

Kinney, Penelope

From: Heumann, Elise
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 7:40 AM
To: Cook, John
Cc: Kinney, Penelope
Subject: More Elise reply - when will SNL get the add'l FY 2010 funding?; the \$60K is set to be committed this month <EOM>

From: Cook, John
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2010 8:27 AM
To: Heumann, Elise
Subject: RE: Elise reply to why SNL needed add'l FY 2010 funding

Hi Elise-

Some thoughts I wanted to run past you:

Agree that total funding for the SNL effort has not changed. Not much to add to your explanation, other than the actual spending in March exceeded the estimated spending because the effort exerted on the preparing the Draft NUREG was significantly larger than was estimated when the spending plan was put together. Also, SNL apparently thought this would be OK because they were focused more on the budgeted amount in the 189 rather than the spending plan.

I think the line you recently added to the spreadsheet you maintain for contracts shows the spending plan and the amounts and dates as to when we intend to send funds to the labs [so as to keep them fully funded to avoid work stoppages, while not providing too much funding so that significant carry-over does not occur]. I think this is very useful information. I would suggest that NRC project managers share this information with their lab counterparts, minimizing the likelihood of any misunderstandings regarding the amount and schedule for providing funding vs. the schedule for the remaining work to be completed.

Does this sound OK to you? Also, being budget challenged as I am, and given the latest SNL spending plan, when should we send some of the \$60K we still need to provide out there?

Thanks.

-John

From: Heumann, Elise
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 7:17 AM
To: Weaver, Doug; Cook, John
Cc: Pstrak, David
Subject: Elise reply to why SNL needed add'l FY 2010 funding

Doug-
The total funding for the SNL effort, to closure has not changed. What has changed, is the estimate of how much has been/will be spent during FY 2010. Originally, the funds carried over from FY 2009, plus the \$25K budgeted for FY 2010 was sufficient for the planned spending. The root of the issue was that planned March spending was \$6K, but actual spending was \$65K. Additionally, planned April spending was \$0.7K, but is now estimated at \$18.7K ; this is a delta of \$77K.

The MLSR for February included a statement that the current spending was on target with the projected rate. My only guess is that the forecasted spending in the NMSS Funds Utilization Plan (FUP) was not the same as the SNL/current version.

John-
Do you have an explanation?

Elise

From: Weaver, Doug
Sent: Sunday, May 02, 2010 5:51 PM
To: Heumann, Elise
Subject: FW: Elise proposal on add'l FY 2010 funding

Elise,

I haven't had time to focus on this since we discussed it the first time. But I haven't seen an answer to the question of why SNL spent more money than we had committed to the contract. That was my understanding of one of your concerns.

Doug

From: Pstrak, David
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:38 PM
To: Weaver, Doug
Cc: Heumann, Elise; Cook, John
Subject: FW: Elise proposal on add'l FY 2010 funding

Doug – For your awareness, see email below.

Let me/us know if you have questions on this or if you want to discuss further.

David

From: Heumann, Elise
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 11:02 AM
To: Kinney, Penelope; Cook, John
Cc: Pstrak, David
Subject: Elise proposal on add'l FY 2010 funding

Penny/John-

There is a total of \$134,761 that SFST needs to provide during FY 2010-2011, to fully fund this contract to the agreed upon ceiling. There is \$75,000 budgeted in FY 2011. This leaves \$59,761 that I propose to reprogram to J5546 in FY 2010.

Using the forecasted spending below, the additional \$59,761 in FY 2010 funds will provide about \$20K of FY 2010 funds that will be carried over into FY 2011; this amount of funds are sufficient for forecasted costs thru February 2011.

I will show this as a May commitment and obligation in the Funds Utilization Plan, as I believe SNL has incurred costs in April for which NRC has not provided funds.

Elise

From: Cook, John
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 9:52 AM