NRC FORM 591M I (10-2011)	PART 1		U.S. NU	CLEAR REGULATORY	COMMISSION	
10 CFR 2.201	SAFETY INSPECTION	REPORT AN	D COMPLIANCE IN	SPECTION		
1. LICENSEE/LOCATI	ON INSPECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE			
Lester E. Cox M 1423 N. Jefferso Springfield, MC REPORT NUMBER	on 0 65802	Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352				
3. DOCKET NUMBER(4. LICENSE NUMBER	(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTIO	DN .	
030-09784		24-01143-06	4-01143-06		December 18, 2012	
Regulatory Commiss procedures and repr 1. Based or 2. Previous 3. The viola non-repe discretion 4. During th cited in a with 10 C	an examination of the activities conduct sion (NRC) rules and regulations and the resentative records, interviews with pers in the inspection findings, no violations w eviolation(s) closed. ations(s), specifically described to you by eitive, and corrective action was or is be in, were satisfied. Non-cited violation(s) were discussed Non-cited violation(s) were discussed is inspection, certain of your activities, a ccordance with NRC Enforcement Police FR 19.11. Its and Corrective Actions)	e conditions of your I onnel, and observati rere identified. v the inspector as no ing taken, and the re ed involving the follo s described below a	icense. The inspection consist ons by the inspector. The inspe n-cited violations, are not being maining criteria in the NRC Enf wing requirement(s):	ed of selective examination action findings are as follo cited because they were orcement Policy, to exerce	ons of ows: self-identified, cise	
		ement of Correct				
corrective actions is i	ithin 30 days, the actions described by r made in accordance with the requiremer	nts of 10 CFR 2.201	(corrective steps already taken,	corrective steps which w	rill be taken,	
tiTLE	iance will be achieved). I understand that PRINTED NAME	at no turther written r	esponse to NRC will be require SIGNATURE	u, unless specifically req	DATE	
ICENSEE'S						
REPRESENTATIVE	Robert P. Hays	Ċ	Delt 7	fur	phol.	
BRANCH CHIEF	Tamara E. Bloomer		Jamare N	Loon	,/,,/,	
RC FORM 591M PART	1 (10-2011)					

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (10-2011) 10 CFR 2.201 Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECT	ED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
Lester E. Cox Medical Ce 1423 N. Jefferson Springfield, MO 65802	nter		Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352					
REPORT NUMBER(S) 12-01								
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION				
030-09784		24-01143-06		December 18, 2012				
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USE	Ð	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS						
87132		03.01-03.07						
	SUPPLEME	ENTAL INSPECTI	ON INFORMATION					
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT 4. TELEPHONE NUMBER		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER				
02230	2	Keith Hickey, RSO		(417) 269-8935				
Main Office Inspec	tion	Next Inspection Date: 12/18/2014		14				
✓ Field Office Inspection Hulston Cancer Center, and 3801 S. National								
Temporary Job Site Inspection								
PROGRAM SCOPE								

The licensee was a medical institution authorized by the license to use any byproduct material as needed, permitted by 10 CFR 35.100, 35.200, 35.300 (not to exceed 1 Ci of I-131), 35.400, and 35.600 using a Nucletron HDR Remote Afterloader at two locations specified on the license. During the previous inspection, the licensee's nuclear medicine radiation safety program was reviewed with no violations or concerns identified. This inspection focused on the licensee's HDR Remote Afterloader radiation safety program and use. The oncology staff included three authorized users, four medical physicists, two radiation therapists, and one dosimetrist who routinely treat an average of 2-3 patients involving MammoSite or gynecological procedures each month. Although authorized at 3801 S. National Avenue for HDR therapies, no HDR afterloader has been used or stored at that location since the previous inspection.

Performance Observations

During the inspection, the licensee's authorized user, medical physicist, and/or radiation therapist demonstrated/ discussed: (1) survey instruments, required surveys, and calibrations; (2) package receipt and check-in procedures; (3) written directives and treatment plans; (4) security and storage of licensed material; (5) electrometer and wellchamber instrument calibrations; (6) quarterly (12/13/2012) full calibrations and output checks; (7) daily checks; (8) emergency tools and posted emergency procedures; (9) PrimeAlert radiation monitor testing and battery backup; (10) HDR annual refresher training and emergency drills; (11) written procedures; (12) Radiation Safety Committee meetings; (13) inspector observation of an administered dose fraction; and (14) corrective actions for a violation of : (a) 10 CFR 35.41(a) for a failure to have adequate procedures for post-treatment evaluations. Corrective actions were to modify procedures to include post-treatment evaluations of post-treatment deviations; and (b) corrective actions for a Sr-90 source not inventoried and leak tested. The Sr-90 source was leak tested and disposed of on 4/27/2011. The violations are considered closed.

The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements, which indicated results consistent with licensee survey records and postings.