

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: November 27, 2012
Received: November 21, 2012
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jw-8244-f5ej
Comments Due: January 02, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration on Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0001

Consideration of Environmental Impacts of Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0099

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-26295

10/25/2012
77 FR 65137
 (97)

Submitter Information

Name: Donna selquist

Address:

10530 SW Waterway La
Port St Lucie, FL, 34987-1913

RECEIVED
 2012 NOV 27 AM 9: 2
 RULES AND DIRECTIVES
 BRANCH
 USNRC

General Comment

I'm really concerned about nuclear waste products leaking into ground water resources. We all know - and the government has only lately admitted - that this is what has happened at Hanford, WA. I also understand that there are numerous other locations where groundwater has been contaminated by nuclear run off downstream, including Indian Point 2 & 3 (NY/Hudson River), Salem 1 (NJ/Delaware River), CT Yankee (Connecticut River & Long Island Sound), the U.S. Dept. of Energy's Brookhaven High Flux Beam Reactor (Long Island's sole source drinking water aquifer), BWXT Technologies (VA/James River), as well as Hatch (GA/Altamaha River) and Davis-Besse (OH/Lake Erie).

Nuclear power is simply not worth the risks inherent to it. We need to put ALL of our efforts into developing clean, green, renewable energy sources.

Thank you for considering my views.

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= S. Lopas (SLL2)