

Penelope Kinney

From: Elise Heumann
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 9:08 AM
To: Penelope Kinney
Cc: John Cook
Subject: My proposed answer to John on timing for new SOWs

Penny-

Here is what I think I will need from John, regarding the contracts he mentions below:

J5546, SNL:

SNL provided their proposal back to us on July 1, 2008. This shows a September 27, 2009 date for SNL to provide the draft NUREG and the public comments received on the draft NUREG, to the peer review contractor. Two Qs:

Question #1: As John notes below, ORNL is the tentative organization to conduct the peer review. Calibrate me - can one DOE lab review work done by another DOE lab?

Question #2: While the period of performance for this contract runs thru 6/30/10, the cost proposal provides a forecast only for FY 2009 and there is no forecasted spending for FY 2010 and it is shown as "TBD.". I presume this is a reflection of NRC not being able to estimate what SNL's workload will be regarding the resolution of the peer review comments. Is this a problem?

Peer review contract:

Assuming there is no issue with ORNL, reviewing SNL work, I would think that I will need the SOW for the peer review effort no later than May 2009, to allow time to get a proposal back and have the contract in place by the end of FY 2009. Reasonable?

Thanks

Elise

~~~~~  
**From:** John Cook  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 19, 2008 7:28 AM  
**To:** Elise Heumann  
**Subject:** new SOWs

Elise-

I believe I owe you two new SOWs, one for SNL for follow-on work to the spent fuel transportation risk assessment study, and another for Oak Ridge to do the peer review of the spent fuel transportation risk assessment study report. When would you like these? Thanks.

-John