## **PrairieIslandISFSIPEm Resource**

From: Eckholt, Jennie K. [Jennie.Eckholt@xenuclear.com]

Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2012 12:19 PM
To: Waters, Michael; Longmire, Pamela
Cc: Anderson, Paula K.; Lipa, Christine
Subject: RE: Clarification on Meeting Actions

Hi Mike -

Thank you for the quick response! The path described in your previous email (see below) is acceptable. However, we do request that in the final letter that you clarify that these items are actions from the November public meeting.

Christine - I look forward to working with you in the future on our application!

Kind Regards,

Jennie Eckholt

Xcel Energy | Responsible By Nature

Licensing Engineer

414 Nicollet Mall - MP4, Minneapolis, MN 55401

P: 612.330.5788 C: 651.380.7016

E: jennie.eckholt@xenuclear.com

From: Waters, Michael [Michael.Waters@nrc.gov]

Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 8:54 PM
To: Eckholt, Jennie K.; Longmire, Pamela
Cc: Anderson, Paula K.; Lipa, Christine

Subject: RE: Clarification on Meeting Actions

Jennie,

We should be sending the results of final request for supplemental information (RSI) to you shortly. It will contain the supplemental information that is needed to the accept the application, and "Observations" that may become requests for additional information later in the review. These are only observations, because it represents the idea that technical reviewers have identified a potential review need, but the technical review has not been fully completed and issues not fully vetted in our internal review process. Responding to the Observations are optional when responding to the acceptance review RSIs.

To keep things within process, I will ask Pam to reference those items below as Observations. It appears none are essential items needed to accept the application, but it may benefiical to receive the information as part of the resopnse to the RSI - - at your option. I think at this point, it would be confusing to receive the information, prior to the RSI.

Is this an acceptable path?

**Thanks** 

Mike

P.S. Please note that next week Christine Lipa will be taking over as Chief of the Licensing Branch for an interim period, and I will be moving to an acting Deputy Director position in Future correspondence should go through Pam and her in the future. our Division.

From: Eckholt, Jennie K. [Jennie.Eckholt@xenuclear.com]

Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 6:43 PM To: Longmire, Pamela; Waters, Michael

Cc: Anderson, Paula K.

Subject: Clarification on Meeting Actions

Hi Mike -

NSPM had a public meeting on November 18, 2011 to discuss Prairie Island's license renewal application. During this meeting, NSPM took the following actions to get back to the NRC with information:

Actions

Xcel Energy - NSPM

- Evaluate whether or not the top nozzle anchors should be considered in the aging management review.
- Explain why NSPM identified no aging effects/mechanisms for subcomponents in air/gas environments.
- Equate the alarm setpoint for the interseal pressure monitoring system to leakage of helium through the metallic seals.
- Describe the vent path for the build-up of gases in the radial neutron shield. Reference the applicable SAR sections.
- Provide a summary of the Operations procedure for the daily alarm surveillance of the interseal pressure monitoring system. Also, provide details of any preventative maintenance of the equipment in the interseal pressure monitoring system.
- Ensure the results from the lead cask inspection performed this summer at the Prairie Island ISFSI are available to the NRC technical reviewers.

I discussed this issue with Dr. Longmire, and we weren't sure when NSPM should send the information to resolve these actions from the November 18 meeting. Should NSPM send its responses to these actions prior to or following completion of the application acceptance review? Typically we send information that's requested by the NRC in public meetings via email, instead of submitting a formal letter. Would you prefer that NSPM submit a formal letter with the resolution of these actions, or will email be sufficient?

2

Please let me and my manager, Paula Anderson, know when you'd like us to provide the information and how you'd like us to send it.

Best Regards,

Jennie Eckholt Xcel Energy | Responsible By Nature Licensing Engineer 414 Nicollet Mall - MP4, Minneapolis, MN 55401 P: 612.330.5788 C: 651.380.7016 E: jennie.eckholt@xenuclear.com

XCELENERGY.COM<http://www.xcelenergy.com/>

This e-mail, and any attachments, may contain confidential or private material for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply mail and delete all copies of this message and any attachments.

Hearing Identifier: Prairie\_Island\_ISFSI\_Public

Email Number: 42

Mail Envelope Properties (55176884543BB341868C48272EAFF5000A4B27B419)

**Subject:** RE: Clarification on Meeting Actions

 Sent Date:
 2/4/2012 12:18:53 PM

 Received Date:
 2/4/2012 12:28:04 PM

 From:
 Eckholt, Jennie K.

Created By: Jennie.Eckholt@xenuclear.com

Recipients:

"Anderson, Paula K." <Paula.Anderson@xenuclear.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Lipa, Christine" < Christine.Lipa@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Waters, Michael" < Michael. Waters@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Longmire, Pamela" < Pamela.Longmire@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

**Post Office**: enex04

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 4779 2/4/2012 12:28:04 PM

**Options** 

Priority:StandardReturn Notification:NoReply Requested:NoSensitivity:Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received: