# **SEISMIC WALKDOWN REPORT**

IN RESPONSE TO THE 50.54(f) INFORMATION REQUEST REGARDING
FUKUSHIMA NEAR-TERM TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATION 2.3:
SEISMIC

for the

# ST. LUCIE PLANT UNIT 1 NRC Docket No. 50-335

Florida Power & Light Company St. Lucie Plant 6451 S. Ocean Drive Jensen Beach, FL 34957

Prepared by: Stevenson & Associates 275 Mishawum Road, Suite 200 Woburn, MA 01801

Submittal Date: November 2012

# **Contents**

List	of Tabl	les	İ	
Exec	utive S	Summary	i	
1	Introduction			
	1.1	Background	,	
	1.1	Plant Overview		
	1.3	Approach		
2		smic Licensing Basis		
3		sonnel Qualifications		
	3.1			
	3.1	Overview Project Personnel		
	3.2	Equipment Selection Personnel		
	3.4	Seismic Walkdown Engineers		
	3.5	Licensing Basis Reviewers		
	3.6	IPEEE Reviewers		
	3.7	Peer Review Team		
	3.8	Additional Personnel		
4		ection of SSCs		
5	Seismic Walkdowns and Area Walk-by's			
	5.1	Overview		
	5.2	Seismic Walkdowns		
	5.3	Area Walk-Bys	13	
6	Lice	ensing Basis Evaluations	18	
7	IPE	EE Vulnerabilities Resolution Report	19	
8	Pee	r Review	20	
9	Refe	erences	21	
A	Proj	iect Personnel Resumes and SWE Certificates	A-1	
	A.1	Introduction	A-1	
	A.2	Resumes & Certifications	A-1	

В	SWEL Selection Report	B-1
С	Seismic Walkdown Checklists (SWCs)	C-1
D	Area Walk-by Checklists (AWCs)	D-1
E	Plan for Future Seismic Walkdowns of Inaccessible Equipment	E-1
F	Peer Review Report	F-1

# **List of Tables**

Table 3-1: Personnel Roles	4
Table 5-1: Anchorage Configuration Confirmation	9
Table 5-2: Table of Actions Resulting from Seismic Walkdown Inspection	11
Table 5-3: Table of Actions Resulting from Area Walk-by Inspections	15
Table C-1: Summary of Seismic Walkdown Checklists	C-1
Table D-1: Summary of Area Walk-by Checklists	D-1
Table E-1: Completely Inaccessible Equipment	E-1
Table E-2: Cabinets with Inaccessible Internals	E-1

### **Executive Summary**

The purpose of this report is to provide information as requested by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in its March 12, 2012 letter issued to all power reactor licensees and holders of construction permits in active or deferred status. (Ref. 5) In particular, this report provides information requested to address Enclosure 3, Recommendation 2.3: Seismic, of the March 12, 2012 letter. (Ref. 5)

The 50.54(f) letter requires, in part, all U.S. nuclear power plants to perform seismic walkdowns to identify and address degraded, non-conforming or unanalyzed conditions and to verify the current plant configuration is within the current seismic licensing basis. This report documents the seismic walkdowns performed at St. Lucie Plant Unit 1 in response, in part, to the 50.54(f) letter issued by the NRC.

The Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI), supported by industry personnel, cooperated with the NRC to prepare guidance for conducting seismic walkdowns as required in the 50.54(f) letter, Enclosure 3, Recommendation 2.3: Seismic. (Ref. 5) The guidelines and procedures prepared by NEI and endorsed by the NRC were published through the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) as EPRI Technical Report 1025286, Seismic Walkdown Guidance for Resolution of Fukushima Near-Term Task Force Recommendation 2.3: Seismic, dated June 2012; henceforth, referred to as the "EPRI guidance document." (Ref. 1) St. Lucie has utilized this NRC endorsed guidance as the basis for the seismic walkdowns and this report. (Ref. 1)

The EPRI guidance document was used to perform the engineering walkdowns and evaluations described in this report. In accordance with the EPRI guidance document, the following topics are addressed in the subsequent sections of this report.

- Seismic Licensing Basis
- Personnel Qualifications
- Selection of Systems, Structures, and Components (SSC)
- Seismic Walkdowns and Area Walk-Bys
- Seismic Licensing Basis Evaluations
- IPEEE Vulnerabilities Resolution Report
- Peer Review

### Seismic Licensing Basis

The design basis earthquake (DBE) for the St. Lucie Plant site is 0.10g horizontal ground acceleration. The vertical earthquake is defined as 2/3 of the horizontal earthquake acceleration. (Ref. 2 section 3.7)

### Personnel Qualifications

The walkdown team consisted of experienced site personnel with Civil, Operations and PRA backgrounds. The site personnel were supplemented by two vendors with significant experience in the areas of seismic design and the performance of seismic walkdowns. The personnel who performed the key activities required to fulfill the objectives and requirements of the 50.54(f) letter are qualified and trained as required in the EPRI guidance document (Ref. 1). Personnel qualifications are discussed in Section 3 of this report.

### Selection of SSCs

One hundred (100) components were selected for the walkdown effort, including spent fuel pool items. These components were selected as described in detail in the EPRI guidance document, Section 3: Selection of SSCs. (Ref. 1)

### Seismic Walkdowns and Area Walk-Bys

Section 5, Appendix C, and Appendix D of this report documents the equipment Seismic Walkdowns and the Area Walk-Bys. The online seismic walkdowns for St. Lucie Plant Unit 1 were performed October 1-5, 2012. The walkdown team consisted of two 2-person Seismic Walkdown Engineer (SWE) teams.

The seismic walkdown team inspected 88 of the 100 components on the SWEL (comprised of SWEL 1 and SWEL 2). Walkdowns for 11 components were deferred due to accessibility issues relating to energized equipment or equipment being located within containment. These 11 remaining Unit 1 items will be walked down during a unit outage or another time when the equipment is accessible, as appropriate. Anchorage verification was required for a minimum of 39 components. (Ref. 1) A total of 42 anchorage configurations were confirmed to be installed in accordance with the station documentation.

During the seismic walkdowns at St. Lucie Unit 1, several Action Requests (ARs) were issued for a variety of conditions, which are detailed in Tables 5-2 and 5-3. After evaluation through the Corrective Action Program (CAP), it was determined that none of the conditions identified in the ARs were adverse seismic conditions that challenged the plant licensing basis.

### Seismic Licensing Basis Evaluations

Conditions identified during the walkdowns were documented on the SWCs, AWCs, and entered into the CAP. Conditions that required seismic licensing basis evaluations were completed and documented within Section 6: Licensing Basis Evaluations of this report. Tables 5-2 and 5-3 in the report provide a summary of the conditions and the action completion status.

### **IPEEE Vulnerabilities**

No vulnerabilities were identified as a result of the effort that addressed the Individual Plant Examination of External Events (IPEEE).

### Peer Reviews

The Peer Review of the walkdowns consisted of one team made up of two representatives and two structural/seismic engineers. The structural/seismic engineers made up the SWE team, but also served to peer review each other's work. Section 8 and Appendix F of this report contains a summary of the Peer Review. The Peer Review determined that the objectives and requirements of the 50.54(f) letter are met. Further, it was concluded by the peer reviews that the efforts completed and documented within this report are in accordance with the EPRI guidance document.

#### Summary

In summary, seismic walkdowns have been completed at the St. Lucie Plant Unit 1 in accordance with the NRC endorsed walkdown methodology. All potentially degraded, nonconforming, or unanalyzed conditions identified as a result of the seismic walkdowns have been entered into the corrective action program.

Evaluations of the identified conditions are complete and documented within the CAP. These evaluations determined the Seismic Walkdowns resulted with no adverse anchorage conditions, no adverse seismic spatial interactions, and no other adverse seismic conditions associated with the items on the SWEL that challenged the plant licensing basis. Similarly, the Area Walk-Bys resulted with no adverse seismic conditions associated with other SSCs located in the vicinity of the SWEL item(s).

The Seismic Walkdowns identified several minor issues predominantly pertaining to maintenance and housekeeping. No planned or newly identified protection or mitigation features have resulted from the efforts to address the 50.54(f) letter.

Follow-on activities required to complete the efforts to address Enclosure 3 of the 50.54(f) letter include inspection of 11 items that could not be inspected at the time of the walkdown. Of the 11 items, 6 were electrical cabinets that could not be opened due to potential electrical hazard from energized buswork and 5 were items that are located within containment. These 11 items will be inspected during a future outage.

### 1.1 Background

In response to Near-Term Task Force (NTTF) Recommendation 2.3, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued a 10CFR50.54(f) letter on March 12, 2012 requesting that all licensees perform seismic walkdowns to identify and address plant degraded, non-conforming, or unanalyzed conditions, with respect to the current seismic licensing basis. The Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI), through the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), prepared industry guidance to assist licensees in responding to this NRC request. The industry guidance document, EPRI Technical Report 1025286, Seismic Walkdown Guidance for Resolution of Fukushima Near-Term Task Force Recommendation 2.3: Seismic, dated June 2012 (Reference 1), was endorsed by the NRC on May 31, 2012. St. Lucie has committed to using this NRC-endorsed guidance as the basis completing the walkdown effort.

### 1.2 Plant Overview

Florida Power & Light Company's (FPL) St. Lucie site consists of two pressurized water reactor (PWR) generating units located on Hutchinson Island in St. Lucie County, Florida approximately 7 miles to the south of the city of Ft. Pierce. The eastern boundary of the site is the Atlantic Ocean and the western boundary is the Indian River, a tidal lagoon. Other prominent natural features within 50 miles of the site include Lake Okeechobee, 30 miles to the west-southwest of the site and a portion of the Everglades approximately 24 miles to the south of the site. The plant's nuclear steam supply system (NSSS) is a pressurized water reactor designed by Combustion Engineering Incorporated. The net electrical output is approximately 890 Mwe per unit. The containment structure and balance of plant are designed by Ebasco Services Incorporated.

### 1.3 Approach

The EPRI Seismic Walkdown Guidance (Reference 1) was used for the St. Lucie seismic walkdowns and evaluations described in this report. In accordance with Reference 1, the following topics are addressed in the subsequent sections of this report:

- Seismic Licensing Basis
- Personnel Qualifications
- Selection of SSCs
- Seismic Walkdowns and Area Walk-Bys
- Seismic Licensing Basis Evaluations
- IPEEE Vulnerabilities Resolution Report
- Peer Review

### Seismic Licensing Basis

The licensing basis for Seismic Class I (SC-1) equipment at St. Lucie Plant is defined in the UFSAR (Ref. 2) Section 3.7. Site design ground motion response spectra for the Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE) and Design Basis Earthquake (DBE) are based on the Housner spectral shape provided in UFSAR Figures 3.7-1 and 3.7-2. The DBE is that which could produce the maximum vibratory acceleration at the site as determined from evaluation of seismic and geologic information. The OBE and DBE damping values for buildings, piping and SC-1 equipment are listed in UFSAR Section 3.7.1.3.

The entire state of Florida is by all accounts a low seismicity region of the United States having been a Zone 0 area by the Uniform Building Code [Ref. 2.3] which means no seismic design loads for "conventional" buildings. The original design operating basis earthquake was conservatively set to a horizontal 0.05g peak ground acceleration (PGA) and the design basis earthquake (DBE) was set to 0.10g by requirement of 10CFR100, Appendix S. The vertical earthquake is 2/3 of the horizontal component and applied simultaneously with one horizontal component. The term "Seismic Class I" as used herein corresponds to the term "Category I" as used in the "Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants", February 1972. No seismic classifications are made on the basis of plant operability, hence no additional seismic classes or categories have been established.

Seismic Class I structures, systems and components have been designed to withstand loadings due to the design basis earthquake (DBE):

- without loss of function or fluid boundary integrity if they are needed for safe plant shutdown or to mitigate the effects of a LOCA
- without failure of fluid boundary integrity if such failure could result in significant uncontrolled release of radioactivity to the environment
- without loss of function if such function is needed to detect or prevent potential significant uncontrolled release of radioactivity to the environment or if such function is needed to detect conditions requiring plant shutdown.

Per UFSAR Section 3.9 Mechanical equipment was qualified by testing and analysis. Manufacturers were required to test equipment to floor response spectra provided for the location where the equipment was located or by analysis where stresses were limited to 90% of material yield stress for the DBE loading cases or by experience demonstrating the sufficient margin. Seismic static factors for various equipment are given in Table 3.9-1. For active Code Class 1, 2 and 3 mechanical components St. Lucie followed Regulatory Guide 1.48 for testing pumps and valves to demonstrate operability for loadings which included seismic. St. Lucie followed the requirements of the draft ASME Code for Pumps and Valves (Ref. 3). Valve motor operators supplied on St. Lucie 1 were manufactured by Limitorque. These operators are representative of the prototype units that were successfully seismically tested in accordance with Ogden Technology Laboratories Report No. 7192-9 dated 9/26/72 and Lockheed Electronics Company Test Report No. 2120-4594 dated July 31, 1968, and No. 2539A-4723 dated

September 23, 1973. These reports show that the operators comply with the intent of IEEE Standard 344-1971 requirements.

For Code Class 1, 2 and 3 piping St. Lucie 1 performed dynamic analysis and followed the requirements and stress limits of ANSI B31.7.

For electrical equipment purchase specifications for seismic Class I instrumentation and electrical equipment contain horizontal and vertical seismic acceleration values based on the DBE spectra for the equipment location. Where there is a possibility of amplification or the floor response acceleration due to equipment supports, the specified acceleration values are increased to account for such amplification. Manufacturers were also supplied the floor response spectra for the equipment location. It was required to ensure that the natural period of vibration of the component did not fall within the critical frequency range of the floor response spectra. Qualification documentation was required to demonstrate that the component was capable of functioning under the specified seismic loadings. The qualification data could consist of prototype test results, mathematical analysis or operational experience. Per UFSAR Section 3.10, SC-1 electrical equipment was qualified per follow the guidelines recommended in IEEE Standard 344-1971, "IEEE Guide for Seismic Qualification of Class 1E Electric Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations" even though it was not in existence at the time the construction permit was granted.

For concrete structures and components, the basic code for determining the section strengths is ACI 318-63, Part IVB for Ultimate Strength Design (USD). Steel design and construction followed AISC, "Specification for the Design, Fabrication and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings," 1969.

### Personnel Qualifications

### 3.1 Overview

This section of the report identifies the personnel who participated in the NTTF 2.3 Seismic Walkdown efforts. A description of the responsibilities of each Seismic Walkdown participant's role(s) is provided in Section 2 of the EPRI Seismic Walkdown Guidance (Reference 1). Resumes contained in Appendix A provide detailed personnel qualification information.

### 3.2 Project Personnel

Table 3-1 below summarizes the names and corresponding roles of personnel who participated in the NTTF 2.3 Seismic Walkdown effort.

Table 3-1: Personnel Roles

Name	Equipment Selection Engineer	Plant Operations	Seismic Walkdown Engineer (SWE)	Licensing Basis Reviewer	IPEEE Reviewer	Peer Reviewer
		St. Lucie (	NextEra Energy	′)		
G. Tullidge	x					
A. Restrepo	Х					
M. Bladek		Х				Χ.
A. Terezakis		Χ.				
D. West				Х	х	х
E. Hollowell				· X	Х	х
S. Ramani				Х	Х	
		Stevenso	n & Associates			
S. W. Baker			Х	Х		
H. A. Young			X	Х		,

### 3.3 Equipment Selection Personnel

The SWEL development was performed by G. Tullidge, from the St. Lucie PRA Group. The SWEL was reviewed by A. Restrepo from the PRA Group, A. Terezakis from Operations, and S. Ramani from Engineering. Résumés are provided in Appendix A.

### 3.4 Seismic Walkdown Engineers

The seismic walkdown team consisted of two seismic walkdown engineers (SWEs) from Stevenson and Associates (S&A). The SWEs were Seth W. Baker and Hunter A. Young of S&A. Other St. Lucie professional staff participating in some of the walkdowns included D. West, E. Hollowell and M. Bladek. Resumes are included in Appendix A.

The SWEs (S. Baker and H. Young) are engineers from Stevenson and Associates (S&A). S&A is recognized internationally as a leading seismic consultant to the nuclear industry and as a regular contributor to the advancement of earthquake engineering knowledge through funded research projects. The professional staff has expertise and capabilities in earthquake engineering, structural dynamics, and structural design. S&A has performed seismic evaluations of US nuclear power plants, using either Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) or Seismic Margin Assessment, to address US Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Individual Plant Evaluation for External Events (IPEEE) for over 35 US and European plants.

### 3.5 Licensing Basis Reviewers

The S&A Licensing Basis Reviewers for St. Lucie consisted of Seth Baker and Hunter Young. The St. Lucie engineers E. Hollowell, S. Ramani, and D. West had the lead in licensing basis determinations, with support from the S&A engineers.

### 3.6 IPEEE Reviewers

The IPEE Reviewers for St. Lucie consisted of the PRA engineers, G. Tullidge, who participated in the SWEL preparation as well as E. Hollowell, S. Ramani and D. West, from Engineering who participated in the seismic walkdowns.

### 3.7 Peer Review Team

The Peer Reviewer Team is listed, along with their roles and qualifications, in the *Peer Review Report* included in Appendix F.

### 3.8 Additional Personnel

Various other Operations personnel also provided support to the walkdown by reviewing the list of components for accessibility and accompanying the SWEs to open cabinet doors for accessibility to anchorage.

### Selection of SSCs

The Seismic Walkdown Equipment List is documented in the SWEL Selection Report, provided in Appendix B. This report describes how the SWEL was developed to meet the requirements of EPRI Seismic Walkdown Guidance (Reference 1). The final SWEL (both SWEL 01 & SWEL 02) is included in the SWEL Selection Report in Appendix B.

Seismic Walkdowns and Area Walk-by's

### 5.1 Overview

The St. Lucie Seismic Walkdowns and Area Walk-Bys were conducted by two trained Seismic Walkdown Engineers in accordance with the EPRI Seismic Walkdown Guidance (Reference 1). The walkdowns occurred October 1-5, 2012. Selected electrical equipment cabinets that were not completely inspected or were not opened at all during the inspections due to unavailability were deferred to a future unit outage or another time when the equipment is accessible, as appropriate. The Seismic Walkdowns and Area Walk-Bys are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

### 5.2 Seismic Walkdowns

The Seismic Walkdowns focused on the seismic adequacy of the items on the SWEL as provided in Appendix B of this report. The Seismic Walkdowns also evaluated the potential for nearby SSCs to cause adverse seismic interactions with the SWEL items. The Seismic Walkdowns focused on the following adverse seismic conditions associated with the subject item of equipment:

- Adverse anchorage conditions
- Adverse seismic spatial interactions
- Other adverse seismic conditions

The results of the Seismic Walkdowns have been documented on the Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) provided in the EPRI guidance document, Appendix C. Seismic Walkdowns were performed and a SWC completed for 88 of the 100 items identified on the St. Lucie Plant Unit 1 SWEL. The completed SWCs along with any comments are provided in Appendix C of this report. Drawings and other plant records are cited in some of the SWCs, but are not included with the SWCs because they are readily retrievable documents through the station's document management system.

Seismic Walkdowns are deferred for the remaining 11 items until safe access conditions can be provided. These items could not be walked down during the 180-day period following the issuance of the 10CFR50.54(f) letter due to their being inaccessible because they were energized and required for safe plant operation. Appendix E of this report identifies the inaccessible equipment along with the plan for future Seismic Walkdowns.

The following subsections describe the approach followed by the SWEs to identify potentially adverse anchorage conditions, adverse seismic interactions, and other adverse seismic conditions during the Seismic Walkdowns.

### **5.2.1 Adverse Anchorage Conditions**

Guidance for identifying anchorage that could be degraded, non-conforming, or unanalyzed relied on visual inspections of the anchorage and verification of anchorage configuration. Details for these two types of evaluations are provided in the following two subsections.

The evaluation of potentially adverse anchorage conditions described in this subsection applies to the anchorage connections that attach the identified item of equipment to the civil structure on which it is

mounted. For example, the welded connections that secure the base of a Motor Control Center (MCC) to the steel embedment in the concrete floor would be evaluated in this subsection. Evaluation of the connections that secure components within the MCC is covered later in the subsection "Other Adverse Seismic Conditions."

### Visual Inspections

The purpose of the visual inspections was to identify whether any of the following potentially adverse anchorage conditions were present:

- Bent, broken, missing, or loose hardware
- Corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation
- Visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors
- Other potentially adverse seismic conditions

Based on the results of the visual inspection, the SWEs judged whether the anchorage was potentially degraded, non-conforming, or unanalyzed. The results of the visual inspection were documented on the SWC, as appropriate. If there was clearly no evidence of degraded, nonconforming, or unanalyzed conditions, then it was indicated on the checklist and a licensing basis evaluation was not necessary. However, if it was not possible to judge whether the anchorage is degraded, nonconforming, or unanalyzed, then the condition was entered into the Corrective Action Program as a potentially adverse seismic condition.

Additionally, any significant comments are noted on the SWCs. Drawings and other plant design documents are cited in some of the SWCs, but they are not included with the SWCs because they are readily available in the plant's electronic document management system.

### **5.2.2 Anchorage Configuration Confirmation**

As required by the EPRI Seismic Walkdown Guidance (Reference 1, page 4-3), at least 50% of the items were confirmed to be anchored consistent with design drawings. Line-mounted equipment (e.g., valves mounted on pipelines without separate anchorage) was not evaluated for anchorage adequacy and was not counted in establishing the 50% sample size.

Examples of documentation that was considered to verify that the anchorage installation configurations are consistent with the plant documentation include the following:

- Design drawings
- Seismic qualification reports of analyses or shake table tests

The table of contents for Appendix C indicates the anchorage verification status for components as follows:

**N/A**: components that are line-mounted and/or are not anchored to the civil structure and therefore do not count in the anchorage confirmation total.

Y: components that are anchored to the civil structure which were confirmed. to be consistent with design drawings and/or other plant documentation

**N**: components which had anchorage but were not chosen for anchorage configuration confirmations.

See Table 5-1 below for the accounting of the 50% anchorage configuration confirmations, and the individual SWC forms in Appendix C for the specific drawings used in each confirmation.

**Table 5-1: Anchorage Configuration Confirmation** 

Total SWEL Items	SWEL Items without Anchorage (N/A)	Minimum Required to Confirm	Total Items Confirmed
Α	В	(A – B) / 2	
100	23	39	42

### 5.2.3 Adverse Seismic Spatial Interactions

An adverse seismic spatial interaction is the physical interaction between the SWEL item and a nearby SSC caused by relative motion between the two during an earthquake. An inspection was performed in the area adjacent to and surrounding the SWEL item to identify any seismic interaction conditions that could adversely affect the capability of that SWEL item to perform its intended safety-related functions.

The three types of seismic spatial interaction effects that were considered are:

- Proximity
- Failure and falling of SSCs (Seismic II over I)
- Flexibility of attached lines and cables

Detailed guidance for evaluating each of these types of seismic spatial interactions is described in the EPRI guidance document, Appendix D: Seismic Spatial Interaction.

The Seismic Walkdown Engineers exercised their judgment to identify seismic interaction hazards. Section 5.2.5 provides a summary of issues identified during the Seismic Walkdowns.

### **5.2.4 Other Adverse Seismic Conditions**

In addition to adverse anchorage conditions and adverse seismic interactions, described above, other potentially adverse seismic conditions that could challenge the seismic adequacy of a SWEL item were evaluated. Examples of the types of conditions that could pose potentially adverse seismic conditions include the following:

- Degraded conditions
- Loose or missing fasteners that secure internal or external components to equipment
- Large, heavy components mounted on a cabinet that are not typically included by the original equipment manufacturer
- Cabinet doors or panels that are not latched or fastened
- · Other adverse conditions

Any identified other adverse seismic conditions are documented on the items' SWC and Table 5-2, as applicable.

### 5.2.5 Issues Identification during Seismic Walkdowns

Table 5-2 provides a summary of issues identified during the equipment Seismic Walkdowns. The equipment Seismic Walkdowns resulted in several conditions requiring action and each of these was

entered into the station's CAP by St. Lucie Plant site personnel (PSL). All of the identified concerns were assessed and concluded to have no current operability issues.

Table 5-2: Table of Actions Resulting from Seismic Walkdown Inspection

1. 10 to 1. 1. 100 to 10 to 10 to		Table 5-2: Table of Actions Resulting	Hom Seismic Walkuowii insp	ection	
No.	Equipment ID	Potentially Adverse Seismic Condition	Resolution	Entered into CAP (Y/N)	Current Status
Anche	orage issues	The state of the s	1	1 2 4000 2000 000	7,112
1	MV-14-3	W-flange section where operator is mounted has a hole indicative of past corrosion that was painted over.	Per PSL, AR generated for condition. Operator has sufficient remaining welds so as to preclude failure during a seismic event. AR action generated to evaluate and correct as required.	·Y	Action being tracked in CAP
2	DOST 1B	Noted 2 nuts painted over w/ apparent loss of nut area (all threads are engaged). Verify whether condition has been analyzed.	Per PSL, AR generated AR for condition. Circumference of nut intact. No loss of anchor cross section. No current operability issue. AR action to replace degraded nut.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP
3	1A MFIV ACCUM	Hold down bolt on east end of tank has evidence of area loss due to corrosion.	Per PSL, AR generated for condition. Corrosion on head of bolt did not significantly affect anchor cross section or capacity. No current operability issue. AR action to clean and coat, replace if required.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP
4	FT-14-1A	Steel mounting bracket has lost area rendering bottom bolt structurally ineffective.	Per PSL, AR generated for condition. Three other bolts remain effective and given low mass of FT, there is no loss of functionality for a design basis seismic event. No current operability issue. AR action to replace bracket.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP

No.	Equipment ID	Potentially Adverse Seismic Condition	Resolution	Entered into CAP (Y/N)	Current Status
Seisn	nic interaction issues				
5	QSPDS CHAN A CAB	Unanchored storage cabinet is approx. 1' east of QSPDS and could overturn and impact cabinet.	Per PSL, storage cabinet removed. PSL generated AR for condition. No current operability issue.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP
Other	conditions				
6	QSPDS CHAN A CAB	Verify whether QSPDS A and B cabinets are bolted together or unbolted condition is analyzed.	Per PSL, existing modification package validates that asinstalled condition as conforming with its seismic qualification.	N	Closed
7	ESC SA	Noted missing latch on bottom of N door.	Per PSL, AR generated for condition. Remaining latches secured and sufficient to maintain the door in a closed position. No current operability issue. AR action to repair latch.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP
8	RTGB-106	Noted open interior cabinet door (unsecured), which could move but not damage equipment during a seismic event.	Corrected by PSL during walkdown. No adverse seismic condition.	N	Closed
9	RTGB-106	Various panel bolts and possibly interior panels missing. Also noted relay covers on the interior floor.	Per PSL, AR generated for condition. All panels had sufficient bolts such that condition was not an adverse seismic. AR action issued to address seismic housekeeping issues. No current operability concern.	· Y	Action being tracked in CAP

### 5.3 Area Walk-Bys

The purpose of the Area Walk-Bys is to identify potentially adverse seismic conditions associated with other SSCs located in the vicinity of the SWEL items. Vicinity is generally defined as the room containing the SWEL item. If the room is very large (e.g., Turbine Hall), then the vicinity is identified based on judgment, e.g., on the order of about 35 feet from the SWEL item. This vicinity is described on the Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC), shown in Appendix D of this report. A total of 35 AWCs were completed for St. Lucie 1. It is noted that additional AWCs will be completed, as required, as deferred and supplemental inspections are completed.

The key examination factors that were considered during Area Walk-Bys include the following:

- Anchorage conditions (if visible without opening equipment)
- Significantly degraded equipment in the area
- A visual assessment (from the floor) of cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting (e.g., condition of supports or fill conditions of cable trays)
- Potentially adverse seismic interactions including those that could cause flooding, spray, and fires
  in the area
- Other housekeeping items that could cause adverse seismic interaction (including temporary installations and equipment storage)

Scaffold construction was inspected to meet the station administrative procedure for the control of scaffolding. Seismic housekeeping was examined to meet the station procedure for the control of temporary equipment, temporary power, job setup, and plant storage.

The Area Walk-Bys are intended to identify adverse seismic conditions that are readily identified by visual inspection, without necessarily stopping to open cabinets or taking an extended look. If a potentially adverse seismic condition was identified during the Area Walk-By, then additional time was taken, as necessary, to evaluate adequately whether there was an adverse condition and to document any findings.

The results of the Area Walk-Bys are documented on the AWCs included in Appendix D of this report. A separate AWC was filled out for each area inspected. A single AWC was completed for areas where more than one SWEL item was located.

Additional details for evaluating the potential for adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding, spray, or fire in the area are provided in the following two subsections.

### Seismically-Induced Flooding/Spray Interactions

Seismically-induced flooding/spray interactions are the effect of possible ruptures of vessels or piping systems that could spray, flood or cascade water into the area where SWEL items are located. This type of seismic interaction was considered during the IPEEE program. Those prior evaluations were considered, as applicable, as information for the Area Walk-Bys.

One area of particular concern to the industry is threaded fire protection piping with long unsupported spans. If adequate seismic supports are present or there are isolation valves near the tanks or charging sources, flooding may not be a concern. Numerous failures have been observed in past earthquakes resulting from sprinkler head impact. Less frequent but commonly observed failures have occurred due to flexible headers and stiff branch pipes, non-ductile mechanical couplings, seismic anchor motion and failed supports.

Examples where seismically-induced flooding/spray interactions could occur include the following:

- Fire protection piping with inadequate clearance around fusible-link sprinkler heads
- Non-ductile mechanical and threaded piping couplings can fail and lead to flooding or spray of equipment
- Long, unsupported spans of threaded fire protection piping
- · Flexible headers with stiffly supported branch lines
- Non-Seismic Class I tanks

The SWEs exercised their judgment to identify only those seismically-induced interactions that could lead to flooding or spray. No concerns associated with fire protection piping or spray of equipment were identified at St. Lucie 1.

### Seismically-Induced Fire Interactions

Seismically-induced fire interactions can occur when equipment or systems containing hazardous/flammable material fail or rupture. This type of seismic interaction was considered during the IPEEE program. Those prior evaluations were considered, as applicable, as information for the Area Walk-Bys.

Examples where seismically-induced fire interactions could occur include the following:

- Hazardous/flammable material stored in inadequately anchored drums, inadequately anchored shelves, or unlocked cabinets
- · Natural gas lines and their attachment to equipment or buildings
- Bottles containing acetylene or similar flammable chemicals
- Hydrogen lines and bottles

Another example where seismically-induced fire interaction could occur is when there is relative motion between a high voltage item of equipment (e.g., 4160 volt transformer) and an adjacent support structure when they have different foundations. This relative motion can cause high voltage busbars, which pass between the two, to short out against the grounded bus duct surrounding the busbars and cause a fire.

The Seismic Walkdown Engineers exercised their judgment to identify only those seismically-induced interactions that could lead to fires. No such interactions were found at St. Lucie 1.

### 5.3.1 Issues Identification during Area Walk-bys

Table 5-3 provides a summary of issues identified during the Area Walk-bys. The Area Walk-bys resulted in several conditions requiring action and each of these was entered into the station's CAP by St. Lucie Plant site personnel (PSL). All of the identified concerns were assessed and concluded to have no current operability issues.

Table 5-3: Table of Actions Resulting from Area Walk-by Inspections

No.	Area	Potentially Adverse Seismic Condition	Resolution	Entered into CAP (Y/N)	Current Status
Seisi	mic housekeeping	issues	The state of the s	-90000 a - 90 a - 9	The second second second second second
1	AW22 – RAB 62' CTRL Rm	Permanent file cabinet south of PAP "A" and "B" cabinets may pose overturning hazard if unanchored. Verify whether cabinets are anchored.	Per PSL existing modification package validates file cabinet as adequately anchored to preclude overturning hazard.	N	Closed
2	AW20 – RAB 19.5' CEA MG ST Rm	Vertical man lift resting against box B197B for PT-07-8B. Impact from man lift during SSE could damage transmitter.	Per PSL, man lift relocated to safe position. Box is not seismically sensitive. No current operability issue.	N	Closed
3	AW20 – RAB 19.5' CEA MG ST Rm	Protected equipment signs stored against 4.16 kV SWGR 1AB. Sign impact could cause equipment malfunction during SSE.	Per PSL, signs relocated to safe position. Sufficient gap remained to protect equipment. Signs relatively light weight compared to cabinet. PSL generated AR action to updated Operations procedures for storage/location of signs. No current operability issues.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP
Othe	r seismic interaction	on issues			
4	AW17 – FHB 48' HVAC Rm	MCC 1B - 8 has approx. 1/8" gap in front - to - back direction w/ concrete wall to the east. Verify whether condition is analyzed, gap is adequate, or systems analysis determines chatter acceptable for safety - related functionality.	MCC 1B - 8 confirmed to be Quality Related, Seismic Category 2:1. Therefore potential contact with the wall poses no adverse concern since equipment functionality is not required.	N	Closed

No.	Area	Potentially Adverse Seismic Condition	Resolution	Entered into CAP (Y/N)	Current Status
5	AW22 – RAB 62' CTRL Rm	Approx. 3/16" gap between Aux Relay Cabinet and PAP "A" Cabinet. Determine whether gap is adequate.	Licensing Basis Evaluation concluded that gap is adequate. See Section 6.	N	Closed
Othe	r conditions				
6	AW17 – FHB 48' HVAC Rm	Plenum for HVE - 16A and - 16B has significant corrosion indicative of strength loss at NE corner of unit. 2 out of approx. 60 stitch welds may be affected.	Per PSL, AR generated for condition. Remaining length of weld acceptable for equipment to perform function. AR action issued to clean, inspect and repair as required to restore design margin. No current operability issue.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP
7	AW22 – RAB 62' CTRL Rm	Aux Relay Cabinet door damaged so that top latch does not properly engage.	Per PSL, AR generated for condition. Aux Relay cabinet is not Quality Related, Seismic Cat 2:1. Latch adjusted to close properly. No current operability issue.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP
8	AW22 – RAB 62' CTRL Rm	Due to raised floor, unable to view anchorages of all equipment. Verify equipment anchorages from drawings.	Per PSL, anchorage of equipment confirmed by plant drawings.	N	Closed
9	AW01 – CCW N Area A Train	Approx. 1" pipe north of strainer has 2 unanchored supports ineffective at resisting lateral load.	Per PSL, AR generated for condition. Piping is still functional due to low mass and inherent ductility with no impact hazards to other equipment due to decreased stiffness. AR action to provide anchors for lateral restraint. No current operability issue.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP

No.	Area	Potentially Adverse Seismic Condition	Resolution	Entered into CAP (Y/N)	Current Status
10	AW05 – YARD 19' Inside CST Concrete Enclosure	Base plate anchor bolts and nuts for support of LT - 12 - 12 are heavily corroded and indicated loss of strength.	PSL generated AR for condition. Given LT low mass and ability of attached tubing and conduit to provide positive structural support, loss of strength judged to be acceptable for function of equipment during seismic event. AR action to clean, repair and coat the degraded support. No current operability issue.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP
11	AW05 – YARD 19' Inside CST Concrete Enclosure	On N side of tank on what appears to be CST fill line, vertical support base plate has heavy corrosion on bolts and nuts indicative of strength loss.	Per PSL, AR generated for condition. Support provides only bearing support so degraded bolts and nuts do not negatively affect the seismic function of support. AR action to clean, repair and coat the degraded support. No current operability issue.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP
12	AW28 – RAB 43' Remote Shutdown Rm	Door latch to HSCP 1B is broken. Door cannot close and could cause equipment malfunction during SSE.	Per PSL, AR generated for condition. Door latch still in tact, but handle broken. Door latch secured by maintenance. No current operability concern. AR action to replace broken handle.	Y	Action being tracked in CAP

### Licensing Basis Evaluations

Potentially adverse conditions identified during the walkdowns were documented on the seismic walkdown and area walk-by checklists, as appropriate, and entered into the corrective action process. One seismic licensing basis evaluation was required for Unit 1 of St. Lucie and is shown in Table 6-1.

**Table 6-1: Licensing Basis Evaluations** 

Equipment/Area ID	Potentially Adverse Seismic Condition	Licensing Basis Evaluation	Status
AW22 – RAB 62' CTRL Rm	Approx. 3/16" gap between Aux Relay Cabinet and PAP "A" Cabinet. Determine whether gap is adequate.	Per EPRI NP7146s-SL R1,tested relay cabinets with similar properties of the Aux Relay Cabinet and PAP "A" Cabinet had fundamental frequencies ranging from 9.5 Hz to 11 Hz. The SSE horizontal spectral acceleration at 9 Hz (lower-bound estimate) at the 61 ft elevation of the RAB for 2% damping (in accordance with the U1 UFSAR) is approximately 0.45g. Using a 1.6 modal shape factor for cantilever action and conservatively summing relative displacements, the maximum combined cabinet displacement is 0.17 in (=2*1.6*0.45g*386.4 in/s^2/g) / (2*pi*9 Hz)^2)). Therefore, the 3/16" gap is adequate.	Closed

### IPEEE Vulnerabilities Resolution Report

The seismic assessment performed for the St. Lucie IPEEE Report (Ref. 4) was reviewed and no seismic vulnerabilities were identified. No plant improvements were required as a result of the seismic portion of the IPEEE. (See page 2 of the NRC SER on IPEEE (Ref. 10)). There were no vulnerabilities identified during the IPEEE report, and no scenario or event sequence has been identified which is considered to be a severe accident vulnerability

### Peer Review

The *Peer Review Report* is included as Appendix F. This includes the peer review of the SWEL selection, peer review of the seismic walkdown, and peer review of this final report.

# **9** References

Reference drawings related to SWEL items are provided in the Seismic Walkdown Checklists and if applicable, in the Area-Walkdown Checklists.

- EPRI Technical Report 1025286, Seismic Walkdown Guidance for Resolution of Fukushima Near-Term Task Force Recommendation 2.3: Seismic, dated June 2012.
- St. Lucie Plant 1 Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), Amendment 22, May, 2007.
- Draft ASME Code for Pumps and Valves for Nuclear Power, November, 1968, Class II.
- 4. Seismic Individual Plant Examination for External Events (IPEEE) for St. Lucie 1.
- 5. IEEE 344-1971
- 6. ACI 318-63
- 7. AISC, 1969
- 8. EPRI NP-7146s-SL R1, "Guidelines for Development of In-Cabinet Demand for Devices Mounted in Electrical Cabinets."



### Project Personnel Resumes and SWE Certificates

#### A.1 Introduction

Resumes for the following personnel that contributed to the seismic walkdown and/or peer review are included in this Appendix:

FPL, St. Lucie Plant: M. Bladek, E. Hollowell, S. Ramani, A. Restrepo, A. Terezakis, G. Tullidge, D. West

Stevenson & Associates: S. W. Baker, H. A. Young

EPRI Walkdown Training Course certificates are included for each of the designated SWEs.

### A.2 Resumes & Certifications

### Seth Baker

Mr. Baker is a Senior Engineer I in the S&A Boston office, where he joined in 2008. He has performed seismic and other dynamic evaluations on a variety of nuclear structures including steel frame buildings, equipment frames, and electrical cabinets, as well as having designed several structural modifications. He has completed the NTTF Recommendation 2.3 Training Course and has subsequently performed seismic walkdowns on seven US nuclear units. Mr. Baker holds an MS in Civil Engineering from Stanford University, a BS in Civil Engineering from the Worcester Polytechnic Institute, and is a registered E.I.T in Massachusetts.



#### Hunter A. Young, P.E.

Mr. Young is a Senior Engineer in the S&A Phoenix office with specialization in the dynamic analysis and design of structures and equipment for seismic, blast, fluid, and wind loads. He has managed and led seismic walkdowns and fragility analyses of structures and components for use in probabilistic risk assessments. Mr. Young has performed the seismic analyses of braced steel frames, concrete foundations, masonry walls, large storage tanks, and electrical and mechanical equipment anchorages. In addition, Mr. Young has executed the walkdown and analysis of tank structures and their associated leakpath piping to assess loss of inventory in the event of beyond

design basis seismic events using manual and finite element methods. Mr. Young has a Master of Engineering in Structural Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and BSCE from the University of Notre Dame. He is a licensed P.E. (civil) in California and has completed the SQUG Walkdown training course.



#### **Sharam Ramani**

Mr. Ramani is a Civil/Mechanical Design Engineering Supervisor at Saint Lucie Nuclear Station (PSL). He has more than 30 years of nuclear experience, with most of that at PSL Nuclear Design Engineering group. He directs and coordinates major and minor civil/mechanical engineering activities to support safe and reliable nuclear plant operation. Has ability to extrapolate and communicate to management on complex problems /resolutions to justify continued operation. He evaluates regulatory guidance to determine applicability to components and evaluates design basis to ensure compliance. Mr. Ramani has a Civil Engineering degree from University of Massachusetts.

#### **Dan West**

Mr. West is a Staff Engineer in the St. Lucie Plant Engineering department. He has over 32 years of varied nuclear engineering experience at St. Lucie and is currently the site lead for the Fukushima response. He previously worked for General Electric at the Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory in the US Navy nuclear propulsion program. Mr. West has a B.S. in Marine Engineering from the US Merchant Marine Academy and an MBA from the Fiorida Institute of Technology. He held an SRO license on the St. Lucie Units from 1982 to 1988.

### Michael Bladek

Mr. Bladek is Assistant Operations Manager in the St. Lucie Plant Operations Department with the responsibility for the PSL Operations Department support organization including the corrective action program, NRC inspection support, performance indicators, industry benchmarking and evaluation support. He has 27 year career in operations he has held various positions in St. Lucie and Turkey Point Plant Operation departments from Shift Manager to Field Operator. He has a Bachelor of Science Degree from the University of Maryland in Nuclear Science. Mr. Bladek holds a current Senior Reactor Operators license at St. Lucie Plant.

#### **Edward Hollowell**

Mr. Hollowell is a currently a Principal Engineer at St Lucie Nuclear Station (PSL). He has more than 30 years of Civil Engineering experience in the Nuclear Industry. He has been part of FPL Civil design engineering group for 22 years, with 18 years of experience in seismic related structural design at PSL. Mr. Hollowell has a Bachelor of Science Degree in Structural Engineering and Construction Technology from Penn State University. He recently completed the EPRI Seismic Walkdown Engineer (SWE) training (see certificate below).



### **Andy Terezakis**

Mr. Terezakis is currently a Senior Licensed Reactor Control Operator in the Operations Support Group at St. Lucie and is a member of the St. Lucie Fukushima Response Team. He has 31 years of nuclear plant experience at St. Lucie that includes over 29 years in Operations. Mr. Terezakis has maintained an SRO license at St. Lucie for over 20 years and has a BS in Nuclear Science and Engineering from the University of Maryland.

### George Tullidge

Mr. Tullidge is a Staff Engineer in the PRA Group at NextEra Energy Juno Beach office. He has over 30 years of commercial nuclear power experience. Mr. Tullidge has a degree in Physics from Pennsylvania State University. His years of experience include Operations, Maintenance, and Engineering. He also held an active Senior Reactor Operator license at St. Lucie and was a qualified Operations Shift Manager.

### Alexander Restrepo

Mr. Restrepo is an Engineer I in the PRA Group at NextEra Energy, working primarily on Turkey Point Nuclear Station. He has three years of Operations experience at Turkey Point and two years of PRA experience. H has completed the necessary requirements and qualifications for a PRA engineer. Recently he completed Training on the Near Term task Force Recommendation 2.3 – Plant Seismic Walkdowns. He holds a BS and MS in Nuclear Engineering, both from the University of Florida.

## Certificate of Completion

### Alexander Restrepo

Training on Near Term Task Force
Recommendation 2.3
- Plant Seismic Walkdowns

Faly 27, 2012

R.P. Kesseren

### Fiorida Power & Light

# Selection of the St. Lucie Plant Unit 1 Seismic Walkdown Equipment List (SWEL) for the Requirement 2.3 Walkdown

St. Lucie Nuclear Station. Revision 0 November 2012

Prepared by

George Tulkage (PRA Group)

Reviewed by

Alexander Restrepo (PRA Group)

Andy Terezakis (Operations)

Reviewed by

Sharam Ramani (Engineering)

Date

Date

Date

### 1 Introduction

This document contains the information used to develop the Seismic Walkdown Equipment List (SWEL) at St. Lucie (PSL) in accordance with EPRI Report 1025286, "Seismic Walkdown Guidance," dated June 2012 [1].

The selection process was completed by applying separate screening criteria to develop SWELs 1 and 2. The documentation is sequenced by first providing the screening criteria requirements, and then applying the screening criteria to the development and implementation of the seismic walkdown equipment list (SWEL).

### 2 Process

The general process focused first on building a Master Component List, with attributes to support the sample selection process (Sections 3 and 4). This list was obtained by generating a NAMS query of the entire PSL Equipment Database for all components along with data such as system code, component type, location, etc. Then the screening criteria below were applied to arrive at a final SWEL 1 and SWEL 2 comprised of approximately 100 items for each Unit.

The process also included identifying a set of plant locations around which the walkdown was organized (Section 5). The plant locations were also used to support the "walk-by" process to assess cable trays and ventilation ducts and the potential for seismic spatial interactions (Section 6).

Finally, Section 6 identifies several evaluations that supported the identification of targets for the walkdown and the specific attributes that needed to be examined.

Because the SWEL needs to address a number of attributes, the selection was performed and reviewed by a team that included representatives from PRA, Operations, and Engineering.

### 3 SWEL 1 Screening Criteria

The final SWEL 1 is listed in Attachment 1.

### 3.1 Screening Criteria 1 – Seismic Category 1

### Requirement

The scope of SSCs (Systems, Structures, and Components) in the plant are limited to those that are designed to Seismic Category (SC) I requirements. This is done because only such items have a defined seismic licensing basis against which to evaluate the as-installed configuration. Selecting these items is intended to comply with the request in the NRC 50.54(f) Letter, under the "Requested Actions" section, to "verify current plant configuration with the current license basis."

### **Application**

An equipment list from the PSL equipment database was obtained via a Nuclear Asset Management System (NAMS) query. The Seismic Class 1 SSCs were queried from the report by choosing only those SSCs designated as Seismic Class I.

### 3.2 Screening Criteria 2 - Equipment or Systems

### Requirement

The scope of SSCs included selecting only those that do not regularly undergo inspections to confirm that their configuration continues to be consistent with the plant licensing basis. Cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ductwork were not included as "equipment" in the SWEL 1, and were instead left to be reviewed during area walk-bys of the spaces containing items on the SWEL 1. Also omitted were SC 1 structures, containment penetrations, and SC1 piping systems.

### 3.3 Screening Criteria 3 - Supports 5 Safety Functions

### Requirement

The scope of SSCs to be included in SWEL 1, are those SSCs associated with maintaining the five safety functions. These five safety functions include the four safe shutdown functions (reactor reactivity control, reactor coolant pressure control, reactor coolant inventory control, and decay heat removal, which includes the Ultimate Heat Sink), plus the containment functions.

### **Application**

Since the PRA risk model represents the five safety functions listed above, a list of all PRA component tags was compared to the remaining SSCs. Items not included in the PRA model were removed.

### 3.4 Screening Criteria 4 – Sample Considerations

### Requirement

It was expected that SWEL 1, taken as a whole, would include representative items from some of the variations within each of the following five attributes:

- A variety of types of systems
- Major new and replacement equipment
- A variety of types of equipment
- A variety of environments
- Equipment enhanced due to vulnerabilities identified during the IPEEE program

### **Application**

The equipment analyzed in this program was used as a base and compared to the screening criteria above. The remaining components in the Master Component List were reordered according to system code, component type, and then location in order to obtain a broad sample. Operations personnel were consulted with to identify new or replaced equipment that were on the truncated Master Component List.

## 4 SWEL 2 Screening Criteria

SWEL 2 began with the same Master Component List as SWEL 1. An initial screening was done retaining only SSCs related to the Spent Fuel Pool system. Screening criteria 1, 2, and 3 for SWEL 2 were performed identical to that of screening criteria 1,2, and 4 for SWEL 1, respectively. The final SWEL 2 is incorporated into the PSL1 & PSL 2 SWEL.

### 4.1 Screening Criteria 4 - Cause Rapid Drain-Down

### Requirement

The EPRI guidance requires assessment of the potential for Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) rapid drain down, specifically the identification of SFP penetrations below approximately 10 feet above the top of the fuel assemblies.

### **Application**

Components were included in this screening based on their importance in maintaining spent fuel pool inventory and cooling.

### 5 Walk-By Table

Each location was also subjected to a walk-by, an examination (in less detail) of the other SSCs, as well as an inspection for other seismic issues:

- Several other passive component types: cable trays & ventilation ducts.
- Seismic-induced fire. This includes all flammable materials in each location such as hydrogen lines, gas bottles (acetylene, hydrogen), natural gas lines, and hazardous/flammable material stored in the location.
- Seismic-induced flood. This includes all flood/spray sources (tanks, piping) originating in each
  location, based on the Internal Flood PRA. Note, the flood sources of interest are only those
  originating in the location, not those coming from another location. The potential for flood
  propagation will be addressed in the seismic/flood analysis.
- Spatial interactions (2 / 1). This includes adverse physical interaction due to proximity, failing of other components or structures (e.g., cranes), and flexibility of attached lines and cables.

### 6 Evaluations

The following evaluations were performed prior to and during the walkdown to assess specific issues that may add to the walkdown scope or the inspection criteria.

### 6.1 Configuration Verification

The EPRI guidance identifies two types of inspection for the walkdown: (a) visual inspection and (b) configuration verification. Visual inspection is typically what is performed in a walkdown, looking for obvious degraded conditions in equipment anchorage. However, configuration verification is a more involved inspection consistent with the existing plant documentation of the design basis. This is required in at least 50% of the SWEL items with anchorage.

### 6.2 New Equipment

The EPRI Guidance directs that the SWEL should include a "robust sampling of the major new or replacement equipment installed within the past 15 years (i.e., since the approximate completion of the seismic IPEEE evaluation)". Based on discussion with Operations and Engineering, major new or replacement equipment was identified and noted as such in the SWEL spreadsheet.

### 6.3 Modifications

The walkdown team allowed for changes to be made to the SWEL mid-walkdown. Many components were changed from 'B' train to 'A' train as the former was the protected train, precluding the thorough inspection of some components.

# 7 References

7.1 IPEEE Report for St. Lucie, L-94-318, Dec.1994
7.2 EPRI TR-1025286, "Seismic Walkdown Guidance," June 2012

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
1	MV-14-3	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CCW RETURN HDR B TO CCW PUMP 1C SUCTION	VL	14	Component Cooling Water	8	CCW/15/N-952/E- 1683	Y	3	
2	MV-14-1	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CCW PP 1C DISCH TO CCW HX 1B CROSSOVER	VL .	14	Component Cooling Water	8	CCW/15/N-964/E- 1708	Y	3	
3	HCV-14-9	HAND CONTROL VALVE FOR CCW RTN HDR N CROSSOVER TO CCW RTN HDR A	VL	14	Component Cooling Water	8	CCW/15/N-980/E- 1670	Y	3	
4	TCV-14-4A	TEMPERATURE CONTROL VALVE FOR ICW FLOW TO CCW HX 1A OUTLET	VL	14	Component Cooling Water	7	CCW/15/N-995/E- 1726	Y	3	
5	FT-14-1A	FLOW TRANSMITTER FOR COMPONENT COOLING WATER HX 1A OUTLET	VL	14	Component Cooling Water	18	CCW/17/N-947/E- 1678	N	3	
6 ,	HCV-14-8A	HAND CNTL VLV FOR CCW HX 1B OUTLET A LOOP CROSSOVER TO SPLY HDR N	VL	14	Component Cooling Water	8	CCW/17/N-999/E- 1670	Y	3	

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
7	SS-21-1A CNTL PNL	SS-21-1A CONTROL PANEL	FI/IN	21	Circ Wtr- Intake Cooling Wtr	20	CCW/24/S-A/E-3	N	3	
8	SS-21-1A	STRAINER FOR CCW HX 1A ICW INLET	FI	21	Circ Wtr- Intake Cooling Wtr	0	CCW/26/S-A/W-4	Y	3	
9	CCW PP 1A	COMPONENT COOLING WATER PUMP 1A	PU	14	Component Cooling Water	5	CCW/27/N-992/E- 1697	Y	3	
10	CCW HX 1A	COMPONENT COOLING WATER HEAT EXCHANGER 1A	HT	14	Component Cooling Water	21b	CCW/28/N-1007/E- 1704	Y	3	Item 29
11	COND STOR TK	CONDENSATE STORAGE TANK	AC	12	Condensate	21a	CST/19/N-1013/E- 1167	Y	4	Item 9
12	480V MCC 1A7	480V MOTOR CONTROL CENTER 1A7	EK	47	480V Electrical	1	DG/23/N-803/E-1690	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
13	DG 1A CNTL PNL	DIESEL GENERATOR 1A CONTROL PANEL	IN	59	Diesel Generator	20	DGB/24	Y	1,2,3,4,5	Item 16 Sim (1A)
14	DG 1A S/U AIR TK 1A1	DIESEL GENERATOR 1A START-UP AIR TANK 1A1	AC	59	Diesel Generator	21a	DGB/24	Y	1,2,3,4,5	Item 14 Sim (1A)

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
15	DG ENG 1A2 LUBO CLR	LUBE OIL COOLER FOR DIESEL GENERATOR ENGINE 1A2	EN/HT	59	Diesel Generator	21b	DGB/24	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
16	DSL GEN 1A	DIESEL GENERATOR 1A	GE	59	Diesel Generator	17	DGB/26	Y	1,2,3,4,5	Item 13 Sim (1A)
17	DG DO DAY TK 1A2	DIESEL GENERATOR DIESEL OIL DAY TANK 1A2	AC	59	Diesel Generator	21a	DGB/27/DG ENG 1B1	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
18	DG ENG 1A2 RDTR	RADIATOR FOR 16 CYLINDER DIESEL GENERATOR ENGINE 1A2	EN/HT	59	Diesel Generator	21b	DGB/28	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
19	SKBK LUBO AC PP 1A2	SOAKBACK LUBE OIL AC PUMP FOR DIESEL GENERATOR ENGINE 1A2	PU	59	Diesel Generator	5	DGB/28	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
20	SKBK LUBO DC PP 1A2	SOAKBACK LUBE OIL DC PUMP FOR DIESEL GENERATOR ENGINE 1A2	PU	59	Diesel Generator	5	DGB/28	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
21	DOST 1B	DIESEL OIL STORAGE TANK 1B	AC	17	Turbine Lube Oil/DSL Fuel Oil	21a	DOST/22/N879/E1780	Y	1,2,3,4,5	

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
22	DOST 1A	DIESEL OIL STORAGE TANK 1A	AC	17	Turbine Lube Oil/DSL Fuel Oil	21a	DOST/22/N914/E1780	Y	1,2,3,4,5	Item 6 PCM 90- 152
23	DG FO XFR PP 1B	DIESEL GENERATOR FUEL OIL TRANSFER PUMP 1B	PU	17	Turbine Lube Oil/DSL Fuel Oil	5	DOST/23/N863/E1773	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
24	DG FO XFR PP 1A	DIESEL GENERATOR FUEL OIL TRANSFER PUMP 1A	PU .	17	Turbine Lube Oil/DSL Fuel Oil	5	DOST/23/N931/E1773	Y	1,2,3,4,5	Item 1
25	MV-21-2	MOTOR OPERATED VLV FOR ICW TRAIN B SUPPLY TO TCW HX'S	VL	21	Circ Wtr- Intake Cooling Wtr	8	INTK/11/N-4/W-C	Y	4	
26	MV-21-3	MOTOR OPERATED VLV FOR ICW TRAIN A SUPPLY TO TCW HX's	VL	21	Circ Wtr- Intake Cooling Wtr	8	INTK/11/N-4/W-C	Ý	4	
27	ICW PP 1C	INTAKE COOLING WATER PUMP 1C	PU	21	Circ Wtr- Intake Cooling Wtr	6	INTK/21/N-3/W-C	Y	3	
28	ICW PP 1A	INTAKE COOLING WATER PUMP 1A	PU	21	Circ Wtr- Intake Cooling Wtr	6	INTK/21/S-4/W-C	Y	3	Item 4

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
29	CHG PP 1A	CHARGING PUMP 1A	PU	2	Chem & Vol Ctrl Sys	5	RAB/0/N-RA2/E-RAE	Y	1,2,3	Item 2
30	BAM PP 1A	BORIC ACID MAKE- UP PUMP 1A	PU	2	Chem & Vol Ctrl Sys	5	RAB/0/N-RA4/E-RAE	Y	1	Item 5
31	BAMT 1A	BORIC ACID MAKE- UP TANK 1A	AC	2	Chem & Vol Ctrl Sys	21a	RAB/0/N-RA4/E-RAE	Y	.1	Item 7
32	SE-07-2A	PRI SOLENOID ISOL VLV FOR SODIUM HYDROXIDE TO FD TO CNTMT SPRY PP'S	VL	7	Containment Spray	8	RAB/0/S-RA3/E-RAH	Y	5	
33	NAOH STG TK 1A	SODIUM HYDROXIDE STORAGE TANK 1A	AC	7	Containment Spray	21a	RAB/00/S-RA3/E-RAL	Y	5	
34	CNTMT SPR PP 1A	CONTAINMENT SPRAY PUMP 1A	PU	7	Containment Spray	4	RAB/-10/N-RA3/W- RAG	Y	5	
35	PT-07-4B	PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR CONTAINMENT PRESSURE	IX	7	Containment Spray	18	RAB/19/N-RA2/RAF	Y	5	
36	480V SWGR 1AB	480V SWITCHGEAR 1AB	EL	47	480V Electrical	1	RAB/19/N-RA2/W- RAG	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
37	4KV SWGR 1AB	4.16 KV SWITCHGEAR 1AB	СК	52	4.16 KV Electrical	1	RAB/19/S-RA2/W- RAG	Y	1,3,4	
38	SDC HX 1A	SHUTDOWN COOLING HEAT EXCHANGER 1A	HT	3	Safety Injection	21b	RAB/3/N-RA3/E-RAJ	Y	4	

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
39	V2514	MOTOR OPER VLV FOR EMERG BORATION FROM BORIC ACID MU PUMPS DISCH	VL	2	Chem & Vol Ctrl Sys	8	RAB/3/N-RA3Z/W- RAE	Y	1	
40	FT-3311	FLOW TRANSMITTER FOR HPSI HEADER FEED TO LOOP 1A2	IX	3	Safety Injection	18	RAB/4/N-RA3/W-RAK	N	3	
41	PT-3305	PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR HPSI PUMP 1A DISCHARGE HEADER	IX	3	Safety Injection	18	RAB/4/S-RA3/E-RAF	N	3	
42	HVS-4A	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR RAB MAIN SUPPLY SYSTEM	BL	25	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	9	RAB/43/N-RA1/W- RAD	Y	5	
43	125V BATT 1A	125V DC BATTERY 1A	BA	50	125V DC	15	RAB/43/N-RA1/W- RAH	Υ .	1,2,3,4,5	
44	125V BATT 1B	125V DC BATTERY 1B	BA	50	125V DC	15	RAB/43/N-RA1/W-RAI	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
45	HVE-6A	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR SHIELD BUILDING VENTILATION SYSTEM	BL	25	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	9	RAB/43/N-RA2/W- RAE	N	5	
46	HVE-6A PLENUM	FILTER PLENUM	BL	25	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	10	RAB/43/N-RA2/W- RAE	N	5	

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
47	RX TRIP SWGR	REACTOR TRIP SWITCHGEAR	RL	63	Reactor Protection	2	RAB/43/N-RA2/W- RAJ	Y	1,2,3	
48	ISOLATION PL 1A	ISOLATION PANEL 1A - Control Room Inaccessibility Transfer Panel	IN	69	Safeguards Panels	14	RAB/43/N-RA3/E-RA1	Y	1,2,3,4	
49	HVE-9A	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR ECCS VENTILATION SYSTEM	BL	25	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	9	RAB/43/N-RA3/E-RAF	Y		
50	STC INVTR 1A	STATIC INVERTER 1A (10 KVA)	GE	49	120V Vital AC	16	RAB/43/N-RA3/E- RAK	Y	1,2,3,5	
51	HSCP 1A (IN/PANEL)	HOT SHUTDOWN CONTROL PANEL 1A	IN	69	Safeguards Panels	20	RAB/43/N-RA4/E-RAL	N	1,2,3,4	
52	120V INSTR BUS 1MC	120V AC INSTRUMENT BUS 1MC DISTRIBUTION PANEL	EL	49	120V Vital AC	14	RAB/43/RA1/RAK	N .	1,2,3,4	
53	125V DC BUS 1A	125V DC BUS POWER DISTRIBUTION PANEL ESS-SA	EL	50	125V DC	14	RAB/43/RA3/RAK	Y	1,2,3,4,5	Item 25 Sim (1A - PCM 90- 152)
54	4KV SWGR 1A3	4.16 KV SWGR 1A3-1	EL	52	4.16 KV Electrical	2	RAB/43/RA3/W-RAH	Y	1,2,4	Item 20

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
55	BATT CHGR 1A	BATTERY CHARGER 1A	ВА	50	125V DC	16	RAB/43/RA3/W-RAK	Y	1,2,3,4,5	Item 23 SIM (1A - PCM 90- 152)
56	480V SWGR 1B2	480V SWGR 1B2	EL	47	480V Electrical	1	RAB/43/RA4/E-RAJ	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
57	STA SVC XFMR 1B-2 (SVC XFMR 1B- 2)	STATION SERVICE TRANSFORMER 1B-2	TR	47	480V Electrical	4	RAB/43/RA4/E-RAJ	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
58	480MCC 1A6	480V MCC 1A6	EL	47	480V Electrical	1	RAB/43/S-RA1/E-RAI	Y	1,2,3,4,5	Item 19 PCM 90- 152
59	480PZ BUS1A3	480V PRZR BUS 1A3	EL	47	480V Electrical	2	RAB/43/S-RA1/E-RAJ	Y	1,2	Item 22
60	480PZR XFMR 1A3	480V PRESSURIZER TRANSFORMER 1A3	TR	47	480V Electrical	4	RAB/43/S-RA1/E-RAJ	N	1,2	

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
61	STA SVC XFMR 1A-2 (SVC XFMR 1A- 2)	STATION SERVICE TRANSFORMER 1A-2	TR	47	480V Electrical	4	RAB/43/S-RA1/W- RAH	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
62	480MCC 1AB	480V MOTOR CONTROL CENTER 1AB	EL	47	480V Electrical	1	RAB/43/S-RA1/W- RAK	Y	1,2,3,4,5	
63	PDT-25- 16A	Pressure Differential Transmitter for ECCS Equipment Room	IX	25	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	18	RAB/5/S-RA3/E-RAH	N	3	
64	LPSI 1A	LOW PRESSURE SAFETY INJECTION PUMP 1A	PU	3	Safety Injection	4 .	RAB/-6/S-RA2/W- RAH	Y	4	
65	HVA-3A	AIR HANDLING UNIT FOR CNTL RM, TSC & COMPUTER ROOM	BL	25	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	11	RAB/62/N-RA2/E-RAJ	Y	1,4	
66	RTGB-106	REACTOR TURBINE GENERATOR CONTROL BOARD 106	IN	69	Safeguards Panels	20	RAB/62/N-RA2/E-RAL	Y	4	
67	HVE-13A	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR CONTROL ROOM RETURN SYSTEM	BL	25	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	11	RAB/62/N-RA2/W-RAI	Y	1,4	

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
68	QSPDS CHAN A CAB	QUALIFIED SAFETY PARMETER DISPLAY SYSTEM CHANNEL A CABINET	IN	70	Data Acqiusit Remote Term Unit	20	RAB/62/N-RA2/W- RAJ	N	2,3	
69	ESC SA	ENGINEERED SAFEGUARD LOGIC CABINET SA	IN	69	Safeguards Panels	20	RAB/62/N-RA2/W- RAK	Y	1,3,4,5	
70	HPSI PP 1A	HIGH PRESSURE SAFETY INJECTION PUMP 1A	PU	3	Safety Injection	5	RAB/-7/S-RA2/E-RAF	Y	4	
71	CCW SRG TK	COMPONENT COOLING WATER SURGE TANK	AC	14	Component Cooling Water	21a	RAB/75/S-RA1/E-RAJ	Y	3	
72	HVS-1D	CONTAINMENT FAN COOLER FOR RCB A/C SYSTEM DURING NORMAL OPERATION	BL	25	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	11	RCB/45/N-26/W-50	Y	5	
73	HVS-1A	CONTAINMENT FAN COOLER FOR RCB A/C SYSTEM DURING NORMAL OPERATION	BL	25.	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	11.	RCB/45/N-38/E-46	Y	5	
74	HVS-1B	CONTAINMENT FAN COOLER FOR RCB A/C SYSTEM DURING NORMAL OPERATION	BL	25	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	11 ′	RCB/45/S-44/E-44	Y	5	

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
75	SIT 1A1	SAFETY INJECTION TANK 1A1	AC	3	Safety Injection	21a	RCB/62/N-61/W-8	Y	4	
76	SIT 1B1	SAFETY INJECTION TANK 1B1	AC	3	Safety Injection	21a	RCB/62/S-57/E-17	Υ	4	
. 77	AFW PP 1C	AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP 1C (STEAM DRIVEN)	PU	9	Feedwater	5	TRSL/20/N-T3/W-TA	Y	4	
78	AFW PP1A	AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP 1A	PU	9	Feedwater	5	TRSL/20/N-T5/W-TA	Y	4	
79	AFW PP1B	AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP 1B	PU	9	Feedwater	5	TRSL/20/N-T6/W-TA	Y	4	
80	MFIV AIR				·		TRSL/20/N-T6/W-TA			
	ACCUM 1A	MFIV N2 ACCUM 1A	AC	9	Feedwater	21a		Y	5	
81	MV-09-13	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CROSSTIE BETWEEN AFW PP 1A & 1B DISCH	VL	9	Feedwater	8	TRSL/21/N-T5/W-TA	Y	4	
82	MV-09-14	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CROSSTIE BETWEEN AFW PP 1A & 1B DISCH	VL	9	Feedwater	8	TRSL/21/S-T5/W-TA	Y	4	
83	MV-08-3	THROTTLE/TRIP VALVE FOR AUXILIARY	VL	8	Main Steam	8	TRSL/22/N-T3/W-TA	Y	4	

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
		FEEDWATER PUMP 1C			1					
84	PT-09-9B	PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR FEEDWATER HDR STEAM GENERATOR . 1A INLET	IX	9	Feedwater	18	TRSL/24/S-T1/W-TA	N	. 4	
85	MV-08-13	MOTOR OPERATED ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1A MAIN STEAM TO AFW PP 1C	VL	8	Main Steam	8	TRSL/28/N-T3/W-TA	Y	4	
86	HCV-09-7	MAIN FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1A UPSTREAM OF PENETR P-3	VL	9	Feedwater	7	TRSL/36/N-T2/W-TA	Y	5	
87	HCV-08-1A	MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (MSIV) FOR STEAM GENERATOR 1A	VL	8	Main Steam	7	TRSL/36/N-T3/E-TB	Y	5	
88	HCV-09-8	MAIN FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1B UPSTREAM OF PENETR P-4	VL	9	Feedwater	7	TRSL/36/N-T6/W-TA	Y	5	

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
89	HCV-08-1B	MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (MSIV) FOR STEAM GENERATOR 1B	VL	8	Main Steam	7	TRSL/36/S-T4/E-TB	Y	5	
90	MV-09-11	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1C DISCHARGE TO STEAM GEN 1A	VL.	9	Feedwater	8	TRSL/43/N-T2/E-TB	Y	4	
91	MV-09-9	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1A DISCHARGE TO STEAM GEN 1A	VL	9	Feedwater	8	TRSL/43/N-T2/E-TB	Y	4	
92	MV-09-10	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1B DISCHARGE TO STEAM GEN 1B	VL	9	Feedwater	8	TRSL/43/N-T6/E-TB	Y	4	
93	MV-09-12	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1B DISCHARGE TO STEAM GEN 1B	, AL	9	Feedwater	8	TRSL/43/N-T6/E-TB	Y	5	
94	MSIV ACCUM 1B	MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (HCV-08-1B) CNTL AIR ACCUMULATOR 1B1	AC	18	Service Air/ Instrumetn Air	21a	TRSL/45/N-T5/E-TB	Y	5	

Item #	Tag	Equipment Name	EQUIP. TYP	SYS#	Sys Descrip.	Equip. Class	Loc. Descrip.	Risk Sig.	Safety Function	USI A-46 Item No.
95	RWT	REFUELING WATER TANK	AC	7	Containment Spray	21a	YD/19/N-897/E-1704	Y	3	Item 10
96	480V MCC 1A8	480V MCC FOR FUEL HANDLING BUILDING MISC POWER SUPPLIES	MS	47	480V Electrical	5	FHB/48/N-FH6/E-RAC	N	4	
97	FUEL POOL HX	FUEL POOL HEAT EXCHANGER	HT	4	Fuel Pool Cooling & Purification	21b	FHB/22/S-FH5/W- RAA	N	4	
98	FUEL POOL PP 1A	FUEL POOL PUMP 1A	PU	4	Fuel Pool Cooling & Purification	5	FHB/19/S-FH5/E-RAC	N	4	
99	FUEL POOL PP 1B	FUEL POOL PUMP 1B	PU	4	Fuel Pool Cooling & Purification	5	FHB/19/S-FH5/E-RAC	N	4	
100	HVE-16A	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR FUEL POOL EXHAUST SYSTEM	BL	25	HVAC- Plumb & Drain/Leak	9	FHB/48/S-FH5/W- RAA	. N	4	



### Table C-1: Summary of Seismic Walkdown Checklists

### ## - Anchorage Configuration Confirmation Performed

	Tag ID	Component Description	Building Name	Room ID's	Equipment Class	Page #
	CCW-HX-1A	COMPONENT COOLING WATER HEAT EXCHANGER 1A	Component Cooling Water	AW01 - CCW N Area A Train	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-6
##	CCW-PP-1A	COMPONENT COOLING WATER PUMP 1A	Component Cooling Water	AW01 - CCW N Area A Train	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-8
	FT-14-1A	FLOW TRANSMITTER FOR COMPONENT COOLING WATER HX 1A OUTLET	Component Cooling Water	AW01 - CCW N Area A Train	(00) Other	C-10
,	HCV-14-8A	HAND CNTL VLV FOR CCW HX 1B OUTLET A LOOP CROSSOVER TO SPLY HDR N	Component Cooling Water	AW01 - CCW N Area A Train	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-13
	HCV-14-9	HAND CONTROL VALVE FOR CCW RTN HDR N CROSSOVER TO CCW RTN HDR A	Component Cooling Water	AW01 - CCW N Area A Train	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-16
	MV-14-1	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CCW PP 1C DISCH TO CCW HX 1B CROSSOVER	Component Cooling Water	AW01 - CCW N Area A Train	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-19
	MV-14-3	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CCW RETURN HDR B TO CCW PUMP 1C SUCTION	Component Cooling Water	AW01 - CCW N Area A Train	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-22
##	SS-21-1A	STRAINER FOR CCW HX 1A ICW INLET	Component Cooling Water	AW01 - CCW N Area A Train	(00) Other	C-25
##	SS-21-1A CNTL PNL	SS-21-1A CONTROL PANEL	Component Cooling Water	AW01 - CCW N Area A Train	(20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabinets	C-27
	TCV-14-4A	TEMPERATURE CONTROL VALVE FOR ICW FLOW TO CCW HX 1A OUTLET	Component Cooling Water	AW01 - CCW N Area A Train	(07) Fluid-Operated Valves	C-29
##	СЅТ	CONDENSATE STORAGE TANK	Condensate Storage Tank	AW05 - YARD 19' Inside CST Concrete Enclosure	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-32
	480V MCC 1A7	480V MOTOR CONTROL CENTER 1A7	Diesel Generator Building	AW21 - DGB 22' 1A Rm	(01) Motor Control Centers	Deferred
	DG 1A CNTL PNL	DIESEL GENERATOR 1A CONTROL PANEL	Diesel Generator Building	AW21 - DGB 22' 1A Rm	(20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabinets	C-34
##	DG 1A S/U AIR TK 1A1	DIESEL GENERATOR 1A START- UP AIR TANK 1A1	Diesel Generator Building	AW21 - DGB 22' 1A Rm	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-36
	DG DO DAY TK 1A2	DIESEL GENERATOR DIESEL OIL DAY TANK 1A2	Diesel Generator Building	AW21 - DGB 22' 1A Rm	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-38
	DG ENG 1A2 LUBO CLR	LUBE OIL COOLER FOR DIESEL GENERATOR ENGINE 1A2	Diesel Generator Building	AW21 - DGB 22' 1A Rm	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-40

	Tag ID	Component Description	Building Name	Room ID's	Equipment Class	Page #
	DG ENG	RADIATOR FOR 16 CYLINDER DIESEL GENERATOR ENGINE	Diesel Generator	AW21 - DGB 22' 1A	(21) Tanks and Heat	age #
	1A2 RDTR	1A2	Building Diesel	Rm	Exchangers	C-41
	DSL GEN 1A	DIESEL GENERATOR 1A SOAKBACK LUBE OIL AC PUMP	Generator Building Diesel	AW21 - DGB 22' 1A Rm	(17) Engine-Generators	C-43
##	SKBK LUBO AC PP 1A2	FOR DIESEL GENERATOR ENGINE 1A2 SOAKBACK LUBE OIL DC PUMP	Generator Building Diesel	AW21 - DGB 22' 1A Rm	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-45
##	SKBK LUBO DC PP 1A2	FOR DIESEL GENERATOR ENGINE 1A2	Generator Building	AW21 - DGB 22' 1A Rm	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-47 .
##	DOST 1A	DIESEL OIL STORAGE TANK 1A	Diesel Oil Storage Tank	AW31 - DOST Area	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-49
##	DOST 1B	DIESEL OIL STORAGE TANK 1B	Diesel Oil Storage Tank	AW31 - DOST Area	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-51
##	DG FO XFR PP 1A	DIESEL GENERATOR FUEL OIL TRANSFER PUMP 1A	Diesel Oil Storage Tank	AW32 - 1A Diesel Xfer Pumphouse	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-54
##	DG FO XFR PP 1B	DIESEL GENERATOR FUEL OIL TRANSFER PUMP 1B	Diesel Oil Storage Tank	AW33 - 1B Diesel Xfer Pumphouse	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-56
##	FUEL POOL PP 1A FUEL POOL	FUEL POOL PUMP 1A	Fuel Handling Building Fuel Handling	AW16 - FHB 19.5' FP Pump Rm AW16 - FHB 19.5' FP	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-58
##	PP 1B	FUEL POOL PUMP 1B	Building	Pump Rm	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-60
##	FUEL POOL HX	FUEL POOL HEAT EXCHANGER	Fuel Handling Building	AW15 - FHB 20' FPHX Rm	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-62
	480V MCC 1A8	HANDLING BUILDING MISC POWER SUPPLIES	Fuel Handling Building	AW17 - FHB 48' HVAC Rm	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-64
	HVE-16A	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR FUEL POOL EXHAUST SYSTEM	Fuel Handling Building	AW17 - FHB 48' HVAC Rm	(09) Fans	C-67
	MV-21-2	MOTOR OPERATED VLV FOR ICW TRAIN B SUPPLY TO TCW HX'S	Intake	AW04 - INTK 11' ICW Header Pit	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-69
	MV-21-3	MOTOR OPERATED VLV FOR ICW TRAIN A SUPPLY TO TCW HX's	Intake	AW04 - INTK 11' ICW Header Pit	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-71
##	ICW-PP-1A	INTAKE COOLING WATER PUMP 1A	Intake	AW03 - INTK 20' Inside ICW Pump Missile Enclosure	(06) Vertical Pumps	C-73
##	ICW-PP-1C	INTAKE COOLING WATER PUMP 1C	Intake	AW03 - INTK 20' Inside ICW Pump Missile Enclosure	(06) Vertical Pumps	C-75
##	LPSI 1A	LOW PRESSURE SAFETY INJECTION PUMP 1A	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW09 - RAB -10 EI, LPSI 1A Rm	(04) Transformers	C-77
##	CTMT SPR PP 1A	CONTAINMENT SPRAY PUMP 1A	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW10 - RAB -10 EI, CS/HPSI "A" Pump Room	(04) Transformers	C-79
##	HPSI PP 1A	HIGH PRESSURE SAFETY INJECTION PUMP 1A	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW10 - RAB -10 EI, CS/HPSI "A" Pump Room	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-81
##	FT-3311	FLOW TRANSMITTER FOR HPSI HEADER FEED TO LOOP 1A2	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW06 - RAB -0.5' El, W Corridor near NaOH "A" Tank	(00) Other	C-84
##	NAOH STG TK 1A	SODIUM HYDROXIDE STORAGE TANK 1A	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW06 - RAB -0.5' El, W Corridor near NaOH "A" Tank	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-86
##	SDC HX 1A	SHUTDOWN COOLING HEAT EXCHANGER 1A	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW07 - RAB -0.5' EI, SDHX 1A Rm	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-88
##	PDT-25-16A	ECCS Equip RM D/P	Reactor Auxiliary	AW08 - RAB -0.5' El, Central Corridor	(00) Other	C-90

	Tag ID	Component Description	Building Name	Room ID's	Equipment Class	Page #
			Building			9-
	PT-3305	PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR HPSI PUMP 1A DISCHARGE HEADER	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW08 - RAB -0.5' El, Central Corridor	(00) Other	C-92
	SE-07-2A	PRI SOLENOID ISOL VLV FOR SODIUM HYDROXIDE TO FD TO CNTMT SPRY PP'S	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW08 - RAB -0.5' EI, Central Corridor	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-94
	V2514	MOTOR OPER VLV FOR EMERG BORATION FROM BORIC ACID MU PUMPS DISCH	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW08 - RAB -0.5' EI, Central Corridor	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-96
			Reactor Auxiliary	AW11 - RAB -0.5' EI, Chg Pump 1A		
##	CHG PP 1A	CHARGING PUMP 1A	Building Reactor	Cubicle	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-98
##	BAM PP 1A	BORIC ACID MAKE-UP PUMP 1A	Auxiliary Building	AW12 - RAB -0.5' EI, BAMT 1A Rm	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-100
##	BAMT 1A	BORIC ACID MAKE-UP TANK 1A	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW12 - RAB -0.5' EI, BAMT 1A Rm	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-102
****	480V SWGR	480V SWITCHGEAR 1AB	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW20 - RAB 19.5' CEA MG ST Rm	(01) Motor Control	Deferred
	4KV SWGR 1AB	4.16 KV SWITCHGEAR 1AB	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW20 - RAB 19.5' CEA MG ST Rm	(01) Motor Control Centers	Deferred
##	PT-07-4B	PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR CONTAINMENT PRESSURE	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW20 - RAB 19.5' CEA MG ST Rm	(00) Other	C-104
##	125V BATT 1B	125V DC BATTERY 1B	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW25 - RAB 43' "B' Battery Rm	(15) Batteries on Racks	C-106
	120V INSTR BUS 1MC	120V AC INSTRUMENT BUS 1MC DISTRIBUTION PANEL	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW26 - RAB 43' Cable Spreading Rm	(14) Distribution Panels	C-108
	125V DC BUS 1A	125V DC BUS POWER DISTRIBUTION PANEL ESS-SA	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW26 - RAB 43' Cable Spreading Rm	(14) Distribution Panels	C-110
	480 PZ BUS1A3	480V PRZR BUS 1A3	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW26 - RAB 43' Cable Spreading Rm	(02) Low Voltage Switchgear	Deferred
##	480V MCC 1AB	480V MOTOR CONTROL CENTER 1AB	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW26 - RAB 43 <sup>i</sup> Cable Spreading Rm	(01) Motor Control Centers	C-112
	480V PZR XFMR 1A3	480V PRESSURIZER TRANSFORMER 1A3	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW26 - RAB 43' Cable Spreading Rm	(04) Transformers	C-114
##	BATT CHGR 1A	BATTERY CHARGER 1A	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW26 - RAB 43' Cable Spreading Rm	(16) Inverters	C-116
##	RX TRIP SWGR	REACTOR TRIP SWITCHGEAR	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW26 - RAB 43' Cable Spreading Rm	(02) Low Voltage Switchgear	C-118
##	STC INVTR 1A	STATIC INVERTER 1A (10 KVA)	Reactor Auxiliary Building Reactor	AW26 - RAB 43' Cable Spreading Rm	(16) Inverters	C-120
##	125V BATT 1A	125V DC BATTERY 1A	Auxiliary Building	AW27 - RAB 43' "A" Batt Rm	(15) Batteries on Racks	C-122
##	HSCP 1A	HOT SHUTDOWN CONTROL PANEL 1A	Reactor Auxiliary Building	AW28 - RAB 43' Remote Shutdown Rm	(20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabinets	C-124
	480V SWGR 1B-2	480V SWGR 1B2	Reactor Auxiliary	AW29 - RAB.43' S SWGR Rm	(01) Motor Control Centers	Deferred

Tag ID	Component Description	Building Name	Room ID's	Equipment Class	Page
·····	Section   Sect	Building	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	M.A. Equipmont Oldoo 187	,ug
		Reactor			
STA SVC	STATION SERVICE	Auxiliary	AW29 - RAB 43' S		
				(04) Transformers	C 126
XFMR 1B-2	TRANSFORMER 1B-2	Building	SWGR Rm	(04) Transformers	C-126
480V MCC		Reactor	AMAZO DAD ASIAI	(04) Martin Control	
	400) 44400 440	Auxiliary	AW30 - RAB 43' N	(01) Motor Control	0.400
1A6	480V MCC 1A6	Building	SWGR Rm	Centers	C-128
		Reactor	1 AVAIGG   DAIS 401 AV		
4KV SWGR	4.40.40.400.440.4	Auxiliary	AW30 - RAB 43' N	(02) Low Voltage	١,,
1A3	4.16 KV SWGR 1A3-1	Building	SWGR Rm	Switchgear	Deferre
1001 011	ISOLATION PANEL 1A - Control	Reactor			
ISOL PNL	Room Inaccessibility Transfer	Auxiliary	AW30 - RAB 43' N	(44) = 1	0.400
1A	Panel	Building	SWGR Rm	(14) Distribution Panels	C-130
		Reactor			
STA SVC	STATION SERVICE	Auxiliary	AW30 - RAB 43' N		
XFMR 1A-2	TRANSFORMER 1A-2	Building	SWGR Rm	(04) Transformers	C-132
		Reactor			
	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR RAB	Auxiliary	AW18 - RAB 48'		
HVS-4A	MAIN SUPPLY SYSTEM	Building	Inside Plenum	(09) Fans	C-134
	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR	Reactor			1
	SHIELD BUILDING	Auxiliary	AW19 - RAB 48'		
HVE-6A	VENTILATION SYSTEM	Building	HVAC Area	(09) Fans	C-136
		Reactor			
HVE-6A		Auxiliary	AW19 - RAB 48'		l .
PLENUM	FILTER PLENUM	Building	HVAC Area	(10) Air Handlers	C-138
		Reactor			
	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR ECCS	Auxiliary	AW19 - RAB 48'		
HVE-9A	VENTILATION SYSTEM	Building	HVAC Area	(09) Fans	C-140
		Reactor		(20) Instrumentation	İ
	ENGINEERED SAFEGUARD	Auxiliary	AW22 - RAB 62'	and Control Panels and	
ESC SA	LOGIC CABINET SA	Building	CTRL Rm	Cabinets	C-142
QSPDS	QUALIFIED SAFETY PARMETER	Reactor		(20) Instrumentation	
USPDS CHAN A	DISPLAY SYSTEM CHANNEL A	Reactor   Auxiliary	AW22 - RAB 62'	(20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and	
CAB	CABINET	Building	CTRL Rm	Cabinets	C-144
CAB	CADINET	Dulluling	CIRLAII	Cabillets	U-144
	REACTOR TURBINE	Reactor		(20) Instrumentation	
	GENERATOR CONTROL	Auxiliary	AW22 - RAB 62'	and Control Panels and	
RTGB-106	BOARD 106	Building	CTRL Rm	Cabinets	C-146
		Reactor			
	AIR HANDLING UNIT FOR CNTL	Auxiliary	AW23 - RAB 62'		
HVA-3A	RM, TSC & COMPUTER ROOM	Building	HVAC Rm N Area	(11) Chillers	C-148
	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR	Reactor		() 0010	- 170
	CONTROL ROOM RETURN	Auxiliary	AW23 - RAB 62'.		
HVE-13A	SYSTEM	Building	HVAC Rm N Area	(09) Fans	C-150
VE IOA	OTOTEW	Reactor	TIVAO MIENTARA	(00) 1 0113	0-100
CCW SRG	COMPONENT COOLING WATER	Auxiliary	AW24 - RAB 62'	(21) Tanks and Heat	
TK	SURGE TANK	Building	CCW Surge Tank Rm	Exchangers	C-152
111	AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP	Dunumy	AW34 - TRSL 19.5'	Excitatigets	U-102
ΔΕΙΛ/ DD 1 Λ	1	TPSI	El., S Rm	(05) Horizontal Dumna	C 1E4
AFW PP 1A	AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP	TRSL	AW34 - TRSL 19.5'	(05) Horizontal Pumps	C-154
V E2V/ DD 4 D		TDCI		(OE) Hasinantal December	0.450
AFW PP 1B	1B	TRSL	El., S Rm	(05) Horizontal Pumps	.C-156
MFIV AIR			AW34 - TRSL 19.5'	(21) Tanks and Heat	
ACCUM 1A	MFIV N2 ACCUM 1A	TRSL	El., S Rm	Exchangers	C-158
	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE			(08) Motor-Operated	
	FOR CROSSTIE BETWEEN AFW		AW34 - TRSL 19.5'	and Solenoid-Operated	
		TDCI	El., S Rm	Valves	C-160
MV-09-13	PP 1A & 1B DISCH	TRSL	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
MV-09-13	PP 1A & 1B DISCH	IKSL	l l		
MV-09-13	PP 1A & 1B DISCH  MOTOR OPERATED VALVE	IKSL		(08) Motor-Operated	
	PP 1A & 1B DISCH  MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CROSSTIE BETWEEN AFW		AW34 - TRSL 19.5'	and Solenoid-Operated	
MV-09-13 MV-09-14	PP 1A & 1B DISCH  MOTOR OPERATED VALVE	TRSL	AW34 - TRSL 19.5' El., S Rm		C-162
	PP 1A & 1B DISCH  MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CROSSTIE BETWEEN AFW			and Solenoid-Operated	C-162

	∘Tag ID	Component Description	Building Name	Room ID's	Equipment Class	Page #
	MV-08-03	THROTTLE/TRIP VALVE FOR AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP 1C	TRSL	AW35 - TRSL 19.5' El, N Rm	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-166
	MV-08-13	MOTOR OPERATED ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1A MAIN STEAM TO AFW PP 1C	TRSL	AW35 - TRSL 19.5' El, N Rm	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-168
<del>"</del> #	PT-09-9B	PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR FEEDWATER HDR STEAM GENERATOR 1A INLET	TRSL	AW35 - TRSL 19.5' El, N Rm	(00) Other	C-170
	HCV-08-1B	MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (MSIV) FOR STEAM GENERATOR 1B	TRSL	AW13 - TRSL 43' S Area	(07) Fluid-Operated Valves	C-172
	HCV-09-08	MAIN FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1B UPSTREAM OF PENETR P-4	TRSL	AW13 - TRSL 43' S Area	(07) Fluid-Operated Valves	C-174
	MSIV ACCUM 1B1	MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (HCV-08-1B) CNTL AIR ACCUMULATOR 1B1	TRSL-	AW13 - TRSL 43' S Area	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-176
	MV-09-10	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1B DISCHARGE TO STEAM GEN 1B	TRSL	AW13 - TRSL 43' S Area	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-178
	MV-09-12	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1B DISCHARGE TO STEAM GEN 1B	TRSL	AW13 - TRSL 43' S Area	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-180
	HCV-08-1A	MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (MSIV) FOR STEAM GENERATOR 1A	TRSL	AW14 - TRSL 43' N Area	(07) Fluid-Operated Valves	C-182
	HCV-09-07	MAIN FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1A UPSTREAM OF PENETR P-3	TRSL	AW14 - TRSL 43' N Area	(07) Fluid-Operated Valves	C-184
	MV-09-09	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1A DISCHARGE TO STEAM GEN 1A	TRSL	AW14 - TRSL 43' N Area	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-186
	MV-09-11	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1C DISCHARGE TO STEAM GEN 1A	TRSL	AW14 - TRSL 43' N Area	(08) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	C-188
	RWT	REFUELING WATER TANK	Yard	AW02 - RWT Pit	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	C-190

Status: Y Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: CCW-HX-1A Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps Equipment Description: COMPONENT COOLING WATER HEAT EXCHANGER 1A Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): CCW, 28.00 ft, AW01 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage**  Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes Anchor Bolts are covered by foam or steel plates so view is obscured. DWGs 8770-G-671,716 & 717 confirm presence of anchorage. 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Seism	ic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Status: Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:	<del></del>		
		(5) Horizontal Pumps		
	Equipment Description:	COMPONENT COOLING WATER HEAT EXCH	ANGER '	1A
	ction Effects  Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?		Yes
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a t likely to collapse onto the equipment?	and	Not Applicable
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11.	<del>-</del>	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?		Yes
Comm		hecklists are available at the site.		·
Evalua	ed by: Seth W. Baker		Date: _	11/16/12
	Hunt	er A. Young	_	11/16/12

#### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: CCW-PP-1A

Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers

Equipment Description: COMPONENT COOLING WATER PUMP 1A

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL:

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): CCW, 27.00 ft, AW01

Manufacturer/Model:

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

Yes

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Yes

Per 8770-G-671

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

### **Interaction Effects**

Seism	nic Walkdown Check	list (SWC)			Status:	YNU
	Equipment ID No	o.: CCW-PP-1A				
	Equipment Clas	s: (21) Tanks and H	eat Exchangers			
	Equipment Descriptio	n: COMPONENT CO	OOLING WATER PUMP	P 1A		
7.	Are soft targets free	from impact by nearby	y equipment or structure	es?		Yes
8.		ment, distribution system of the contract of t	ems, ceiling tiles and ligonto the equipment?	hting, and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines ha	ave adequate flexibility	to avoid damage?			Yes
10.		seismic interaction ev seismic interaction effe	raluations, is equipment ects?	free of		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions					
11.	Have you looked for		e seismic conditions tha equipment?	t could		Yes
Comm Detaile		ne checklists are availa	able at the site.			
Evalua	ated by: S	eth W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Н	unter A. Young	<u>.</u> -	. <u>.</u>	11/16/12	
					Status:	Y N U

Equipment ID No.: FT-14-1A Equipment Class: (0) Other FLOW TRANSMITTER FOR COMPONENT COOLING WATER HX 1A Equipment Description: OUTLET St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): CCW, 17.00 ft, AW01 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Anchorage 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? No Moderate surface corrosion on nuts & bolts w/ no indication of strength loss. At bottom, however, steel mounting bracket has lost area so bottom bolt is ineffective. Three other bolts remain effective and given low mass of FT, no loss of functionality for seismic event. kicker brace is degraded at connection to structure steel. Given fixed connection of FT vertical members & low mass, kicker brace judged to be acceptable for functionality of FT during seismic event. PSL generated AR and WR to address issue. 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This guestion only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes

potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Seism	nic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Status. Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:	FT-14-1A		
	Equipment Class:	(0) Other		
	Equipment Description:	FLOW TRANSMITTER FOR CO OUTLET	DMPONENT COOLING	WATER HX 1A
<u>Intera</u>	ction Effects			
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or	r structures?	Yes
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tile t likely to collapse onto the equip		Not Applicable
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid dama	age?	Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is emic interaction effects?	quipment free of	Yes
041	A			
<u>Other</u> 11.	adversely affect the saf	d found no adverse seismic cond ety functions of the equipment? rew lever. No seismic concern, n		Yes
Comm		hecklists are available at the site		
Evalua	ited by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12

### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: FT-14-1A

Equipment Class: (0) Other

FLOW TRANSMITTER FOR COMPONENT COOLING WATER HX 1A

Equipment Description: OUTLET

#### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: HCV-14-8A

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

HAND CNTL VLV FOR CCW HX 1B OUTLET A LOOP CROSSOVER TO

Equipment Description: SPLY HDR N

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): CCW, 17.00 ft, AW01

Manufacturer/Model:

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

Steel mounted

 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

•			Status: Y N U
Seismic Walkdown Checklis	t (SWC)		
Equipment ID No.:	HCV-14-8A		
Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated \	/alves	
	HAND CNTL VLV FOR CCW HX 1B OUTLE	T A LOOP	CROSSOVER TO
Equipment Description:	SPLY HDR N		
Interaction Effects		<del></del>	
7. Are soft targets free from	om impact by nearby equipment or structures?		Yes
· ·	ent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting tiles and lighting tikely to collapse onto the equipment?	g, and	Not Applicable
•			
9. Do attached lines have	e adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		Yes
	eismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free smic interaction effects?	e of	Yes
Other Adverse Conditions			
adversely affect the sa Operator is steel mou supported below. No c	nd found no adverse seismic conditions that confety functions of the equipment? Inted at upper elevation whereas valve is in-line concern for diff. disp since piping is well support splacement between elevations for heavy conc	ed	Yes
embedded ccw structu Comments	re is negligible. OK.		
	checklists are available at the site.		
Evaluated by: Seth	n W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
Hun	iter A. Young		11/16/12

### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: HCV-14-8A

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

HAND CNTL VLV FOR CCW HX 1B OUTLET A LOOP CROSSOVER TO

Equipment Description: SPLY HDR N

#### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: HCV-14-9

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

HAND CONTROL VALVE FOR CCW RTN HDR N CROSSOVER TO CCW

Equipment Description: RTN HDR A

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): CCW, 15.00 ft, AW01

Manufacturer/Model:

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

Steel mounted

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

					Status:	Y N U
Seism	ic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)	•		-	
	Equipment ID No.:	HCV-14-9				
	Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated	and Solenoid-Operated Val	ves		
		HAND CONTROL \	VALVE FOR CCW RTN HDF	N CRC	SSOVER TO	CCW
	Equipment Description:	RTN HDR A				
Intera	ction Effects					
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby e	equipment or structures?			Yes
8.	Are overhead equipment masonry block walls no Exposed	•	ns, ceiling tiles and lighting, a to the equipment?	and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to	o avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above seignotentially adverse seignotentially		luations, is equipment free of ts?	F		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions					
11.		ety functions of the e			,	Yes
Comm						
Detaile	ed signed records of the c	necklists are availabl	ie at the site.			
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	·	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young			11/16/12	

### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: HCV-14-9

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

HAND CONTROL VALVE FOR CCW RTN HDR N CROSSOVER TO CCW

Equipment Description: RTN HDR A

Status: |

#### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MV-14-1

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CCW PP 1C DISCH TO CCW HX 1B

Equipment Description: **CROSSOVER** 

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): CCW, 15.00 ft, AW01

Manufacturer/Model:

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

Steel Mounted

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

Equipment ID No.: MV-14-1 Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CCW PP 1C DISCH TO CCW HX 1B Equipment Description: CROSSOVER Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes unanchored security shack ~ 3' S of mov. shack is about 9' wide in n-s dir. 4' in e-w dir. given orientation, shack judged not to be overturning hazard to MOV. no issue. 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and Not Applicable masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? Exposed 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? Yes 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of Yes potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** 11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could Yes adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? See #11 for MV-14-8A for similar comments Comments Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site. Seth W. Baker Date: Evaluated by: 11/16/12 Hunter A. Young 11/16/12

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MV-14-1

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CCW PP 1C DISCH TO CCW HX 1B

Equipment Description: CROSSOVER

Status: | Y

### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MV-14-3

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CCW RETURN HDR B TO CCW PUMP

Equipment Description:

1C SUCTION

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): CCW, 15.00 ft, AW01

Manufacturer/Model:

#### Instructions for Completing Checklist

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

No

W-flange section is mounted has a hole indicative of past corrosion & painted over, operator has rugged welded connections in remaining places so as to preclude failure. PSL has issued AR and WR to address the issue. Per PSL, operator has sufficient remaining welds so as to preclude failure during a seismic event. See table 5-3.

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Steel mounted

Not Applicable

Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

Status: Y N U

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: MV-14-3 Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CCW RETURN HDR B TO CCW PUMP Equipment Description: 1C SUCTION Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and Not Applicable masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? Exposed Yes 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of Yes potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** 11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could Yes adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? See #11 for HCV-14-8A for similar comments. no issue. Comments Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site. Evaluated by: Seth W. Baker Date: 11/16/12 Hunter A. Young 11/16/12

Status: Y N U

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MV-14-3

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CCW RETURN HDR B TO CCW PUMP

Equipment Description: 1C SUCTION

Status: Y N U Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: SS-21-1A Equipment Class: (0) Other Equipment Description: STRAINER FOR CCW HX 1A ICW INLET Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): CCW, 26.00 ft, AW01 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Conf. per 0770-G-814 sht 113 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Seism	ic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Status:	Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:				
	Equipment Class:	(0) Other			
	··	STRAINER FOR CCW HX 1A ICW INLET		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ction Effects				
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?			Yes
	Large mast lighting to bolting therefore judged	the N within Z.O.I lighting mast is robust and adec I no hazard.	quate		
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a t likely to collapse onto the equipment?	ind	Not .	Applicable
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?	,		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11.	Have you looked for an	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?			Yes
		,			
Comm Detaile		hecklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12	
	,				

#### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: SS-21-1A CNTL PNL

Equipment Class: (20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabinets

Equipment Description: SS-21-1A CONTROL PANEL

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): CCW, 24.00 ft, AW01

Manufacturer/Model:

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

Yes

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Yes

Anchorage consistent with PCM 02025, DWG ENG-02025-118, -119, -100

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U
Olalus.	•		0

# Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

	Equipmer	nt ID No.:	SS-21-1A CN	NTL PNL					 
	Equipme	ent Class:	(20) Instrume	entation and	l Control Pane	ls and Ca	binets		
	Equipment De	scription:	SS-21-1A CC	ONTROL PA	ANEL				
7.			m impact by n			ures?			 Yes
	shelf for bin hit panel; no		nlight over pan	el; not poss	ible for equip t	o fall out	and		
8.		• •	nt, distribution It likely to colla	•	_	lighting, a	ind		Yes
9.	Do attached	lines have	adequate flexi	bility to avo	id damage?				Yes
10.			ismic interaction		ns, is equipme	ent free of			Yes
Other	Adverse Cond	ditions					<u> </u>		 
11.	Have you loo	ked for an	d found no advety functions o			hat could			Yes
Comm	nents								 
	ed signed recor	ds of the c	checklists are a	available at	the site.		·		
Evalua	ated by:	Seth	W. Baker				Date:	11/16/12	
		Hunt	er A. Young					11/16/12	
				-				,	

#### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: TCV-14-4A

Equipment Class: (7) Fluid-Operated Valves

TEMPERATURE CONTROL VALVE FOR ICW FLOW TO CCW HX 1A

Equipment Description: OUTLET

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): CCW, 15.00 ft, AW01

Manufacturer/Model:

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

Steel Mounted

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

Seism	ic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Status: Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:	TCV-14-4A		•
	Equipment Class:	(7) Fluid-Operated Valves		
	Equipment Description:	TEMPERATURE CONTROL VALVE FOR ICW OUTLET	FLOW	TO CCW HX 1A
	tion Effects			
		m impact by nearby equipment or structures?		Yes
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a of likely to collapse onto the equipment?	and	Not Applicable
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		Yes
10.		ismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free or mic interaction effects?	f	Yes
Othor	Adverse Conditions			
11.	Have you looked for an adversely affect the saf	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could lety functions of the equipment?  3-A. Same comments about mounting		Yes
Commo Detaile		checklists are available at the site.		
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12

			·	
S	eism	ic Walkdown Checklist (	SWC)	Status: Y N U
		Equipment ID No.:	CST	
		<del>-</del>	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	
		· · · —	CONDENSATE STORAGE TANK	
			t: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Lo	ocatio	on (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area		
		Manufacturer/Mode	·	
In	stru	ctions for Completing Ch	necklist	
SI	WEL	. The space below each o	cument the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of f the following questions may be used to record the results vided at the end of this checklist for documenting other con	of judgments and
A		<u>orage</u>		
	1.	Is anchorage configuration of SWEL items requiring	on verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% such verification)?	Yes
			•	
	2.	Is the anchorage free of	bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
		·		
	3.	Is the anchorage free of	corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
		Surface corrosion on ba	acks of bolts. No loss of diameter noted.	
	4.	Is the anchorage free of	visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
	5.		ration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: s if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage is required.)	Yes
	6.	Based on the above and potentially adverse seism	norage evaluations, is the anchorage free of nic conditions?	Yes

Seismic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipment ID No.:	CST	
Equipment Class:	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	
Equipment Description:	CONDENSATE STORAGE TANK	
Interaction Effects		
<ol> <li>Are soft targets free fro</li> </ol>	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes
	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a t likely to collapse onto the equipment?	ind Yes
9. Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
	ismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?	Yes
Other Adverse Conditions		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comments		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	hecklists are available at the site.	
Evaluated by: Seth	W. Baker	Date: 11/16/12
Hunt	er A. Young	11/16/12

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipment ID No.: DG 1A CNTL PNL	
Equipment Class: (20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabinets	
Equipment Description: DIESEL GENERATOR 1A CONTROL PANEL	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DGB, 24.00 ft, AW21	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the refindings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other	sults of judgments and
Anchorage	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	Yes
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)</li> <li>Per PSL, anchorage consistent with EDSFI against items 139 and 140</li> </ol>	Yes
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Status: Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: DG 1A CNTL PNL Equipment Class: (20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabinets Equipment Description: DIESEL GENERATOR 1A CONTROL PANEL **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes Adjacent scaff. well supported. 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and Yes masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? Yes 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of Yes potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could Yes adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? Comments Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site. Evaluated by: Seth W. Baker Date: 11/16/12 Hunter A. Young 11/16/12

		Status: Y N U
Seismi	c Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
	Equipment ID No.: DG 1A S/U AIR TK 1A1	
	Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	
E	Equipment Description: DIESEL GENERATOR 1A START-UP AIR TANK 1A1	
	Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location	n (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DGB, 24.00 ft, AW21	
	Manufacturer/Model:	
Instruct	tions for Completing Checklist	
SWEL.	ecklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the result b. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other co	ts of judgments and
Anchor	rage_	
	Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	Yes
2.	Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3.	Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4.	Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
	Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)  Conf. per 8770-G-668.	Yes
	Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Seismic Walkdov	wn Checklist	(SWC)		Status: Y N U
	•			
• •		DG 1A S/U AIR TK 1A1		
		(21) Tanks and Heat Exch		
Equipment	Description:	DIESEL GENERATOR 1A	A START-UP AIR TANK 1A1	
				·
Interaction Effec	<u>ts</u>			
7. Are soft to	argets free fro	n impact by nearby equipm	nent or structures?	Yes
		nt, distribution systems, ceil likely to collapse onto the		Yes
9. Do attach	ed lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid	I damage?	Yes
		smic interaction evaluation mic interaction effects?	s, is equipment free of	Yes
Other Adverse C	onditions			
11. Have you	looked for an	d found no adverse seismicety functions of the equipment		Yes
Comments Detailed signed re	cords of the c	hecklists are available at th	ne site.	
Evaluated by:	Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
•	Hunt	er A. Young	<del></del> .	11/16/12

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Colstine Walkdown Checklist (CWO)	
Equipment ID No.: DG DO DAY TK 1A2	
Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	
Equipment Description: DIESEL GENERATOR DIESEL OIL DAY TANK 1A2	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DGB, 27.00 ft, AW21	
Manufacturer/Model:	· .
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the result findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other of the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other of the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other of the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other of the space is provided at the end of this checklist for document in the space is provided at the end of this checklist for document in the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other or the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other or the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other or the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other or the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other or the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other or the space is provided at the end of the space is provided at t	ults of judgments and
Anchorage	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	No ·
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?      Mounted to DG Skid	Not Applicable
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)</li> </ol>	Not Applicable
Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Seismic Walkdow	n Checklist	(SWC)			Status: Y N C	J
Equipn	nent ID No.:	DG DO DAY TK 1	A2	•		
Equip	ment Class:	(21) Tanks and He	eat Exchangers			_
Equipment I	Description:	DIESEL GENERA	TOR DIESEL OIL I	DAY TANK 1A2		_
Interaction Effect	<u> </u>					_
7. Are soft ta	rgets free fro	m impact by nearby	equipment or struc	ctures?	Yes	,
		nt, distribution syste ot likely to collapse o	_		Yes	
9. Do attache	ed lines have	adequate flexibility	to avoid damage?		Yes	
		ismic interaction events interaction effe		nent free of	Yes	
Other Adverse Co	nditions					
11. Have you l	ooked for ar	d found no adverse fety functions of the		that could	Yes	
Comments						_
	cords of the	checklists are availa	ble at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth	W. Baker		Date: _	11/16/12	_
	Hunt	er A. Young			11/16/12	_

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipment ID No.: DG ENG 1A2 LUBO CLR	
Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	
 Equipment Description: LUBE OIL COOLER FOR DIESEL GENERATOR ENGIN	E 1A2
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DGB, 24.00 ft, AW21	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item o SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the result findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other co	ts of judgments and
 Anchorage	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	No
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
	•
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Not Applicable
Mounted to diesel skid.	
Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note:	Not Applicable
This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Seismic Walkdown Checklis	et (SWC)		Status:	Y N U
Equipment ID No.	DG ENG 1A2 LUBO CLR			
Equipment Class	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers			
Equipment Description	LUBE OIL COOLER FOR DIESEL GENERATO	OR ENG	INE 1A2	
			·	
Interaction Effects				
7. Are soft targets free for	om impact by nearby equipment or structures?			Yes
	ent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, ot likely to collapse onto the equipment?	and		Yes
9. Do attached lines hav	e adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
	eismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of ismic interaction effects?	of		Yes
Other Adverse Conditions				<del></del>
<del>-</del>	nd found no adverse seismic conditions that could afety functions of the equipment?	l <sup>*</sup>		Yes
Comments  Detailed signed records of the	checklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by: Set	n W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
Hur	iter A. Young	-	11/16/12	

	Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
	Equipment ID No.: DG ENG 1A2 RDTR	
	Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	
	Equipment Description: RADIATOR FOR 16 CYLINDER DIESEL GENERATO	OR ENGINE 1A2
	Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
	Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DGB, 28.00 ft, AW21	
	Manufacturer/Model:	
_	Instructions for Completing Checklist	
	This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an ite SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the refindings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other	esults of judgments and
_	Anchorage	
	<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	% No
	2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
	3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
	Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?      Mounted to EDG Skid	Not Applicable
	<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note:         This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)     </li> </ol>	Not Applicable e
	Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

		Status: Y N U
Seismic Walkdown Che	cklist (SWC)	
Equipment ID	No.: DG ENG 1A2 RDTR	
Equipment C	Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	
Equipment Descrip	ption: RADIATOR FOR 16 CYLINDER DIESEL GENERA	TOR ENGINE 1A2
	·	
Interaction Effects		
<ol><li>Are soft targets fr</li></ol>	ree from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes
	•	
8. Are overhead equ	uipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and	Yes
•	alls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	
	•	
9. Do attached lines	s have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
10. Based on the abo	ove seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of	Yes
potentially advers	se seismic interaction effects?	
Other Adverse Conditio	<del></del> -	
	for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could the safety functions of the equipment?	Yes
adversely affect t	no salety functions of the equipment:	•
Comments		
	of the checklists are available at the site.	
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker Da	ate: 11/16/12
· <u></u>		
	Hunter A. Young	11/16/12

Seismic Walkdo	own Checklist	(SWC)	Status: Y N U
·	pment ID No.:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•	(17) Engine-Generators	···
Equipmer	nt Description:	DIESEL GENERATOR 1A	ALL.
	Proje	ct: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, E	Elev, Room/Are	a): DGB, 26.00 ft, AW21	
Ma	nufacturer/Mod	el:	
Instructions for	Completing C	hecklist	
SWEL. The spa	ce below each	ocument the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of of of the following questions may be used to record the results ovided at the end of this checklist for documenting other con	of judgments and
Anchorage			
	-	ion verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% g such verification)?	No
2. Is the an	chorage free of	bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the an	chorage free of	corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the an	chorage free of	visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
This que	•	uration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: es if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage is required.)	Not Applicable
	n the above and ly adverse seisi	chorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of mic conditions?	Yes

Seismic Walkdown Cl	hecklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipment	ID No.: DSL GEN 1A	•
Equipment	Class: (17) Engine-Generators	
Equipment Desc	cription: DIESEL GENERATOR 1A	
Interaction Effects		
7. Are soft targets	s free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes
masonry block	equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?  sts & fire piping well supported. No overhead concerns.	Yes
9. Do attached line	es have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
	above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of erse seismic interaction effects?	Yes
Other Adverse Condit	ions	
11. Have you looke	ed for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could it the safety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comments		,
	s of the checklists are available at the site.	
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker Date	e: <u>11/16/12</u>
_	Hunter A. Young	11/16/12

	Status: Y N U
Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	<del></del>
Equipment ID No.: SKBK LUBO AC PP 1A2	
Equipment Class: _(5) Horizontal Pumps	
Equipment Description: SOAKBACK LUBE OIL AC PUMP FOR DIESEL GENERA	ATOR ENGINE 1A2
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DGB, 28.00 ft, AW21	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other continuous control of the seighbor	s of judgments and
Anchorage	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	No
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	'Not Applicable
Mounted to EDG Skid	
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)</li> </ol>	Not Applicable
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Seismic V	Valkdown Checklist	(SWC)			Status: [	Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:	SKBK LUBO AC PP 1A2	<u> </u>			
	Equipment Class:	(5) Horizontal Pumps	,			
Equ	ipment Description:	SOAKBACK LUBE OIL	AC PUMP FOR DIESEL	GENE	RATOR ENGIN	NE 1A2
Interaction						
7. Ar	e soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equip	ment or structures?	,		Yes
	J		•			
		nt, distribution systems, ce t likely to collapse onto the	•	ınd		Yes
9. Do	o attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avo	id damage?			Yes
		ismic interaction evaluatio mic interaction effects?	ns, is equipment free of			Yes
	,					
,	verse Conditions	al favoradora a subservers				
	=	d found no adverse seism ety functions of the equipr				Yes
			~			
Comments Detailed si	•	hecklists are available at	he site.			
Evaluated	by: Seth	W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunte	er A. Young			11/16/12	

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	tatus: Y N U
Equipment ID No.: SKBK LUBO DC PP 1A2	
Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps	
Equipment Description: SOAKBACK LUBE OIL DC PUMP FOR DIESEL GENERATOR	R ENGINE 1A2
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DGB, 28.00 ft, AW21	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equiposwell. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other commen	udgments and
<u>Anchorage</u>	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	No
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?      Mounted to EDG Skid	Not Applicable
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)</li> </ol>	Not Applicable
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Status: Y N U Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: SKBK LUBO DC PP 1A2 Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps Equipment Description: SOAKBACK LUBE OIL DC PUMP FOR DIESEL GENERATOR ENGINE 1A2 Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and Yes masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? Yes 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of Yes potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** 11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could Yes adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? Comments Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site. Evaluated by: Seth W. Baker Date: 11/16/12 Hunter A. Young 11/16/12

Status: | Y | Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: DOST 1A Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers Equipment Description: DIESEL OIL STORAGE TANK 1A Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DOST, 22.00 ft, AW31 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-683 Sh. 1 Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Seismic Walkdov	wn Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipr	ment ID No.: DOST 1A	
Equip	oment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	
Equipment	Description: DIESEL OIL STORAGE TANK 1A	
Interaction Effect	ets_	
7. Are soft ta	argets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes
		·
masonry b	nead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	Not Applicable
Exposed	d area.	
9. Do attache	red lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	. Yes
	the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of y adverse seismic interaction effects?	Yes
Other Adverse Co	<u>onditions</u>	
	looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could affect the safety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comments Detailed signed re-	ecords of the checklists are available at the site.	
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker Date:	11/16/12
	Hunter A. Young	11/16/12

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipment ID No.: DOST 1B	
Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	·
Equipment Description: DIESEL OIL STORAGE TANK 1B	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	- 112
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DOST, 22.00 ft, AW31	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	<u> </u>
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of e SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other com	of judgments and
<u>Anchorage</u>	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	Yes
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	No
Two nuts are painted over, but appear to have partial loss of nut area. PSL generated AR and WR to track and investigate of nut area loss. PSL to provide results of investigation. Further investigation by PSL found circumference of nut to be intact. No loss of anchor cross section. No current operability issue. AR action to replace degraded nut.	, inc
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)</li> </ol>	Yes

Seisn	nic Walkdown Checklist	Status: Y N U	
	Equipment ID No.:	DOST 1B	
	Equipment Class:	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers	
	Equipment Description:	DIESEL OIL STORAGE TANK 1B	
6.		nchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of	Yes
Intera	action Effects	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
		om impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes
8.		ent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and of likely to collapse onto the equipment?	Not Applicable
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
10.		eismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of smic interaction effects?	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11.	Have you looked for an	nd found no adverse seismic conditions that could fety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comm	nents		
		checklists are available at the site.	
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker Date	e: <u>11/16/12</u>
	Hunt	er A. Young	11/16/12

Status: Y N U

# Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: DOST 1B

Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers

Equipment Description: DIESEL OIL STORAGE TANK 1B

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipment ID No.: DG FO XFR PP 1A	
Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps	
Equipment Description: DIESEL GENERATOR FUEL OIL TRANSFER PUMP	1A
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DOST, 23.00 ft, AW32	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of the space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other	sults of judgments and
<u>Anchorage</u>	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	Yes
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)         Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-683 Sh. 1     </li> </ol>	Yes
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Seism	Status: Y N U				
	Equipment ID No.:	DG FO XFR PP 1A			
	Equipment Class:	(5) Horizontal Pumps			
Intera	ction Effects	om impact by nearby equipment or s			
7.	Yes				
8.	8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?				
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damag	e?	Yes	
10.	-	ismic interaction evaluations, is equential interaction effects?	ipment free of	Yes	
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?			ons that could	Yes	
Comm Detaile		checklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by: Seth		W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12	

			a
Seism	nic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)		Status: Y N U
	Equipment ID No.: DG FO	XFR PP 1B	
	Equipment Class: (5) Hori	izontal Pumps	
	Equipment Description: DIESEL	L GENERATOR FUEL OIL TRANSFER PUMP 1B	
	Project: St L	Lucie 1 SWEL	·
Locati	on (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): DO	PST, 23.00 ft, AW33	
•	Manufacturer/Model:		
Instru	ctions for Completing Checklist	t .	
SWEL	The space below each of the fol	t the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item o llowing questions may be used to record the result t the end of this checklist for documenting other co	ts of judgments and
Ancho 1.	<del></del>	ication required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% erification)?	Yes
2.	Is the anchorage free of bent, br	roken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3.	Is the anchorage free of corrosio	on that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4.	Is the anchorage free of visible of	cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
5.		,	Yes
6.	Based on the above anchorage of potentially adverse seismic cond	evaluations, is the anchorage free of ditions?	Yes

Seismic Walkd	own Checklist	(SWC)			Status: Y N	U
Equi	pment ID No.:	DG FO XFR PP 1B				
∠ Equ	ipment Class:	(5) Horizontal Pumps				
Equipme	nt Description:	DIESEL GENERAT	OR FUEL OIL TRAN	ISFER PUMP 1B		
Interaction Effe		m impact by poorby	auinment er etrietu	, roo?	Va	_
7. Are soft	targets free fro	m impact by nearby ε	equipment or structu	res?	Ye	S
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?						S
9. Do attac	ched lines have	adequate flexibility to	avoid damage?		Yes	s
		smic interaction evalumic interaction effect		nt free of	Yes	S
Other Adverse	Conditions				· ·	
11. Have yo	u looked for an	d found no adverse s ety functions of the e		at could	Yes	S
Comments						
Detailed signed	records of the c	hecklists are availabl	e at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth	W. Baker		Date: _1	1/16/12	
Hur		er A. Young 1		1/16/12	_	

Status: Y Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	N U
Equipment ID No.: FUEL POOL PP 1A	
Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps	
Equipment Description: FUEL POOL PUMP 1A	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	•
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): FHB, 19.00 ft, AW16	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on t SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments a findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
Anchorage	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	Yes
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note:	Yes
This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)  Conf. per 8770-G-605	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Seismic Walkdov	vn Checklist	(SWC)		Status:	Y N U
Equipr	nent ID No.:	FUEL POOL PP 1A			
Equip	ment Class:	(5) Horizontal Pumps			
Equipment	Description:	FUEL POOL PUMP 1A			
Interaction Effect					
7. Are soft ta	rgets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?			Yes
		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and likely to collapse onto the equipment?	d		Yes
9. Do attache	ed lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
	the state of the s	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?		,	Yes
Other Adverse Co	onditions				
11. Have you	looked for an	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?			Yes
Comments Detailed signed re-	cords of the o	hecklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth	W. Baker D	)ate:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12	

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipment ID No.: FUEL POOL PP 1B	
Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps	
Equipment Description: FUEL POOL PUMP 1B	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	the same of the sa
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): FHB, 19.00 ft, AW16	
Manufacturer/Model:  Instructions for Completing Checklist	· ·
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of e SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	of judgments and
<u>Anchorage</u>	•
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	Yes
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)</li> <li>Conf. per 8770-G-605</li> </ol>	Yes
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	YNU
Equipment ID No.: FUEL POOL PP 1B	
Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps	
Equipment Description: FUEL POOL PUMP 1B	
Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes
Hoist chain is supported over oil site glass but zip-tied off so as to preclude impact. No Hazard	
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	Yes
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	Yes
Other Adverse Conditions	
Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comments  Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site.	
Evaluated by: Seth W. Baker Date: 11/16/12	
Hunter A. Young 11/16/12	

Status: Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: FUEL POOL HX Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers Equipment Description: FUEL POOL HEAT EXCHANGER Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): FHB, 22.00 ft, AW15 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Conf. per 8770-G-605 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Seismic Walk	down Checklist	(SWC)	·		Status.	T N U
•		FUEL POOL HX				·
	-		rohangoro			
		(21) Tanks and Heat Ex				
Equipm	ent Description:	FUEL POOL HEAT EXC	CHANGER			
Interaction Ef	<u>ffects</u>		•			
7. Are so	oft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equip	oment or structures?			Yes
		nt, distribution systems, c t likely to collapse onto th		and		Yes
9. Do att	ached lines have	adequate flexibility to avo	oid damage?			Yes
		smic interaction evaluation interaction effects?	ons, is equipment free of			Yes
Other Advers	e Conditions					
11. Have	you looked for an	d found no adverse seisnety functions of the equip				Yes
Comments			-			
	d records of the c	hecklists are available at	the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth	W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunte	er A. Young			11/16/12	

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipment ID No.: 480V MCC 1A8	
Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps	
Equipment Description: 480V MCC FOR FUEL HANDLING BUILDING MISC PC	WER SUPPLIES
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): FHB, 48.00 ft, AW17	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the resulting. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other contents.	Its of judgments and
<u>Anchorage</u>	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	No
	,
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note:	Yes
This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage	
configuration verification is required.)	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U
Otatus.	, i		0

Equipment ID No.: 480V MCC 1A8

Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps

Equipment Description: 480V MCC FOR FUEL HANDLING BUILDING MISC POWER SUPPLIES

## **Interaction Effects**

7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?

Yes

Approximately 1/2" clearance noted in front-to-back direction of top of 90" tall MCC to concrete wall. Also, approximately 1/8" clearance approx. 36" above ground to a steel angle mounted to the wall. Verify whether gap is adequate or chatter does not affect safety-related functionality of equipment.

### Response:

Per PSL, cabinet is Seismic Category 2:1 (Quality Related); therefore potential contact with the wall poses no adverse concern since equipment functionality is not required.

8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?

Yes

9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?

Yes

10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?

Yes

#### **Other Adverse Conditions**

11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?

Yes

# Comments

Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site.

Seismic Walkdow	n Checklist	(SWC)		Status. 1 N O
Equipm	ent ID No.:	480V MCC 1A8		
Equipn	nent Class:	(5) Horizontal Pumps		
Equipment D	escription:	480V MCC FOR FUEL HANDLING BUILDING	MISC P	OWER SUPPLIES
Evaluated by:	Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
-	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12

Status: Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: HVE-16A Equipment Class: (9) Fans Equipment Description: CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR FUEL POOL EXHAUST SYSTEM Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): FHB, 48.00 ft, AW17 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Anchorage Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions?

			•	Status: Y N U
Seism	ic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		· ——
	Equipment ID No.:	HVE-16A		
	Equipment Class:	(9) Fans		
	Equipment Description:	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR FUEL PO	OOL EXHAUST SYS	TEM
<u>Intera</u>	ction Effects			
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or str	uctures?	Yes
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles a t likely to collapse onto the equipmer		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage	?	Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipmic interaction effects?	oment free of	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions			
11.	Have you looked for an	d found no adverse seismic condition ety functions of the equipment?	ns that could	Yes
			•	
Comm	ents			
Detaile	d signed records of the o	hecklists are available at the site.	_	
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunt	er A. Young	· 	11/16/12

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipment ID No.: MV-21-2	
Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	
Equipment Description: MOTOR OPERATED VLV FOR ICW TRAIN B SUF	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): INTK, 11.00 ft, AW04	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting of	e results of judgments and
<ul><li>Anchorage</li><li>1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 5 of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li></ul>	50% No
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Not Applicable
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Not Applicable .
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Not Applicable
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchora configuration verification is required.)</li> </ol>	Not Applicable age
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U
			_

	Equipment ID No.:	MV-21-2	
	Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	
	Equipment Description:	MOTOR OPERATED VLV FOR ICW TRAIN B SUPPL	Y TO TCW HX'S
<u>Interac</u>	ction Effects		
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and tilkely to collapse onto the equipment?	Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
Э.	Do attached lines have	adequate hexibility to avoid damage:	165
10.		ismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?	Yes
Other A	Adverse Conditions		
11.	- ·	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comme Detaile		checklists are available at the site.	
Evaluat	ted by: Seth	W. Baker Date:	11/16/12
	Hunt	er A. Young	11/16/12

Seism	nic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)	Status: Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:		
	Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	
	Equipment Description:	MOTOR OPERATED VLV FOR ICW TRAIN A SUPPLY T	TO TCW HX's
	Proje	ect: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Locati	on (Bldg, Elev, Room/Are	ea): INTK, 11.00 ft, AW04	
	Manufacturer/Mod	lel:	
	ctions for Completing C		•
SWEL	The space below each	locument the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of of the following questions may be used to record the result ovided at the end of this checklist for documenting other co	s of judgments and
Ancho	orage		
1.	Is anchorage configura of SWEL items requirin	tion verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% g such verification)?	No
2.	Is the anchorage free o	f bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Not Applicable
3.	Is the anchorage free o	f corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Not Applicable
4.	Is the anchorage free o	f visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Not Applicable
5.		uration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: ies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage in is required.)	Not Applicable
6.	Based on the above an potentially adverse seis	chorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of mic conditions?	Yes

	Equipment ID No.:	MV-21-3		
	Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valv	/es	
	Equipment Description:	MOTOR OPERATED VLV FOR ICW TRAIN A S	SUPPLY TO TCW HX's	
Interac	ction Effects			
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?		Yes
		•.		
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a tilkely to collapse onto the equipment?	and	Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?	:	Yes
		·		
	Adverse Conditions			
11.		d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?		Yes
Comme Detaile		hecklists are available at the site.		
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date: 11/16/12	
	Hunte	er A. Young	11/16/12	
		•		

Status: Y N U Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: ICW-PP-1A Equipment Class: (6) Vertical Pumps Equipment Description: INTAKE COOLING WATER PUMP 1A Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): INTK, 21.00 ft, AW03 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** Yes 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes Surface oxidation on all bolts. No concern. 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Conf. per 8770-G-643 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Status:	V	N	11

							•
	Equipment ID No.:	ICW-PP-1A					
	Equipment Class:	(6) Vertical Pumps					
	Equipment Description:	INTAKE COOLING	WATER PUMP	1A			
	•						
Intera	ction Effects						
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby e	quipment or stru	ctures?			Yes
	,						
	i						
8.	Are overhead equipme	nt. distribution svstem	s. ceiling tiles ar	nd liahtina. a	and		Yes
	masonry block walls no		_				
					÷		
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to	avoid damage?				Yes
	. *						
10.	Based on the above se	ismic interaction evalu	iatione le aquini	mont froe o	F '		Voc
10.	potentially adverse seis			nent iree o	İ		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions			-			
11.	Have you looked for an	d found no adverse se	eismic conditions	s that could			Yes
	adversely affect the saf						·
	E screen is completely seismic concern as scre				t to		
	soft targets.	on nac ne colemic mi	portarios aria io	<i></i>	. 10		
Comm							
Detaile	ed signed records of the o	hecklists are available	e at the site.		٠		
_							
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	•		Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunte	er A. Young		•		11/16/12	
				,			

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
Colonia Trancown Checkinst (CTO)	
Equipment ID No.: ICW-PP-1C	
Equipment Class: (6) Vertical Pumps	
Equipment Description: INTAKE COOLING WATER PUMP 1C	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): INTK, 21.00 ft, AW03	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the result findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other co	ts of judgments and
<u>Anchorage</u>	
1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	Yes
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
Surface oxidation on all bolts. No concern.	
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)</li> <li>Conf. per 8770-G-643</li> </ol>	Yes
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

Status:	abla	N	П
olalus.	1	11	U

٠	Equipment ID N	o.: ICW-PP-1C		
	Equipment Cla			_
٠.	Equipment Descripti			
<u>Intera</u>	ction Effects			
7.	Are soft targets free	from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Ye	S
8.		ment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	d Ye	S
9.	Do attached lines h	ave adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Ye	S
10.		seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of seismic interaction effects?	Ye:	5
Other	Adverse Conditions		·	
11.	Have you looked fo	and found no adverse seismic conditions that could safety functions of the equipment?	Yes	3
Comm	ents			
Detaile	ed signed records of t	e checklists are available at the site.		
Evalua	ted by:	eth W. Baker Da	ate: 11/16/12	_
	H	unter A. Young	11/16/12	

Status: Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	/ N U
Equipment ID No.: LPSI 1A	
Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps	
Equipment Description: LOW PRESSURE SAFETY INJECTION PUMP 1A	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, -6.00 ft, AW09	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment or SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
Anchorage  1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	Yes
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)</li> <li>Conf. per 8770-G-589</li> </ol>	Yes
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

		1	
Status:	Υ	N	U

	Equipment ID No.:	LPSI 1A			
	Equipment Class:	(5) Horizontal Pumps			
	Equipment Description:	LOW PRESSURE SAFETY INJECTION PUM	IP 1A		
Intera	ction Effects				
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?			Yes
	Ladder above adequa	tely supported.			
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting tilkely to collapse onto the equipment?	, and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.		ismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free mic interaction effects?	of		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11.	<del>-</del>	d found no adverse seismic conditions that cou ety functions of the equipment?	ld		Yes
Comm Detaile		hecklists are available at the site.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12	
	,				

	Status: Y N	U
Seism	ic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
	Equipment ID No.: CTMT SPR PP 1A	
	Equipment Class: (6) Vertical Pumps	
	Equipment Description: CONTAINMENT SPRAY PUMP 1A	
	Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location	on (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, -10.00 ft, AW10	
	Manufacturer/Model:	
	ctions for Completing Checklist	
SWEL	necklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the . The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and s. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
Ancho	<u>orage</u>	
1.	Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Y of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	es
2.	Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	es
3.		es
4.	Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?  Yes	es
5.	Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: You This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)  Conf. per 8770-G-589	es
6.	Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of younger potentially adverse seismic conditions?	es

Status:	Y	N	U
Olatao.			_

	Equipment ID No.:	CTMT SPR PP 1A			
	Equipment Class:	(6) Vertical Pumps			
	Equipment Description:	CONTAINMENT SPRAY PUMP 1A			
					:
Interac	tion Effects				
7.	Are soft targets free from	n impact by nearby equipment or strւ	ıctures?		Yes
	Hoist overhead well su	pported.		•	
8.		t, distribution systems, ceiling tiles ar likely to collapse onto the equipmen			Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above sei potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipant of interaction effects?	ment free of	·	Yes
Other A	Adverse Conditions				
	Have you looked for and	I found no adverse seismic conditions ty functions of the equipment?	s that could		Yes
0					
Comme Detaile		necklists are available at the site.			
Evaluat	ted by: Seth	V. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunte	r A. Young		11/16/12	

Status: Y Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	ט א 🗌
Equipment ID No.: HPSI PP 1A	
Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps	
Equipment Description: HIGH PRESSURE SAFETY INJECTION PUMP 1A	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, -7.00 ft, AW10	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
<u>Anchorage</u>	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	Yes
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note:         This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)     </li> </ol>	Yes
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes

	Equipment ID No.: HPSI PP 1A					
	Equipme	nt Class:	(5) Horizontal Pumps			
	Equipment Des	scription:	HIGH PRESSURE SAFETY INJECTION PUMP	1A		
	Conf. per 87	770-G-589	·			
Interac	ction Effects					
7.	_	ets free fro	n impact by nearby equipment or structures?			Yes
	could lose sta preclude impa generated AF	ability but b act of soft a R to addres	·			
8.		k walls no	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a likely to collapse onto the equipment?  Supported.	nd		Yes
9.	Do attached I	ines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.			smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?			Yes
Other .	Adverse Cond	litions				
11.	•		I found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?			Yes
Comm	<u>ents</u>					
Evalua	ted by:	Seth '	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
		Hunte	er A. Young		11/16/12	

# Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: FT-3311

Equipment Class: (0) Other

Equipment Description: FLOW TRANSMITTER FOR HPSI HEADER FEED TO LOOP 1A2

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 4.00 ft, AW06

Manufacturer/Model:

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

### Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

Yes

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)
 Conf. per 8770-B-231 Yes

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

Status:	Y	N	U
Olalas.			_

Seismic	Walkdown	Checklist (	(SWC)

	Equipment ID No	ET 2244			
	Equipment ID No				
	Equipment Clas	(0) Other			
	<u> </u>	FLOW TRANSMITTER FOR HPS		LOOP 1A2	
7.	Are soft targets free	om impact by nearby equipment or s	structures?		Yes
					•
8.	Are overhead equip	ent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles	and lighting, and		Yes
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ot likely to collapse onto the equipm	ent?		
	Heavy cable tray o	rhead; ruggedly supported.			
9.	Do attached lines ha	e adequate flexibility to avoid damag	ge?		Yes
		•		•	
		•			
10.	10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of				Yes
	potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?				
			·		
		•			
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11.	-	nd found no adverse seismic conditi	ons that could		Yes
	adversely affect the	fety functions of the equipment?			
	onto				
Comm Detaile		checklists are available at the site.			
- - - - - -	ited by: Se	ı W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	······································				
	H	ter A. Young	·	11/16/12	

Equipment ID No.: NAOH STG TK 1A

Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers

Equipment Description: SODIUM HYDROXIDE STORAGE TANK 1A

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 0.00 ft, AW06

Manufacturer/Model:

#### Instructions for Completing Checklist

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

## **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

Yes

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)
 Conf. per 8770-G-591 Sht. 7 Yes

.

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

	Equipment ID No	.: NAOH STG TK 1A			
	Equipment Clas	s: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers		<del></del>	
		n: SODIUM HYDROXIDE STORAGE TANK 1	Α		
7.	<del></del>	from impact by nearby equipment or structures'			Yes
8.		nent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighti	ng, and		Yes
	masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?				
Rod-hung piping but well supported vertically so as to preclude collapse.					
9.	Do attached lines ha	ve adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.		seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment fro	ee of		Yes
•	potentially adverse s	eismic interaction effects?			
<u>Other</u>	Adverse Conditions				
11.	•	and found no adverse seismic conditions that c	ould		Yes
	adversely affect the	safety functions of the equipment?			
Comm					
Detaile	ed signed records of the	e checklists are available at the site.			
	,				
Evalua	ted by: Se	eth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	H	inter A. Young		11/16/12	<del></del> .
		·			

Status: Y N L

Equipment ID No.: SDC HX 1A

Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers

Equipment Description: SHUTDOWN COOLING HEAT EXCHANGER 1A

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 3.00 ft, AW07

Manufacturer/Model:

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

Yes

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)
 Conf. per 8770-G-589 & 590.

Yes

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

	Equipment ID No	o.: SDC HX 1	Α				
	Equipment Clas	s: (21) Tanks	s and Heat Ex	changers			
	Equipment Descriptio	n: SHUTDO\	NN COOLING	G HEAT EXCHAN	GER 1A		
7.							Yes
	Noted bent (appare should it fail. No haz	=	l) lighting sha	ft. No soft targets	in Z.O.I.		
8.	Are overhead equipmasonry block walls		•		hting, and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines ha	ave adequate fl	exibility to avo	oid damage?		·	Yes
10.	Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?					Yes	
	Adverse Conditions						
<u> 11.</u>	Have you looked for	and found no	adverse seisn	nic conditions that	could		Yes
11.	adversely affect the				Codia		162
,		ŕ					
Comm	ents			-			
Detaile	ed signed records of th	e checklists ar	e available at	the site.			
Evalua	ited by: Se	eth W. Baker			Date:	11/16/12	
	Н	unter A. Young				11/16/12	

# Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: PDT-25-16A

Equipment Class: (0) Other

Equipment Description: ECCS Equip RM D/P

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, -0.50 ft, AW08

Manufacturer/Model:

# **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

Yes

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)
 Conf. per 8770-B-231 Yes

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

Status:	Y	N	П
Status.		1.4	U

Seism	ic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Status: Y	N U
	Equipment ID No.:	PDT-25-16A			
	Equipment Class:	(0) Other			
	Equipment Description:	ECCS Equip RM D/P			
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or s	structures?		Yes
8.	masonry block walls no	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles t likely to collapse onto the equipm r-3305 (See SWC comment #8)	U U.		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damag	je?		Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	ismic interaction evaluations, is equinic interaction effects?	uipment free of		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11.	Have you looked for an	d found no adverse seismic conditiently the service of the equipment?	ons that could		Yes
		·			
Comm		hecklists are available at the site.	<u> </u>		
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	

Hunter A. Young

Status: Y N U

11/16/12

Equipment ID No.: PT-3305

Equipment Class: (0) Other

Equipment Description: PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR HPSI PUMP 1A DISCHARGE HEADER

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 4.00 ft, AW08

Manufacturer/Model:

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

# **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

Yes

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Conf. per. 8770-B-231

Yes

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

Status:	Y	N	U

Seisn	nic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Otatujo. 1	] ,, 0
	Equipment ID No.:	PT-3305			
	Equipment Class:	(0) Other			
	• •	PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR HPSI	PUMP 1A DIS	CHARGE HEAD	ER
7.	, ,	om impact by nearby equipment or structure			Yes
8.	• •	ent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lig of likely to collapse onto the equipment?	hting, and		Yes
		hung pipe overhead w/ adequate vertical s	upport.		
	·				,
					.,
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above se	eismic interaction evaluations, is equipment	free of		Yes
		smic interaction effects?			
			* *		
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11.	•	nd found no adverse seismic conditions that	t could		Yes
	adversely affect the sa	fety functions of the equipment?			•
		·			
		·			
Comn					
Detail	ed signed records of the o	checklists are available at the site.			
				444040	
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	<del></del>
	Hunt	ter A. Young		11/16/12	
	enter <u>man</u> e d <u>amina ali B</u>		·		
			-		<u> </u>
				Status: Y	ט אך

Equipment ID No.: SE-07-2A

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

PRI SOLENOID ISOL VLV FOR SODIUM HYDROXIDE TO FD TO CNTMT

Equipment Description:

SPRY PP'S

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 0.00 ft, AW08

Manufacturer/Model:

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

### Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

## **Interaction Effects**

7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?

Status:	Υ	N	U
Otatas.			_

	Equipment	ID No.:	SE-07-2A			
	Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves					
	Equipment Desc		PRI SOLENOID ISOL VLV FOR SODIUM HYDE SPRY PP'S		TO FD TO CNT	ΜТ
	Equipment besc	приоп.	JINIT J			
8.	masonry block	walls no	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a t likely to collapse onto the equipment? nent as PT-3305 (See #8 for that SWC) OK.	ind		Yes
9.	Do attached line	es have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.			smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?			Yes
Other	Adverse Condit	ions		•		
11.	Have you looke	d for an	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?			Yes
Comments						
Detaile	d signed records	of the c	hecklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ted by:	Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
		Hunte	er A. Young		11/16/12	<del></del>

Status: Y

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: V2514

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPER VLV FOR EMERG BORATION FROM BORIC ACID MU

Equipment Description: **PUMPS DISCH** 

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 3.00 ft, AW08

Manufacturer/Model:

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

	Equipment ID No.:	V2514			
	Equipment Class:	• •			
	Equipment Description:	MOTOR OPER VLV FOR EME PUMPS DISCH	RG BORATION FROM	M BORIC ACID MU	
	ction Effects	·		<u> </u>	
7.		m impact by nearby equipment o	or structures?		Yes
	-	, , , , , , ,			
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling ti			Yes
	masonry block walls no	t likely to collapse onto the equi	oment?		
_					
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid dan	nage?		Yes
		•			
10.	Based on the above se	ismic interaction evaluations, is	equipment free of		Yes
	potentially adverse seis	mic interaction effects?			
			·		
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11.	•	d found no adverse seismic con	ditions that could		Yes
	adversely affect the saf	ety functions of the equipment?			
Comm		1.11.1			
Jetalie	ed signed records of the d	checklists are available at the site	<b>3</b> .		
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date	: 11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12	
		<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: CHG PP 1A

Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps

Equipment Description: CHARGING PUMP 1A

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 0.00 ft, AW11

Manufacturer/Model:

#### Instructions for Completing Checklist

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

Yes

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)
 Conf. per 8770-G-590 Yes

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

#### Interaction Effects

Status:	Y	N	U
Otatus.			U

Seism	ic Walkdown Checklis	(SWC)		
	Equipment ID No.:	CHG PP 1A		
	Equipment Class:	(5) Horizontal Pumps		
•	Equipment Description:	CHARGING PUMP 1A		
7.	Are soft targets free from	om impact by nearby equipment or structures?		Yes
	Hoist beam overhead	well supported.		
8.		ent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and of likely to collapse onto the equipment?		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		Yes
10.		eismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of smic interaction effects?		Yes
		•		
<u>Other</u>	Adverse Conditions			
11.	•	nd found no adverse seismic conditions that could fety functions of the equipment?		Yes
		,	•	
Comm		checklists are available at the site.		
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker Date	e: 11/16/12	
Hunt		ter A. Young	11/16/12	
		·		
				**
			Status: [	Y N U

Equipment ID No.: BAM PP 1A Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps Equipment Description: BORIC ACID MAKE-UP PUMP 1A Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 0.00 ft, AW12 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Conf. per 8770-G-589,590 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Status: Y N U
---------------

	Equipment ID No.:	BAM PP 1A	
	Equipment Class:	(5) Horizontal Pumps	
	Equipment Description:	BORIC ACID MAKE-UP PUMP 1A	
·		•	
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and tilkely to collapse onto the equipment?	Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
10.	Based on the above sei potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions		
11.	Have you looked for and	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comm Detaile		hecklists are available at the site.	
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker Date: 11/16/12	
	Hunte	er A. Young 11/16/12	<u></u>

# Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: BAMT 1A Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers Equipment Description: BORIC ACID MAKE-UP TANK 1A Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 0.00 ft, AW12 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage**  Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Conf. per 8770-G-589 & 590

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of

potentially adverse seismic conditions?

## **Interaction Effects**

Status:	Υ	N	U
olulus.			_

Seismic	Walkdown	Checklist (	(SWC)
OCIGINIC	AAGINGOMII	OHECKHAL	(0000)

	•							
	Equipment	ID No.:	BAMT 1A					
	Equipmen	t Class:	(21) Tanks and I	Heat Exchangers				
	Equipment Desc	cription:	BORIC ACID MA	KE-UP TANK 1A				
7.			m impact by nearb					Yes
			•					
8.	Are overhead	equipme	nt, distribution syst	tems, ceiling tiles	and lighting, and			Yes
	masonry block	walls no	t likely to collapse	onto the equipme	nt?			•
	•							
9.	Do attached lin	nes have	adequate flexibility	v to avoid damage	e?			Yes
			<b>,</b>	,				
	•							
10.	Based on the a	above se	ismic interaction e	valuations, is equi	pment free of			Yes
	potentially adv	erse seis	mic interaction eff	ects?				
				•	٠			
				`				
Other	Adverse Condi	tions						
11.			d found no advers	e seismic conditio	ns that could			Yes
	-		ety functions of the					
Comm	vanta	· .						
		s of the c	hecklists are avail	able at the site.				
-,								
Evalua	ated by:	Seth	W. Baker		Da	te: _1′	1/16/12	
		Hunt	er A. Young		**	1.	1/16/12	
		inuilli	a A. Touriy				1/10/12	
	•							
	**************************************			·				
					•			
•							Status: [	Y N U

Equipment ID No.: PT-07-4B Equipment Class: (0) Other Equipment Description: PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR CONTAINMENT PRESSURE Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 19.00 ft, AW20 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-B-231 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

		1	
Status:	Υ	N	U

Seismi	ic Walkdown Checkl	st (SWC)			
	Equipment ID No	.: PT-07-4B			
	Equipment Clas	s: (0) Other			
ı	Equipment Description	: PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR CONTAINM	IENT PF	RESSURE	
8.		nent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines ha	ve adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.		seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of eismic interaction effects?	of		Yes
Other /	Adverse Conditions	•			
11.		and found no adverse seismic conditions that could cafety functions of the equipment?	d'		Yes
Comme					
Detaile	d signed records of th	e checklists are available at the site.			•
Evaluat	ted by: Se	th W. Baker	_ Date:	11/16/12	
	H	inter A. Young	_	11/16/12	

Status: Y N U

Equipment ID No.: 125V BATT 1B Equipment Class: (15) Batteries on Racks Equipment Description: 125V DC BATTERY 1B Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW25 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-814 Sh. 82-6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

Seism	ic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Status: Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:	125V BATT 1B		
	Equipment Class:	(15) Batteries on Racks		
	Equipment Description:	125V DC BATTERY 1B		
8.	• •	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles a t likely to collapse onto the equipmen		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	?	Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	ismic interaction evaluations, is equip mic interaction effects?	oment free of	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions			
11.	adversely affect the saf	d found no adverse seismic condition ety functions of the equipment? vided, but condition analyzed as acce		Yes
Comm		hecklists are available at the site.		
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12

Equipment ID No.: 120V INSTR BUS 1MC Equipment Class: (14) Distribution Panels Equipment Description: 120V AC INSTRUMENT BUS 1MC DISTRIBUTION PANEL Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW26 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

Seismic	Walkdown	Checklist	(SWC)

	Equipment ID No.		,		
	•	(14) Distribution Panels			
	Equipment Description	120V AC INSTRUMENT BUS 1MC DI	STRIBUTION PA	NEL	
8.	masonry block walls r	ent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and ot likely to collapse onto the equipment? overhead. No hazard.			Yes
9.	Do attached lines hav	e adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
	runs. However, all cal	NT BYPASS BUS & 1A-DC-SWGR are riginets are rigidly mounted to same wall; the double to be negligible. No hazard.	• •		
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?					Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions	-			
11.	•	nd found no adverse seismic conditions tafety functions of the equipment?	that could		Yes
Comm Detaile		checklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ated by: Set	h W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hui	iter A. Young		11/16/12	
Seism	aic Walkdown Checklis	t (SWC)		Status: [	Y N U

Equipment ID No.: 125V DC BUS 1A Equipment Class: (14) Distribution Panels Equipment Description: 125V DC BUS POWER DISTRIBUTION PANEL ESS-SA Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW26 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Anchorage 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

				•
Caiam	sia Walkdayın Chaaklist	(CIA(C)		Status: Y N U
Seisii	nic Walkdown Checklist	(244C)	•	
	Equipment ID No.:	125V DC BUS 1A		
	Equipment Class:	(14) Distribution Panels		
	Equipment Description:	125V DC BUS POWER DISTRIBUTION	N PANEL ESS-SA	
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and l t likely to collapse onto the equipment?	lighting, and	Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipme mic interaction effects?	nt free of	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions	·		
11.	· .	d found no adverse seismic conditions the type type type type the equipment?	nat could	Yes
		<u> </u>		
Comm		hecklists are available at the site.		
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker	Date: _1	1/16/12
	Hunt	er A. Young	. 1	1/16/12

Equipment ID No.: 480V MCC 1AB	•
Equipment Class: (1) Motor Control Centers	
Equipment Description: 480V MOTOR CONTROL CENTER 1AB	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 47.00 ft, AW26	
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comme	judgments and
<u>Anchorage</u>	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	Yes
of SWEE items requiring such vertication)?	
Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
2. Is the distributed free of bent, broken, missing of loose hardware:	103
•	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
	.,
<ol><li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage</li></ol>	Yes
configuration verification is required.)	
Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-833 Sh. 1	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of	Yes
potentially adverse seismic conditions?	
Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

		•			
Seisn	nic Walkdown Checklis	t (SWC)		Status: [	Y N L
	Equipment ID No.:		······································		
	Equipment Class:	(1) Motor Control Centers			
	Equipment Description:	480V MOTOR CONTROL CENTER 1AB			
8.		ent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, are but likely to collapse onto the equipment? ble tray.	nd		Yes
9.	. Do attached lines have	e adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.		eismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of smic interaction effects?			Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11.	Have you looked for ar	nd found no adverse seismic conditions that could fety functions of the equipment?			Yes
		·			
Comn Detail		checklists are available at the site.			
Evalu	ated by: Seth	W. Baker [	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hun_	ter A. Young	-	11/16/12	

Equipment ID No.: 480V PZR XFMR 1A3 Equipment Class: (4) Transformers Equipment Description: 480V PRESSURIZER TRANSFORMER 1A3 Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW26 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage**  Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 

7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?

		1	
Status:	Y	N	U

	Equipment ID No	.: 480V PZR XFMF	R 1A3			
	Equipment Class	s: (4) Transformers				
	Equipment Description	n: 480V PRESSUR	IZER TRANSFORME	R 1A3		
8.	Are overhead equipn masonry block walls	-	tems, ceiling tiles and onto the equipment?	lighting, and		Yes
· 9.	Do attached lines ha	ve adequate flexibilit	y to avoid damage?		·	Yes
10.	Based on the above potentially adverse s			ent free of		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions					
<b>11.</b>	Have you looked for adversely affect the s		•	that could		Yes
					•	
Comm	ents d signed records of the	e checklists are avail	able at the site.			
Evalua	ted by: Se	th W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Hu	nter A. Young		<u>.</u>	11/16/12	<del> </del>
		-4 (SIMC)	·	·	Status:	Y N U

C-115

Equipment ID No.: BATT CHGR 1A Equipment Class: (16) Inverters Equipment Description: **BATTERY CHARGER 1A** Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW26 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Conf. Per 8770-C-837 Sh. 1&2. 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U
Otatus.			•

Seismi	c Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Status: Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:	BATT CHGR 1A		
	Equipment Class:	(16) Inverters		
Е	quipment Description:	BATTERY CHARGER 1A	·	
-8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tikely to collapse onto the edg. OK.		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid	damage?	Yes
	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations mic interaction effects?	, is equipment free of	Yes
Other A	dverse Conditions			
		d found no adverse seismic ety functions of the equipme		Yes
Comme Detailed		hecklists are available at the	e site.	·
Evaluate	ed by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunto	er A. Young		11/16/12
	•			

Status: Y

Equipment ID No.: RX TRIP SWGR Equipment Class: (2) Low Voltage Switchgear Equipment Description: REACTOR TRIP SWITCHGEAR Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW26 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** Yes 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Conf. per 8770-G-837 sh. 1 Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

				1	12Q4116-RPT-0	01 Rev. 0
Seisn	nic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)			Status:	Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:	RX TRIP SWGR				
	Equipment Class:	(2) Low Voltage Switch	chgear			
	Equipment Description:	REACTOR TRIP SW	ITCHGEAR			
8.	flexible. Straps are relationship therefore, relatively low conclusion that gap is a	tively short (<3') so free SSE peak acceleration cceptable. ht, distribution systems	y sheet metal straps & is very sheet metal straps & is very leads to SHT, and it is the control of the control			Yes
	,					
٠						
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to a	avoid damage?		·	Yes
10.	Based on the above sei potentially adverse seis		ations, is equipment free of	:		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions					
11.	Have you looked for and adversely affect the safe		smic conditions that could uipment?			Yes
	<u> </u>					·
Comm Detaile	nents  ed signed records of the c	hecklists are available	at the site.			
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunte	er A. Young			11/16/12	

Equipment ID No.: STC INVTR 1A Equipment Class: (16) Inverters Equipment Description: STATIC INVERTER 1A (10 KVA) Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW26 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-591 Sh. 6 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 

7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?

Seisn	nic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)	Status: Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:	STC INVTR 1A	
	Equipment Class:	(16) Inverters	
	Equipment Description:	STATIC INVERTER 1A (10 KVA)	
8.	• •	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, an t likely to collapse onto the equipment?	d Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions		
11.	_	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comn Detail		hecklists are available at the site.	
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker [	Date: 11/16/12

Hunter A. Young

11/16/12

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: 125V BATT 1A

Equipment Class: (15) Batteries on Racks

Equipment Description: 125V DC BATTERY 1A

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW27

Manufacturer/Model:

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

Yes

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Yes

Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-814 Sh. 82

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Status:	Υ	N	U

Seismic	Walkdown	Checklist	(SWC)
---------	----------	-----------	-------

	Equipment ID No.: 125V BATT 1A			
	Equipment Class: (15) Batteries on Racks			
	Equipment Description: 125V DC BATTERY 1A			
7.				Yes
•				
8.	Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, as masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	ıa		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of			Yes
10.	potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?			163
		•		
Other	Adverse Conditions			
11.	Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could			Yes
	adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  See 125V Batt 1B SWC #11 for discussion of no foam spacers between	cells.		•
	No Hazard.			
Comm				
Detaile	d signed records of the checklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ted by: Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	
			Status <sup>.</sup>	YNU

Equipment ID No.: HSCP 1A Equipment Class: (20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabinets Equipment Description: HOT SHUTDOWN CONTROL PANEL 1A Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW28 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-837 Sh. 1 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** Yes 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?

Status:	Υ	N	U
---------	---	---	---

		,		
	Equipment ID No.:	HSCP 1A		
	Equipment Class:	(20) Instrumentation and Control Panels	and Cabinets	
E	quipment Description:	HOT SHUTDOWN CONTROL PANEL	1A	
•	•			V
		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and li ot likely to collapse onto the equipment?	ignting, and	Yes
9. 1	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		Yes
		ismic interaction evaluations, is equipmer smic interaction effects?	nt free of	Yes
Other A	dverse Conditions			<u>,,</u>
11. I	Have you looked for ar	nd found no adverse seismic conditions the fety functions of the equipment?	at could	Yes
Commer Detailed		checklists are available at the site.		
Evaluate	ed by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12
Seismic	Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Status: Y N U

Equipment ID No.: STA SVC XFMR 1B-2 Equipment Class: (4) Transformers Equipment Description: STATION SERVICE TRANSFORMER 1B-2 Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW29 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 

7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?

		ľ	
Status:	Υ	N	U

	Equipment ID No.:	STA SVC XFMR 1B-2				
	Equipment Class:					
E	quipment Description:	STATION SERVICE TRANSFORMER 1B-2	<u> </u>			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o the south is well anchored. No hazard.				
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?					Yes	
9. [	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		·	Yes	
		smic interaction evaluations, is equipment fremic interaction effects?	ee of		Yes	
Other A	dverse Conditions					
11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?						
Commer Detailed		hecklists are available at the site.				
Evaluate	d by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12		
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12		
Seismic	Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Status: [	Y N U	

C-127

Equipment ID No.: 480V MCC 1A6 Equipment Class: (1) Motor Control Centers Equipment Description: 480V MCC 1A6 Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bidg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW30 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Yes Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

		12Q4116-RPT-001 Rev. 0
Seismic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)	Status: Y N U
Equipment ID No.:	480V MCC 1A6	
Equipment Class:	(1) Motor Control Centers	
Equipment Description:	480V MCC 1A6	
• •	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and of likely to collapse onto the equipment?	Yes
9. Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
	ismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of smic interaction effects?	Yes
Other Adverse Conditions		
	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could rety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comments  Detailed signed records of the control of	checklists are available at the site.	

Status: [

11/16/12

11/16/12

Date:

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Seth W. Baker

Hunter A. Young

Evaluated by:

Equipment ID No.: ISOL PNL 1A Equipment Class: (14) Distribution Panels Equipment Description: ISOLATION PANEL 1A - Control Room Inaccessibility Transfer Panel Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW30 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

	Equipment ID	No.:	ISOL PNL	1A ·					
	Equipment C	class:	(14) Distrib	ution Pan	els				
	Equipment Descrip	otion:	ISOLATION	N PANEL	1A - Contr	ol Room Ir	naccessibility	Transfer Pan	 el
8.	Are overhead equipmasonry block was	•		-	_	-	nting, and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines	s have	adequate fle	exibility to	avoid dam	nage?			Yes
10.	Based on the abo potentially advers					equipment	free of		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditio	ns						6	
11.	Have you looked adversely affect t					ditions that	could		Yes
	*								
Comm Detaile	ents d signed records o	of the c	hecklists are	available	at the site	e.			
Evalua	ted by:	Seth	W. Baker				Date:	11/16/12	
		Hunt	er A. Young					11/16/12	
Seism	ic Walkdown Che	cklist	(SWC)					Status:	Y N U

Equipment ID No.: STA SVC XFMR 1A-2 Equipment Class: (4) Transformers Equipment Description: STATION SERVICE TRANSFORMER 1A-2 Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW30 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Anchorage 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Status:	Υ	l N	U
Otatus.		1 1 1	_

Seisn	nic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)	·		Status:	YNU
	Equipment ID No.:	STA SVC XFMR 1A-2				
	Equipment Class:	(4) Transformers				
	Equipment Description:	STATION SERVICE TRAN	ISFORMER 1A-2			
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceili t likely to collapse onto the e	0 0	and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid	damage?			Yes
10.		ismic interaction evaluations mic interaction effects?	, is equipment free c	f		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions					
11.	<u> </u>	d found no adverse seismic ety functions of the equipme		l		Yes
Comn						
Detail	ed signed records of the o	hecklists are available at the	e site.			•
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunte	er A. Young		-	11/16/12	
	·					
						,

Status: Y N U

Equipment ID No.: HVS-4A Equipment Class: (9) Fans Equipment Description: CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR RAB MAIN SUPPLY SYSTEM Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW18 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings., Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Siaius, ji jiv o	Status:	Υ	N	U
------------------	---------	---	---	---

Equipment ID No.: HVS-4A  Equipment Class: (9) Fans  Equipment Description: CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR RAB MAIN SUPPLY SYSTEM  8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?  9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? Yes  10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?  Other Adverse Conditions  11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  Comments Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site.
Equipment Description: CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR RAB MAIN SUPPLY SYSTEM  8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?  9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?  Yes  10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?  Other Adverse Conditions  11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  Comments
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?  9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?  10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?  11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could yes adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  12. Comments
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?  10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?  11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  12. Comments
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?  10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?  11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  12. Comments
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?  Other Adverse Conditions  11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  Comments
Other Adverse Conditions  11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  Comments
11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  Comments
adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  Comments
Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site.
Evaluated by: Seth W. Baker Date: 11/16/12
Hunter A. Young 11/16/12
Status: Y N U

Equipment ID No.: HVE-6A Equipment Class: (9) Fans Equipment Description: CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR SHIELD BUILDING VENTILATION SYSTEM Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW19 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Status:	Y	N	U
Oluluo.			_

Seism	ic Walkdown Chec	klist	(SWC)		<u> </u>	
	Equipment ID I	No.:	HVE-6A			
	Equipment Cla	ass:	(9) Fans			
	Equipment Descript	ion:	CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR SHIELD BUILDING \	/ENTIL	ATION SYSTEM	1
8.	•	-	t, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a likely to collapse onto the equipment?	ind		Yes
9.	Do attached lines I	have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
	from a rigid suppor	rt fror	is differentially supported at the fan on the groun In the ceiling above. Given ~15' run of duct, SWT Inuately flexible so as to preclude failure.	d &		
10.			smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?			Yes
Other 11.	<u> </u>	or and	I found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?			Yes
Comm Detaile		the c	necklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ated by:	Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
		Hunte	er A. Young		11/16/12	···
Seism	ic Walkdown Chec	klist	SWC)		Status: Y	] N U

Equipment ID No.: HVE-6A PLENUM Equipment Class: (10) Air Handlers Equipment Description: FILTER PLENUM Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW19 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Anchorage 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Seism	ic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)			Status:	Y N U
OCIGII						
	Equipment ID No.:	F		· · · · · ·		
		(10) Air Handlers				·
,	Equipment Description:	FILTER PLENUM				
8.	Are overhead equipmer masonry block walls no		, ceiling tiles and lighting, the equipment?	and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to a	avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis		ations, is equipment free o	f	·	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions					
11.	Have you looked for an adversely affect the saf		smic conditions that could uipment?			Yes
Comm Detaile	nents ed signed records of the c	hecklists are available	at the site.			
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		-	11/16/12	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Status:

Equipment ID No.: HVE-9A Equipment Class: (9) Fans Equipment Description: CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR ECCS VENTILATION SYSTEM Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 43.00 ft, AW19 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes Anchorage obscured by epoxy coating, but drawing 8770-G-875 states that fan bases are welded to embedded plates. Therefore ok. 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes Minor, superficial crack noted in slab. No seis. concern. 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Seisn	nic Walkdown Check	ist (SWC)	Status: Y N U
	Equipment ID N	o.: HVE-9A	
	Equipment Clas	s: (9) Fans	
	Equipment Description	n: CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR ECCS VENTILATION SY	/STEM
8.		ment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	Yes
9.	Do attached lines ha	ve adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
10.		seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of eismic interaction effects?	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions		
11.	•	and found no adverse seismic conditions that could safety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comm	nents		
Detaile	ed signed records of the	e checklists are available at the site.	
Evalua	ated by:S	eth W. Baker Da	te:11/16/12
	H	unter A. Young	11/16/12

Equipment ID No.: ESC SA Equipment Class: (20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabinets Equipment Description: ENGINEERED SAFEGUARD LOGIC CABINET SA Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL -Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 62.00 ft, AW22 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes View obscured by raised flooring. Received 8770-G-837 sh1 to confirm anchorage. 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes. potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

	Equipment ID No.:	ESC SA			
	Equipment Class:	(20) Instrumentation and Control Panels	and Cabinets		
	Equipment Description:	ENGINEERED SAFEGUARD LOGIC CA	BINET SA		
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lig t likely to collapse onto the equipment? illing tiles.	hting, and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.		ismic interaction evaluations, is equipment mic interaction effects?	free of		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11.	Have you looked for an adversely affect the saf	d found no adverse seismic conditions tha ety functions of the equipment? m of N door. PSL generated AR and WR to			No
Comm	ients		******		
Detaile	ed signed records of the o	checklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12	
				Status:	Y N U

C-143

Equipment ID No.: QSPDS CHAN A CAB Equipment Class: (20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabinets Equipment Description: QUALIFIED SAFETY PARMETER DISPLAY SYSTEM CHANNEL A CABINET Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 62.00 ft, AW22 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes Anchorage obscured by raised floor. Review of drawing 8770-15417 confirmed that anchors are present. 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? No

	Equipment ID No.:	QSPDS CHAN A CAB			
	Equipment Class:	(20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and G	Cabinets		
Equipment Description: QUALIFIED SAFETY PARMETER DISPLAY SYSTEM CHANNEL A CABIN					CABINET
	impact DSPQDS PSL has removed ii. Verify whether of unbolted condition	rage cabinet ~1' E of QSPDS could overtur S. PSL generated AR and WR to address is storage cabinet. Per PSL, no current operabilit QSPDS A and B cabinets are bolted togeth on is analyzed. PSL CRN No. 18959 valida dition as conforming with its seismic qualific	sue. y issue. er or tes that		
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting tilkely to collapse onto the equipment? verhead drop ceiling.	, and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free mic interaction effects?	of		No
Other .	Adverse Conditions				
11.	•	d found no adverse seismic conditions that cou ety functions of the equipment?	ld	·	Yes
Commo		hecklists are available at the site.		·	
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunte	er A. Young		11/16/12	
				-	
			-		

Equipment ID No.: RTGB-106

Equipment Class: (20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabinets

Equipment Description: REACTOR TURBINE GENERATOR CONTROL BOARD 106

Project:

St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area):

RAB, 62.00 ft, AW22

Manufacturer/Model:

#### Instructions for Completing Checklist

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

View obscured by carpeting & raised flooring that would require excessive dismounting. Reviews dwg 8770-G-837 sht1 to confirm anchorage of cabinet

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

## Interaction Effects

Status:	Y	N	U
Olulus.			_

Equipment ID No.:	RTGB-106		
Equipment Class:	(20) Instrumentation and Control Panels and Cabine	ts	
Equipment Description:	REACTOR TURBINE GENERATOR CONTROL BOX	ARD 106	
7. Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?		Yes
one tile out of position	ified as acceptable per A-46 SQUG program. Noted If resting on top of box conduit per RTGB-106. Low to soft targets but notified PSL to resecure.		
	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and tilkely to collapse onto the equipment?		Yes
9. Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	·	Yes
	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?		Yes
Other Adverse Conditions			
11. Have you looked for an adversely affect the saf Noted open interior caevent. PSL fixed on spo	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment? binet door (unsecured) which could move in seismic at. Various panel bolts & possibly panels missing. Also side. All non-adverse seismic conditions. PSL to address issue.	,	Yes
Comments			
Detailed signed records of the o	hecklists are available at the site.		
Evaluated by: Seth	W. Baker Date	e: <u>11/16/12</u>	
Hunt	er A. Young	11/16/12	
			<u> </u>

Status: Y

Equipment ID No.: HVA-3A Equipment Class: (11) Chillers Equipment Description: AIR HANDLING UNIT FOR CNTL RM, TSC & COMPUTER ROOM Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 62.00 ft, AW23 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage**  Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes · 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Status:	Y	l N	U
Status.		1.4	U

Seism	ic Walkdown Che	cklist	(SWC)					
	Equipment ID	No.:	HVA-3A					
	Equipment C	class:	(11) Chillers					
	Equipment Descrip	otion:	AIR HANDLIN	IG UNIT FOR CN	ITL RM, TSC & (	COMPU	TER ROOM	,
8.	Are overhead eq masonry block w	•		•		and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines	s have	adequate flexik	oility to avoid dan	nage?			Yes
10.	Based on the abo potentially advers				equipment free o	f		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditio	ns						
11.	Have you looked adversely affect t	for an			ditions that could			Yes
Comm Detaile	ents ed signed records o	of the c	hecklists are a	vailable at the site	₽.			
Evalua	ited by:	Seth	W. Baker			Date:	11/16/12	
		Hunte	er A. Young				11/16/12	
			·				Status	: Y N U

Equipment ID No.: HVE-13A	
Equipment Class: (9) Fans	
Equipment Description: CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR CONTROL ROOM RETURN SYSTEM	
Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 62.00 ft, AW23	<del></del>
Manufacturer/Model:	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
Anchorage	
<ol> <li>Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?</li> </ol>	No
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Yes
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Yes
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	Yes
<ol> <li>Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note:         Not App This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)     </li> </ol>	licable
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Yes
Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes

12Q4116-RPT-001 Rev. 0	
Status: Y N U	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
N SYSTEM	
Yes	
·	
Yes	
Vac	

# Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: HVE-13A Equipment Class: (9) Fans

Equipment Description: CENTRIFUGAL FAN FOR CONTROL ROOM RETUR 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** 11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could Yes adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? Comments Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site. Evaluated by: Seth W. Baker Date: 11/16/12 Hunter A. Young 11/16/12

Status:

Equipment ID No.: CCW SRG TK Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers Equipment Description: COMPONENT COOLING WATER SURGE TANK Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): RAB, 75.00 ft, AW24 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage**  Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Not Applicable Mounted to bldg steel 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-821, Sh. 11 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

				12Q4116-RPT-(	001 Rev. 0
Seism	nic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)	·	Status: [	Y N U
	Equipment ID No.:	CCW SRG TK	•		
	Equipment Class:	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers			
	Equipment Description:	COMPONENT COOLING WATER SURGE TAN	K		
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a It likely to collapse onto the equipment?	nd		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.		ismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?			Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11.	Have you looked for an	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?			Yes
Comm Detaile		checklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12	

Equipment ID No.: AFW PP 1A Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps Equipment Description: AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP 1A Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 20.00 ft, AW34 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Noted pad notch-out for conduit. Verified that no rebar was cut for notch and that anchors extend deep into pump pedestal. No concern. Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-489 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

	5 ·				
	Equipment ID No.:			<del></del> .	
		(5) Horizontal Pumps			
	Equipment Description:	AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP 1A			
8.	Are overhead equipme	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting	, and		Yes
	• •	likely to collapse onto the equipment?	•		
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free mic interaction effects?	of		Yes
Other A	Adverse Conditions				
11.	•	I found no adverse seismic conditions that coulety functions of the equipment?	d		Yes
Comme					
Detaile	d signed records of the o	hecklists are available at the site.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	_ Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young	_	11/16/12	

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: AFW PP 1B

Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps

Equipment Description: AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP 1B

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 20.00 ft, AW34

Manufacturer/Model:

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

Yes

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

North-east and south-east nuts have evident surface corrosion. No indication of loss of strength. No hazard.

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Yes

Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-489

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

#### **Interaction Effects**

		1		
Status:	Υ	N	•	U

Seismic Walkdowr	Checklist	(SWC)
------------------	-----------	-------

	Equipment ID No.	· AEW DD 1D				
	Equipment ID No.		-			
	• •	: (5) Horizontal Pum		·		
	Equipment Description					
7.	Are soft targets free f	rom impact by nearby	equipment or structures?			Yes
8.		ient, distribution syster not likely to collapse or	ms, ceiling tiles and lighting,	and		Yes
	masomy block want i	for interf to condpac of	no the equipment:			
9.	Do attached lines hav	e adequate flexibility t	o avoid damage?			Yes
٠.						
10.	Pasad on the above s	roismis interaction sys	luations, is equipment free o	£		Yes
10.		eismic interaction effec		1		162
	<b>,</b>					
	Adverse Conditions					
11.	•		seismic conditions that could			Yes
	adversely affect the s	afety functions of the $\epsilon$	equipment?			*
•	•					
				•		
Comm						
Jetaile	ed signed records of the	checklists are availab	ole at the site.			
	<u> </u>					
Evalua	ted by: Set	h W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
		•				
	Hui	nter A. Young			11/16/12	
			·			
			•			

Status: Y N U

Equipment ID No.: MFIV AIR ACCUM 1A Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers Equipment Description: MFIV N2 ACCUM 1A Project: St'Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 19.50 ft, AW34 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? No Hold down bolt on east end of tank has evidence of corrosion, potentially indicative of strength loss. PSL generated AR and WR to address issue. 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-814 Sh. 137 Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Yes

		1	
Status:	ΙΥ	l N	U

Seismic	Walkdown	Checklist	(SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MFIV AIR ACCUM 1A Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers Equipment Description: MFIV N2 ACCUM 1A 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and Yes masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? Yes 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of Yes potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? Other Adverse Conditions 11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could Yes adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? Comments Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site. Evaluated by: Seth W. Baker Date: 11/16/12 Hunter A. Young 11/16/12 Status:

Equipment ID No.: MV-09-13

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CROSSTIE BETWEEN AFW PP 1A & 1B

Equipment Description:

DISCH

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 21.00 ft, AW34

Manufacturer/Model:

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

#### **Interaction Effects**

7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?

Yes

	Equipment ID No.:	MV-09-13			
	Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valv	es		
	Equipment Description:	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CROSSTIE E		EN AFW PP 1A	& 1B
	Equipment Description.	DIOCH			
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a t likely to collapse onto the equipment?	nd		Yes
				•	
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
	·				
10.		ismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?			Yes
			,		
<u>Other</u>	Adverse Conditions				
11.	· ·	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?			Yes
Comm		hecklists are available at the site.			
Detaile	a signed records of the t	inechists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12	

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MV-09-14

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FOR CROSSTIE BETWEEN AFW PP 1A & 1B

Equipment Description: DISCH

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 21.00 ft, AW34

Manufacturer/Model:

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

	Equipment ID No.:	MV-09-14	•			
	Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated a	nd Solenoid-Operated \	Valves		
			VALVE FOR CROSST		EN AFW PP 1A	\ & 1B
	Equipment Description:	DISCH				
Interac	ction Effects					
7.	Are soft targets free fro	om impact by nearby ed	juipment or structures?			Yes
	•					
8.	• •	•	s, ceiling tiles and lightin	g, and		Yes
	masonry block walls no	ot likely to collapse onto	the equipment?			
•						
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to	avoid damage?			Yes
			_			
					·	
10.	Based on the above se	ismic interaction evalua	ations, is equipment free	e of		Yes
	potentially adverse seis	smic interaction effects	?			
	•					
Other	Adverse Conditions					
11.	<u> </u>	Id found no adverse se	ismic conditions that co	uld		Yes
	adversely affect the sat		·	u.u		
2000	onto					
Commo Detaile	ents d signed records of the o	checklists are available	at the site			
	a eignea recorac or and t					
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Lluni	or A. Vouna			11/16/12	
		er A. Young	<u> </u>		11/16/12	
		•	*			

Status: Y

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) Equipment ID No.: AFW PP 1C Equipment Class: (5) Horizontal Pumps Equipment Description: AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP 1C (STEAM DRIVEN) Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 20.00 ft, AW35 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Yes Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-G-489 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Status:	Υ	N	U

Seismic Walkdow	n Checklist (SWC)
-----------------	-------------------

	Equipment ID No.:	AFW PP 1C			
	Equipment Class:	(5) Horizontal Pumps			
Equipment Description: AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP 1C (STEAM DRIVEN)					178.
Interaction Effects					
7.	7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?				Yes
	•	ouilt overhead. Ruggedly supported with steel plat olted to building steel. No hazard.	es on		
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and likely to collapse onto the equipment?	and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.		ismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free or smic interaction effects?	f		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions				
11. Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could				·	Yes
	adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?				
Comments					
Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site.					
				war	
Evaluated by: Seth		W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12	
Calares	:- \#\-  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -	(OMO)		Status.	Y N U

Equipment ID No.: MV-08-03 Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves Equipment Description: THROTTLE/TRIP VALVE FOR AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMP 1C Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL TRSL, 22.00 ft, AW35 Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Not Applicable 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Not Applicable Not Applicable 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Not Applicable 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes potentially adverse seismic conditions? **Interaction Effects** 

7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?

		1	
Status:	Υ	N	11
Juana.			U

	Equipment ID No.:	MV-08-03			
	Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves			
	Equipment Description:	THROTTLE/TRIP VALVE FOR AUXILIARY FEEDWAT	ER PUMP 1C		
8.	elevation above. Items and differential displace therefore contact is not Are overhead equipment masonry block walls no Noted steel platform b	pprox 1/16" to conduit box that is supported from vill likely contact during SSE. Conduit box is low mass ment will not transfer significant kinetic energy; an adverse seismic condition. t, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and likely to collapse onto the equipment? uilt overhead. Ruggedly supported with steel plates on the to building steel. No hazard.	Yes		
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes		
10.	10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?				
	Adverse Conditions		V		
11.	•	I found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?	Yes		
Comm	ents				
Detaile	d signed records of the o	necklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker Date:	11/16/12		
	Hunt	er A. Young	11/16/12		

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MV-08-13

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1A MAIN STEAM TO AFW

Equipment Description: PP 1C

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 28.00 ft, AW35

Manufacturer/Model:

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

 Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

٠	Equipment ID No.:	MV-08-13			
	Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated and Sole MOTOR OPERATED ISOLA		A MAIN STEAM TO	) AFW
	Equipment Description:	PP 1C			
ntera	ction Effects				
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipmen	t or structures?	•	Yes
8.	• •	nt, distribution systems, ceiling t likely to collapse onto the eq	• •		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid da	image?		Yes
10.		ismic interaction evaluations, i mic interaction effects?	s equipment free of		Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions	-			
11.	-	d found no adverse seismic co ety functions of the equipment			Yes
			•		
Comm Detaile	onto.	hecklists are available at the s	site.		
evalua	ited by: Seth	W. Baker	Date	e: 11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young	April 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	11/16/12	

### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Equipment ID No.: PT-09-9B Equipment Class: (0) Other PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR FEEDWATER HDR STEAM GENERATOR Equipment Description: 1B INLET Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 24.00 ft, AW35 Manufacturer/Model: **Instructions for Completing Checklist** This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% Yes of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Not Applicable Mounted to building steel. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Yes This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorage consistent with drawing 8770-B-231 Sh. 30-01A 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Yes

Status:	Y	N	U
otatao.			_

		·	
	Equipment ID No.:	PT-09-9B	
	Equipment Class:	(0) Other	·
,		PRESSURE TRANSMITTER FOR FEEDWATER I	IDR STEAM GENERATOR
	Equipment Description:	1B INLET	
	ction Effects		
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes
8.	Are overhead equipme	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and	Yes
<b>O.</b>		t likely to collapse onto the equipment?	Tes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
10.		smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions		6
11.	-	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?	Yes
Comm	ents		
		hecklists are available at the site.	
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker Da	ate: 11/16/12
	Hunte	er A. Young	11/16/12
*			

### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: HCV-08-1B

Equipment Class: (7) Fluid-Operated Valves

Equipment Description: MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (MSIV) FOR STEAM GENERATOR 1B

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 36.00 ft, AW13

Manufacturer/Model:

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

### **Interaction Effects**

Seism	ic Walkdown Check	ist (SWC)		Status: Y N U
	Equipment ID No	o.: HCV-08-1B		
	Equipment Clas	s: (7) Fluid-Operated Valves		
	Equipment Descriptio	n: MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (M	MSIV) FOR STEAM	GENERATOR 1B
7.	Are soft targets free	from impact by nearby equipment or struc		Yes
8.		nent, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and not likely to collapse onto the equipment?		Yes
9.	Do attached lines ha	ve adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		Yes
10.		seismic interaction evaluations, is equipmetismic interaction effects?	nent free of	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions			
11.	•	and found no adverse seismic conditions safety functions of the equipment?	that could	Yes
		,		
Comm Detaile		e checklists are available at the site.		
Evalua	ted by: So	eth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	H	inter A. Young		11/16/12

Equipment ID No.: HCV-09-08

Equipment Class: (7) Fluid-Operated Valves

MAIN FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1B UPSTREAM OF

Equipment Description: PENETR P-4

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 36.00 ft, AW13

Manufacturer/Model:

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

## Interaction Effects

7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?

Status:	V	N	11
Status.	T	IN.	U

	Equipment ID No.:	HCV-09-08	
	Equipment Class:	(7) Fluid-Operated Valves	
	Footonia de Branda Para	MAIN FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1B UPSTREAM OF	
	Equipment Description:	PENETR P-4	
8.	The state of the s	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and Ye t likely to collapse onto the equipment?	s
	·		
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage? Ye	S
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of Yes mic interaction effects?	S
Other	Adverse Conditions		
11.	Have you looked for an	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?	5
Comm	nents		
Detaile	ed signed records of the o	hecklists are available at the site.	
Evalua	ated by: Seth	W. Baker Date:11/16/12	_
	Hunte	er A. Young 11/16/12	

Status: Y

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MSIV ACCUM 1B1 Equipment Class: (21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (HCV-08-1B) CNTL AIR ACCUMULATOR **Equipment Description:** Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 45.00 ft, AW13 Manufacturer/Model: Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. **Anchorage** 1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% No of SWEL items requiring such verification)? 2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Yes 3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? Yes 4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Not Applicable Anchored to steel structure Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: Not Applicable This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of

potentially adverse seismic conditions?

	Equipment ID No.:	MSIV ACCUM 1B1			
	Equipment Class:				
		MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (HCV-08-1B	) CNTL	AIR ACCUMUI	LATOR
	Equipment Description:	1B1			•
	ction Effects				
7.	Are soft targets free from	om impact by nearby equipment or structures?			Yes
				·	
8.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and likely to collapse onto the equipment?	and		Yes
					٠
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
			_		
10.	10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?				
Other .	Adverse Conditions				
11.		d found no adverse seismic conditions that could fety functions of the equipment?		·	Yes
Comm	ents				
Detaile	d signed records of the	checklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hun	er A. Young		11/16/12	

Equipment ID No.: MV-09-10

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1B DISCHARGE TO

Equipment Description: STEAM GEN 1B

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 43.00 ft, AW13

Manufacturer/Model:

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Equipment ID No.:	MV-09-10	
Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	
Equipment Description:	MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1 STEAM GEN 1B	B DISCHARGE TO
Interaction Effects	OTEAW OLIVID	
<u> </u>	n impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes
J. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	, p	
•		
•		
	t, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and	Yes
masonry block walls no	likely to collapse onto the equipment?	
•		
Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
10. Based on the above se	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of	Yes
potentially adverse seis	nic interaction effects?	
Other Adverse Conditions		
<u>•</u>	found no adverse seismic conditions that could	Yes
adversely affect the saf	ety functions of the equipment?	
		٠.
Comments  Detailed signed records of the	pocklists are quallable at the site	•
Detailed signed records of the C	hecklists are available at the site.	
Evaluated by: Seth	W. Baker Da	te: 11/16/12
· Hunt	er A. Young	11/16/12
		<del></del>

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MV-09-12

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1B DISCHARGE TO

Equipment Description: STEAM GEN 1B

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 43.00 ft, AW13

Manufacturer/Model:

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

	Equipmer	nt ID No.:	MV-09-12			
٠	Equipme	nt Class:	(8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valv			
			MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW F	P 1B D	ISCHARGE TO	
	Equipment Des	scription:	STEAM GEN 1B			
<u>Interac</u>	ction Effects					
7.	Are soft targe	ets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?			Yes
8.			nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, at likely to collapse onto the equipment?	and		Yes
9.	Do attached I	ines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of yes potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?					Yes
Other	Adverse Cond	litions		÷		
11.	Have you lool	ked for and	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?			Yes
Comm	ents			_		
Detaile	d signed record	ds of the c	hecklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	ted by:	Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
		Hunte	er A. Young		11/16/12	

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: HCV-08-1A

Equipment Class: (7) Fluid-Operated Valves

Equipment Description: MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (MSIV) FOR STEAM GENERATOR 1A

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 36.00 ft, AW14

Manufacturer/Model:

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

## **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

. Yes

Seism	nic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)	•	Status: [	YNU
	Equipment ID No.:	HCV-08-1A			
	Equipment Class:	(7) Fluid-Operated Valves			
	Equipment Description:	MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVE (MSIV) FOR	STEAM	/ GENERATO	R 1A
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?			Yes
		nissing bolts fastening handrail stanchions to slee gh to preclude falling out and impacting valves so ssue			
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a t likely to collapse onto the equipment?	and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?			Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions		·		
11.	Have you looked for an	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?			Yes
				•	
Comm		hecklists are available at the site.			
Evalua	sted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12	

Equipment ID No.: HCV-09-07

Equipment Class: (7) Fluid-Operated Valves

MAIN FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1A UPSTREAM OF

Equipment Description: PENETR P-3

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 36.00 ft, AW14

Manufacturer/Model:

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

## Interaction Effects

7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?

Status:	Υ	N	U
Glalus.		11	v

	Equipment ID No.:	HCV-09-07	
	Equipment Class:	(7) Fluid-Operated Valves	
		MAIN FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE FOR SG 1A U	PSTREAM OF
	Equipment Description:	PENETR P-3	
8.		nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and it likely to collapse onto the equipment?	Yes
	,,		
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
σ.			
10.		ismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of	Yes
	potentially adverse seis	mic interaction effects?	
	Adverse Conditions		.,
11.	<u> </u>	d found no adverse seismic conditions that could ety functions of the equipment?	Yes
	adversely affect the sai	cty ranotions of the equipment:	
Comm	ente		
		checklists are available at the site.	
	<u> </u>		
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker Date:	11/16/12
		A .V	
	Hunt	er A. Young	11/16/12

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MV-09-09

Equipment Class: (8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1A DISCHARGE TO

Equipment Description: STEAM GEN 1A

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 43.00 ft, AW14

Manufacturer/Model:

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

	Equipment ID No.:	MV-09-09	
	Equipment Class:	(8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves	
		MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1A DISCHARG	E TO
	Equipment Description:	STEAM GEN 1A	
	ction Effects		
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Yes
	•		
8.	• •	nt, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and t likely to collapse onto the equipment?	Yes
	•		
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis	smic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of mic interaction effects?	Yes
Other	Adverse Conditions		
11.		d found no adverse seismic conditions that could	Yes
11.	•	ety functions of the equipment?	103
	,		
Comm			
Detaile	a signed records of the c	hecklists are available at the site.	
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker Date: 11/16/12	
	Hunte	er A. Young 11/16/12	

Status: |

## Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.: MV-09-11

Equipment Class:

(8) Motor-Operated and Solenoid-Operated Valves

MOTOR OPERATED VALVE FROM AUX FW PP 1C DISCHARGE TO

Equipment Description:

STEAM GEN 1A

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): TRSL, 43.00 ft, AW14

Manufacturer/Model:

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

#### **Anchorage**

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Not Applicable

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Not Applicable

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Not Applicable

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

	Equipment ID No.:	MV-09-11			7/1	
	Equipment Class:		nd Solenoid-Operated Val VALVE FROM AUX FW I		ISCHARGE TO	
	Equipment Description:	STEAM GEN 1A				
nterac	ction Effects					
7.	Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby eq	uipment or structures?			Yes
	Scaff. plank is ~3/4" fr scaffolding adequately		nov. plank & supporting on concern.			•
8.	Are overhead equipment masonry block walls no		, ceiling tiles and lighting, the equipment?	and		Yes
9.	Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to a	avoid damage?			Yes
10.	Based on the above se potentially adverse seis		ations, is equipment free o	f		Yes
)thor	Adverse Conditions				·	
11.			smic conditions that could ipment?			Yes
Commo Detaile	ents d signed records of the c	checklists are available	at the site.			
Evalua	ted by: Seth	W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunt	er A. Young			11/16/12	
			,			

Status:	Y	N	u
Otatus.		1.4	U

### Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No.:	RWT
Equipment Class:	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers

Equipment Description: REFUELING WATER TANK

ment Description. REFUELING WATER TANK

Project: St Lucie 1 SWEL

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): YD, 19.00 ft, AW02

Manufacturer/Model:

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

## Anchorage

1. Is anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?

No

2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?

Yes

Anchorage chairs covered in protective insulation

3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?

Yes

4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?

Yes

5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)

Not Applicable

6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?

Yes

### **Interaction Effects**

ic Walkdown Checklist	(SWC)		Status: Y N U
Equipment ID No.:	RWT		
Equipment Class:	(21) Tanks and Heat Exchangers		
Equipment Description:	REFUELING WATER TANK		
Are soft targets free fro	m impact by nearby equipment or structures?		Yes
• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nd	Not Applicable
Do attached lines have	adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		Yes
	·		Yes
Adverse Conditions			
Have you looked for an			Yes
	checklists are available at the site.		
ted by: Seth	W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
Hunt	er A. Young		11/16/12
	Equipment ID No.: Equipment Class: Equipment Description: Are soft targets free fro  Are overhead equipme masonry block walls no Exposed area  Do attached lines have  Based on the above se potentially adverse seis  Adverse Conditions Have you looked for an adversely affect the safe disigned records of the contents and signed records and	Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?  Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, a masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?  Exposed area  Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?  Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?  Adverse Conditions  Have you looked for and found no adverse seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?  ents d signed records of the checklists are available at the site.	Equipment ID No.:



Table D-1: Summary of Area Walk-by Checklists				
Area Walk-	Description	ID	Page	
		CCW-HX-1A		
		CCW-PP-1A		
		FT-14-1A		
		HCV-14-8A		
	CCIMAL Area A Train	HCV-14-9	n. 1	
AW01	CCW N Area A Train	MV-14-1	D-4	
,		MV-14-3		
		SS-21-1A		
		SS-21-1A CNTL PNL		
		TCV-14-4A		
AW02	RWT Pit	RWT	D-6	
		ICW-PP-1A	·	
AW03	INTK 20' Inside ICW Pump Missile Enclosure		D-8	
	2.110.000.10	ICW-PP-1C		
A1A/O.4	INITIO AND IONALIS SEE DIS	MV-21-2	D-10	
AW04	INTK 11' ICW Header Pit	MV-21-3		
AW05	YARD 19' Inside CST Concrete Enclosure	CST	D-12	
	RAB -0.5' EI, W Corridor near NaOH "A" Tank	FT-3311	D-14	
AW06				
		NAOH STG TK 1A		
AW07	RAB -0.5' EI, SDHX 1A Rm	SDC HX 1A	D-16	
		PDT-25-16A		
41400	DAR A ELEI Control Corridor	PT-3305	D 10	
AW08	RAB -0.5' El, Central Corridor	SE-07-2A	D-18	
		V2514		
AW09	RAB -10 EI, LPSI 1A Rm	LPSI 1A	D-20	
A)A(10	RAB -10 EI, CS/HPSI "A" Pump	CTMT SPR PP 1A	D 33	
AW10	Room	HPSI PP 1A	D-22	
AW11	RAB -0.5' El, Chg Pump 1A Cubicle	CHG PP 1A	D-24	
AW12	RAB -0.5' EI, BAMT 1A Rm	BAM PP 1A	D-26	
//////	TO U.O EL, DAMIT IA MIT	BAMT 1A	<i>3-20</i>	
AW13	TRSL 43' S Area	HCV-08-1B	D-28	

Area Walk-	Description	ID	Page	
		HCV-09-08		
		MSIV ACCUM 1B1		
		MV-09-10		
	·	MV-09-12		
		HCV-08-1A		
		HCV-09-07		
AW14	TRSL 43' N Area	MV-09-09	D-30	
	·	MV-09-11		
AW15	FHB 20' FPHX Rm	FUEL POOL HX	D-32	
		FUEL POOL PP 1A		
AW16	FHB 19.5' FP Pump Rm	FUEL POOL,PP 1B	D-34	
		480V MCC 1A8		
AW17	FHB 48' HVAC Rm	HVE-16A	D-36	
AW18	RAB 48' Inside Plenum	HVS-4A	D-38	
		HVE-6A		
AW19	RAB 48' HVAC Area	HVE-6A PLENUM	D-40	
	•	HVE-9A		
		480V SWGR 1AB*		
	·	4KV SWGR 1AB*		
AW20	V20 RAB 19.5' CEA MG ST Rm	CEA DR MG ST 1A	D-42	
AVVZU	RAB 19.5 CEA MG ST KIII	CEA DR MG ST 1A (IN CNTL PNL)*		
		PT-07-4B		
		480V MCC 1A2		
		480V MCC 1A7*	,	
		DG 1A CNTL PNL		
	·	DG 1A S/U AIR TK 1A1		
		DG DO DAY TK 1A2		
AW21	DGB 22' 1A Rm	DG ENG 1A2 LUBO CLR	D-44	
	·	DG ENG 1A2 RDTR	,	
		DSL GEN 1A		
		SKBK LUBO AC PP		
-		1A2 SKBK LUBO DC PP 1A2		
		ESC SA		
AW22	RAB 62' CTRL Rm	QSPDS CHAN A CAB	D-46	
		RTGB-106		
A1A/00	DAD COLLINAS D. ALA	HVA-3A	D 42	
AW23	RAB 62' HVAC Rm N Area	HVE-13A	D-48	
AW24	RAB 62' CCW Surge Tank Rm	CCW SRG TK	D-50	
AW25	RAB 43' "B' Battery Rm	. 125V BATT 1B	D-52	
	L			

Area Walk-	Description	ID.	Page	
		120V INSTR BUS 1MC		
		125V DC BUS 1A		
		480 PZ BUS1A3*		
AW26	DAD 42! Coble Spreading Dec	480V MCC 1AB	D-54	
AVVZO	RAB 43' Cable Spreading Rm	480V PZR XFMR 1A3	D-34	
		BATT CHGR 1A		
		RX TRIP SWGR		
		STC INVTR 1A		
AW27	RAB 43' "A" Batt Rm	. 125V BATT 1A	D-56	
AW28	RAB 43' Remote Shutdown Rm	HSCP 1A	D-58	
		480V SWGR 1B-2*	D-60	
AW29	RAB 43' S SWGR Rm	STA SVC XFMR 1B-2	D-00	
	RAB 43' N SWGR Rm	480V MCC 1A6		
AW30		4KV SWGR 1A3*	D-62	
Avvou		ISOL PNL 1A		
		STA SVC XFMR 1A-2		
AW31	DOST Area	DOST 1A	D-64	
AVVS1	DOSTAICA	DOST 1B	D-04	
AW32	1A Diesel Xfer Pumphouse	DG FO XFR PP 1A	D-66	
AW33	1B Diesel Xfer Pumphouse	DG FO XFR PP 1B	D-68	
		AFW PP 1A		
		AFW PP 1B		
AW34	734 TRSL 19.5' El., S Rm	MFIV AIR ACCUM 1A	D-70	
		MV-09-13		
		MV-09-14		
		AFW PP 1C		
AW35	TRSL 19.5' EI, N Rm	MV-08-03	D-72	
		MV-08-13		
		PT-09-9B		

<sup>\*</sup> Item deferred

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW01 - CCW N Area A Train

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

that could cause a fire in the area?

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? #2 see below 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant No degraded conditions? ~1" pipe N of strainer has 2 unanchored supports ineffective at resisting lateral load, piping is still functional due to low mass &inherent ductility. No impact hazards. PSL generated AR and WR to address issue. Significant surface corrosion throughout area, no other strength loss of than those identified in SWCs. 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Exposed area 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes

# Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Lo	cation (Bldg	g, Elev, Room/Area): AW01 - CCW N Area A Train			
7.	associated	pear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions d with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?		Yes	
8. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?  Various grating clips missing  Heavily corroded grating N of HX A. No fall hazard. PSL generated AR and WR to address issue.					
Comm		iress issue.			
		cords of the checklists are available at the site.			
/					
Evalua	ted by:	Seth W. Baker Date:	11/16/12		
		Hunter A. Young	11/16/12		

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW02 - RWT Pit

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

- 1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? Surface corrosion throughout; no structural strength loss indicated 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Not Applicable HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Exposed Area 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area?
- 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status.   I   N U	Status:	Υ	N	U
-------------------	---------	---	---	---

# Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg	, Elev, Room/Area): AW02 - RWT P	it	
adversely On SE si	looked for and found no other seismic affect the safety functions of the equip de of tank. conduit w/ missing cover a at runs to B101. No seismic concern b	oment in the area? nd general poor condition of	Yes
Comments  Detailed signed re-	cords of the checklists are available a	the site	
	cords of the checklists are available a	the site.	
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12
,			

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW03 - INTK 20' Inside ICW Pump Missile Enclosure

### Instructions for Completing Checklist

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? Surface corrosion throughout due to harsh environment. No indication of strength loss. No concern. 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status:	Υ	N	U

# Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW03 - INTK 20' Ins	ide ICW Pump Missile End	losure	
Scaff. has	good clearance & support. No issue			
,				
8. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?  Conc. block protecting conduit to ICW-PP-B is completely cracked through. slab below provides support; therefore, non-seismic concern. PSL generated WR to address issue.				
Comments				
Detailed signed reco	ords of the checklists are available at the	site.		
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
· -	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	
• •				

Status:

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW04 - INTK 11' ICW Header Pit

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The sp Ad

•	below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. nal space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
1.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?	Yes
2.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?  Normal surface corrosion noted due to harsh environment. No seismic concerns.	Yes
3.	Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?	Yes
4.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)?	Yes
5.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?	Yes
6.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?	Yes
7.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?	Yes

Status:	Y	N	U

Location (Ridg	Elev, Room/Area): AW04 - INTK 11' IC\	M Hoador Dit		
Location (blug,	Liev, Roominatea). Avvo4 - INTRATTOR	v Header i it		
	poked for and found no other seismic condi- ffect the safety functions of the equipmen		Ye	3
Comments Detailed signed rec	ords of the checklists are available at the	site.		
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	_

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW05 - YARD 19' Inside CST Concrete Enclosure

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?

Yes

2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?

No

base plate anchor bolts and nuts for support of LT-12-12 are heavily corroded and indicate loss of strength. Given LT low mass, loss of strength judged to be acceptable for function of equip. during seismic event w/ tubing and conduit able to provide additional support. PSL generated AR and WR.

On N side of tank on what appears to be CST fill line, vertical support base plate has heavy corrosion on bolts and nuts which indicates loss of strength. Support provides only bearing support so bolts and nuts do not negatively affect seismic function of support. PSL generated AR and WR to address the issue.

Yes

3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?

Yes

5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?

4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial

interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)?

Yes

6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?

Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW05 - YARD 19' Inside CST Concrete Enclosure				
associated	pear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic inted with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipme installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?			Yes
•	looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could affect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?			Yes
Comments Detailed signed rec	cords of the checklists are available at the site.	· · .		
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young	ny vide desilations.	11/16/12	

Status: | Y

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW06 - RAB -0.5' El, W Corridor near NaOH "A" Tank

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Heavy cable tray ruggedly supported w/ welded channels and angle supports at frequent intervals. No hazard. Rod hung piping throughout w/ potential to come in contact with other rugged pipe. No concern for objects to fall due to good vertical support & flexibility. 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? See #3 above. 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? rod hung fire piping is welded and very ductile. No spray hard adhesive, supported vertically. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions

associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and

temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Yes

Status:	Y	N	U

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW06 - RAB -	0.5' El, W Corridor near NaOH "A	\" Tank	
hazard due procedure v seismic con 8. Have you lo	cabinet not chocked off at wheels. to ability to roll & apparent low c.g., riolation. Noted scaffolding w/ no low cern but alerted PSL of possible prooked for and found no other seismiffect the safety functions of the equ	but notified PSL of possible ad w/in 2" of cable tray. No ocedure violation. c conditions that could		Yes
Comments				
	ords of the checklists are available	at the site.		
Evaluated by:	.Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	.,,,,,,
_	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	
	•	•		

# Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW07 - RAB -0.5' EI, SDHX 1A Rm

# **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

-	below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. onal space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
<b>1.</b>	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?	Yes
2.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?	Yes
3.	Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?	Yes
4.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? See #7 for SD HX 1A. No hazard	Yes
5.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?	Yes
6.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?	Yes
7.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?	Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U
	-		_

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW07 - RAB -0.5' EI, SDHX 1A I	Rm		
•	oked for and found no other seismic conditions that c ffect the safety functions of the equipment in the area			Yes
Comments				
	ords of the checklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
_	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	

# Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW08 - RAB -0.5' El, Central Corridor

# **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?

Yes

2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?

Yes

3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?

Yes

- Heavy cable tray w/ rugged supports
- 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? MCCs 1B-2 & 1A-2 have small gaps to conc. walls but appear NNS & therefore would have no SC-1 basis. Confirmed by PSL.

Yes

5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?

Yes

Rod hung overhead piping carrying the service water lines w/ long runs w/o designed lateral support. Actual stiffness comes from branch lines going to supports at walls. Enough pipe lines and contact points (in addition to 90 deg. turn of pipe runs) to preclude excessive to one pipe line so as to preclude failure. Judged to be acceptable.

Yes

6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?

Yes

7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status:	Υ	N	П
Olalus.		1.4	U

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW08 - RAB -0.5' El, Central Corrid	or		
	ooked for and found no other seismic conditions that could ffect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?	d		Yes
Comments				
Detailed signed reco	ords of the checklists are available at the site.			
	The state of the s			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
_	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	

#### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW09 - RAB -10 El, LPSI 1A Rm

temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

# **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Hoist overhead well supported. OK 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW09 - RAB -10 El, LPSI 1A Rm	า		
Ladder ad	dequately anchored overhead.			
	,			
O House van l	and the and found an other points and time that	الماريم		Vac
•	ooked for and found no other seismic conditions that co affect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?			Yes
,				
		*		
Comments  Detailed signed rec	cords of the checklists are available at the site.			
Detailed signed fee	ords of the checklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	
	Flutter A. Tourig		11/10/12	
		·		

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW10 - RAB -10 El, CS/HPSI "A" Pump Room

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Overhead hoist well supported. 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and

temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status:	Y	N	U
otatao			_

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW10 - RAB -10	EI, CS/HPSI "A" Pump Room	
	r HPSI 1A SWC regarding unsecured la er SWE judgment.	adder. No adverse seismic	
			.,
	poked for and found no other seismic co affect the safety functions of the equipm		Yes
Comments  Detailed signed rec	ords of the checklists are available at tl	he site.	
		·	
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12
	•	•	

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW11 - RAB -0.5' El, Chg Pump 1A Cubicle

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

- 1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? Discharge line of charge pump 1A is missing a U-bolt on a branch line support of a welded tab for vertical support. Adequate support provided adjacently for small flexible pipe w/ good clearance so as to preclude seismic failure. PSL noted condition. 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Overhead hoist well supported 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area?
  - 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW11 -	RAB -0.5' El, Chg Pump 1A (	Cubicle		
	oked for and found no other fect the safety functions of th	seismic conditions that could be equipment in the area?			Yes
Comments					
Detailed signed reco	ords of the checklists are ava	lable at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young			11/16/12	

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW12 - RAB -0.5' El, BAMT 1A Rm

temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. 1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? Duct run cuts into BAMT 1A insulation. Judged as no hazard to duct due to tank stiffness & duct flexibility. 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and

Status:	V	N	П
otatus.	1	l IN	U

	Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area):	AW12 - RAB -0.	5' EI, BAMT 1A Rm	l		
	•	ooked for and found ffect the safety fund			d		Yes
	Comments Detailed signed reco	ords of the checklist	ts are available at	the site.			
ı	Evaluated by:	Seth W. Bak	ker		Date:	11/16/12	
-		Hunter A. Yo	oung			11/16/12	
	•						

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW13 - TRSL 43' S Area

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

	below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. nal space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
1.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?	Yes
2.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?	Yes
3.	Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?	Yes
4.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)?	Yes
5.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?	Yes
6.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?	Yes
7.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?	Yes

Status:	Υ	Ν	U

Location	(Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW13 - TRSL 43' S Area			
	aff in area is well supported w/ good clearance to equipment in area. erns.	. No		
	e you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could ersely affect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?			Yes
Comments Detailed sign	ed records of the checklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	
	<u> </u>			

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW14 - TRSL 43' N Area

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?

Yes

2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?

Yes

3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?

Yes

4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)?

Visual pressure indicator in close proximity to building steel E of MSIV HCV-08-1A and instr. air pipe to MSIV accum 1A is flexible and may come into contact with different steel support (although already noted). Both instances have inherently rugged items that are not soft targets; Contact chatters will not damage equipment. No seismic concern.

Yes

5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?

Yes

6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?

Yes

7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U
otatas.	•		_

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW14 - TRSL 43	' N Area	
All scaff is	well anchored & supported w/ adequa	te clearance. No issue	
·	poked for and found no other seismic confect the safety functions of the equipment		Yes
Comments			
	ords of the checklists are available at t	he site.	
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12

Status:

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW15 - FHB 20' FPHX Rm

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings.

Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Yes Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

	Status:	Υ	N	U
--	---------	---	---	---

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area):	AW15 - FHB 20' FPHX F	₹m		
•		no other seismic condition			Yes
Comments					
Detailed signed rec	ords of the checklist	ts are available at the site.			•
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Bak	cer	Date:	11/16/12	
-					
-	Hunter A. Yo	oung		11/16/12	
		•			
					•
		•			

# Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW16 - FHB 19.5' FP Pump Rm

# **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

	below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. nal space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
1.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?	Yes
2.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?	Yes
3.	Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?	Yes
4.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? Hoist chains zip-tied off so no interaction hazard with soft targets. No issue.	Yes
5.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?	Yes
6.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?	Yes
7.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?	Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AV	V16 - FHB 19.5' FP Pump Rr	n		
•		other seismic conditions that s of the equipment in the are			Yes
Comments Detailed signed rec	ords of the checklists are	e available at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker		Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young			11/16/12	
					<u> </u>

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW17 - FHB 48' HVAC Rm

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? Lighting transformer appears unanchored to elevated wall platforms. Ops Confirmed as NNS. No fall hazard to safety equipment.

Yes

2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?

No

Plenum for HVE-16A&16B has significant corrosion indicative of strength loss at NE corner. 2 out of approx. 60 stitch welds may be affected. PSL generated AR and WR to address issue. Per PSL, Remaining length of weld acceptable for equipment to perform function. AR action issued to clean, inspect and repair as required to restore design margin. No current operability issue.

Yes

3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?

Yes

4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? MCC-1B-8 has 1/8" gap in front-to-back direction w/ concrete wall to the E. MCC 1B-8 confirmed to be QR, Seismic Category 2:1. Therefore potential contact with the wall poses no adverse concern since equipment functionality is not required.

Yes

5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?

Yes

6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?

Yes

 Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and

Status:	Y	N	U

Location (Bldg	, Elev, Room/Area): AW17 - FHB 48' H\	/AC Rm		
temporary	installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shield	ing)?		
	ored by HVE-16 plenum and HVS-7. No igets but notified PSL of procedure violation		·	
<u> </u>	ooked for and found no other seismic con affect the safety functions of the equipmen			Yes
				,
Comments  Detailed signed rec	cords of the checklists are available at the	site.		
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	
·				
		•		

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW18 - RAB 48' Inside Plenum

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. 1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status:	Y	N	U

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW18 - RAB	48' Inside Plenum	•
•	poked for and found no other seism iffect the safety functions of the eq		Yes
Comments			
Detailed signed rec	ords of the checklists are available	at the site.	
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12
•	•		

#### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW19 - RAB 48' HVAC Area

temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Heavy HVAC well supported w/ angle sections at frequent intervals. OK. 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? Piping is flexible across containment/ RAB building gap. No Issue. Yes Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW19 - RAB 48' HVAC Area			
•	poked for and found no other seismic conditions that coul affect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?	d		Yes
Comments				
Detailed signed rec	ords of the checklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	
•				•
:				
Detailed signed rec	Seth W. Baker	Date: 		

Status: Y

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW20 - RAB 19.5' CEA MG ST Rm

temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Heavy hoist beam w/ large rig appears seismically rugged & parked in safe position. 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions No associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and

Status:	Υ	N	U

	g, Elev, Room/Area): AW20 - RAB 19.5' CEA MG ST Rm		
Vert. Ma	an-lift chocked off but resting against box B197B for PT-07-8B. Moun	t	
of Man-li	ft during SSE could damage transmitter. PSL generated AR to		
address i	issue.		
Protected	d equip signs stored against 4.16 KV SWGR 1AB. Could cause equip		
malfuncti	on from impact. PSL generated AR to address issue.		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
RAD was	ste bin on wheels adjacent to CTMT rad monitoring equipment. Low		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	mass and low C.G. Bin; therefore, SWT judged bin to be no hazard to equip.		
	end moving to proper storage.		
	y affect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?		
	- <u> </u>		
Comments			
	ecords of the checklists are available at the site.		
	ecords of the checklists are available at the site.		
	ecords of the checklists are available at the site.		
	ecords of the checklists are available at the site.  Seth W. Baker  Date	: 11/16/12	_
Detailed signed re		11/16/12	
Detailed signed re	Seth W. Baker Date	: <u>11/16/12</u> 11/16/12	_
Detailed signed re			
Detailed signed re	Seth W. Baker Date		_

# Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW21 - DGB 22' 1A Rm

# **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

pace below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings.  Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.			
1.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?	Yes	
2.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?	Yes	
3.	Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?  Hoists, fire piping, exhaust silencers all rigidly supported w/ no fall concerns. Hoist chains are tied off in safe positions.	Yes	
4.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)?	Yes	
5.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?	Yes	
6.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?	Yes	
7.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?	Yes	

Status:	Y	N	U
Otatao.			_

Location (E	Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW21 - DGB 22' 1A Rm			
area oi violatio	all is well anchored w/ adequate clearance to soft targets. Scaff in S f building is mounted to safety related EDG skid, which may be in on of procedure. w/o ENG approval. SWT judged as no seismic conc low scaff. mass.			
•	ou looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could ely affect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?	•	•	Yes
			·	
Comments Detailed signed	records of the checklists are available at the site.		·	
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker D	ate:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	

### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW22 - RAB 62' CTRL Rm

### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

 Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? Due to raised floor, unable to view anchorages of all equipment. Anchorage of equipment confirmed by 8770-G-837 Sht. 1 & 2. Yes

2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?

Yes

3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?

Yes

4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)?
(a) Suspended ceiling w/ eggcrates acoustic tiles. Cannot access above panels to visually assess, but A-46 program reviewed and determined no seismic hazard.

Yes

- (b) Permanent file cabinet S of PAP-A&B may pose overturn is unanchored. Per PSL Document ENG-04115-213 Sht 1, ENG-04115-226 Sht 1, and ENG-04115-230 Sht 2, file cabinet is adequately anchored to preclude overturning hazard.
- (c) Approx. 3/16" cap between AUX relay cabinet and PAP-A. Determine if gap is adequate. Per EPRI NP7146s-SL R1, tested relay cabinets with similar properties of the Aux Relay Cabinet and PAP "A" Cabinet had fundamental frequencies ranging from 9.5 Hz to 11 Hz. The SSE horizontal spectral acceleration at 9 Hz (lower-bound estimate) at the 61 ft elevation of the RAB for 2% damping (in accordance with the U1 UFSAR) is approximately 0.45g. Using a 1.6 modal shape factor for cantilever action and conservatively summing relative displacements, the maximum combined cabinet displacement is 0.17 in (=2\*1.6\*0.45g\*386.4 in/s^2/g) / (2\*pi\*9 Hz)^2)). Therefore, the 3/16" gap is adequate.

Lo	cation (Bldg	, Elev, Room/Area):	AW22 - RAB	62' CTRL Rm			
	(d) Noted on	conduit clamps not p SL.	properly attache	ed to support. No	) fall hazard but		
5.		pear that the area is cause flooding or sp		-	mic interactions		Yes
6.		pear that the area is cause a fire in the a	·	ally adverse seis	mic interactions	•	Yes
7.	associated temporary	pear that the area is I with housekeeping installations (e.g., so PDS Chan A Cab SM Iluence	practices, stora caffolding, lead	age of portable e shielding)?	equipment, and		Yes
	Air Maint. (	Carts ~2' E of SOER	cabs. Per PSL	., Cabs are NNS	. No seismic		,
8.	Have you l	looked for and found affect the safety fund ay cabinet door unar	ctions of the eq	uipment in the a	rea?		No
Comm	ents					.21, 71	
		cords of the checklis	ts are available	at the site.			
Evalua	ted by:	Seth W. Bak	er		Date:	11/16/12	
		Hunter A. Yo	oung			11/16/12	

#### Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW23 - RAB 62' HVAC Rm N Area

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? Surface corrosion noted but no struct, concerns. 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Rugged overhead supports of duct work noted. 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bldg,	, Elev, Room/Area): AW23 - RAB 62' HVAC Rr	n N Area		
	ooked for and found no other seismic conditions affect the safety functions of the equipment in the			Yes
Comments				
Detailed signed rec	cords of the checklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Status: | Y |

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW24 - RAB 62' CCW Surge Tank Rm

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Yes 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? Steel platform plates appear unanchored but cannot fall due to overlap on angles. No issue. 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status:	Υ	N	U
Juana.			_

Location (Bldg, E	Elev, Room/Area): AW24 - RAB 62' CCW Surge Tank	Rm			
	oked for and found no other seismic conditions that coul fect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?	d		Yes	
Comments  Detailed signed records of the checklists are available at the site.					
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12		
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12		

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW25 - RAB 43' "B' Battery Rm

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

	below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. nal space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
1.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?	Yes
		.,
2.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?	Yes
3.	Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?	Yes
4.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)?	Yes
5.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?  Service water pipe is well-supported & eyewash shower is bolted well. No spray flood hazard.	Yes
6.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?	Yes
7.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?	Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bldg	, Elev, Room/Area): AW25 - RAB 43' '	'B' Battery Rm	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ooked for and found no other seismic co affect the safety functions of the equipm	•	Yes
Comments Detailed signed rec	cords of the checklists are available at th	ne site.	
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12
•			

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW26 - RAB 43' Cable Spreading Rm

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?

Yes

2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?

Yes

3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Yes

Very heavy cable tray is ruggedly supported w/ combo of trapeze and wall braced supports (all welded steel sections). No fall hazard.

Noted flexible HVAC duct supported by sheet metal straps. HVAC may impact CEDM cabinets but NNS per ops.

4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)?

1/4" gap btwn batt chgr 1AB & 1AB DC swgr. 1AB DC SWGR is anchored to wall & floor & is rigid. 1AB batt. charger is supported by weak axis of base channels and may be flexible. Per the U1 UFSAR, Appendix 3B.F, the side-to-side natural frequency of the battery charger is 27 Hz. Given the large frequency and low seismic demand, a 1/4" gap is acceptable to preclude contact.

Yes

5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?

Yes

6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?

Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U
ouacao.			_

Loc	cation (Bldg, Elev; Room/Area): AW26 - RAB 43' Cable Spreading Rm	1				
7.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment	t, and				
	temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?					
8.	Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could			Yes		
	adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?			,		
Comme						
Detailed	d signed records of the checklists are available at the site.					
	<u> </u>					
Evaluate	ted by: Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12			
	Hunter A. Young	_	11/16/12			
				•		

Status:

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW27 - RAB 43' "A" Batt Rm

temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings.

Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Yes 1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? Service water piping is well supported. No hazard. 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and

Status:	V	N	-11
Status.	1	1.4	U

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): _ AW27 - RAB 43' "A" Batt Rm			
	oked for and found no other seismic conditions tha ffect the safety functions of the equipment in the ar			Yes
Comments			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Detailed signed reco	ords of the checklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
. –	Hunter A. Young	<del> </del>	11/16/12	
		•		

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW28 - RAB 43' Remote Shutdown Rm

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

dditio	onal space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
1.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?	Yes
2.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?	Yes
3.	Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?	Yes
4.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)?	Yes
5.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?	Yes
6.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?	Yes
7.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?	Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U
Otatus.		1.4	~

Lo	cation (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area):	AW28 - RAB 43' Ren	note Shutdown Rm			
			HSCP 1A is located fa	r enough away as to		-	
preclude potential impact. No hazard.							
8.	8. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could					No	
	•	•	tions of the equipment				
			ken. Door cannot close				
	issue.	anuncuon during 55	E. PSL generated AR	and WR to address			
-,							
Comm				. 41 -			
Detaile	a signea rec	ords of the checklist	s are available at the s	site.			
				•		<del>.</del>	
Evalua	ted bv:	Seth W. Bak	er	[	Date:	11/16/12	
		. Hunter A. Yo	oung			11/16/12	
						,	
		•					

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW29 - RAB 43' S SWGR Rm

#### Instructions for Completing Checklist

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

- 1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Heavy overhead well supported rigidly except for rod-hung piping w/ adequate vertical support. Noted drain W end w/ possible corrosion of structural concern. However, failure at point would not induce collapse and equipment NNS. Notified PSL. 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? Heavy hoisting stopped in safe position and well supported. 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? Noted rod hung fire piping but welded and adequately vertically supported. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area?
  - 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW29 - RAB 43' S SWGR F	₹m	•	
Noted we	ll anchored scaffolding. No hazard.			
<del>-</del>	ooked for and found no other seismic conditions taffect the safety functions of the equipment in the			Yes
Comments				
	cords of the checklists are available at the site.	<u> </u>		
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	<u>.</u>

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW30 - RAB 43' N SWGR Rm

temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Heavy cable tray ruggedly supported with steel angle and channel to ceiling. Ductile connections and no vertical fall hazard. 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? Eyewash shower is located behind block walls. No hazard. 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and

Ctatura	$\overline{}$	l ki	1.1
Status:	Y	l N	U

Location (Bldg	, Elev, Room/Area): AW30 - RAB 43' N SWGF	₹ Rm		
•	ooked for and found no other seismic conditions affect the safety functions of the equipment in th			Yes
Comments Detailed signed rec	cords of the checklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW31 - DOST Area Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Yes 1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Not Applicable HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? Exposed area. 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bldg	, Elev, Room/Area): AW31 - DOST	Area	
overturning acceleratio	inporary air compressor rig located to g calculation was performed in the fie on to start uplift was determined to be ning of the air compressor rig is poss	ld and the minimum horizontal 0.33g. Since the SSE is 0.10g,	
=	ooked for and found no other seismic affect the safety functions of the equi		Yes
Comments			
Detailed signed red	cords of the checklists are available a	t the site.	
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunter A. Young	· 	11/16/12
			·

Status:

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW32 - 1A Diesel Xfer Pumphouse

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

- 1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes
- associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status:	Υ	N	U
Jiaius.		1.4	U

Location (Bldg, E	Elev, Room/Area): AW32 - 1A Diesel Xfer Pumphouse			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	oked for and found no other seismic conditions that could fect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?			Yes
Comments				
Detailed signed reco	rds of the checklists are available at the site.			
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young	_	11/16/12	
	•			

Status: |

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW33 - 1B Diesel Xfer Pumphouse

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

Yes 1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? 6. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bldg,	Elev, Room/Area): AW33 - 1B Diesel Xfer Pu	umphouse	
•	poked for and found no other seismic conditions  Iffect the safety functions of the equipment in the		Yes
Comments			
Detailed signed rec	ords of the checklists are available at the site.		
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12
-	•		•

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW34 - TRSL 19.5' El., S Rm

temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?

#### **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.

1. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially Yes adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)? 2. Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant Yes degraded conditions? Noted missile shield plates with evidence of surface corrosion. No seismic hazard to equipment. 3. Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and Yes HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)? 4. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial Yes interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)? Noted large lighting suspended from horizontal 1" diameter rigid conduit. Given stiffness and strength of conduit, SWT judged the lighting support as adequate. 5. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause flooding or spray in the area? Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions Yes that could cause a fire in the area? Yes 7. Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and

Status:	Υ	N	U

Location (Bld	g, Elev, Room/Area): AW34 - TRSL 19.5' El., S Rm			
	looked for and found no other seismic conditions that couraffect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?	ıld		Yes
Comments		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Detailed signed re	ecords of the checklists are available at the site.			,
Evaluated by:	Seth W. Baker	Date:	11/16/12	
	Hunter A. Young		11/16/12	
			•	

Status: Y

## Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC)

Location (Bldg, Elev, Room/Area): AW35 - TRSL 19.5' El, N Rm

## **Instructions for Completing Checklist**

This checklist may be used to document the results of the Area Walk-By near one or more SWEL items. The Α

•	pelow each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. nal space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
1.	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (if visible without necessarily opening cabinets)?	Yes
2.,	Does anchorage of equipment in the area appear to be free of significant degraded conditions?	Yes
3.	Based on a visual inspection from the floor, do the cable/conduit raceways and HVAC ducting appear to be free of potentially adverse seismic conditions (e.g., condition of supports is adequate and fill conditions of cable trays appear to be inside acceptable limits)?	Yes
4.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic spatial interactions with other equipment in the area (e.g., ceiling tiles and lighting)?	Yes
5.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause flooding or spray in the area?	Yes
6.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions that could cause a fire in the area?	Yes
7.	Does it appear that the area is free of potentially adverse seismic interactions associated with housekeeping practices, storage of portable equipment, and temporary installations (e.g., scaffolding, lead shielding)?	Yes

Status:	Υ	N	U

Lo	cation (Bldg, E	lev, Room/Area):	AW35 - TRSL 19	9.5' El, N Rm			
	Ladder adja	cent to conduit, bu	ut well tied off. No	hazard.		***************************************	
	Scaffolding w	ell anchored with	adequate clearand	ce. No hazard.			
8.	8. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment in the area?			Yes			
Comm	ents						
		ds of the checklist	ts are available at	the site.			
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>-</u>			
Evalua	ited by:	Seth W. Bak	er		Date:	11/16/12	
		Hunter A. Yo	oung			11/16/12	
						·····	



# Plan for Future Seismic Walkdowns of Inaccessible Equipment

This appendix identifies equipment that was inaccessible for inspection during the walkdown. Table E-1 identifies equipment that is located within containment and could not be accessed because the unit was at power during the time of the walkdown. Table E-2 identifies electrical cabinets that could not be opened due to electrical safety and plant operation hazard. The plans for inspection of inaccessible equipment are to inspect the items during the first available equipment outage or refueling outage, when they can be safely accessed. The next scheduled refueling outage is Fall 2013. A plant Corrective Action has been issued to plan for and implement additional cabinet internal inspections.

Table E-1: Completely Inaccessible Equipment

Component ID	Description	Reason for Inaccessibility
HVS-1D	CONTAINMENT FAN COOLER FOR RCB A/C SYSTEM DURING NORMAL OPERATION	Equipment located in containment. Unit 1 was at power during time of walkdown.  Defer to outage.
HVS-1A	CONTAINMENT FAN COOLER FOR RCB A/C SYSTEM DURING NORMAL OPERATION	Equipment located in containment. Unit 1 was at power during time of walkdown.  Defer to outage.
HVS-1B	CONTAINMENT FAN COOLER FOR RCB A/C SYSTEM DURING NORMAL OPERATION	Equipment located in containment. Unit 1 was at power during time of walkdown. Defer to outage.
SIT 1A1	SAFETY INJECTION TANK 1A1	Equipment located in containment. Unit 1 was at power during time of walkdown.  Defer to outage.
SIT 1B1	SAFETY INJECTION TANK 1B1	Equipment located in containment. Unit 1 was at power during time of walkdown.  Defer to outage.

Table E-2: Cabinets with Inaccessible Internals

Component ID Description		Reason for Inaccessibility
480V SWGR 1AB	480V SWITCHGEAR 1AB	Cabinet was energized during inspection and posed electrical safety and plant operation hazard. Operations requested deferral to next equipment outage.
480V MCC 1A7	480V MOTOR CONTROL CENTER 1A7	Cabinet was energized during inspection and posed electrical safety and plant operation hazard. Operations requested deferral to next equipment outage.
4KV SWGR 1AB	4.16 KV SWITCHGEAR 1AB	Cabinet was energized during inspection and posed electrical safety and plant operation hazard. Operations requested deferral to next equipment outage.

Component ID	Description	Reason for Inaccessibility
4KV SWGR 1A3	4.16 KV SWITCHGEAR 1A3-1	Cabinet was energized during inspection and posed electrical safety and plant operation hazard. Operations requested deferral to next equipment outage.
480V SWGR 1B2	480V SWITCHGEAR 1B2	Cabinet was energized during inspection and posed electrical safety and plant operation hazard. Operations requested deferral to next equipment outage.
480 PZ BUS 1A3	480V PRZR BUS 1A3	Cabinet was energized during inspection and posed electrical safety and plant operation hazard. Operations requested deferral to next equipment outage.



# Peer Review Report for the Seismic Walkdown Inspection of St. Lucie Plant Unit 1 (NRC Near Term Task Force Recommendation 2.3)

St. Lucie Plant (PSL)

Revision 0

November 2012

## 1. Introduction

This report documents the peer review of the seismic walkdowns performed for St. Lucie Plant, Unit 1, in support of the NRC near Term Task Force (NTTF) Recommendation 2.3. This document describes the peer review team and process (Section 3), the peer review of the SWEL selection (Section 4), and the peer review of the seismic walkdown (Section 5).

The peer review was performed consistent with Section 6 of the EPRI-TR-1025286 (REF 1) guidance document and addresses the following specific activities:

- Review of the selection of components for the Seismic Walkdown Equipment List (Section 4)
- Review of a sample of the checklists prepared for the Seismic Walkdowns & Walk-Bys (Section 5.1)
- Review of any licensing basis evaluations (Section 5.2)
- Review of the decisions for entering the potentially adverse conditions in to the plant's Corrective Action Program (Section 5.2)
- Review of the final submittal report (Section 6).

# 2. Background

This peer review covers three portions of the seismic walkdown: (a) the preparation of the SWEL, (b) the actual walkdown, and (c) the final submittal report.

The Seismic Walkdown Equipment List (SWEL) was prepared in August and finalized in October, based on revisions that occurred during the walkdowns. Section 3 describes the process of peer reviewing the SWEL.

The vast majority of the seismic walkdowns occurred on October 1-5, 2012. One member of the peer review team was present for all of the seismic walkdowns. This portion of the peer review is documented in Section 4. Six components could not be examined entirely with the bus powered:

- 1. 4.16KV Switchgear 1A3-1
- 2. 480V Pressurizer Bus 1A3
- 3. 480V Motor Control Center 1A7
- 4. 480V Switchgear 1B2
- 5. 480V Switchgear 1AB
- 6. 4.16KV Switchgear 1AB

Five components were located inside Unit 1 containment, which is currently in operation.

- 1. HVS-1A Containment Fan Cooler
- 2. HVS-1B Containment Fan Cooler
- HVS-1D Containment Fan Cooler
- 4. SIT 1A1 Safety Injection Tank
- SIT 1B1 Safety Injection Tank

Consequently, the walkdown for these components was postponed to the next scheduled outage of sufficient duration or when the electrical equipment is scheduled to be removed from service for maintenance. These inspection deferrals are being tracked with an AR.

## 3. Peer Review Team & Process

The PSL Peer Review Team consisted of individuals from PSL Operations, Engineering, and Reliability Risk Assessment (PRA). These individuals participated in phases of preparation, performance and peer review of the seismic walkdowns. This section documents the peer review process and how the Peer Review Team interacted with the Seismic Walkdown Engineering Teams.

#### 3.1 Walkdown/Peer Review Team

The affiliation, role, and qualifications for each Team member are summarized in the following table.

Name	Group	Role *	Qualifications **
Mike Bladek	PSL Operations	PR - Team Lead	(e), (f)
		PR – SWEL	
		PR – SWE	
Dan West	PSL System	PR - SWEL	(c), (e)
	Engineering	PR – SWE	
Andy Terezakis	PSL Operations	PR - SWEL	(e), (f)
Ed Hollowell	PSL Structural	PR - SWEL	(a), (b), (c)
	Engineering	PR – SWE	
George Tullidge	PSL PRA Group	SWEL	(d)
Alexander	PSL PRA Group	PR- SWEL	(a)(d)
Restrepo			
Hunter Young	Stevenson &	SWE	(a) (b)
	Assoc.		
	(consultant eng.)		
Seth Baker	Stevenson &	SWE	(a) (b)
	Assoc.		
	(consultant eng.)		
Sharam Ramani	PSL Structural	PR-SWEL	(b), (c)
	Engineering	PR- SWE	

#### Notes:

#### \*\* Qualifications:

- (a) Completed EPRI NTTF 2.3 Seismic Walkdown Training
- (b) Seismic engineering experience
- (c) Degree in mechanical engineering or civil/structural engineering
- (d) Seismic Reliability Risk Assessment (PRA) / IPEEE experience
- (e) Knowledge of plant operations, documentation
- (f) Plant Operations member

#### 3.2 Peer Review Process

#### PR Team Lead

Mike Bladek served as the Peer Review Team Lead. In that role, he was responsible for coordinating the peer review and assembling this report. As described below, he also performed some additional roles as part of the peer review of the SWEL and seismic walkdown team.

#### **SWEL Preparation**

The SWEL was prepared by George Tullidge, who is the St. Lucie Reliability Risk Assessment (PRA) engineer, with experience and familiarity with the St. Lucie IPEEE Report and St. Lucie Seismic PRA.

<sup>\*</sup> Role: PR (peer review), SWEL (seismic walkdown equipment list), SWE (seismic walkdown engineer)

A technical peer review of the SWEL was performed by a team that included a PRA engineer (PRA-2), design structural engineer (Sharam Ramani), and Operations representative (Andy Terezakis). All of these individuals are familiar with the design and layout of the plant and plant documentation.

- SWEL Prepared By G. Tullidge
- SWEL Reviewed By- A. Restrepo, S. Ramani, A. Terezakis

A Peer review of the process used to prepare the SWEL was performed by M. Bladek, D. West and E. Hollowell.

#### Seismic Walkdown

The primary seismic walkdown was conducted with one team, with two qualified structural/seismic engineers (SWE's) from Stevenson and Associates. The peer review of the walkdowns consisted of representatives from St. Lucie Plant Operations (M. Bladek), and Engineering (E. Hollowell, D. West, S. Ramani). Operations and Engineering representatives also participated on the walkdowns for logistical support as well as peer review. The ultimate judgments regarding licensing basis were made by qualified St. Lucie Plant structural engineers (E. Hollowell, S. Ramani).

- Seismic Walkdown Engineers (SWE):
  - Hunter Young (team lead)
  - Seth Baker
- Peer Review of SWE M. Bladek, E. Hollowell, D. West, S. Ramani
- Licensing Basis Reviewers E. Hollowell, S. Ramani,
- IPEEE Reviewers E. Hollowell, D. West, G. Tullidge

#### **Final Report**

The final seismic walkdown report was prepared by the Stevenson & Assoc. consultants, with review by St. Lucie Plant representatives from Operations, Engineering, and PRA.

- Preparers –Seth Baker, Hunter Young
- Reviewers M. Bladek, D. West, E. Hollowell

# 4. Peer Review - Selection of Components for SWEL

The purpose of this section is to describe the process to perform the peer review of the selected components that were included in the Seismic Walkdown Equipment List (SWEL). This peer review was based on review of the SWEL Selection Report (REF 2).

The guidance in Section 3: Selection of SSCs of the EPRI Technical Report (REF 1) was used as the basis for this review. Specifically, this peer review utilized the checklist in Appendix F: Checklist for Peer Review of SSC Selection of the EPRI Technical Report in Reference 1. Attachment 1 of this peer review report documents the completed checklist.

This peer review determined that the SSCs selected for the SWEL 1 seismic walkdowns represent a diverse sample of equipment required to perform the five safety functions and to meet the sample selection attributes, including:

- Various types of systems
- Major new and replacement equipment
- · Various types of equipment
- Various environments
- · Equipment enhanced based on the findings of the IPEEE
- Risk insight consideration

For SWEL 2 development, the peer review determined that spent fuel related items were adequately considered and were appropriately included or excluded.

This peer review resulted in no additional findings. All peer review comments requiring resolution were incorporated prior to completion of the SWEL Selection Report.

This peer review concludes that the process for selecting SSCs to be included on the seismic walkdown equipment list appropriately followed the process outlined in Reference 1. It is further concluded that the SWEL sufficiently represents a broad population of plant Seismic Category 1 equipment and systems to meet the objectives of the NRC 50.54(f) Letter.

## 5. Peer Review - Seismic Walkdown

The peer review of the seismic walkdown was performed by the individual PR Team members on each day of the walkdowns. The Seismic Walkdown Engineers (SWE) were accompanied by at least one member of either the Operations or Engineering Peer Review team during the walkdown. Additional peer review occurred following the walkdowns as documented in this report.

#### 5.1 Review of Sample Checklists & Area Walk-bys

The peer review meetings were performed on the following morning for the walkdowns that were performed the previous day. The SWE presented samples from their Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) and Area Walk-by Checklist (AWC) that they had completed that morning. This peer review meeting following the previous day's walkdown activities allowed for immediate feedback between each walkdown team as well as common agreement on how issues would be addressed.

Table 5-1 lists the sample of 25 components from the Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC) that were discussed in the peer review meetings. These samples represent about 25% of the total SWEL population of 100 components. The sample includes a variety of types of components (heat exchanger, valve, pump, tank, instrument rack, transformer, fan, MCC, compressor, power panel, and control panel) and component locations (Reactor Aux Bldg, Steam Trestle, Refueling Water Tank, DG Bldg, and Essential Switchgear room).

Table 5-2 lists the sample of 10 areas from the Area Walk-by Checklist (AWC) that were discussed in the peer review. These samples represent about 25% of the total AWC population. These areas included Reactor Aux Bldg, Steam Trestle, Refueling Water Tank, DG Bldg, and Essential Switchgear room.

These tables document observations from the walkdown teams that formed the basis for the peer review. The following topics were addressed:

- Seismic Housekeeping Seismic housekeeping was assessed in each area and found to be acceptable. In areas where equipment was in-service, work carts were tied off or separated from equipment in designated areas.
  - The presence of stanchions and signs to identify protected train equipment was also noted. In most cases it was agreed that these do not represent significant seismic risk due to the weight distribution (heavy base) and the light-weight nature of these stanchions. At one location the sign was identified as being significant enough to be seismically adverse and was removed immediately by the Operations team member.
  - A number of panels were identified with missing and or loose bolting or latches. In most cases these were not considered to be seismically adverse.
- Concrete cracks Minor concrete cracks were observed in the concrete floors or grout pads where components were anchored. The location, cause of the cracking, and potential impact on the seismic capacity was reviewed. No conditions were identified that adversely impacted the seismic capacity. Corrosion of anchors or welds was identified on a couple of items. Due to the available design margin the identified corrosion was not considered to adversely impact the design basis of the component. These issues were entered into the corrective action program to remove the existing corrosion, perform detailed inspection and repair to restore design margin as required.
- Physical interaction Several of the samples were examples of close spacing between the SWEL component
  and a hard object (such as a concrete/block walls), with the potential for interaction. In each case, the spacing
  was judged adequate, but this did reinforce the importance of careful field examination of each component.
- Seismic scaffolding A number of areas had scaffolding. In each case, the scaffolding was noted to be carefully braced to provide seismic strength and documented on the scaffolding. This was observed by both walkdown teams.
- Non-safety piping in SR buildings NS piping in all walk-by areas was observed to be well supported.
   No significant issues were identified from the peer review team discussions.

#### 5.2 Review of Licensing Basis Evaluations & Corrective Action Process

The final report provides a list of the anomalies encountered during the St. Lucie seismic walkdown inspections and how they were addressed. The review of those anomalies demonstrates a thorough and reasonable process for the review of open issues. There were no comments offered by the peer review team.

# 6. Review Final Submittal Report & Sign-off

The final submittal report has been reviewed by St. Lucie representatives from Engineering, Operations, and the PRA Group, and found to meet the requirements of the EPRI 1025286 – Seismic Walkdown Guidance (REF. 1)

# 7. References

- 1. EPRI Technical Report 1025286, Seismic Walkdown Guidance for Resolution of Fukushima Near-Term Task Force Recommendation 2.3: Seismic, June 2012.
- 2. St. Lucie Plant Report, Selection of the St. Lucie Plant Unit 1 Seismic Walkdown Equipment List (SWEL) for the Requirement 2.3 Walkdown, Rev 0, November 2012.

Table 5-1: Table of Sample Components from Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Walkdown Team (PR Team)	Equipment Identification	Walkdown Team Observations
Jeann)		A hole in the wide flange supporting the valve operator was
		identified. The hole was due to corrosion but was cleaned
Holiowell	MV-14-3	and coated with no evidence of active corrosion. The actuator
		support had sufficient remaining section and capacity that the
		support would not fail in a seismic event. AR was written to
		document evaluation or repair.
		2 cast in place anchors for the DOST had degraded nuts.
Diadal-/Idallaall	DOOT 4D	The nuts showed signs of previous loss of section that had
Bladek/Hollowell	DOST 1B	been cleaned and coated, with no signs of active corrosion.
4	, ·	The condition was not considered seismically adverse. An
		AR was written to replace the degraded nuts.
Hallamall	CTO INVOTO 44	Drawing was not provided for field inspection. The field
Hollowell	STC INVTR 1A	condition was sketched (3/16" fillet welds to embed plates)
		Documentation provided later for verification.
Hollowell	CCW Surge Tank	The tank was bolted to the building steel with 8 – 1" dia. bolts.
		No seismically adverse conditions.
		The tank was bolted to the floor with 4 -3/4" anchors. One of
Bladek/Hollowell	1A MFIV Accum.	the bolts that fastened the tank to the base plate on the east
		side of the tank was corroded that indicated some loss of
		section of the head of the bolt. Not
		A ladder was installed adjacent to the pump that was not
		properly secured. A review by the SWE concluded that
Bladek/Hollowell	HPSI PP 1A	during a SSE event the ladder could lose stability but bottom
	, ,	was tied off and existing angle supports half way up the
		ladder would prevent impact on soft targets. AR issued and
		ladder properly secured.
		A set screw for a socket support for an adjacent removable
Biadek/Hollowell	HCV-08-1A	handrail was missing. The sockets are deep enough to
		prevent the handrail from falling out. No adverse seismic
	,	conditions identified.
	·	An interior cabinet door was open and was closed by
Dladak/U-U-U-U-U	DTOR 400	Operations personnel. Miscellaneous screws and panel bolts
Bladek/Hollowell	RTGB-106	missing on interior closure panels. A few light weight plastic
		relay covers were lying on the interior floor. All conditions
		were considered not seismically adverse.
		A screen covering the pump packing area was removed for
Bladek/Hollowell	ICW PP 1A	maintenance and leaning against the side of the pump. Not
		seismically adverse. Subsequent walkdown (10/17/12)
	CADA LI IDO VO DO	identified the door as being installed.
Hollowell	SKBK LUBO AC PP	No seismically adverse conditions identified.
	1A2	
	10010044	The skid is on an elevated concrete/grout pad, secured with
Hollowell	LPSI PP 1A	cast in place anchors. No significant cracking or corrosion
District #1	DATT OLIOD 44	identified. No seismically adverse conditions identified.
Bladek/Hollowell	BATT CHGR 1A	No seismically adverse conditions identified

Walkdown Team (PR Team)	Equipment Identification	Walkdown Team Observations
Bladek/Hollowell	MV-09-12	No seismically adverse conditions identified
Bladek/Hollowell	FT-3111	The pressure transmitter is bracket mounted and attached to an instrument rack in accordance with plant standard details. The rack is bolted and welded to a concrete wall. No adverse seismic conditions identified.
Bladek/Hollowell	PT-07-4B	The pressure transmitter is bracket mounted and attached to an instrument rack in accordance with plant standard details. The rack is bolted and welded to a concrete wall. No adverse seismic conditions identified.
Bladek/Hollowell	125V DC Bus 1A	No seismically adverse condition identified
Bladek/Hollowell	SE-07-2A	Valve and actuator are mounting in-line, with no additional support. No adverse seismic conditions identified.
Bladek/Hollowell	125V Batt 1A	Base angles are welded to floor with 3/16" fillet welds to floor embeds. No adverse seismic conditions identified.
Hollowell	TCV-14-4A	Actuator support is bolted to platform support steel. Support appeared to be recently coated with no visible corrosion. No adverse seismic conditions identified.
Bladek/Hollowell	Fuel Pool PP 1A	Pump is supported on an elevated pedestal and anchored with cast in place anchors. No significant concrete cracks or corrosion identified. No adverse seismic conditions identified.
Bladek/Hollowell	Fuel Pool HX	HX is supported on an elevated pedestal and anchored with cast in place anchors. No significant concrete cracks or anchor corrosion identified. No adverse seismic conditions identified.
Bladek/Hollowell	CHG PP 1A	The pump is adequately support with no adverse seismic concerns. The discharge line is missing a U-bolt on a branch line. Adequate supports are provided adjacent that this is not an adverse seismic concern. This part of ongoing work associated with valve replacement.
Hollowell	RWT	The anchors were encased in a protective coating that prevented the anchor degradations identified on Unit 2. No adverse seismic concerns associated with tank. A couple housekeeping items associated with conduits routed in area captured as part of Area Walk by.
Bladek/Hollowell	HVS-4A	Some minor surface corrosion. No adverse seismic concerns identified.
Bladek/Hollowell	PT-3305	Pressure transmitter is mounted on a bracket per standard details and bolted to instrument rack. No adverse seismic concerns identified.

Table 5-2: Table of Sample Areas from Area Walk-by Checklist (AWC)

Walkdown Team (PR Team)	Area Walkdown Room (SWEL #)	Walkdown Team Observations
Hollowell	AW1	A run of construction air piping that runs along the north wall of the CCW area was supported vertically but no lateral restraint was provided. The condition was not considered to be seismically adverse because the low mass, no impact hazard. There was also a section of degraded grating that was not an adverse seismic condition or falling hazard. An AR was issued to address both conditions.
Hollowell	AW3	A grout block adjacent to the concrete ICW pump pedestal is cracked and loose. The grout block provides protection for the conduit penetrating the slab. There is no adverse seismic concern. A Work Request was issued to repair the grout block.
Bladek/Hollowell	AW6	Scaffolding was identified to be within 2" of an overhead cable tray. Not an adverse seismic condition. Scaffolding to be removed at completion of outage. Procedures for system start-up walkdowns to address issue.
Bladek/Hollowell	AW17	Stitch welds at the base of the plenum for HVE-16A/B are corroded with some loss of cross section. Due to the size and amount of weld the loss of strength does not create an adverse seismic condition. An AR was issued to correct the condition. Ladder was stored adjacent to the plenum. This was not a seismic concern. Operations rep on walkdown notified personnel to correct housekeeping issue. MCC 1B-8 had a small approx. 1/8" gap between wall and equipment. Equipment was identified as a seismic II/I mounting issue and interaction with the wall is not considered a seismic adverse condition.
Bladek/Hollowell	AW19	Overhead HVAC adequately supported.  Expansion/flexible joint across building gap between RCB and RAB. No adverse seismic conditions identified.
Hollowell	AW21	Scaffolding is adjacent to the EDG is attached to the EDG skid. Equipment is currently out of service during the refueling outage. Scaffolding needs to be removed prior to returning equipment to service. Procedures for system start-up walkdowns to address issue.
Bladek/Hollowell	Identified a ¼" gap between battery charger 1AB and 1ADC switchgear. Based on SWE evaluation the ¼" gap is acceptable. No other adverse seismic conditions identified.	
Bladek/Hollowell	AW27	Overhead service piping is well supported. No adverse seismic concerns identified.
Bladek/Hollowell	AW30	Overhead cable trays well supported. Portable eyewash station separated from equipment by block wall. No adverse seismic concerns identified.
Bladek/Hollowell	AW31	A large flatbed mounted air compressor located west of

tank area. An evaluation of the condition by SWE, no
potential overturning concern. No adverse seismic issues
identified.

## **Attachment 1: Peer Review Checklist**

## Peer Review Checklist for SWEL

ln	stru	ctions for Completing Checklist						
(S be ch	WÉI use ang	eer review checklist may be used to document the review of the Seismic Walkdown Equipmed) in accordance with Section 6: Peer Review. The space below each question in this checked to describe any findings identified during the peer review process and how the SWEL may be to address those findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for docomments.	klist sho ay have	uld				
1.	We	re the five safety functions adequately represented in the SWEL 1 selection?	Y⊠	N				
	Red	quirement met.						
		marks: The equipment on the SWEL was well distributed over the five safety ctions.						
		Ooes SWEL 1 include an appropriate representation of items having the following sample selection attributes:						
	a.	Various types of systems?	Y⊠	N□				
		Requirement met.						
		Remarks: Over 20 different systems are represented on the list.						
	b.	Major new and replacement equipment?	Y⊠	N□				
		Requirement met.						
		Remarks: Various transmitters, tanks, transformers, and valves that have been replaced within the last 15 years are part of the Equipment List.						
	C.	Various types of equipment?	Y⊠	N□				
		Requirement met.						
		Remarks: Approximately 20 different classes of equipment are represented on the list.						
	d.	Various environments?	Y⊠	N□				
		Requirement met.						

Remarks: Equipment from all the major safety related buildings, and environments. Equipment from outside areas such as steam trestle area, and refueling water tank, dry areas such as RAB switchgear rooms, hot and harsh areas such as RAB basement, ECCS Rooms and Containment were part of the survey.

## **Peer Review Checklist for SWEL**

	e.	Equipment enhanced based on the findings of the IPEEE (or equivalent) program?	Y⊠ N□
		Requirement met.	
		Remarks: Based on discussion with Operations and Engineering, major new or replacement equipment was identified and noted as such in the SWEL spreadsheet.	
	f.	Were risk insights considered in the development of SWEL 1?	Y⊠N□
		Requirement met.	
		Remarks:	
3.	For	SWEL 2:	
	a.	Were spent fuel pool related items considered, and if applicable included in SWEL 2?	Y⊠ N□
		Requirement met.	
		Remarks: Fuel pool cooling and purification items are included on the list.	
	b.	Was an appropriate justification documented for spent fuel pool related items not included in SWEL 2?	Y⊠ N□
		Requirement met.	
		Remarks: Components were included in this screening based on their importance in maintaining spent fuel pool inventory and cooling.	
4.	Pro	vide any other comments related to the peer review of the SWELs.	
			Y⊠ N□
5.	Hav	e all peer review comments been adequately addressed in the final SWEL?	1 [2] 14[_]