

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Official Hearing Exhibit

In the Matter of: Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.  
(Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3)



ASLBP #: 07-858-03-LR-BD01  
Docket #: 05000247 | 05000286  
Exhibit #: NYS000042-00-BD01  
Admitted: 10/15/2012  
Rejected:  
Other:  
Identified: 10/15/2012  
Withdrawn:  
Stricken:

NYS000042

Submitted: December 12, 2011

**From:** Caputo, Charles  
**Sent:** Monday, August 11, 2008 3:23 PM  
**To:** Jackson, Charles <cjacks4@entergy.com>; Curry, John J <jcurry2@entergy.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Risks

---

We did not lose

---

**From:** Jackson, Charles  
**Sent:** Monday, August 11, 2008 3:11 PM  
**To:** Caputo, Charles; Curry, John J  
**Subject:** RE: Risks

I agree, **except we lost**. We can continue the fight or develop an AMP. Developing an AMP would be the path of least resistance, and risking approval on this item is just not worth it, even if morally right.

---

**From:** Caputo, Charles  
**Sent:** Monday, August 11, 2008 2:25 PM  
**To:** Curry, John J; Jackson, Charles  
**Subject:** RE: Risks

I don't agree with NYS-8, Transformer. Specifically, we should not develop an AMP. This issue has been addressed by the industry (passive) and we need to provide all of the documentation that justifies not including them. We would be the only plant in the country to develop an AMP as far as I know.

---

**From:** Curry, John J  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 07, 2008 3:02 PM  
**To:** Caputo, Charles  
**Subject:** FW: Risks

---

**From:** Jackson, Charles  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 07, 2008 2:46 PM  
**To:** Curry, John J  
**Subject:** Risks

IPEC00091098

IPEC00091098