

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Nov 27, 2012 17:26

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-12-0723

LOGGING DATE: 11/27/2012

ACTION OFFICE: ED/GC

TO: Leeds, NER

AUTHOR: Grace van Thillo

CYS: EDO  
DEDMRT  
DEDR  
DEDCM  
AO

AFFILIATION:

ADDRESSEE: Chairman Resource

RIN

SUBJECT: Defective Steam Generators at San Onofre Nuclear Plant

OGC

Merzke, OLEDO

ACTION: Appropriate

DISTRIBUTION: RF

LETTER DATE: 11/26/2012

ACKNOWLEDGED No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION: ADAMS

DATE DUE:

DATE SIGNED:

**Joosten, Sandy**

---

**From:** Grace van Thillo [gracea@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 26, 2012 7:46 PM  
**To:** CHAIRMAN Resource  
**Subject:** Defective Steam Generators at San Onofre Nuclear Plant

To: Chairman Allison M. Macfarlane

RE: Defective Steam Generators at San Onofre Nuclear Plant

Honorable NRC Commission Chair MacFarlane,

The NRC's declaration to provide transparent, open meetings that maximize interactive public communication with growing numbers of concerned city officials, residents and businesses within San Onofre nuclear plant's 50 mile Ingestion Zone, is being compromised by the upcoming Friday, Nov. 30<sup>th</sup> 6PM "technical working meeting between NRC and SC Edison" at a 400 seat only venue; with NO technical exchange by non-industry independent experts; and minimal time for public input before meeting closure.

Our statewide California coalition opposes restart of San Onofre's defective nuclear reactor Unit 2 at the San Onofre Nuclear Power Plant. We demand a full, transparent Adjudicatory Hearing and License Amendment process, including evidentiary hearings with sworn testimony and cross-examination which include experts independent of the NRC, Edison and the nuclear power industry.

Friday's public meeting will NOT be a substitute for this process. Given how we got to this point and the serious loss of faith by the public in the NRC and Edison as a result; we can see no reason why you and all NRC Commissioners would not want this as well. All NRC proceedings regarding San Onofre should be conducted in the area most impacted by the decisions of these proceedings and should accommodate the more than 1,000 concerned citizens who are expected to attend – as they have consistently shown this year.

On November 8, 2012, all of you ruled on petitions filed by Friends of the Earth in June, 2012; specifically, the Commission:

- Referred to the Atomic Safety & Licensing Board (ASLB) whether the current Confirmatory Action Letter (CAL) process is a de facto license amendment process, requiring an adjudicatory public hearing;
- Referred to the Executive Director of Operations (EDO) whether Edison should have sought a license amendment, with attendant adjudicatory hearings, when it installed the defective steam generators in 2010-11;
- Offered to reconsider convening an adjudicatory hearing if the ASLB denies FoE's request;
- Offered to reconsider staying the restart of the idled reactors if restart becomes "imminent" before the completion of the processes ordered above.

The safety of 8.4 million people in the southland and the entire state of California, the ninth largest economy in the world, depend upon your and all NRC Commissioners' decisions for safety-sake. We can not be an experiment waiting for the next radiation leaks from San Onofre's acknowledged faulty steam generators.

With concern,  
Grace van Thillo  
San Clemente Resident