

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: November 28, 2012
Received: November 27, 2012
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. ljw-827x-r7cu
Comments Due: January 02, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration on Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0001

Consideration of Environmental Impacts of Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0106

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-26295

*10/25/2012
47FR 65137*

104

Submitter Information

Name: Marvin Lewis

Address:

3133 Fairfields St.
Philadelphia, PA, 19136

RECEIVED

2012 NOV 28 AM 11:11

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

General Comment

See attached file(s)

Attachments

Marvin Lewis 11242012

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= S. Lopas (SLL2)

Lopas, Sarah

From: Marv Lewis [marvlewis@juno.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 24, 2012 8:03 AM
To: Lopas, Sarah; Gallagher, Carol; traci@energyjustice.net; Rulemaking Comments; marvlewis@juno.com

Follow Up Flag: Follow Up
Flag Status: Flagged

To: NRC
Washington, D. C. 20555
Dear Commissioners,

Please accept the following letter as my continuing comments upon the Waste Confidence Docket number RIN 3150-AJ20, NRC-2012-0246. I continue to stand by my previous comments, and present these as additional comments.

I repeat and extend my comments on the adequacy of money, manpower, and emergency needs being in place at the time that they will be needed. Money is the first item that I call attention in this submittal. Although a business model is not presented anywhere in the emergency documents, there is an underlying assumption that money will emerge sufficient to the actions needed in any emergency. I get that 'feeling' although no statements are made that the money will be there in a timely fashion. I would really like to know how the NRC and licensees come up with that 'feeling' toward money. The recent storm did not make money available easily, and many ATMs did not work due to loss of power. Some might say that money did not mean that much as millions were without electric power to pump gas or power alarm systems.

Luckily only a few nuclear plants went off-line due to the storm. Many residents were without power weeks after the storm. Cell phones worked spottily. I question how emergency resources will do their work adequately in an emergency as suffered by Fukushima. The SERs which I have read are lacking in the ability to view emergency procedures in the light of what has happened at Fukushima. What can anyone do without power on site or off-site? Will the coolant flow be sufficient to handle fuel pool cooling? Will the handling equipment be sufficient to all tasks, such as righting overturned spent fuel storage casks and HOSS casks due to flood waters?

The mess from the last storm remains at the shore and in NYC. Are we ready to handle a radioactive mess if there are failures of HOSS casks and where can I find a NRC guide to handle emergencies along this line? I am sending this page of comments in as threatening storms continue to come Eastward into the Jersey shore. I would very much like and need answers as I go to Jersey many weekends. I shall not invite my friends, but allow them to come if they are knowledgeable of the shortcomings of the emergency resources.

Respectfully submitted,
Marvin Lewis
3133 Fairfields St.
Philadelphia, PA 19136
marvlewis@juno.com