 10 CFR Part 50 have been cleared under OMB Clearance No. 3150-0011.

#### **B. DISCUSSION**

The Boiler and Pressure Vessel Committee of the ASME publishes a document entitled "Code Cases." 1 Generally, the individual Code Cases that make up this document explain the intent of Code rules or

#### USNRC REGULATORY GUIDES

Regulatory Guides are issued to describe and make available to the public methods acceptable to the NRC staff of implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations, to delineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, or to provide guidance to applicants. Regulatory Guides are not substitutes for regulations, and compliance with them is not required. Methods and solutions different from those set out in the guides will be acceptable if they provide a basis for the findings requisite to the issuance or continuance of a permit or license by the Commission.

This guide was issued after consideration of comments received from the public. Comments and suggestions for improvements in these guides are encouraged at all times, and guides will be revised, as appropriate, to accommodate comments and to reflect new information or

Written comments may be submitted to the Regulatory Publications Branch, DFIPS, ADM, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

The guides are issued in the following ten broad divisions:

- Power Reactors
   Research and Test Reactors

- 3. Fuels and Materials Facilities
  4. Environmental and Siting
  5. Materials and Plant Protection
- 6. Products7. Transportation
- 8.
- Occupational Health Antitrust and Financial Review
- 10. General

Copies of issued guides may be purchased from the Government Printing Office at the current GPO price. Information on current GPO prices may be obtained by contacting the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Post Office Box 37082, Washington, DC 20013-7082, telephone (202)275-2060 or (202)275-2171.

Issued guides may also be purchased from the National Technical Information Service on a standing order basis. Details on this service may be obtained by writing NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. provide for alternative requirements under special circumstances.

Most Code Cases are eventually superseded by revision to the Code and then are annulled by action of the ASME. In such cases, the intent of the annulled Code Case becomes part of the revised Code, and therefore continued use of the Code Case intent is sanctioned under the rules of the Code. In other cases, the Code Case is annulled because it is no longer acceptable or there is no further requirement for it. A Code Case that was approved for a particular situation and not for a generic application should be used only for construction of the approved situation because annulment of such a Code Case could result in construction that would not meet Code requirements.

The Code Cases listed in this guide are limited to those cases applicable to Section III that are oriented toward materials and testing.

All published Code Cases in the area of materials and testing that are applicable to Section III of the \* Code and were in effect on March 8, 1989, were reviewed for inclusion in this guide. In addition to the listing of acceptable Code Cases, this revision of the guide includes listings of (1) Code Cases that were identified as acceptable in a prior version of this regulatory guide and that were annulled after the original issuance of this guide (June 1974) and (2) Code Cases that were identified as acceptable in a prior version of this regulatory guide and that were superseded by revised Code Cases after the original issuance of this guide (June 1974). Code Cases that are not listed herein are either not endorsed or will require supplementary provisions on an individual basis to attain endorsement status.

The endorsement of a Code Case by this guide constitutes acceptance of its technical position for applications not precluded by regulatory or other requirements or by the recommendations in this or other regulatory guides. Contingent endorsement is indicated in regulatory position C.1.a for specific cases. However, it is the responsibility of the user to make certain that no regulatory requirements are violated and that there are no conflicts with other recommended limitations resulting from Code Case usage.

Acceptance or endorsement by the NRC staff applies only to those Code Cases or Code Case revisions with the date of ASME approval as shown in the regulatory position of this guide. Earlier or later revisions of a Code Case are not endorsed by this guide. New Code Cases will require evaluation by the NRC staff to determine if they qualify for inclusion in the approved list. Because of the con-

tinuing change in the status of Code Cases, it is planned that this guide will require periodic updating to accommodate new Code Cases and any revisions of existing Code Cases.

#### C. REGULATORY POSITION

- 1. The Section III ASME Code Cases² listed below (by number, date of ASME approval, and title) are acceptable to the NRC staff for application in the construction of components for light-water-cooled nuclear power plants. Their use is acceptable within the limitations stated in the "Inquiry" and "Reply" sections of each individual Code Case, within the limitations of such NRC or other requirements as may exist, and within the additional limitations recommended by the NRC staff given with the individual Code Cases in the listing. The categorization of Code Cases used in this guide is intended to facilitate the Code Case listing and is not intended to indicate a limitation on its usage.
- a. Materials-oriented Code Cases (Code Case number, date of ASME approval,<sup>3</sup> and title):
  - (1) Code Cases involving plate:

N-7-1 12-13-82 High Yield Strength Steel, 02-20-86 Section III, Division 1, Class 1 Vessels

Code Case N-7-1 is acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The information required to be developed by Note 1 in the Code Case should be provided in each referencing Safety Analysis Report.

(2) Code Cases involving pipe and tubes:

1474-1 (N-17)	10-29-71 01-08-79 01-21-82 01-21-85 01-21-88	Integrally Finned Tubes for Section III
N-20-3	11-30-88	SB-163 Nickel-Chromium- Iron Tubing (Alloy 600 and 690) and Nickel-Iron- Chromium Alloy 800 at a Specified Minimum Yield Strength of 40.0 Ksi and Cold Worked Alloy 800 at a yield strength of 47.0 Ksi, Section III, Division 1, Class 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A numerical listing of the Code Cases appears in the appendix.

<sup>\*</sup>Lines indicate substantive changes from Revision 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>When more than one date is given, the earlier date is that on which the Code Case was approved by the ASME, and the later date(s) is that on which the Code Case was reaffirmed by the ASME.

	1527	06-26-724	Integrally Finned Tubes, Sec-
	(N-26)	01-08-79	tion III
		01-21-82	
		01-21-85	
		01-21-88	
	N-188-1	05-15-78	Use of Welded Ni-Fe-Cr-Mo-
		07-13-81	Cu (Alloy 825) and Ni-Cr-
		07-13-84	Mo-Cb (Alloy 625) Tubing,
١		07-13-87	Section III, Division 1, Class 2
•			and 3
	N-224-1	05-11-81	Use of ASTM A500 Grade B
		04-05-84	and ASTM A501 Structural
		04-05-87	Tubing for Welded Attach-
			ments for Section III, Class 2,
			3, and MC
	N-294	08-25-80	SB-148 Alloy 952 and 954,
		05-25-83	and SB-62 Alloy 836 Fittings,
ļ		07-30-86	Section III, Division 1, Class 2
	N-379-1	07-18-85	Bimetallic Tubing Section III,
١		07-18-88	Division 1, Class 1
	N-404	04-05-84	Annealed Alloy UNS N06625
		04-05-87	Over UNS N06600 Bimetallic
١			Tubing, Section III, Division
			1, Class 1

Code Case N-404 is acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The outside layer of the bimetallic tubing should be limited to a minimum of 5 percent and to a maximum of 40 percent of the wall thickness. The tolerance on the outside layer of material should not exceed -0.000 to +0.007 inches of the specified design wall thickness.

N-418-1	07-30-86	Use of Seamless Ni-Fe-Cr-
		Mo-Cu Low Carbon (UNS
		N08028 and UNS N08904)
		Tubing, Section III, Division
		1, Classes 2 and 3
N-439	02-23-87	Use of 20Cr-18Ni-6Mo (Alloy
		UNS S31254) Forgings, Plate,
		Seamless and Welded Pipe,
		and Welded Tube, Class 2
		and 3 Construction, Section
		III, Division 1
N-441	02-23-87	Use of 20Cr-18Ni-6Mo (Alloy
		UNS S31254) Fittings, Class 2
		and 3 Construction, Section
		III, Division 1
N-466	03-08-89	Modified 9Cr-1Mo Material,
}		Section III, Division 1,
		Classes 1, 2, and 3
•	(3) Codes	Cases involving bars and

forgings:

`~~ ;~<del>`</del>`\

1337-11	05-15-78	Special Type 403 Modified
(N-4-11)	07-13-81	Forgings or Bars, Section III,
	07-13-84	Division 1, Class 1 and CS
	07-13-87	
N-367	02-14-83	SA-372 Type V, Grade 1,
	02-19-86	Class B, Section III, Divi-
		sion 1
N-370-2	12-05-85	Modified SA-705 Grade
	12-05-88	XM-13 Forgings, Section III,
		Division 1
N-469	03-08-89	Martensitic Stainless Steel for
		Class 1, 2, and 3 Compon-
		ents, Section III, Division 1

(4) Code Cases involving general usage:

N-60-3 09-05-85 Material for Core Support 07-27-88 Structures, Section III, Division 1

Code Case N-60-3 is acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Welding of agehardenable alloy SA-453 Grade 660 and SA-637 Grade 688 should be performed when the material is in the solution-treated condition. For SA-479 material, the maximum yield strength should not exceed 90,000 psi in view of the susceptibility of this material to environmental cracking.

N-71-15 12-16-86 Additional Materials for Subsection NF, Classes 1, 2, 3 and MC Component Supports Fabricated by Welding, Section III, Division 1

Code Case N-71-15 is acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of highstrength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service. In the last sentence of paragraph 5.3, reference should be made to paragraph 4.5.2.2, "Alternate Atmosphere Exposure Time Periods Established by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Corrected date.

presented to and accepted by the Authorized Inspector concerning exposure of electrodes for longer periods of time. Paragraph 16.2.2 is not acceptable as written and should be replaced with the following: When not exempted by 16.2.1, above, the postweld heat treatment shall be performed in accordance with NF-4622 except that for ASTM A-710 Grade A material, it shall be at least 1000°F (540°C) and shall notexceed 1150°F (620°C) for Class 1 and Class 2 material and 1175°F (640°C) for Class 3 material. The new holding time at temperature for weld thickness (nominal) shall be 30 minutes for 1/2 inch or less, 1 hour per inch for thickness over 1/2 inch to 5 inches, and 5 hours plus 15 minutes for each additional inch over 5 inches. The fracture toughness requirements as listed in this Code Case apply only to piping supports and not to Class 1, 2, and 3 component supports. The fracture toughness of Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports shall be characterized in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4 of the USNRC Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) or on a case-by-case basis.

Test," of the AWS D.1.1 Code for the evidence

1759-1 05-15-78 Material for Internal Pressure (N-131-1) 07-13-81 Retaining Items for Pressure 12-11-81 Relief Valves, Section III, 12-05-84 Division 1, Class 1, 2, and 3 12-05-87

Code Case 1759-1 is acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Applicants using this Case should also use Code Case 1711 for the design of pressure relief valves.

N-205	05-15-78	Use of Ductile Iron SA-395
	07-13-81	for Section III, Division 1,
	07-13-84	Class 3 Construction
	07-13-87	
N-246-2	05-25-83	SB-169, Alloy C61400, Sec-
	07-30-86	tion III, Division 1, Class 3
N-249-9	12-16-86	Additional Material for Sub-
		section NF, Classes 1, 2, 3,
		and MC Component Supports
		Fabricated Without Welding,
		Section III, Division 1

Code Case N-249-9 is acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The fracture toughness requirements apply only to piping supports and not to Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports. The fracture toughness of Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports should be characterized in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4 of the

USNRC Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) or on a case-by-case basis. The following is to be added to paragraph (5) of the Case: For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the owner that (1) the impact test results for the material meet Code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service.

N-265-1	05-19-85	Modified SA-487 Castings,
	05-19-88	Section III, Division 1, Class 1
N-337-1	07-18-85	Use of ASTM B525-70 Grade
	07-18-88	II, Type II, Sintered Austen-
		itic Stainless Steel for Class 2,
		3, and MC Component Stan-
		dard Supports, Section III,
		Division 1
NT 2 40 4	. 00 07 055	TIC CA FOA C14 TI1

N-348-1 09-07-85<sup>5</sup> Use of SA-574 Socket Head 02-20-86 Cap Screws, Section III, Division 1

Code Case N-348-1 is acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Applicants should justify in their Safety Analysis Reports why use of these socket head cap screws will not result in early failure from stress corrosion cracking.

N-438-1	03-08-89	Fe-24.5Ni-21Cr-6.5Mo-0.2N (Alloy UNS N08367) Seamless and Welded Pipe, Tube, Plate, Bar, Fittings, and Forgings, Class 2 and 3 Construction, Section III, Division 1
N-440	02-23-87	Use of 20Cr-18Ni-6Mo (Alloy UNS J93254) Castings, Class 2 and 3 Construction, Section III, Division 1
N-443-1	03-08-89	High Yield Strength Cr-Mo Steel, Class 1 Components, Section III, Division 1
N-459	05-04-88	SA-508 Basic Oxygen-Ladle Furnace Process for Code Construction, Section III, Di- vision 1
N-470	03-08-89	Class MC Material Requirements [NE-2121(c)], Section III, Division 1

#### b. Testing-oriented Code Cases:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Code Case N-348 was annulied on 9-7-85. It was reinstated as N-348-1 on 2-20-86. Because of the circumstances and because there were no changes in the Code Case, the NRC considers that the Code Case was in effect during the period 9-7-85 through 2-20-86.

- (1) Code Case involving plates:
- (2) Code Case involving bars and forgings:

N-329	12-11-81	Examination of Bar Material,
	12-05-84	Section III, Division 1, Class 1
	12-05-87	

(3) Code Case involving pipe and tubes:

1755-1	01-14-77	Alternative Rules for Exami-
(N-127)	01-07-80	nation of Welds in Piping,
	02-14-83	Section III, Class 1 and 2
	02-20-86	Construction

(4) Code Case involving general usage:

N-351	07-16-82	Use of	Subsize	Charpy
	05-19-85	V-Notch	Specimens,	Section
	05-19-88	III, Divis	ion 1	

2. Code Cases that were endorsed by the NRC in a prior version of this guide and were later annulled by action of the ASME should be considered as deleted from the list of acceptable Code Cases as of the date of the ASME action that approved the annulment. Such Code Cases that were annulled on or after July 1, 1974, are listed in the following by number, effective dates, 6 and title. 7

1141-1	08-31-61	Foreign Produced Steel
	07-23-76	:
1332-7	01-08-79	Requirements for Steel Forg-
(N-1-7)	07-01-82	ings, Section III, Division 1
1334-3	04-29-74	Requirements for Corrosion-
(N-2)	01-08-79	Resisting Steel Bars and
	01-01-81	Shapes, Section III
1335-10	08-28-78	Requirements for Bolting
(N-3-10)	08-28-81	Materials, Section III
	09-16-84	
N-5-1	12-13-82	Nickel Chromium Age Hard-
	12-13-85	enable Alloys (Alloy X750),
		Section III, Division 1,
	•	Classes 1, 2, 3, MC, and CS
1345-2	03-09-72	Requirements for Nickel-
(N-6)	03-01-79	Molybdenum-Chromium-Iron
		Alloys, Section III
1395-4	01-08-79	SA-508, Class 2 Forgings with
(N-9-4)	07-01-82	Modified Manganese Con-
` ,		tent, Section III
		• • • • • •

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Earlier date-date Code Case was approved by ASME; later date-date Code Case was annulled. Where more than two dates appear, the last date is the date that the Code Case was annulled. The middle date (or dates) was the date of reaffirmation of the Code Case.

1407-3	07-01-74	Time of Examination for
(N-10)	01-08-79	Classes 1, 2, and 3, Sec-
	01-21-82	tion III Vessels
	09-17-84	
	09-17-87	
1412-4	11-03-75	Modified High Yield Strength
	01-01-77	Steel for Section III, Division
		1, Class 1 Vessels

Code Case 1412-4 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The information required to be developed by Note 1 in the Code Case should be provided in each referencing Safety Analysis Report. The material given in the Inquiry section of the Code Case should be SA-508, Class 4b, instead of SA-508, Class 4.

1414-5 08-29-77 High Yield Strength Cr-Mo (N-11-5) 08-29-80 Steel for Section III, Division 1, Class 1 Vessels

Code Case 1414-5 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The information required to be developed by Note 1 in the Code Case should be provided in each referencing Safety Analysis Report.

1423-2 03-09-72 Wrought Type 304 and 316 07-01-77 with Nitrogen Added, Sections I, III, VIII, Division 1 and 2

Code Case 1423-2 was acceptable subject to compliance with the recommendations contained in Regulatory Guides 1.31, "Control of Ferrite Content in Stainless Steel Weld Metal," and 1.44, "Control of the Use of Sensitized Stainless Steel."

1434-1	03-09-72	Postweld Heat Treatment of
	01-01-78	SA-487 Class 8N Steel
		Castings, Section III
1456-2	06-25-73	Substitution of Ultrasonic
(N-15)	03-01-79	Examination for Progressive
		Penetrant or Magnetic Par-
		ticle Examinations of Partial
		Penetration and Oblique
		Nozzle Attachment Welds,
		Section III
1475-1 <sup>8</sup>	03-02-74	Ferritic-Austenitic Stainless
	07-01-75	Steel Seamless Tubes for
		Section III, Class 2 and 3
		Construction
1498-1	11-06-72	SA-508-Class 2 and 3,
(N-22)	01-08-79	Minimum Tempering Tem-
	07-01-82	perature, Section III

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Code Case was annulled on date as indicated, but the annulment was first so indicated in Revision 12 of this guide.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Code Cases 1401-1, 1493-1, and 1599, which were listed in the original issue of this guide, were annulled by ASME action prior to July 1, 1974.

1515	03-09-72		1587 <sup>8</sup>	08-13-73	
	07-01-77	0 0		12-31-75	0.4/1.0 Ni for Section III and
		Sections, Section III, Class 1			VIII, Division 2 Construction
		Vessels	1590	08-13-73	Chemical Analysis Variations,
1521-1	04-29-74	Use of H-Grades of SA-240,		03-21-77	Section III Construction
	01-01-78	SA-479, SA-336, and SA-	1602-1	04-29-74	Use of SB-42 Alloy 122,
		358, Section III		12-31-74	SB-111 Alloys 122, 715 and
					706, SB-171 Alloys 715 and
Code	Case 1521	-1 was acceptable subject to			706 and SB-466 Alloys 706
compl	iance with t	he recommendations contained			and 715, Section III, Class
in Re	gulatory Gu	sides 1.31, "Control of Ferrite			2 and 3 Components
		less Steel Weld Metal," and	1603	12-17-73	Toughness Tests When Cross-
		the Use of Sensitized Stainless	1005	07-01-74	Section Limits Orientation
Steel.'				07-01-74	and Location of Specimens
2.00.			1605	11-05-73	•
			. 1003		Cr-Ni-Mo-V Bolting Material
1528-3	11 <b>-</b> 03-75	High Strength Steel SA-508,		11-20-78	for Section III, Class 1 Com-
	01-01-78	Class 2 and SA-541, Class 2	4.600.4	03-17-80	ponents
		Forgings, Section III, Class 1	1608-1	12-17-73	Use of ASME SB-265,
		Components		03-21-77	SB-337, SB-338, SB-348, and
					SB-381, Grades 1, 2, 3, and 7
		3 was acceptable subject to the			Unalloyed Titanium and
		on in addition to those condi-			ASTM B-363 Titanium Weld-
		the Code Case: The informa-			ing Fittings, Section III Class
tion re	equired to b	be developed by Note 1 in the			2 and 3 Components
Code	Case should	d be provided in each referenc-	1612	12-17-73	Use of Type 308 Stainless
ing Sa	fety Analys	ris Report.	(N-56)	07-01-78	Steel Rod and Bar for Section
					III, Class 1, 2, 3, and CS
4 # 0 0 0	0 ( 00 70				Construction
15298	06-29-72		1613	12-17-73	Use of SA-372 Class IV Forg-
. = = .	07-01-73	<b>O</b> .		01-01-78	ings, Section III Construction
1531	08-14-72	-	1615	12-17-73	Use of A587-73, Section III,
	03-21-77	•		01-01-78	
		Penetration Seals, Section III	1616 <sup>8</sup>	12-17-73	Ultrasonic Examination of
1532	08-14-72	•	-0-0	07-01-75	
	01-01-78				Pipe, Section III, Class 1
		9 Percent Nickel Steel			Construction
1542-1	04-29-74	,, C C	16228	03-02-74	
(N-33)	01-08-79	Bolting Material, Section III	1022		Carbon Steel Castings, Sec-
	01-21-82			01-01-70	tion III, Class 1, 2, and 3
	01-21-85		1625	03-02-74	
1557-3	01-08-79	Steel Products Refined by	1023	12-31-74	
(N-37-3)	07-01-82	Secondary Remelting, Section	1606 1		
` ,		III and VIII, Division 1 and 2	1626-1	01-08-79	•
1567	03-03-73		(N-65-1)	01-21-82	1-1/4 Cr Low Alloy Steel
	01-01-78	•		01-21-85	
		Electrodes, Section III			tion III
1568	03-03-73		1634-2	, 08-13-76	
1000	01-01-78		(N-68)	07-01-78	Division 1, Class 3 Construc-
	01 01 ,0	Low Alloy Steel Welding			tion
		Electrodes, Section III	1637 <sup>9</sup>	04-29-74	Effective Date for Compliance
1571	03-03-73			01-01-75	
(N-41)·	03-03-73		1645 <sup>8</sup>	08-12-74	5 5
(19-41)				01-01-76	
	01-21-82	Section III			Content of Welds in Section
4.500	01-21-85	OD 467 NULL 167			III, Class 1, 2, and CS Con-
1578	06-25-73				struction
(N-43)	01-08-79	· • • •			
	07-01-82				•
1583	06-25-73		<sup>9</sup> Code Ca	se 1637 has b	peen accepted only on a case-by-case
	03-21-77	Castings, Section III	basis.		,,

basis.

	1648	08-12-74 07-01-76	SA-537 Plates for Section III, Class 1, 2, 3, and MC Components	1724 (N-108)	11-03-75 07-01-78	Deviation from the Specified Silicon Ranges in ASME Material Specifications, Sec-
	1649 <sup>8</sup>	08-12-74 01-01-76	Modified SA 453-GR 660 for Class 1, 2, 3, and CS Construction	1728	11-03-75	tion III, Division 1, and VIII, Division 1 and 2
	1650	08-12-74 12-31-74	Use of SA-414 Grade C for Class 2 and 3 Components, Section III, Division 1	1/20	07-01-77	Steel Structural Shapes and Small Material Products for Component Supports, Section III, Division 1 Construction
	1664	11-04-74 03-21-77	Use of Cr-Ni-Fe-Mo-Cu-Cb Stabilized Alloy Cb-3 for Section III Class 2 and 3	1740 1741-1	12-22-75 07-01-76 01-14-77	Weld Metal Test, Section III, Class 1, 2, 3, MC and CS Interim Rules for the Re-
	1666	11-04-74 07-01-75	Construction Use of SB-12, Alloy 122 for Section III, Class 2 and 3 Construction		01-01-78	quired Number of Impact Tests for Rolled Shapes, Sec- tion III, Division 1, Subsec- tion NF, Component Supports
	1682-1	08-11-75 12-31-75	Alternate Rules for Material Manufacturers and Suppliers, Section III, Subarticle NA- 3700	1742	03-01-76 07-01-76	Use of SB-75 Annealed Copper Alloy 122, Section III, Division 1, Class 2 Construction
	1684 <sup>8</sup>	03-03-75 01-01-76	A637 Grade 718 for Bolting Class 1 and 2 Construction	1743	03-01-76 07-01-76	Use of SB-98 Cu-SiB Rod CDA651 Section III, Division
	16908	04-28-75 01-01-77	Stock Materials for Section III Construction, Section III, Division 1	1746 (N-123)	03-01-76 03-01-79	1, Class 2 Components Leak Testing of Seal Welds, Section III, Division 1, Class
	1691		Ultrasonic Examination in	•		1, 2, and 3 Construction
	·	01-01-78	Lieu of Radiography of Repair Welds for Vessels, Section III, Class 1	1747 (N-124)	03-01-76 01-08-79 07-13-81	Requirements for Martensitic Stainless Steel Forgings with 13% Chromium and 4%
j	1698 (N-92)		Waiver of Ultrasonic Transfer Method, Section III, V, and		07-13-84 07-13-87	Nickel, Section III, Division 1
		Case 1698	VIII, Division 1 was acceptable subject to the	1748 (N-125)		Low Carbon Austenitic Stainless Steel Pipe Welded With Filler Metal, Section III, Divi-
		_	ns in addition to those specified :: The material from which the	1754	01-14-77	sion 1, Construction Hard Surfacing by the Spray-
	basic of the sai	calibration t me product	plock is fabricated should be of form, alloy, and heat treatment ing examined. Alloys of equiva-	(N-126)		Fuse Method, Section III, Class 1, 2, and 3 Construction
	lent P	-number g	rouping may be used for the bration blocks if adjustments to		12-07-87 07-01-88	
	signal sound calibra	height can beam attention block	be made to compensate for nuation difference between the k and the material under following the transfer method	1760	04-26-76 01-01-78	Maximum Dimensions for Isolated Pores in Welds—Class 1 Components, Section III, Division 1
	proced	dure of T-5	35.1(d), Article 5, Section V, ode, 1977 edition.	1766	04-26-76 07-01-77	Testing Requirements for Welding Materials, Class 1, 2, 3, MC and CS Construction,
	1713 1714-2	08-11-75 12-31-75 08-28-78	Small Material Items, Section III, Division 1, Class 1, 2, 3, CS and MC Postweld Heat Treatment of	1767	04-26-76 01-01-77	
		07-13-81	P-1 Material, Section III, Class MC	1770	08-13-76	III, Division 1 Testing of Electroslag Wire
	1722-1 (N-107-1)	01-08-79 01-08-82	Vacuum, Carbon Deoxidized SA-508 Forgings, Section III, Division 1	(N-139)	01-01-79	

1772 (N-140)		Use of SA-453 Bolts in Service Below 800°F Without	1820 (N-177)	03-23-77 03-17-80	Alternative Ultrasonic Examination Technique, Section III,
	07-16-82 12-31-85	Stress Rupture Tests, Section III, Division 1		02-14-83 01-01-84	Division 1
1773	08-13-76	Use of Other Product Forms	N-178	05-25-77	Use of ASTM B271, CDA
	07-01-77	of Materials for Valves, Sec-		01-01-80	954, Alloy 9C for Class 3
1777	08-13-76	tion III, Division 1 Use of SA-106, Grade C in			Construction, Section III, Division 1
	07-01-77	Class MC Construction, Sec-	N-180	07-11-77	Examination of Springs for
		tion III, Division 1		07-01-78	Class 1 Component Standard
1781		Use of Modified SA-487			Supports, Section III, Divi-
(N-147)	07-01-78				sion 1
		Division 1, Class 1, 2, 3, MC or CS	N-181	07-11-77	Steel Castings Refined by the
1782	09-10-76			07-11-80	Argon Decarburization Proc-
(N-148)	08-30-79	962 for Castings, Section III,			ess, Section III, Division 1, 1, Construction
, ,	07-16-82	Division 1, Class 3 Construc-	N-183	07-11-77	Use of Modified SA-182
	06-30-83	tion	14-103	01-01-80	Grade F22 for Section III,
1787	09-10-76	•		01-01-00	Division 1, Class 1, 2 and 3
	01-01-78	Forgings, Section III, Division			Construction
		1, Class 1, 2, 3, MC and CS	N-190	08-29-77	Use of SA-455 for Class 3
4500	01 14 77	Construction		07-01-78	Components, Section III,
1793	01-14-77	Structural Steel Rolled			Division 1
(N-156)	01-07-80 02-14-83	Shapes, Section III, Division 1, Class 2, 3, and MC	N-204	03-20-78	Use of Modified SA-508,
	02-14-85	1, Class 2, 3, and MC		01-01-81	Class 3, and SA-541, Class 3
1794	01-14-77	Use of Seamless Al-Br, Alloy			for Section III, Division 1,
(N-157)	01-07-80	CDA 614 Pipe, Section III,			Class 1, 2, and 3 Construction
(= : = = : /	01-07-83	Division 1, Class 3	N-206	03-20-78	Use of ASTM B151-75
1795	01-14-77	Examination of Weld Repairs		03-16-81	Copper-Nickel Alloy 706 Rod
(N-158)	07-01-78	in Forgings, Section III,		06-30-83	and Bar for Section III,
		Division 1, Class 1, 2, 3, MC			Division 1, Class 3 Construc-
4-00		and CS	N-207-1	03-19-79	tion Use of Modified SA-479 Type
1798	01-14-77	Use of ASTM A352-75,	14-207-1	01-21-82	XM-19 for Section III,
	01-01-78	Grades LCA and LCC, Sec-			Division 1, Class 1, 2, 3, or
		tion III, Division 1, Class 1, 2, and 3		01 21 03	CS Construction
1810	03-03-77	Testing Lots of Carbon Steel	N-223	11-30-78	Requirements for Stainless
	03-03-80	Solid, Bare Welding Electrode		11-20-81	-
		or Wire, Section III, Division			Section III, Division 1, Class
		1, Class 1, 2, 3, MC, and CS			MC
1819 <sup>10</sup>	03-23-77	Use of Type XM-19 for	N-225	11-20-78	Certification and Identifica-
	01-01-78	Construction, Section III,		01-01-81	•
1010 111	10.00.00.00	Division 1, Class 1, 2, 3			nent Supports, Section III,
	12 03-23-77	Use of Type XM-19 for			Division 1
(N-1/0-1	03-23-80	Construction, Section III,	N-227	07-09-79	Examination of Repair Welds,
	08-25-80 08-25-83	Division 1, Class 1, 2, and 3		07-09-82	Section III, Class 2 and 3
	00-23-03		NT 040 4	04 40 00	Tanks Materials Comification See
			N-242-1	04-10-80 05-06-83	Materials Certification, Section III, Division 1, Classes 1,
<sup>10</sup> This (	Code Case wa	as reaffirmed as Case 1819-1. See		06-30-84	
regulatory	position 2 for	the effective dates.		00-30-04	2, 3, IVIC, and C5 Construct

Code Case N-242-1 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Applicants should identify in their Safety Analysis Reports the components and supports for which the Code

tion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Case 1819 (N-176) was annulled December 31, 1977. However, it was later reaffirmed to continue providing rules pertaining to external pressure charts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Code Case N-176-1: The 3-23-80 is an annulment date. This Case was allowed to expire on 3-23-80 because of an administrative error. It was reinstated on 8-25-80 with no technical changes. It is, therefore, considered to be in effect during that lapse in time. The 8-25-83 is the mandatory annulment date. The Case did not appear in Revisions 18-21 of this guide because of the ASME administrative error.

Case is being applied and should specify the re	-
spective paragraphs of the Code Case.	

-		
N-245	07-09-79 07-16-82	Use of ASTM B61-76 and B62-76 Copper Alloy Castings
	07-17-85	for Section III, Division 1,
		Class 3 Construction
N-246	07-09-79	Use of SB-169, Alloy CA
,	09-07-82	614, Section III, Division 1,
		Class 3
N-248	08-30-79	Alternative Reference Radio-
	07-01-80	graphs, Section III, Division
	•	1, Classes 1, 2, 3, MC, and
		CS Construction
N-259	01-07-80	Ni-Cu-Al Bolting Material SB
	02-14-83	164 Modified, Section III,
	01-01-84	Division 1, Class 3
N-26513	01-07-80	Modified SA-487 Castings,
	09-01-83	Section III, Division 1, Class 1
	12-31-84	
	05-19-85	
N-267	01-07-80	Double-Wall Radiography,
	07-01-81	Section III, Division 1, Class 1 and 2
N-274	03-17-80	Alternative Rules for Exami-
1, 2, ,	09-07-82	nation of Weld Repairs for
		-
	06-30-86	Section III, Division 1 Construction

Code Case N-274 was acceptable subject to the following condition<sup>14</sup> in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case. Paragraph 6 should be expanded as follows: The ultrasonic examination procedures shall be proven by actual demonstration, to the satisfaction of the Authorized Nuclear Inspector, that the procedures are capable of detecting unacceptable cracks according to Section XI requirements.

N-277	03-17-80	Use of Type XM-19 Austen-
	09-17-80	itic Stainless Steel for Sec-
		tion III, Division 1, Class MC
		Construction
N-295	01-15-81	NCA-1140, Materials, Sec-
	12-11-81	tion III, Division 1
	06-30-82	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Code Case N-265 was allowed to expire on 1-7-83 because of an administrative error. It was reinstated on 9-1-83 with no technical changes. The Case is, therefore, considered in effect during that period of time. Again, Code Case N-265 was allowed to expire on 12-31-84 (mandatory annulment date). It was reinstated as N-265-1 on 5-19-85. Because of the circumstances and because there were no changes in the Code Case, the NRC considers that this Case was in effect during the period of 12-31-84 through 5-19-85.

N-296	11-17-80	Welding Material, Section III,
	12-01-83	Division 1 Construction
N-298	11-17-80	Examination of Component
	12-01-83	Supports, Section III, Division
		1, Class 1, 2, 3, and MC
N-299-1	07-18-85	Use of Nickel-Chromium-
	02-23-87	Molybdenum-Columbium Al-
		loy 625 Forgings, Section III,
		Division 1, Class 2 and Class 3
		Components
N-310-1	08-14-81	Certification of Bolting Mate-
	07-01-82	rials, Section III, Division 1,
		Class 1, 2, 3, MC and CS

Code Case N-310-1 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Each applicant who applies the Code Case should indicate in the referencing Safety Analysis Report (1) in what way the bolting does not meet NCA-3800 (or NA-3700), (2) where the bolting will be used in the plant, and (3) how it will be shown that the bolting material properties required by the Equipment Support Design Specification are present in the actual bolting material.

N-317		ASTM A276 Bar Section III,
	07-01-82	Division 1
N-321-1	12-05-85	Use of Modified SA-249,
	12-05-88	Grade TP 304, Section III,
		Division 1, Class 1
N-342	04-02-82	Use of SA-249 and SA-312
	04-02-85	Type 317 Stainless Steel,
		Section III, Division 1,
		Classes 1, 2, and 3
N-348	09-09-82	Use of SA-574 Socket Head
	09-07-85	Cap Screws, Section III, Divi-
		sion 1

Code Case N-348 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Applicants should justify in their Safety Analysis Reports why use of these socket head cap screws will not result in early failure from stress corrosion cracking.

N-352-1	07-18-85	Use of SA-638 Grade 660
	06-30-86	Forgings and Bars Below
		700°F Without Stress Rupture
•		Tests, Section III, Division 1
N-353	07-16-82	Marking of SA-354 Grade BD
	05-19-85	Bolting, Section III, Divi-
	09-05-88	sion 1
N-371-1	07-18-85	12CR-1W-1Mo-1/4V Marten-
	.07-18-88	sitic Stainless Steel Valve
		Internals, Section III, Divi-
		sion 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>The reason for the conditional acceptance of paragraph 6 is to make certain that there is a qualified ultrasonic testing procedure capable of detecting small flaws and differentiating the small flaws from geometric reflectors. This paragraph does not in any way alter the acceptance criteria as specified in paragraph 3.

N-372	02-14-83	SB-163 Ni-Fe-Cr-Mo-Cu
	12-31-83	
		Tubing, Section III, Division 1
N-378	10-28-83	Examination of Piping Sup-
	10-28-861	port Material, Section III,
		Division 1, Class 1
N-388	07-25-83	Component Support Bolting,
	07-30-86	Section III, Division 1,
•	02-23-87	Classes 2, 3, and MC
N-398	02-20-84	Reporting of Charpy-V Notch
	02-20-87	Test Results, Section III,
		Division 1

Code Case N-398 is acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The Code Case is not acceptable to steam generator or reactor coolant pump supports. Applicants wishing to use this Code Case should indicate in the Safety Analysis Report the component supports to which the case is applied for review on a case-by-case basis.

3. Code Cases that were endorsed by the NRC in a prior version of this guide and were superseded by revised Code Cases on or after July 1, 1974, should be considered as not endorsed as of the date of the ASME action that approved the revised version of the Code Cases. These Code Cases that are no longer endorsed are listed in the following by number, effective dates, <sup>16</sup> and title. <sup>17</sup>

1332-6	03-09-72 01-08-79	Requirements for Steel Forgings, Section III and VIII, Division 2
1335-9	04-29-74	Requirements for Bolting
	08-28-78	Materials
1337-9	04-29-74	Special Type 403 Modified
	04-28-75	Forgings or Bars, Section III
1337-10	04-28-75	Special Type 403 Modified
	05-15-78	Forgings or Bars, Section III
1344-5	04-29-74	Nickel-Chromium, Age-
(N-5)	01-08-79	Hardenable Alloys (Alloy
	01-21-82	X750), Section III
	12-13-82	
1358-5	11-03-75	High Yield Strength Steel,
(N-7)	01-08-79	Section III, Division 1, Class 1
	01-21-82	Vessels
	12-13-82	

Code Case 1358-5 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those condi-

tions specified in the Code Case: The information required to be developed by Note 1 in the Code Case should be provided in each referencing Safety Analysis Report.

1395-3	11-06-72	SA-508, Class 2 Forgings with
	01-08-79	Modified Manganese Con-
		tent, Section III or Section
		VIII, Division 2
1407-2	06-26-72	Time of Examination for Class
	07-01-74	1, 2, and 3, Section III
		Vessels
1414-3	11-03-75	High Yield Strength Cr-Mo
	03-01-76	Steel for Section III, Division
		1, Class 1 Vessels

Code Case 1414-3 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The information required to be developed by Note 1 in the Code Case should be provided in each referencing Safety Analysis Report.

1414-4 03-01-76 High Yield Strength Cr-Mo 08-09-77 Steel for Section III, Division 1, Class 1 Vessels

Code Case 1414-4 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The information required to be developed by Note 1 in the Code Case should be provided in each referencing Safety Analysis Report.

1484-1	04-29-74	SB-163 Nickel-Chromium-
	11-04-74	Iron Tubing (Alloy 600) at a
		Specified Minimum Yield
		Strength of 40.0 Ksi, Section
		III, Class 1
1484-2	11-04-74	SB-163 Nickel-Chromium-
	08-13-76	Iron Tubing (Alloy 600 and
		690) at a Specified Minimum
		Yield Strength of 40.0 Ksi,
		Section III, Class 1
1484-3	08-13-76	SB-163 Nickel-Chromium-
(N-20)	08-30-79	Iron Tubing (Alloy 600 and
	07-16-82	690) and Nickel-Iron-
	05-19-85	Chromium Alloy 800 at a
	09-05-85	Specified Minimum Yield
		Strength of 40.0 Ksi, Section
		III, Division 1, Class 1
N-20-1	09-05-85	SB-163 Nickel-Chromium
	12-07-87	Iron Tubing (Alloy 600 and
		690) and Nickel-Iron-
		Chromium Alloy 800 at a

Specified Minimum Yield

Strength of 40.0 Ksi, Section III, Division 1, Class 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>The annulment date of 12-31-84 that was listed in Revisions 24 and 25 was in error. The annulment date should be 10-28-86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Earlier date-date Code Case was approved by ASME; later date-date revision of Code Case was approved by ASME.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Code Cases 1334-2, 1337-7, 1344-3, 1484, 1521, and 1542, which were listed in the original issue of this guide, were revised by the ASME prior to July 1, 1974.

N-20-2	12-07-87	SB-163 Nickel-Chromium-
	11-30-88	Iron Tubing (Alloy 600 and
		690) and Nickel-Iron-
		Chromium Alloy 800 at a
		Specified Minimum Yield
		Strength of 40.0 Ksi, and
		Cold Worked Alloy 800 at a
		Yield Strength of 47.0 Ksi,
		Section III, Division 1, Class 1
149218	10-29-71	Post Weld Heat Treatment,
	03-03-75	Section I, III and VIII,
		Division 1 and 2
1557-2	12-17-73	Steel Products Refined by
	01-08-79	Secondary Remelting
1618	03-02-74	Material for Core Support
	03-03-75	Structures-Section III, Sub-
		section NG

Code Case 1618 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those specified in the Code Case:

- a. Welding of age-hardenable alloy SA-453 Grade 660 and SA-637 Grade 688 should be performed when the material is in the solutiontreated condition.
- b. Use of alloy ASTM A-564 Grade 631 is not acceptable on a generic basis.

1618-1 03-03-75 Material for Core Support 03-01-76 Structures Section III, Subsection NG

Code Case 1618-1 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those specified in the Code Case: Welding of age-hardenable alloy SA-453 Grade 660 and SA-637 Grade 688 should be performed when the material is in the solution-treated condition.

1618-2	03-01-76	Material for Core Support
(N-60)	01-08-79	Structures-Section III, Divi-
	01-21-82	sion 1, Subsection NG
	05-25-83	

Code Case 1618-2 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Welding of agehardenable alloy SA-453 Grade 660 and SA-637 Grade 688 should be performed when the material is in the solution-treated condition.

N-60-1 05-25-83 Material for Core Support 09-17-84 Structures, Section III. Division 1

Code Case N-60-1 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Welding of agehardenable alloy SA-453 Grade 660 and SA-637 Grade 688 should be performed when the material is in the solution-treated condition.

N-60-209-17-84 Material for Core Support 09-05-85 Structures, Section III, Division 1

Code Case N-60-2 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Welding of agehardenable alloy SA-453 Grade 660 and SA-637 Grade 688 should be performed when the material is in the solution-treated condition. For SA-479 material, the maximum yield strength should not exceed 90,000 psi in view of the susceptibility of this material to environmental cracking.

1626	03-02-74	Normalized and Tempered
	01-08-79	1-1/4 Cr Low Alloy Steel
		Forgings, Section 1, Section
		III, and Section VIII, Division
		1 and 2
1634	07-01-74	Use of SB-359 for Section III,
	08-12-74	Class 3 Construction
1634-1	08-12-74	Use of SB-359 for Section III,
	08-13-76	Class 3 Construction
1644	08-12-74	Additional Materials for Com-
	04-28-75	ponent Supports-Section III,
		Subsection NF, Class 1, 2,
		3, and MC Construction

Code Case 1644 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi.

1644-1 04-28-75 Additional Materials for Com-06-30-75 ponent Support-Section III, Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3, and MC Construction

Code Case 1644-1 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi.

1644-2 06-30-75 Additional Materials for Component Supports-Section III, 11-03-75 Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3 and MC Construction

Code Case 1644-2 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>Code Case 1492 is no longer listed by ASME as a Section III Code Case and is therefore deleted from the acceptable listing.

1644-3 11-03-75 Additional Materials for Com-03-01-76 ponent Supports—Section III, Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3 and MC Construction

Code Case 1644-3 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi.

1644-4

03-01-76

O8-13-76

ponent Supports and Alternate Design Requirements for Bolted Joints, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3 and MC Construction

Code Case 1644-4 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of high-strength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service.

1644-5

08-13-76

Additional Materials for Component Supports and Alternate Design Requirements for Bolted Joints, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3 and MC Construction

Code Case 1644-5 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of high-strength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be

demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service.

1644-6 03-03-77 Additional Materials for Com11-21-77 ponent Supports and Alternate Design Requirements for Bolted Joints, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NF, Class
1, 2, 3 and MC Construction

Code Case 1644-6 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of high-strength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service.

1644-7 11-21-77 Additional Materials for Com-(N-71-7) 05-15-78 ponent Supports, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3 and MC Component Supports

Code Case 1644-7 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of high-strength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that

1.85-12

(a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service.

1644-8 05-15-78 Additional Materials for Com-(N-71-8) 01-07-80 ponent Supports, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3 and MC Component Supports

Code Case 1644-8 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of high-strength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service.

1644-9 01-07-80 Additional Materials for Com-(N-71-9) 05-11-81 ponent Supports Fabricated by Welding, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports

Code Case 1644-9 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: In the last sentence of paragraph 5.3, reference should be made to paragraph 4.5.2.2, "Alternate Atmosphere Exposure Time Periods Established by Test," of the AWS D.1.1 Code for the evidence presented to and accepted by the Authorized Inspector concerning exposure of electrodes for longer periods of time.

N-71-10 05-11-81 Additional Materials for Com-06-17-82 ponent Supports Fabricated by Welding, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports Code Case N-71-10 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of highstrength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service. In the last sentence of paragraph 5.3, reference should be made to paragraph 4.5.2.2, "Alternate Atmosphere Exposure Time Periods Established by Test," of the AWS D.1.1 Code for the evidence presented to and accepted by the Authorized Inspector concerning exposure of electrodes for longer periods of time.

N-71-11 06-17-82 Additional Materials for Com-02-14-83 ponent Supports Fabricated by Welding, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports

Code Case N-71-11 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of highstrength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service. In the last sentence of paragraph 5.3, reference should be made to paragraph 4.5.2.2, "Alternate

Atmosphere Exposure Time Periods Established by Test," of the AWS D.1.1 Code for the evidence presented to and accepted by the Authorized Inspector concerning exposure of electrodes for longer periods of time.

N-71-12 02-14-83 Additional Materials for Com-02-20-84 ponent Supports Fabricated by Welding, Section III, Division 1, Class 1, 2, 3, and MC

Code Case N-71-12 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of highstrength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service. In the last sentence of paragraph 5.3, reference should be made to paragraph 4.5.2.2, "Alternate Atmosphere Exposure Time Periods Established by Test," of the AWS D.1.1 Code for the evidence presented to and accepted by the Authorized Inspector concerning exposure of electrodes for longer periods of time. The provisions of paragraph 4.3 are not acceptable on a generic basis. Paragraph 16.2.2 is not acceptable as written and should be replaced with the following: When not exempted by 16.2.1, above, the postweld heat treatment shall be performed in accordance with NF-4622 except that for ASTM A-710 Grade A material it shall be at least 1000°F (540°C) and shall not exceed 1150°F (620°C) for Class 1 and Class 2 material and 1175°F (640°C) for Class 3 material. The new holding time at temperature for weld thickness (nominal) shall be 30 minutes for 1/2 inch or less, 1 hour per inch for thickness over 1/2 inch to 5 inches, and 5 hours plus 15 minutes for each additional inch over 5 inches.

N-71-13 02-20-84 Additional Materials for Sub-12-05-85 section NF, Classes 1, 2, 3 and MC Component Supports Fabricated by Welding, Section III, Division 1 Code Case N-71-13 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The maximum measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of highstrength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service. In the last sentence of paragraph 5.3, reference should be made to paragraph 4.5.2.2, "Alternate Atmosphere Exposure Time Periods Established by Test," of the AWS D.1.1 Code for the evidence presented to and accepted by the Authorized Inspector concerning exposure of electrodes for longer periods of time. Paragraph 16.2.2 is not acceptable as written and should be replaced with the following: When not exempted by 16.2.1 above, the postweld head treatment shall be performed in accordance with NF-4622 except that for ASTM A-710 Grade A material, it shall be at least 1000°F (540°C) and shall not exceed 1150°F (620°C) for Class 1 and Class 2 material and 1175°F (640°C) for Class 3 material. The new holding time at temperature for weld thickness (nominal) shall be 30 minutes for 1/2 inch or less, 1 hour per inch for thickness over 1/2 inch to 5 inches, and 5 hours plus 15 minutes for each additional inch over 5 inches. The fracture toughness requirements as listed in this Code Case apply only to piping supports and not to Class 1, 2, and 3 component supports. The fracture toughness of Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports shall be characterized in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4 of the USNRC Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) or on a case-by-case basis.

N-71-14 12-05-85 Additional Materials for Sub-12-16-86 section NF, Classes 1, 2, 3 and MC Component Supports Fabricated by Welding, Section III, Division 1

Code Case N-71-14 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The maximum

f---- +

measured ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the component support material should not exceed 170 Ksi in view of the susceptibility of highstrength materials to brittleness and stress corrosion cracking. Certain applications may exist where a UTS value of up to 190 Ksi could be considered acceptable for a material and, under this condition, the Design Specification should specify impact testing for the material. For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the applicant that (1) the impact test results for the material meet code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service. In the last sentence of paragraph 5.3, reference should be made to paragraph 4.5.2.2, "Alternate Atmosphere Exposure Time Periods Established by Test," of the AWS D.1.1 Code for the evidence presented to and accepted by the Authorized Inspector concerning exposure of electrodes for longer periods of time. Paragraph 16.2.2 is not acceptable as written and should be replaced with the following: When not exempted by 16.2.1, above, the postweld head treatment shall be performed in accordance with NF-4622 except that for ASTM A-710 Grade A material, it shall be at least 1000°F (540°C) and shall not exceed 1150°F (620°C) for Class 1 and Class 2 material and 1175°F (640°C) for Class 3 material. The new holding time at temperature for weld thickness (nominal) shall be 30 minutes for 1/2 inch or less, 1 hour per inch for thickness over 1/2 inch to 5 inches, and 5 hours plus 15 minutes for each additional inch over 5 inches. The fracture toughness requirements as listed in this Code Case apply only to piping supports and not to Class 1, 2, and 3 component supports. The fracture toughness of Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports shall be characterized in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4 of the USNRC Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) or on a case-by-case basis.

1682	01-29-75	Alternate Rules for Material
	08-11-75	Manufacturers and Suppliers,
		Section III, Subarticle NA-
		3700
1714	08-11-75	Postweld Heat Treatment of
	07-11-774	P-1 Material, Section III,
		Class MC
1714-1	07-11-774	Postweld Heat Treatment of
(N-102-1)	08-28-78	P-1 Material, Section III,
		Class MC

1722	11-03-75	Vacuum, Carbon Deoxidized
	01-08-79	SA-508 Forgings, Section III,
		Division 1, and VIII, Division
		1 and 2
1741	12-22-75	Interim Rules for the Re-
	01-14-77	quired Number of Impact
		Tests for Rolled Shapes, Sec-
		tion III, Division 1, Subsec-
		tion NF, Component Supports
1755	04-26-76	Alternative Rules for Exami-
	01-14-77	nation of Welds in Piping,
		Class 1 and 2 Construction,
		Section III, Division 1
1759	08-13-76	Material for Internal Pressure
	05-15-78	Retaining Items for Pressure
		Relief Valves, Section III,
		Division 1, Class 1, 2, and 3

Code Case 1759 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Applicants using this Case should also use Code Case 1711 for the design of pressure relief valves.

N-188	08-29-77	Use of Welded Ni-Fe-Cr-Mo-
	05-15-78	Cu (Alloy 825) and Ni-Cr
		Mo-Cb (Alloy 625) Tubing,
		Section III, Division 1, Class
		3
N-207	03-20-78	Use of Modified SA-479 Type
	03-19-79	XM-19 for Section III,
		Division 1, Class 1, 2 or 3
		Construction
N-224	11-20-78	Use of ASTM A500 Grade B
	05-11-81	and ASTM A501 Structural
		Tubing for Welded Attach-
		ments for Section III, Class 2
		and 3 Construction
N-242	04-12-79	Materials Certification, Sec-
		tion III, Division 1, Classes 1,
		2, 3, MC, and CS
		. ,

Code Case N-242 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Applicants should identify the components and supports requiring the use of paragraphs 1.0 through 4.0 of the Code Case in their Safety Analysis Reports.

Construction

N-246-1	09-07-82	SB-169, Alloy C 61400, Sec-
	05-25-83	tion III, Division 1, Class 3
N-249	01-07-80	Additional Materials for
	05-11-81	Component Supports Fabri-
		cated Without Welding, Sec-
		tion III, Division 1, Subsec-
		tion NF, Class 1, 2, 3,
		and MC Component Supports

Code Case N-249 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those condi-

tions specified in the Code Case: Footnote 2 of the Code Case should apply to all materials listed in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 of the Code Case and should be so indicated on line 5 of the "Reply."

N-249-1 05-11-81 Additional Materials for 06-17-82 Component Supports Fabricated Without Welding, Section III, Division 1, Subsection NF, Class 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports

Code Case N-249-1 was acceptable subject to the following condition in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: Paragraph 7 of the "Reply" should reference the requirements of NF-2600 instead of NF-2800. This is a typographical error in that NF-2800 does not exist.

N-249-2 06-17-82 Additional Materials for Sub-02-14-83 section NF Class 1, 2, 3, and Component Supports Fabricated Without Welding, Section III, Division 1 N-249-3 02-14-83 Additional Materials for Sub-02-20-84 section NF Class 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports Fabricated Without Welding, Section III, Division 1 N-249-4 02-20-84 Additional Material for Sub-09-17-84 section NF, Classes 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports Fabricated Without Welding, Section III, Division 1

The fracture toughness requirements as listed in Code Case N-249-4 apply only to piping supports and not to Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports. The fracture toughness of Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports should be characterized in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4 of the USNRC Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) or on a case-by-case basis.

N-249-5 09-17-84 Additional Material for Sub-04-08-85 section NF, Classes 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports Fabricated Without Welding, Section III, Division 1

The fracture toughness requirements as listed in Code Case N-249-5 apply only to piping supports and not to Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports. The fracture toughness of Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports should be characterized in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4 of the USNRC Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) or on a case-by-case basis.

N-249-6 04-08-85 Additional Material for Sub-09-05-85 section NF, Classes 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports Fabricated Without Welding, Section III, Division 1

Code Case N-249-6 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The fracture toughness requirements apply only to piping supports and not to Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports. The fracture toughness of Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports should be characterized in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4 of the USNRC Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) or on a case-by-case basis. The following is to be added to paragraph (5) of the Case: For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the owner that (1) the impact test results for the material meet Code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service.

N-249-7 09-05-85 Additional Material for Sub-12-05-85 section NF, Classes 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports Fabricated Without Welding, Section III, Division 1

Code Case N-249-7 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those conditions specified in the Code Case: The fracture toughness requirements apply only to piping supports and not to Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports. The fracture toughness of Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports should be characterized in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4 of the USNRC Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) or on a case-by-case basis. The following is to be added to paragraph (5) of the Case: For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the owner that (1) the impact test results for the material meet Code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service.

N-249-8 12-05-85 Additional Material for Sub-12-16-86 section NF, Classes 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports Fabricated Without Welding, Section III, Division 1

Code Case N-249-8 was acceptable subject to the following conditions in addition to those

conditions specified in the Code Case: The fracture toughness requirements apply only to piping supports and not to Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports. The fracture toughness of Classes 1, 2, and 3 component supports should be characterized in accordance with paragraph 5.3.4 of USNRC Standard Review (NUREG-0800) or on a case-by-case basis. The following is to be added to paragraph (5) of the Case: For these cases, it should be demonstrated by the owner that (1) the impact test results for the material meet Code requirements and (2) the material is not subject to stress corrosion cracking by virtue of the fact that (a) a corrosive environment is not present and (b) the component that contains the material has essentially no residual stresses or assembly stresses, and it does not experience frequent sustained loads in service.

361 VIC	· ·	
N-265 <sup>19</sup>	01-07-80 09-01-83	Modified SA-487 Castings, Section III, Division 1, Class 1
		Section III, Division 1, Class 1
	12-31-84	
	05-19-85	
N-299	11-17-80	Use of Nickel-Chromium-
	11-28-83	Molybdenum-Columbium Al-
	07-18-85	loy 625 Forgings, Section III,
		Division 1, Class 2 and Class 3
		Components
N-321	07-13-81	Use of Modified SA-249,
<b>ì</b>	07-13-84	Type 304 for Section III,
}	12-05-85	Division 1, Class 1 Construc-
	•	tion
N-337	04-02-82	Use of ASTM B525-70 Grade
	05-19-85	II, Type II, Sintered Austen-
	07-18-85	itic Stainless Steel for Class 2,
		3, and MC Component Stan-
		dard Supports, Section III,
		Division 1
N-352	07-16-82	Use of SA-638 Grade 660
	05-19-85	Forgings and Bars Below
	07-18-85	700°F Without Stress Rupture
		Tests, Section III, Division 1
N-370	02-14-83	Modified SA-705 Grade
	07-18-85	XM-13 Forgings, Section III,
		Division 1
N-370-1	07-18-85	Modified SA-705 Grade
	12-05-85	XM-13 Forgings, Section III,
		Division 1
N-371	02-14-83	12 Cr-1W-1Mo-1/4V Marten-
	07-18-85	sitic Stainless Steel Valve In-
		ternals, Section III, Division 1
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Code Case N-265 was allowed to expire on 1-7-83 because of an administrative error. It was reinstated on 9-1-83 with no technical changes. The Case is, therefore, considered in effect during that period of time. Again, Code Case N-265 was allowed to expire on 12-31-84 (mandatory annulment date). It was reinstated as N-265-1 on 5-19-85. Because of the circumstances and because there were no changes in the Code Case, the NRC considers that this Case was in effect during the period of 12-31-84 through 5-19-85.

N-379	04-04-83	Bimetallic Tubing Section III,	
	07-18-85	Division 1, Class 1	
N-418	12-05-84	Use of Seamless Ni-Fe-Cr-	
	07-30-86	Mo-Cu Low Carbon (UNS	
		N08028 and UNS N08904)	
		Tubing, Section III, Division	
		1, Classes 2 and 3	
N-438	02-23-87	Fe-24.5Ni-21Cr-6.5 Mo-0.2N	
	03-08-89	(Alloy UNS N08367) Seam-	
		less and Welded Pipe, Tube,	
		Plate, Bar, Fittings, and Forg-	
		ings, Class 2 and 3 Construc-	
		tion, Section III, Division 1	
N-443	05-07-87	High Yield Strength Cr-Mo	
	03-08-89	Steel, Class 1 Components,	
		Section III, Division 1	

- 4. Code Cases for Class 1 components that are not on the approved list of this guide (paragraph C.1) or other regulatory guides, or for which authorization by the Commission has not been granted, are not acceptable for Class 1 components.
- 5. Code Cases for other classes of components that are not on the approved list of this guide (paragraph C.1) or other regulatory guides should be considered not acceptable on a generic basis.

#### D. IMPLEMENTATION

The purpose of this section is to provide information to applicants regarding the use of this regulatory guide.

- 1. Except for those Code Cases that have been annulled by action of the ASME, the NRC staff has found the Code Cases listed in this regulatory guide under regulatory position C.1 acceptable for appropriate use. Other Code Cases may be considered for use in accordance with footnote 6 of the Codes and Standards rule, §50.55a of 10 CFR Part 50.
- 2. Components ordered to a specific version of a Code Case need not be changed because a subsequent revision of the Code Case is listed as the approved version in this guide.
- 3. Components ordered to a Code Case that was previously approved for use need not be changed because the Code Case has been subsequently annulled.
- 4. Code Cases on the approved list may be applied to components that were in process of construction prior to the effective date of the Code Case within the limits specified in the Code Case and applicable regulations or recommended in other regulatory guides.

### APPENDIX

# NUMERICAL LISTING OF CODE CASES\*

N-246-2	N-404
N-249-9	N-418-1
N-265-1	N-438-1
N-294	N-439
N-329	N-440
N-337-1	N-441
N-348-1	N-443-1
N-351	N-459
N-367	N-466
N-370-2	N-469
N-379-1	N-470
	N-249-9 N-265-1 N-294 N-329 N-337-1 N-348-1 N-351 N-367 N-370-2

<sup>\*</sup>Code Case 1624 was inadvertently listed in the appendix of Regulatory Guide 1.85, Revision 1.

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

FIRST CLASS MAIL POSTAGE & FEES PAID USNRC

PERMIT No. G-67

120555003954 1 10P11S
US NRC-OIRM
DIV OF INFO SUP SVCS
BPANCH CHIEF
LIBRARY BR
160
WASHINGTON DC 20555

t- ----