

1922

General Information**Assigned Office:** ADM**OEDO Due Date:** 06/28/2013**Other Assignees:****SECY Due Date:****Date Response****Requested by Originator:** 06/28/2013**Other Parties:****Subject:** Status of Recommendations: Independent Evaluation of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Contract Award Process (OIG-12-A-02)**Description:****CC Routing:****ADAMS Accession Numbers - Incoming:****Response / Package:****Other Information****Cross Reference No:** OIG-12-A-02**SRM\Other:** No**Process Information****Action Type:** Memo**OEDO Concurrence:** No**Signature Level:** ADM**OCM Concurrence:** No**Special Instructions:****OCA Concurrence:** No

Please provide response to Stephen D. Dingbaum addressing Recommendation 1. Be sure to include the target completion date and the current point-of-contact. Forward final memo via email to Judy Gordon and cc: Stephen Dingbaum and Steven Zane by June 28, 2013. If applicable, be sure to attach in email any Enclosures to the response. See additional instructions attached.

Document Information**Originator Name:** Stephen D. Dingbaum**Date of Incoming:** 01/31/2013**Originator Org:** OIG**Document Received by OEDO Date:** 01/31/2013**Addressee:** R. W. Borchardt, EDO**Incoming Task:** Memo**OEDO POC:** Jesse Arildsen

Template: EDO-001

E-RIDS; EDO-01



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL

January 31, 2013

MEMORANDUM TO: R. W. Borchardt
Executive Director for Operations

FROM: Stephen D. Dingbaum */RA/*
Assistant Inspector General for Audits

SUBJECT: STATUS OF RECOMMENDATIONS: INDEPENDENT
EVALUATION OF THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION'S CONTRACT AWARD PROCESS
(OIG-12-A-02)

REFERENCE: DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION, MEMORANDUM
DATED NOVEMBER 8, 2012

Attached is the Office of the Inspector General's (OIG) analysis and status of recommendation 1 as discussed in the agency's response dated November 8, 2012. Based on this response, recommendation 1 remains in a resolved status. Recommendations 2 through 5 were closed previously. Please provide an updated status on the resolved recommendation by June 28, 2013.

If you have any questions or concerns, please call me at 415-5915 or Eric Rivera, Team Leader, at 415-7032.

Attachment: As stated

cc: N. Mamish, OEDO
K. Brock, OEDO
J. Arildsen, OEDO
C. Jaegers, OEDO

Evaluation Report

INDEPENDENT EVALUATION OF THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION'S CONTRACT AWARD PROCESS

OIG-12-A-02

Status of Recommendations

Recommendation 1: Determine what policies and procedures are required for an efficient and effective contract award process, develop or update them, and establish a process to regularly maintain them.

Agency Response

Dated November 8, 2012: All activities to update Management Directives (MD) 11.8 have been completed. Activities related to MD 11.1 are on schedule.

- MD 11.1, "NRC Acquisition of Supplies and Services," is in the process of being updated and was signed out for office review and comment on October 31, 2012. Comments are due to ADM on December 3, 2012. MD 11.1 will be published by May 31, 2014.

Point of Contact (POC): Joe Schmidt

- MD 11.8, "NRC Procedures for Placement and Monitoring of Work with Federal Agencies Other Than the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Laboratory Work," was published on September 5, 2012 and is available in ADAMS (ML122080036).

POC: Sharlene McCubbin

OIG Analysis: The proposed corrective action addresses the intent of OIG's recommendation. OIG reviewed MD 11.8 and determined that the directive includes policies and procedures for an efficient and effective award process. This recommendation will be closed when OIG receives a copy of updated MD 11.1 and determines that it includes policies and procedures for an efficient and effective contract award process.

Status: Resolved.

Additional Instructions to Action Offices/Regions on Responding to OIG Requests for Status Updates for OIG Report Recommendations

The action Offices/Region should provide a memorandum addressed to the Assistant Inspector General for Audits (AIGA) signed by the Office Director or Regional Administrator. The response should provide the status of each recommendation in the associated OIG audit report.

The memorandum should provide updated status for the OIG report recommendations by the date specified. An extension will not be granted since the memorandum is requesting a status of the recommendation(s), not the completion of the recommendation(s).

Please ensure the updated status memorandum addresses all "resolved" and "unresolved" recommendations and include for each recommendation separately:

- (a) the recommendation by number, repeating its text verbatim,
- (b) a response that describes under each recommendation the action(s) needed for closure,
- (c) the target completion date for the action(s), and
- (d) the identification of the current point-of-contact.

When appropriate, ensure applicable document(s) to support OIG closure of recommendation(s) are attached as Enclosures and/or provide an ADAMS accession number with viewer rights. Be sure to include the audit number, for example: OIG-11-A-01, and task number(s) to the case reference field in the ADAMS profile.

Forward the memorandum to OIG via email, as described in the Special Instructions or Remarks in the associated action item ticket.

Please note that status update memoranda that are in response to publically available OIG audit report recommendations should be profiled in ADAMS to be publically available, and the memorandum should not be marked to the contrary.