

Comment# 265

77 FR 65137

10/25/2012

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: December 17, 2012
Received: December 13, 2012
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jw-82in-yqf6
Comments Due: January 02, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration on Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0001

Consideration of Environmental Impacts of Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0362

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-26295

## Submitter Information

Name: Vivian Valtri Burgess

Address:

4184 VT Route 100  
Granville, VT, 05747-9604

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
 BRANCH  
 USNRC  
 2013 JAN - 8 PM 3 01  
 RECEIVED

## General Comment

No more NRC licenses enabling atomic reactors to generate high-level radioactive waste.

For wastes that already exist, I urge to require Hardened On-Site Storage.

The risks of pool fires must be considered in this EIS.

Radioactivity leaks from storage pools – into soil, groundwater, and surface waters – should also be included in the EIS scope.

Both industry and NRC whistleblowers have identified major quality assurance violations with current U.S. dry cask storage design and fabrication.

The many problems that have occurred over the years and decades with dry cask storage – from explosions, to leaks, to design and fabrication flaws, as well as security vulnerabilities -- must be included in the EIS scope, and preferred alternatives identified, such as HOSS.

Seismic risks to dry cask storage – such as Palisades' violation of NRC earthquake safety regulations, as well as the damage done to North Anna's dry cask storage by the August 23, 2011 earthquake – must also be included in the EIS.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM -03

Add= S. Lopas (SLL2)