-				CALC.	NO. RTL-001-CALC-TH-0202	
ENERCON		CALCULATION COVER SHEET		REV. 1		
	encentrice – Every project, Every day.			PAGE	IO . 1 of	9
Title: R	RT100 Cask- Hypothetical Accident Condition Maximum Client:			Robate	l Technologie	es, LLC
			Project:	Robate	002	
ltem		Cover Sheet Items	<u></u>		Yes	No
1 D (I	Does this calculation co If YES , Identify the ass	ntain any open assumptions that requ umptions)Assumpti	ire confirma on 3 in Sec	ation? tion 4.0		
2 D de D	Does this calculation se lesign verified calculatio Design Verified Calcul	rve as an "Alternate Calculation"? (If) on.) l ation No .	′ES , Identif	y the		\boxtimes
3 D su	Does this calculation Superseded calculation.	upersede an existing Calculation? (If Y) on No.	ES, identify	' the		
Update refe	erences and editorial d	nanges				
Revision II	mpact on Results:	manges				
Revision II	mpact on Results:	Calculation	culation 🗵			
Revision II N/A	Impact on Results: Study C	Calculation	culation 🗵 Related 🗌	3		
Revision II	mpact on Results: Study C	Calculation Final Calculation ty-Related (Print Name and Sign	culation Related	3		
Revision II N/A Originator:	mpact on Results: Study C Safe : Hong Sun	Calculation □ Final Calc ty-Related ⊠ Non-Safety (Print Name and Sign	culation 🛛	Date:	10-5-	2012
Revision II N/A Originator: Design Ver	mpact on Results: Study C Safe : Hong Sun	Calculation Final Calculation Final Calculated Ty-Related (Print Name and Sign	culation 🖄 Related 🗌	Date:	10-5- 10/5/24	2012

ENERCON Excellence—Every project. Every day.		CALCULATION REVISION STATUS SHEET		CALC. NO. RTL-002-CALC-TH-02		
				REV.		
				PAGE NO.	2 of 9	
		CALCUL	ATION REVISION STATUS			
REVISION DAT		TE	<u>[</u>	ESCRIPTION		
0	Sept 12	2, 2012		Initial Issue		
1 0015		2012	E	ditorial change	S	
					17	
PAGE REVISION STATUS						

PAGE NO.	REVISION	PAGE NO.	REVISION
1-8	1		
9	0		

APPENDIX REVISION STATUS

APPENDIX NO.	PAGE NO.	REVISION NO.	APPENDIX NO.	PAGE NO.	REVISION NO.
					-
	-				

		CALC. NO. RTL-002-CALC-TH
	DESIGN VERIFICATION	REV. 1
ExcellenceLvery project. Every day.	PLAN AND SUMMARY SHEET	PAGE NO. 3 of 9
Calculation Design Verification	Plan:	
Calculation to be reviewed for cor accuracy.	rectness of inputs, design criteria, analytical	methods, acceptance criteria and num
Stated objectives and conclusions	shall be confirmed to be reasonable and va	lid.
Any assumptions shall be clearly oprinciples and practices.	documented and confirmed to be appropriate	e and verified based on sound engineer
NOTE		
This calculation contains an Op content for each shipment. Eng shipment.	en Item concerning the confirmation of the ineering control should be performed to e	ne hydrogen generation rate of the ensure this criterion is met for each
(Print)	Name and Sign for Approval – mark "N/A'	" if not required)
Approver: John Staples	Jul 7 Stal	Date: Soct 2012
Calculation Design Verification	Summary:	
Calculation has been designated a	as Safety Related as noted on the cover she	eet.
Calculation has been verified to be assumptions, analytical methods,	e mathematically correct and performed in ac design criteria and acceptance criteria.	ccordance with appropriate design inpu
The conclusions developed in the	calculation are reasonable, valid and consist	tent with the purpose and scope.
Assumptions are appropriate and	correct.	
NOTE		
This calculation contains an Opto to ensure the hydrogen generation	en Item as described in Assumption 3. En on rate of the content for each shipment	ngineering control should be perform met this criterion.
Based On The Above Summary,	The Calculation Is Determined To Be Acc	ceptable.
Based On The Above Summary,	The Calculation Is Determined To Be Acc (Print Name and Sign)	ceptable.
Based On The Above Summary, Design Verifier: Amy Varallo	The Calculation Is Determined To Be Acc (Print Name and Sign)	Date: $10/5/2012$

		CALCULATION	CALC.	NO.	RTL-001-	CALC-TH-0202
EJ	ENERCON	DESIGN VERIFICATION	REV.		1	
	excenence—every project, every day.	CHECKLIST		10.	4 of 9	
ltem	CHECKLIST ITEMS				No	N/A
1	Design Inputs - Were th (latest revision), consister calculation?	e design inputs correctly selected, referenced ent with the design basis, and incorporated in th	e	Х		
2	Assumptions - Were the described, justified and/o	e assumptions reasonable and adequately or verified, and documented?		x		
3	Quality Assurance - We requirements assigned to	ere the appropriate QA classification and the calculation?		x		
4	Codes, Standards, and codes, standards, and re addenda, properly identif	Regulatory Requirements - Were the applica gulatory requirements, including issue and ied and their requirements satisfied?	ble	x		
5	Construction and Operating Experience - Have applicable construction and operating experience been considered? X					
6	Interfaces - Have the design-interface requirements been satisfied, X					
7	Methods - Was the calculation methodology appropriate and properly applied to satisfy the calculation objective? X					
8	Design Outputs - Was the conclusion of the calculation clearly stated, did it correspond directly with the objectives, and are the results reasonable X compared to the inputs?					
9	Radiation Exposure - Has the calculation properly considered radiation exposure to the public and plant personnel? X				х	
10	Acceptance Criteria - An calculation sufficient to al been satisfactorily accom	e the acceptance criteria incorporated in the low verification that the design requirements hap plished?	ive >	(
11	Computer Software- Is a are the requirements of C	a computer program or software used, and if so SP 3.02 met?	,			х
COMMENTS						
(Print Name and Sign)						
Design \	Design Verifier: Amy Varallo Canada Date: 10/5/2012					5/2012
Others:					Date:	l



CALC. NO. RTL-001-CALC-TH-0202

PAGE NO. 5 of 9

1

REV.

Table of Contents

Calcula	ation Cover Sheet	1
Calcula	ation Revision Status Sheet	2
Calcula	ation Design Verification Plan and Summary Sheet	3
Calcula	ation Design Verification Checklist	4
1.0	PURPOSE AND SCOPE	.6
2.0	SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	.6
3.0	REFERENCES	.6
4.0	ASSUMPTIONS	.6
5.0	DESIGN INPUTS	.7
5.1	Temperature	.7
5.2 6.0	METHODOLOGY	.7
7.0	CALCULATIONS	.8
7.1	Pressure Due to Initial Air in the Cavity	.8
7.2	Pressure Due to Water Vapor in the Cask	8
7.3 7.4	Total Pressure	0 .9

1.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

Excellence—Every project. Every day

EI ENERCON

Robatel Technologies is designing the RT-100 transport cask to transport radioactive waste in the form of dewatered resins and filters. The RT-100 transport cask is required to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 71 (Ref. 3.1). The purpose of this calculation is to calculate the cask cavity maximum pressure under the Hypothetical Accident Condition (HAC).

2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

A pressure 689.4 kPa [100 psia] is recommended for subsequent HAC evaluations requiring maximum internal pressure.

3.0 REFERENCES

- 3.1 Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 10CFR Part 71, "Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material"
- 3.2 J. Chang, P. Lien, and M. Waters, Evaluation of Hydrogen Generation and Maximum Normal Operating Pressure for Waste Transportation Packages, WM2011 Conference, Feb 27 – Mar 3, 2011, Phoenix, AZ
- 3.3 ENERCON Calculation RTL-001-CALC-TH-0201 Rev. 1, "RT-100 Cask Thermal Analyses"
- 3.4 Fundamentals of Engineering Thermodynamics, 5th Edition, M. Moran and H. Shapiro
- 3.5 Crane Technical Paper 410 Flow of fluids, 2001

4.0 ASSUMPTIONS

- (1) Ideal gas law is used to calculate the cask cavity pressure at a given temperature. The content inside the cask is dewatered resins and filters, water amount is very limited. Air occupies the cask cavity. The gas within the cask, a mixture of air, water, oxygen and hydrogen generated through radiolytic decomposition of the water residual, behaves as an ideal gas.
- (2) The cask at the time of loading has an internal pressure equal to ambient pressure, which is assumed to be 1 atm absolute (101.35 kPa, 14.7 psia) at 21.1 °C (70 °F, 294.25 K).
- (3) **Open Assumption**: The amount of hydrogen generated inside the cask cavity is not greater than 5% by volume.

The shipper needs to confirm for each shipment that the content will not generate hydrogen greater than 5% of the cavity volume, for flammability concern.

		CALC. NO. RTL-001-CALC-TH-0202		
	CALCULATION CONTROL SHEET	REV. 1		
excellence—every project, every day.		PAGE NO. 7 of 9		

There are no unverified assumptions in this calculation. Other design assumptions used, if any, will be noted and referenced as needed in the body of the calculation.

5.0 DESIGN INPUTS

5.1 Temperature

Initial temperature of the gas in the cask = 21.1 °C (See Section 4.0) Final temperature of the gas = Average internal cask temperature under HAC = 110 °C [383.15 K, 230 °F] (Ref. 3.3) Conservatively use 150 °C [423.15 K, 302 °F] (Upper Bound)

5.2 Pressure

Initial pressure of the gas in the cask = 1 atm abs. [14.7 psia, 101.35 kPa] (See Section 4.0)

6.0 METHODOLOGY

To determine the maximum pressure, the temperature of the gas mixture within the cask is evaluated. The maximum temperature of the cask cavity under HAC is bounded by $150 \,^{\circ}C$ (See Section 5.1).

The maximum pressure is the sum of three components:

- 1. the pressure due to air in the cavity;
- 2. the pressure due to water vapor in the cask; and
- 3. the pressure due to the hydrogen and oxygen gases generated by radiolysis.

The restriction of the contents to inorganic materials eliminates the potential for gas generation due to thermal degradation or biological activity. Thus, these gas sources are not considered in the evaluation.



7.0 CALCULATIONS

7.1 Pressure Due to Initial Air in the Cavity

Per the ideal gas law, the increased partial pressure of the air (P_{air}) initially sealed in the fixed volume of the cask at the ambient temperature as it is heated to 150 °C is: P1xT2 = P2xT1 (Ref. 3.4) P_{air} = 101.35 kPa[(423.15 K) / (294.25 K)] = 145.8 kPa (21.15 psia)

7.2 Pressure Due to Water Vapor in the Cask

The cask cavity is assumed to contain a small amount of water. Thus, conservatively assuming a condensing surface temperature of 150 °C, the water vapor pressure, P_{wv} , at this temperature is approximately 476 kPa [69 psia] (Ref. 3.1, Appendix A-12).

Adding the water vapor pressure at 150 °C to the partial pressure of the air in the sealed cask at this temperature gives:

Pressure $P_2 = P_{air} + P_{wv} = 145.8 + 476 = 621.8 \text{ kPa} [90.18 \text{ psia}]$

7.3 Pressure Due to Generation of Gas

Solid inorganic materials have a G value of zero, i.e., solid inorganic materials do not generate hydrogen or other gases through radiolysis. Solidified or dewatered material may contain some water and, if the cask is loaded underwater, a small amount of water may remain in the cavity after draining. The radiolytic generation of gases is limited to the radiolysis of this residual water. Hydrogen and oxygen may be produced in the cask by radiolytic decomposition of residual water in the cask contents. The amount of hydrogen generated in the cask cavity must not be greater than 5% by volume for the contents that include water (Open Assumption). Hence, the cask atmosphere is assumed to contain five volume percent of hydrogen (H₂) gas due to radiolysis of the water. By stoichiometry of the water molecule (H₂O), the cask atmosphere will also contain 2.5 vol. % oxygen (O₂) gas generated by radiolysis. Noting that partial pressures in an ideal gas mixture are additive and behave the same as ideal gas volume fraction or mole fractions, the partial pressure of hydrogen is described by the following equation:

$$\begin{split} P_{H2} &= 0.05 \ P_{pt} \\ & Where, \ P_{pt} = P_{air} + P_{wv} + P_{H2} + P_{O2} \\ & Combining \ P_{air} + P_{wv} = P_2 \ per \ Section \ 7.2, \ and \ noting \ that \ P_{O2} = 0.5 \ x \ P_{H2}. \\ & P_{H2} = 0.05 \ x \ (P_2 + 1.5 \ P_{H2}) \\ & Solving \ the \ equation \ explicitly \ for \ P_{H2} \ gives: \\ & P_{H2} &= [0.05 \ P_2] \ / \ [1 - 0.05 \ (1.5)] \\ &= [0.05 \ * \ 621.8 \ kPa] \ / \ [1 - 0.05 \ (1.5)] \\ &= 33.61 \ kPa \ [4.87 \ psia] \end{split}$$

7.4 Total Pressure

ENERCON

Excellence—Every project, Every day

Based on the stoichiometric relationship between hydrogen and oxygen liberated by radiolysis of water, and again combining the pressure of the initially sealed air and water vapor as P_2 , the total pressure in the cask at 150 °C is:

$$P_{Total} = P_2 + 1.5 P_{H2}$$

= 621.8 kPa + 1.5 * 33.61 kPa
= 672.2 kPa [97.47 psia]

The maximum pressure is 672.2 kPa [97.47 psia] under hypothetical accident condition. Conservatively consider 689.4 kPa [100 psia] as the maximum pressure under hypothetical accident conditions for subsequent analyses.