

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: January 23, 2013
Received: January 18, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-836k-8x5r
Comments Due: January 18, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0218

Comparative Environmental Evaluation of Alternatives for Handling Low-Level Radioactive Waste Spent Ion Exchange Resins from Commercial Nuclear Power Plants

Comment On: NRC-2012-0218-0001

Comparative Environmental Evaluation of Alternatives for Handling Low-Level Radioactive Waste Spent Ion Exchange Resins from Commercial Nuclear Power Plants

Document: NRC-2012-0218-DRAFT-0008

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-23205

9/20/2012
77 FR 58416

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph DiCamillo

Address:

5605 Glenridge Dr., NE

Suite 705

Atlanta, GA, 30342

Organization: Studsvik, Inc.



RECEIVED

2013 JAN 23 AM 9: 41

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

General Comment

Studsvik supports the conclusions reached in the Comparative Environmental Evaluation. Studsvik suggests that an additional case be added to the evaluation to reflect current industry practices. Namely, volume reduction of Class A, B and C Concentration spent IERs at a Processing Facility, then Disposal. Perhaps this case could be Alternative 4C. While Studsvik does not believe that this case will in any way alter the conclusions of the report, Studsvik believes that the report should identify, discuss and analyze all scenarios.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM -03

Add= *S. Lemont (5x18)*