Identified: 10/15/2012 Withdrawn: ENT000365 Submitted: March 29, 2012 Exhib 2654 Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3) Stricken: United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Official Hearing 1 STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION 2 ASLBP #: 07-858-03-LR-BD01 Docket #: 05000247 | 05000286 Exhibit #: ENT000365-00-BD01 Admitted: 10/15/2012 05000247 | 05000286 ENT000365-00-BD01 3 In the Matter of: 4 Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2, LLC, 5 and Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 3, LLC DEC No.: Rejected: Other: 6 3-5522-00011/00004 SPDES No.: 7 NY-0004472 In the Matter of: 8 For a State Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit Renewal and Modification 9 10 Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2, LLC, 11 Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 3, LLC, and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. DEC App. Nos. 12 3-5522-00011/00030 13 (IP2) 3-5522-00105/00031 14 Joint Application for CWA § 401 Water Quality 15 Certification 16 ADJUDICATORY HEARING BEFORE: 17 DANIEL P. O'CONNELL, ALJ 18 MARIA E. VILLA, ALJ 19 20 NYS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION 625 Broadway, 1st Floor 21 Albany, New York 12233 22 November 15, 2011 8:32 a.m. 23 M-F REPORTING, INC. (518) 478-7220

1	sub-paragraph 4.
2	Q. So, is it still your understanding of the
3	prohibition in section 0807 that section 1 prohibits the
4	discharge of all radiological materials?
5	A. No. It appears that it doesn't, on further read,
6	but and that's why I included the general prohibition
7	of I think it was 0501 when I took the stand.
8	Q. So, is it your testimony now that you're changing
9	your testimony with respect to whether 17-0807(1)
10	applies to Entergy's releases?
11	A. It doesn't appear to be the best fit for the
12	statement I made, correct.
13	Q. So, your understanding is it your
14	understanding that discharge of radiological, chemical,
15	or biological warfare agents, that means that the
16	radiological qualifies as a warfare agent?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And that's not what Entergy has released in this
19	case, right?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. No radiological warfare agents?
22	A. Not that I'm aware of.
23	Q. Now, the second prohibition is 17-0807(1) is the

Г

1	prohibition on the discharge of high-level radioactive
2	waste as such terms are defined by the act.
3	Is it your position that the materials that have
4	leaked in this case are high-level radioactive waste, as
5	those terms are defined by the act?
6	A. No.
7	JUDGE VILLA: Short break. So let's say
8	come back at 10 minutes after 10.
9	(Recess taken.)
10	JUDGE VILLA: Back on.
11	Mr. Trach, whenever you are ready.
12	MR. TRACH: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. TRACH:
15	Q. Mr. Kolakowski, I would like to talk to you for a
16	bit about ECL Section 17-0807 4. We discussed your
17	current position with regard to the applicability of
18	section 1 prior to the break, and I believe you
19	testified that you still believe that section 4 applies
20	to the discharges in this case, correct?
21	A. Yes, I believe so.
22	Q. And if I am reading it correctly, actually, you
23	wouldn't have a copy of 17-0807 but it's mentioned in