

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> January 08, 2013
<b>Received:</b> January 02, 2013
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jx-82vw-yp0z
<b>Comments Due:</b> January 02, 2013
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2012-0246

Consideration on Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

**Comment On:** NRC-2012-0246-0001

Consideration of Environmental Impacts of Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

**Document:** NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0499  
Comment on FR Doc # 2012-26295

**Comment#** 325  
**77 FR 65137**  
**10/25/2012**

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Deanna Homer  
**Address:**  
2105 E Marcus  
Stillwater, OK, 74075

RECEIVED

2013 JAN -9 AM 8:28

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC

---

## General Comment

I firmly believe that the spent fuel of a nuclear power plant should remain, safely contained, in place. There is no safe place for nuclear waste, so less movement of it will mean less possible exposure to the population along or near the highways.

Since this waste problem has existed nearly 50 years, and no satisfactory solutions have been found, it is time to end the building of nuclear power plants.

The expense of building a plant is overwhelming and we citizens do not want our tax money used to subsidize them. We also don't want our tax money used to pay for the waste storage after the plant is closed.

The future is with renewable energy and I will be happy for my taxes to subsidize solar, wind and geothermal.

**SUNSI Review Complete**  
**Template = ADM - 013**  
**E-RIDS= ADM -03**  
**Add= S. Lopas (SLL2)**