
Status: (Y) N U


Seismic Walkdown Checklis	st	(SWC
---------------------------	----	------

Equipment ID No. 2-12(7-54-00) Equip. Class ¹² 21	
Equipment Description Accu 4525 Breusucser	
Equipment Description Accu Heat Follows	>
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space.	the results of judgments and
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	Y∰ N□
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	YD NO UO N/AO
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YM NU UU N/AU
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	YA NO UO N/AO
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	YN UU N/AU
AXZDOGA 10Z	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Y NO UO

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. Z-1217-E9-001 Equip. Class ¹² 21	
Equipment Description ACCW HEAT EXCURREZ	7400
Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	YM NO UO N/AO
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	YX NO UO N/AO
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	YY NO UO N/AO
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	Y¥ N□ U□
Other Adverse Conditions	
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	YY NO UO
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	
Nove	
Evaluated by: Dames DONEL	Date: 9-5-20(2
WH All / Winsten Stewart	
Other Adverse Conditions 11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary) OSE Evaluated by: Amer. Daylor Dong.	Y N□ U□ Date: 9-5-20(2





PAGE 53 of 433


Sheet 1 of 2 Status: N U

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-/302-94-002 Equip. Class 12	
Equipment Description AFW Pump Motor Universellation: Bldg. Aox F pumpFloor El. 215' Room, Area /02	
Location: Bldg. Aux F pumpFloor El. 215' Room, Area /02	
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown o SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenti	the results of judgments and
Anchorage 1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YM NO
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	YDZ NO UO N/AO
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YE NO UO N/AO
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	YN NO UO N/AO
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	YN DU DAYAD
Dwg 2X4AF03-20042 Ver. 1.0	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	YOU UO

< C-3 >

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

	Selsmic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
	Equipment ID No. 2-/302-P4-002 Equip. Class ¹²	
	Equipment Description AFN Pomp Miky Oriven	
	Interaction Effects	
DV 8-13-20	7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? 13 ED FOR HOLDING 13 USEN for over Montal is accorptable.	YEND UD NAD
	8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	YO'NO UO N/AO
	9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	YO'NO UO N/AO
	10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	ט טט מעץ
-	Other Adverse Conditions	
	11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	YEND UD
<u> </u>	Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	
	NONE	
E	valuated by: Frank YAO I David Vola la JOANIO VOLOGRASK	Date: 8-13/2012



PAGE 56 of 433


Sheet 1 of 2
Status: Y N U


Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-13c2-1/4-00/ Equip. Class ¹² 2	
Equipment Description Condensate Strage Tunk No. 1 Location: Bldg. CST No.1 Floor El. 220' Room, Area Yand	
Location: Bldg. CST No.1 Floor El. 220' Room, Area fond	
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for document	the results of judgments and
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YE'NO
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Size CEMMENT	YE' NO UO N/AO
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YD NO UO N/AO
See Comment	
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	YM NO UO N/AO
See Commission	
 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 	YE NO UO N/AO
See comment	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	YE NO UO

< C-3 >

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)
Equipment ID No. 2-1302-1/4-001 Equip. Class ¹²
Equipment Description Condan rate Storage Tank No. 1
Interaction Effects
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Y☐ N☐ U☐ N/A☐
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, Y□ N□ U□ N/A□ and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?
No overhead equipment are
over the fank
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? Y□ N□ U□ N/A□
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free YN N□ U□ of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?
Other Adverse Conditions
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could YN NO UD adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)
The tank is concrete shell structure. Tank shell on top of
Concrete foundation has no sign of degradation or structural creeks. The hairline crock is superficial and no effect
cracks. The hairline crack is superficial and no effect
to the integrity of tank and is acceptable.
BRIMAL GANDHI / Combi 8/15/2012
PARIMAL GANDHI / P. amphi 8/15/2012
/
4 C A >







PAGE 61 of 433

Sheet 1 of 2 Status: N U


Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)		
Equipment ID No. 2-1407-P5-5GS Equip. Class 12 18 (Instrument	st-Rack)	
Equipment Description SGB Isol. Solenoid Rack		
Location: Bldg. AUX Floor El. 180-0" Room, Area RB 130		
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended) Reliance Cus tom	Controls	
Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.		
Anchorage	. 17	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	NO 8.16-15	
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	YM UU N/AU	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YZ NO UO N/AO	
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	YX NO UO N/AO	
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Ref. 2x5ABOI-745-6	DANA OU ON ACY	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	YD NO UO	

< C-3 ➤


¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-1407-P5-SGS Equip. Class 12 18 (Instrumen	t Rack)
Equipment Description SGB Isal Solenoid Rack	
Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	YY NO UO N/AO
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting,	YD NO UO NAO
and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	,
	,
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Y NO UO N/AO
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free	YX NO UO
of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	,
Other Adverse Conditions	
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	YA NO UO
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	
Donz	
JAMES OCUEL	8-11-2002
Evaluated by: Dam Dad JAMES OCUEL Waston Stionit	Date: 8-16-2012 5/16/2012
Waston Stevent	5/16/2012

∢ C-4 >



PAGE 64 of 433



Sheet 1 of 2 Status: N U

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-1500-Q5-HVCEquip. Class 12 20	
Equipment Description HVAC PANEL Location: Bldg. CONTROL Floor El. 220 Room, Area R164	
Location: Bldg. CONTROL Floor El. 220 Room, Arca R164	
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown o SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documential to the space of the seight of the space of the seight of th	the results of judgments and
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YX N□
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	PAN OU ON PAY
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YA NO UO N/AO
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? WELDED CONNECTION	Y NO UO N/AX
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation?	YM NO. UO N/AO
(Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	IM NO. OU WAD
2×5AB01-00827, VER. 12.0	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	רט בא אַצי

< C-3 >

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B. Classes of Equipment.

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)		
Equipment ID No. 21500 - Q5 - HVC Equip. Class 12 20		
Equipment Description HVAC PANEL		
Interaction Effects		
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	YX NO UO WAO	
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	Y X NO UO N/AO	
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	YX NO UO N/AO	
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	A A AD UD	
Other Adverse Conditions		
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	YM NO UO	
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)		
Evaluated by: David folog DAVID VOLOGARSKY Matthew Wilkinson	Date: 8/20/2012 8/20/10/2	

< C-4 >

2-1500-Q5-HVC


2NACQHVC

UNIT

CAUTION

NOTIFY UNIT SHIFT SUPERVISOR PRIOR TO REMOVING FUSE FU1-8

CRI MAY RESULT



PAGE 69 of 433


Sheet 1 of 2 Status: (Y) N U

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)		
Equipment ID No. 2-1531-107-001 Equip. Class 12 18 AIR H.	ANDLERS	
Equipment Description COLTROL BULLING COLTROL ROUT FILES	r uur	
Location: Bldg. Cornect Floor El 260'-0" Room, Area 7311		
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	NE FILTER	
Instructions for Completing Checklist		
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.		
Anchorage		
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	Y NX	
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	YY NO UO N/AO	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YOU UU N/AU	
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	YM NO UO N/AO	
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	YO NO UO N/AM	
DRAWINGS: 1×4AJ07-201-11,547.1682; 2×4AJ07-533-3		
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	YNO UO	

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-1531-17-001 Equip. Class ¹² 18 - AII	HANDLERS
Equipment Description Courted BUILDING COURTED ROOM	FILTER UNIT
Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	YANO UO N/AO
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	YX NO UO NAO
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	YX NO UO N/AO
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	ט טע אַלַּאַ
Other Adverse Conditions	
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	AA NO OO
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	
てつて口	
SEE 2-1531- 87-005-000 FLZ AWC	
Evaluated by: Janua Dand Janues Doview	Date: 8-28-2012
WA ATT / Winston Stewart	8/28/2012

< C-4 >





08 28 2012


Sheet 1 of 2 Status: (Y) N U

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-1539-47-001-000 Equip. Class 12 18	
Equipment Description CB AUX RELAY RM ESF A/C	UNIT
Location: Bldg. CONTROL Floor El. 200' Room, Area RAS/	
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended) AMERICAN	AIR FILTER
Instructions for Completing Checklist	•
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space is provided at the end of the space is provided at the end of this checklist for the space is provided at the end of the space is provided at the	the results of judgments and
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	חא (שקצ
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	YM NO UO N/AO
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	NO UO NAO
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	YX NO UO N/AO
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	YK NO UO N/AO
ERDP X4 AJOG, REV. Z	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	םט בוא אַצי


12 Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

∢ C-3 ≻

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)
Equipment ID No. 2-1539-47-001-000 Equip. Class 12 18
Equipment Description CB AUX RELAY RM ESF A/C UNIT
Interaction Effects
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? YE N□ U□ N/A□
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, Y⊠ N□ U□ N/A□ and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? Y☐ N☐ U☐ N/A☐
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free YN U□ of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?
Other Adverse Conditions
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)
NONE
Evaluated by: David Volo-6- BAVID VOLOBARSKY Date: 8/27/2012 Matthew Wilkinson 8/27/2012



PAGE 76 of 433




PAGE 77 of 433

Sheet 1 of 2
Status: M N U


Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-1540-87-003-000 Equip. Class 12 9 - FANS	
Equipment Description COOLING NATER TOWER CARLE TUN	WEL EXHAUST FAN
Location: Bldg. TUNNEL Floor El. 220 Room, Area PIPING TO	INNEL ZTZA
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space of t	the results of judgments and
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	Y NZ
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Y N N U N/A
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Y D N/AD
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Y NA U N/A
Grout was missing on the anchor bolts area. The condition	,
on a CR to initiate action to correct the con issue.	1,
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	Y NO UO N/AM
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	ŶŊ N□ U□

 $^{^{\}rm 12}$ Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-1540-87-003-000 Equip. Class ¹² 9	
Equipment Description <u>EXHAUST</u> FAW	
Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	YX NO UO N/AO
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	YM NO UO N/AO
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	YX NO UO N/AO
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	YM N□ U□
Other Adverse Conditions	
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	APÓ NO NO
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	
Evaluated by: James Devise James Devise	Date: 4-5-12
for a Tose A. Hermandez	9/03/1=
< C.4 ≻	



PAGE 80 of 433





PAGE 82 of 433

Sheet 1 of 2
Status: (Y) N U


Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-1555-A7-001-000 Equip. Class 12 AIR HANOL	ER - CLASS 10
Equipment Description <u>ELEC</u> SWGR AND MEC ROOM Location: Bldg. <u>AUX</u> Floor El. <u>119^L3"</u> Room, Area <u>RDOZ</u>	COOLER A
Location: Bldg. AUX Floor El. 11913" Room, Area ROOZ	
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended) AMERICAH AIR	FILTER COMPANY
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting.	the results of judgments and
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YK NO
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	YX NO UO N/AO
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YX NO UO N/AO
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	YIX NO UO N/AO
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) AX 2008 GO41, VER. 6.0	Y)K) NO UO N/AO
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	אַאַ אַר מר

< C-3 >


¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-1555-A7-001-000 Equip. Class 12 10 AIR	HANDLERS
Equipment Description ELEC SWGR AND MEC ROOM	COOLER A
Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	YA NO UO N/AO
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	YM NO UO N/AO
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	YX NO UO N/AO
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	YM NO UD
Other Adverse Conditions 11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	AK NO OO
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	
NONE	
Evaluated by: Matthew Wilkinson	Date: 8/29/2012
David Volaly DAVID VOLOBARSKY	8/29/2082






PAGE 86 of 433







PAGE 89 of 433

ט טע אַצי

Sheet 1 of 2
Status: Y V U
7.10 9-4-15

	J. 50 90
Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID No. 2-1561-N7-001-000 Equip. Class 12 10 - Air Handl	45
Equipment Description PIPING PENETRATION ROOM FILTRATE	ON AND EXHAUST UNI
Location: Bldg. Aux Floor El. 245'-0" Room, Area R219	
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended) American A	-tr Folter
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space of t	the results of judgments and
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YM NO
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	YX NO UO N/AO
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Y♥ N□ U□ N/A□
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? UISIBUE CRACICS MEANS AMELIES CAPPEAR OWN IN THE GROUP ON TOP OF PROFESTAL. FO CAPACS APPEAR IN CONCRETE PROFESTAL, NOT A SEISMIC CONCRETE	₩ YX N□ U□ N/A□
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	YX NO UO N/AO
Orawng: 2x40507-274-6; AXZ008A114, Ver. 11	,


6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of


potentially adverse seismic conditions?

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)
Equipment ID No. 2-1561-N7-601-000 Equip. Class 12 10 AIR WANDYERS
Equipment Description PLDING AGNETIZATION ROOM FLARAGOR AND EXHAUST UNIT
Interaction Effects
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? YX N□ U□ N/A□
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? Y N□ U□ N/A□
ULT ITSCUE, A REQUIEM OF THE RESPONSE SPECTURE FOR BOTH THE CEILULG AD
COUR SHOWS THAT THEY HAVE THE SIME OGAK ACCOUNTANTION,
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free YX N□ U□ of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?
Other Adverse Conditions
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could YX N□ U□ adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary) (COUTIO FROM THE A ABOUTE)
SINCE BOTH THE ROOF OUD FLOOR HAVE THE SAME PEAK SCISMIC ACLERITERATION, NO DIPPERENTIAL HOLEMBAR IS EXPECTED. THEREFORE, THE SUPPORT "AS INSTITUTED" CONDITION IS ACCEPTABLE.
Evaluated by: Danez David JAMES DOUGEL Date: 9-4-2012
Winter Stewart 09/04/2012

≺ C-4 **≻**





PAGE 93 of 433