

December 6, 2012

MEMORANDUM TO: Matthew A. Mitchell, Chief
Projects Management Branch
Japan Lessons-Learned Project Directorate
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Lisa M. Regner, Sr. Project Manager */RA/*
Projects Management Branch
Japan Lessons-Learned Project Directorate
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF THE NOVEMBER 5, 2012, PUBLIC WEBINAR
ON INTERIM STAFF GUIDANCE: SCREENING,
PRIORITIZATION AND IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS FOR
JAPAN LESSONS LEARNED NEAR-TERM TASK FORCE
RECOMMENDATION REGARDING SEISMIC REEVALUATION

On November 5, 2012, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the staff) held a Category 2 public meeting¹ to continue development of the guidance document supporting Recommendation 2.1, seismic reevaluations. This recommendation can be found in *Near-Term Task Force [NTTF] Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century* report, issued July 12, 2011.² The seismic reevaluations were identified as a Tier 1 recommendation, which are under consideration for implementation without unnecessary delay. Consequently, on March 12, 2012, the NRC issued a request for information per Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, Section 50.54(f). Enclosure 1 to the request for information letter includes Recommendation 2.1: Seismic.

The meeting participants are included in the enclosure to this document.

The meeting participants used a webinar format to review and edit the draft guidance document, "Screening Prioritization and Implementation Details (SPID)," authored by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) staff, with input from the NRC staff. The versions used during the meeting can be found in the Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS) at Accession Nos.:

ML12300A367 Draft SPID (10-25-12) NRC Markup
ML12310A006 Draft SPID (11-04-12) EPRI Markup
ML12306A136 Appendix B

¹ The meeting notice is available via the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) under Accession No. ML12297A301.

² The NTTF report is available under ADAMS Accession No. ML111861807.

The version with comments from the meeting can be found in ADAMS at:

ML12311A089 Draft SPID (11-05-12) Meeting Final Version

The meeting focused on several sections of the SPID guidance, including Section 6.6, "Comparison to ASME [American Society of Mechanical Engineers]/ANS [American Nuclear Society] SPRA [Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessment] Standard and RG [Regulatory Guide] 1.200," Section 6.7, "Peer Review," and Section 7, "Spent Fuel Pool [SFP] Integrity Evaluation."

Table 6-5, "Comparison of SPID Guidance to ASME/ANS PRA Standard Supporting Requirements: Element SFR," Table 6-6, "Comparison of SPID Guidance to ASME/ANS PRA Standard Supporting Requirements: Element SPR," and a new Section 6.5.1, "Evaluation of LERF," were discussed. The Section 7 discussion focused on questions of SFP structural capacity. EPRI staff stated they will address the staff's concerns, and that they are still evaluating how to assess other SFP drainage paths.

Members of the public participated in the webinar and asked clarifying questions throughout the meeting.

Enclosure:
As stated

The version with comments from the meeting can be found in ADAMS at:

ML12311A089 Draft SPID (11-05-12) Meeting Final Version

The meeting focused on several sections of the SPID guidance, including Section 6.6, “Comparison to ASME [American Society of Mechanical Engineers]/ANS [American Nuclear Society] SPRA [Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessment] Standard and RG [Regulatory Guide] 1.200,” Section 6.7, “Peer Review,” and Section 7, “Spent Fuel Pool [SFP] Integrity Evaluation.”

Table 6-5, “Comparison of SPID Guidance to ASME/ANS PRA Standard Supporting Requirements: Element SFR,” Table 6-6, “Comparison of SPID Guidance to ASME/ANS PRA Standard Supporting Requirements: Element SPR,” and a new Section 6.5.1, “Evaluation of LERF,” were discussed. The Section 7 discussion focused on questions of SFP structural capacity. EPRI staff stated they will address the staff’s concerns, and that they are still evaluating how to assess other SFP drainage paths.

Members of the public participated in the webinar and asked clarifying questions throughout the meeting.

Enclosure:
As stated

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	RidsOgcMailCenter Resource
JLD R/F	RidsOpaMail Resource
PMNS Resource	RidsNrrDorl Resource
EMiller	RidsNrrLAABaxter Resource
TWertz	RidsAcrsAcnw_MailCTR Resource
LRegner	SKennedy, EDO RI, RII, RIII, & RIV
BWittick	MKhanna
RPascarelli	GWilson

ADAMS Accession No.: ML122333A312

*Concurrence via e-mail

OFFICE	LA: NRR/DLR*	PM: NRR/JLD/PMB	BC: NRR/JLD/PMB	PM: NRR/JLD/PMB
NAME	IKing	LRegner	MMitchell	LRegner
DATE	11/30/2012	12/06/2012	12/06/2012	12/06/2012

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Public Webinar on Interim Staff Guidance: Screening, Prioritization and
Implementation Details for Japan Lessons Learned Near-Term Task Force Recommendation
Regarding the Seismic Reevaluation

November 5, 2012

Participant List

PARTICIPANT:

AFFILIATION:

Christopher Gratton
Lisa Regner
Annie Kammerer
Cliff Munson
Jon Ake
Jenise Thompson
Frankie Vegas
Kamal Manoly
Donald P. Moore
John Richards
Stuart Lewis
Bob Whorton
Kimberly Keithline

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
NRC
NRC
NRC
NRC
NRC
NRC
NRC
Southern Nuclear
Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)
EPRI
Southern Carolina Electric and Gas
Nuclear Energy Institute

Enclosure