# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Lawrence G. McDade, Chairman Dr. Michael F. Kennedy Dr. Richard E. Wardwell

In the Matter of

Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR

ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.

ASLBP No. 07-858-03-LR-BD01

(Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3)

December 5, 2012

#### **ORDER**

(Denying Clearwater's Motion to Supplement the Record)

On November 14, 2012, Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc. (Clearwater) filed a motion to supplement the record with eleven exhibits, largely describing the effects of Hurricane Sandy on environmental justice populations in the New York metropolitan area.<sup>1</sup> On November 26, 2012, Clearwater filed a "notice of supplemental exhibits," which urged the Board to admit four additional exhibits discussing the impacts of Hurricane Sandy.<sup>2</sup> Clearwater argues this evidence is new, different, and highly relevant, and should be admitted in support of its contention CW-EC-3A.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.'s Motion to Supplement the Record with Relevant New Information that Became Apparent after Hurricane Sandy (Nov. 14, 2012) [hereinafter Clearwater Motion].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.'s Notice of Supplemental Exhibits to Motion to Supplemental the Record with Relevant New Information that Became Apparent After Hurricane Sandy (Nov. 26, 2012) [hereinafter Supplemental Notice].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Clearwater Motion at 9-10; Supplemental Notice at 2.

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy) and the NRC Staff object to the admission of Clearwater's recent filings.<sup>4</sup> The NRC Staff argues that the reopening standard of 10 C.F.R. § 2.326(a) should apply to Clearwater's exhibits or, in the alternative, that Clearwater's exhibits are unreliable, irrelevant, and immaterial.<sup>5</sup> Entergy argues that Clearwater has failed to meet its burden under 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.323 and 2.337. In addition, Entergy requests that the Board deny Clearwater's request to file an additional response brief and additional related exhibits, and close the record on CW-EC-3A (and all other contentions on which the Board has collected oral testimony) to prevent additional filings.<sup>6</sup>

Clearwater's initial motion is deficient in several regards. First, Clearwater encourages the Board to apply the standards of the former 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(f)(2)(i)-(iii) to the admission to its proffered evidence.<sup>7</sup> On the other hand, Entergy and the Staff encourage the Board to apply the reopening standards of 10 C.F.R. § 2.326(a).<sup>8</sup> Both suggestions are misguided in several respects. Section 2.309(f)(2)(i)-(iii), as cited by Clearwater, is no longer in effect, as that section was amended on September 4, 2012 by the Commission and pertained to contention admissibility.<sup>9</sup> Since the Board has not closed the record, and is not prepared to do so at this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>See</u> Entergy's Answer Opposing Hudson River Sloop Clearwater's Motion to Supplement the Record with New Information that Became Apparent After Hurricane Sandy (Nov. 28, 2012) [hereinafter Entergy Answer]; NRC Staff's Answer to "Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.'s Motion to Supplement the Record with Relevant New Information that Became Apparent Hurricane Sandy" (Nov. 28, 2012) [hereinafter NRC Staff Answer]. Entergy also made a separate filing opposing Clearwater's Supplemental Notice. <u>See</u> Entergy's Answer Opposing Hudson River Sloop Clearwater's Second Motion to Supplement the Record (Nov. 30, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> NRC Staff Answer at 4-6, 11-16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Entergy Answer at 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Clearwater Motion at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Entergy Answer at 4 n.4; NRC Staff Answer at 4-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Amendments to Adjudicatory Process Rules and Related Requirements, 77 Fed. Reg. 46,562 (Aug. 3, 2012). <u>See also Licensing Board Order (Concerning Recent Changes to 10 C.F.R. Part 2) (Aug. 8, 2012) at 1-2 (unpublished) (holding implementation of the new rules, as to scheduling issues, in abeyance in this case).</u>

time, the reopening standards do not apply to Clearwater's proffered exhibits. Instead, the Board finds that 10 C.F.R. § 2.337(a) is the relevant standard, and therefore, "[o]nly relevant, material, and reliable evidence which is not unduly repetitious will be admitted." In applying this standard, the Board finds that the documents submitted by Clearwater dealing with an unrelated weather event are immaterial and not relevant to the reasonableness of the NRC Staff's environmental justice review of Indian Point, Units 2 and 3.

As a side note, Clearwater's initial motion also fails under 10 C.F.R. § 2.323(b), which requires that the Board reject a motion "if it does not include a certification by the attorney or representative of the moving party that the movant has made a sincere effort to contact other parties in the proceeding and resolve the issue(s) raised in the motion, and that the movant's efforts to resolve the issue(s) have been unsuccessful." The Board has cautioned many times about the importance of consultation under this provision, and without such certification, the motion must be denied. Clearwater's supplemental notice indicates that consultation with the Staff and Entergy was attempted, but it appears that the other parties to the proceeding were not consulted, as required by the regulation. In addition, the statement on consultation in the supplemental notice fails to meet the requirements of 10 C.F.R. § 2.323(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 10 C.F.R. § 2.337(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> <u>Id.</u> § 2.323(b). While it appears that some consultation occurred, it is unclear whether this consultation occurred in relation to Clearwater's November 8, 2012 motion for an extension of time or during consultation on this motion. <u>See</u> Clearwater Motion at 2 n.2, 10 n.12. Regardless, neither footnote is clear or contains the information required by 10 C.F.R. § 2.323(b).

Licensing Board Memorandum and Order (Summarizing Pre-Hearing Conference) (Feb. 4, 2009) at 3 (unpublished); Licensing Board Order (Denying New York's Motion in Limine and Holding Riverkeeper's Motion in Limine in Abeyance) (June 1, 2012) at 5-6 (unpublished); Licensing Board Order (Denying New York State's Motion to Supplement) (June 6, 2012) at 3 (unpublished).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Supplemental Notice at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> <u>Id.</u>

- 4 -

Exhibits CLE000061 through CLE000075 are not admitted. Exhibit Lists CLER50001 and CLER60001 are marked for identification but are not received in evidence. The next exhibit list for Clearwater, if needed, would be CLER70001. In addition, the Board denies as moot Entergy's requests for additional filings on this matter, as well as Clearwater's request to file an additional response and a continued ability to make filings related to Hurricane Sandy. In addition, Entergy's request to close the record on certain contentions is denied at this time.

It is so ORDERED.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

/RA/

Lawrence G. McDade, Chairman ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Rockville, Maryland December 5, 2012

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

| In the Matter of                  | ) |                       |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
|                                   | ) |                       |
|                                   | ) | Docket Nos. 50-247-LR |
|                                   | ) | and 50-286-LR         |
| (Indian Point Nuclear Generating, | ) |                       |
| Units 2 and 3)                    | ) |                       |

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing ORDER (Denying Clearwater's Motion to Supplement the Record) have been served upon the following persons by Electronic Information Exchange.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication
Mail Stop O-7H4M
Washington, DC 20555-0001
ocaamail@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the Secretary of the Commission
Mail Stop O-16C1
Washington, DC 20555-0001
hearingdocket@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop T-3F23 Washington, DC 20555-0001

Lawrence G. McDade, Chair Administrative Judge lawrence.mcdade@nrc.gov

Richard E. Wardwell Administrative Judge richard.wardwell@nrc.gov

Michael F. Kennedy Administrative Judge michael.kennedy@nrc.gov

Anne Siarnacki, Law Clerk Shelbie Lewman, Law Clerk James Maltese, Law Clerk Carter Thurman, Law Clerk anne.siarnacki@nrc.gov shelbie.lewman@nrc.gov james.maltese@nrc.gov carter.thurman@nrc.gov

Edward L. Williamson, Esq. Beth N. Mizuno, Esq. David E. Roth, Esq. Sherwin E. Turk, Esq. Brian Harris, Esq. Mary B. Spencer, Esq. Anita Ghosh, Esq. Karl Farrar, Esq. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of the General Counsel Mail Stop O-15D21 Washington, DC 20555-0001 sherwin.turk@nrc.gov; edward.williamson@nrc.gov beth.mizuno@nrc.gov; brian.harris.@nrc.gov david.roth@nrc.gov; mary.spencer@nrc.gov anita.ghosh@nrc.gov; karl.farrar@nrc.gov

OGC Mail Center OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov

William C. Dennis, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601
wdennis@entergy.com

William B. Glew, Jr.
Organization: Entergy
440 Hamilton Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
wglew@entergy.com

Elise N. Zoli, Esq.
Goodwin Proctor, LLP
Exchange Place, 53 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
ezoli@goodwinprocter.com

Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR ORDER (Denying Clearwater's Motion to Supplement the Record)

Thomas F. Wood, Esq.
Daniel Riesel, Esq.
Victoria Shiah Treanor, Esq.
Adam Stolorow, Esq.
Jwala Gandhi, Paralegal
Peng Deng, Paralegal
Counsel for Town of Cortlandt
Sive, Paget & Riesel, P.C.
460 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
driesel@sprlaw.com; vtreanor@sprlaw.com
astolorow@sprlaw.com; jgandhi@sprlaw.com
pdeng@sprlaw.com

Kathryn M. Sutton, Esq. Paul M. Bessette, Esq. Martin J. O'Neill, Esq. Raphael Kuyler, Esq. Jonathan M. Rund, Esq. Lena Michelle Long, Esq. Laura Swett, Esq. Lance Escher, Esq. Mary Freeze, Legal Secretary Antoinette Walker, Legal Secretary Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004 ksutton@morganlewis.com martin.oneill@morganlewis.com rkuyler@morganlewis.com; irund@morganlewis.com llong@morganlewis.com; lswett@morganlewis.com lescher@morganlewis.com mfreeze@morganlewis.com awalker@morganlewis.com

Phillip Musegaas, Esq.
Deborah Brancato, Esq.
Ramona Cearley, Secretary
Riverkeeper, Inc.
20 Secor Road
Ossining, NY 10562
phillip@riverkeeper.org;
dbrancato@riverkeeper.org
rcearley@riverkeeper.org

Melissa-Jean Rotini, Esq. Assistant County Attorney Office of Robert F. Meehan, Westchester County Attorney 148 Martine Avenue, 6th Floor White Plains, NY 10601 mjr1@westchestergov.com

Clint Carpenter, Esq.
Bobby Burchfield, Esq.
Matthew Leland, Esq.
McDermott, Will and Emergy LLP
600 13th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
ccarpenter@mwe.com; bburchfield@mwe.com
mleland@mwe.com

Matthew W. Swinehart, Esq. Covington & Burling LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004 <a href="mailto:mswinehart@cov.com">mswinehart@cov.com</a>

John Louis Parker, Esq.
Office of General Counsel, Region 3
New York State Department
of Environmental Conservation
21 South Putt Corners Road
New Paltz, NY 12561-1620
jlparker@gw.dec.state.ny.us

Edward F. McTiernan, Esq.
New York State Department
of Environmental Conservation
Office of General Counsel
625 Broadway
Albany, NY 12233-1500
efmctier@gw.dec.state.ny.us

Manna Jo Greene, Environmental Director Steven C. Filler
Karla Raimundi
Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.
724 Wolcott Ave.
Beacon, NY 12508
mannajo@clearwater.org;
stephenfiller@gmail.com
karla@clearwater.org

Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR ORDER (Denying Clearwater's Motion to Supplement the Record)

Richard Webster, Esq.
Public Justice, P.C.
For Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.
1825 K Street, NW, Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20006
webster@publicjustice.net

Michael J. Delaney, Esq.
Director, Energy Regulatory Affairs
NYC Department of Environmental Protection
59-17 Junction Boulevard
Flushing, NY 11373
mdelaney@dep.nyc.gov

John J. Sipos, Esq.
Charles Donaldson, Esq.
Assistant Attorneys General
Office of the Attorney General
of the State of New York
Elyse Houle, Legal Support
The Capitol, State Street
Albany, New York 12224
john.sipos@ag.ny.gov
charlie.donaldson@ag.ny.gov
elyse.houle@ag.ny.gov

Robert D. Snook, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
State of Connecticut
55 Elm Street
P.O. Box 120
Hartford, CT 06141-0120
robert.snook@po.state.ct.us

Janice A. Dean, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Kathryn Liberatore, Esq.
Office of the Attorney General
of the State of New York
120 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10271
janice.dean@ag.ny.gov
kathryn.liberatore@ag.ny.gov

Sean Murray, Mayor
Kevin Hay, Village Administrator
Village of Buchanan
Municipal Building
236 Tate Avenue
Buchanan, NY 10511-1298
smurray@villageofbuchanan.com
administrator@villageofbuchanan.com

[Original signed by Nancy Greathead]
Office of the Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 5th day of December 2012