January 17, 2013

Mr. Scott Head, Manager Regulatory Affairs Nuclear Innovation North America, LLC 122 West Way, Suite 405 Lake Jackson, TX 77566

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 420 RELATED TO SRP SECTION 3.9.2 FOR NUCLEAR INNOVATION NORTH AMERICA, LLC (NINA) COMBINED LICENSE APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Head

By letter dated September 20, 2007, South Texas Project (STP) submitted for approval a combined license application pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is performing a detailed review of this application to enable the staff to reach a conclusion on the safety of the proposed application.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review. The staff's request for additional information (RAI) is contained in the enclosure to this letter.

To support the review schedule, you are requested to respond within **30** days of the date of this letter. If changes are needed to the safety analysis report, the staff requests that the RAI response include the proposed wording changes.

S. Head

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, I can be reached at 301-415-8484 or by e-mail at <u>Tom.Tai@nrc.gov</u> or you may contact George Wunder at 301-415-1494 or <u>George.Wunder@nrc.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

/**RA**/

Tom M. Tai, Senior Project Manager LB3 Branch Division of New Reactor Licensing Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos.: 52-012 52-013

eRAI Tracking No.: 6644

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc: William Mookhoek John Price Loree Elton S. Head

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, I can be reached at 301-415-8484 or by e-mail at <u>Tom.Tai@nrc.gov</u> or you may contact George Wunder at 301-415-1494 or <u>George.Wunder@nrc.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

/**RA**/

Tom M. Tai, Senior Project Manager LB3 Branch Division of New Reactor Licensing Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos.: 52-012 52-013

eRAI Tracking No.: 6644

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc: William Mookhoek John Price Loree Elton

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC LB3 GWunder, NRO SGreen, NRO YWong, NRO JColaccino, NRO MSpencer, OGC RidsNroDeEmb RidsNroDnrlNge2

ADAMS Accession No.: ML13016A074

 OFFICE
 EMB/TR
 EMB/BC
 LB3/PM
 LB3/LPM

 NAME
 YWong
 JColaccino
 TTai
 GWunder

 DATE
 01/16/2013
 01/16/2013
 01/16/2013
 01/17/2013

*Approval captured electronically in the electronic RAI system. OFFICIAL RECORD COPY NRO-002

Request for Additional Information 420

Issue Date: 1/15/2013 Application Title: South Texas Project Units 3 and 4 - Dockets 52-012 and 52-013 Nuclear Innovation North America, LLC Docket No. 52-012 and 52-013 Review Section: 03.09.02 - Dynamic Testing and Analysis of Systems Structures and Components Application Section: 3.9.2

QUESTIONS

03.09.02-52

NRC Regulatory Guide 1.20, Revision 3, Section 3.1.2 states:

"The vibration measurement program may be omitted if the inspection program is implemented. However the vibration measurement program related to the evaluation of the potential adverse flow effect from pressure fluctuations and vibrations in piping systems for both PWRs and BWRs, should not be omitted."

There is no mention of main steam line (MSL) instrumentation to monitor the acoustic resonance and the dryer load during power ascension in WCAP-17257, "STP Unit 4 Reactor Internals Flow-Induced Vibration Assessment Program," Revision 1. The staff requests the applicant to clarify whether instrumentation will be installed on the STP Unit 4 MSLs to ensure that acoustic resonances do not occur that could cause concern for the structural integrity of the steam dryer and other MSL components during the start-up tests up to full LTP power level. In the response to this RAI, the applicant is requested to:

- (a) Provide the number of strain gages to be installed on each MSL and the measures taken to ensure sufficient redundancy.
- (b) Explain and justify the method which will be used to calibrate the strain gages mounted on the MSLs.
- (c) Include the provided information in the application.

03.09.02-53

The applicant is requested to propose detailed license conditions for the flow-induced vibration start-up test program of Unit 3. The license conditions should address the following items:

- (a) Hold point at 60% at which full measurement program will be completed and the stress predictive analysis will be re-benchmarked to update the frequency dependent end-to end bias error and uncertainties (B&U). The end-to-end B&U, which will be used in load trending and projection for the next power hold point and full power conditions, should be based on comparison of the measured and the predicted stress/strain on the dryer.
- (b) Acceptance criteria (limit curves) based on the measurements at 60% power level.

- (c) Additional specific hold points beyond the first one at 60% power level. During these hold points, full measurement program will be completed and the B&U and acceptance criteria (limit curves) will be updated based on the measured data.
- (d) Data trending and projection of pressure, strain and acceleration levels to the next hold point and full power level.
- (e) Explain the method which will be used to calibrate the strain gages mounted on the dryer.
- (f) Actions to be taken during power ascension of Unit 3 if the measured dryer stresses or pressures challenge the limit curves developed from the previous hold point.
- (g) Reporting of results to NRC at 60%, 80%, 90% and 100% during power ascension. The plant will not proceed to the next power level for at least 72 hours after reporting measurements to the NRC.
- (h) Providing a full stress analysis report and evaluation at full power level within 90 days after reaching full power level. The report should include the final dryer load definition using steam dryer instrumentation and associated end-to-end B&U.

The applicant is requested to include the provided information in the application.

03.09.02-54

The applicant is requested to propose detailed license conditions for the flow-induced vibration start-up test program of Unit 4. The license conditions should address the following items:

- (a) A requirement that strain gages be mounted on the MSLs to confirm the structural integrity of the steam dryer and MSL components up to and including full LTP power level.
- (b) Acceptance criteria to confirm the structural integrity of the steam dryer and MSL components during start-up and at full power.
- (c) Actions to be taken during the power ascension of Unit 4 if the acceptance criterion are not met.
- (d) Reporting to the NRC at 60%, 80%, 90% and 100% during power ascension the evaluation of the Unit 4 MSL data to demonstrate structural integrity of the Unit 4 steam dryer and MSL components. The plant will not proceed to the next power level for at least 72 hours after reporting measurements to the NRC.
- (e) Providing a report of the evaluation of the Unit 4 MSL data to demonstrate the structural integrity of the Unit 4 steam dryer and MSL component within 90 days after reaching full power level.

The applicant is also requested to include the provided information in the application.

03.09.02-55

In the audit from November 27 to 29, 2012, it was found that the thickness of the six coverplate supporting ribs used in the dryer structural model is smaller than the actual thickness. The calculated natural frequencies of the cover-plate are thus lower than the actual natural frequencies of the cover-plate. The applicant is requested to provide a quantitative assessment to demonstrate that the dryer stress analysis based on the smaller thickness of the ribs is conservative.