

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: November 14, 2012 Received: November 12, 2012 Status: Pending Post Tracking No. ljw-81xx-coie Comments Due: January 02, 2013 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration on Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0001

Consideration of Environmental Impacts of Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0062

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-26295

10/25/2012

77 FR 65137

Submitter Information

Name: Marvin Lewis**Address:**

marvlewis@juno.com
 3133 Fairfield St.
 Philadelphia, PA, 19136

RECEIVED

2012 NOV 14 PM 2:58

 RULES AND DIRECTIVES
 BRANCH
 USNRC

General Comment

Dear Commissioners,

Please accept the following as my comments on the Proposed Rule Environmental Impacts of Temporary Spent Fuel Storage.

I have previously posted comments to this Proposed Rulemaking on November 8 to have them in the record to consider at the public hearing scheduled for November 14, 2012. These are an extension of my previous comments on this proposed rulemaking. I shall extend, add and repair my comments herein until the comment period ends on January 2, 2012. I also request that the comment period be extended as new information erupts continuously.

The most glaring deficiency in this request for comments is the emphasis on the three specific deficiencies which the Court has pointed out in the NRC Waste Confidence analysis. I request that the NRC does not limit this scoping to "3 specific deficiencies." Any and all deficiencies raised by comments herein or circumstances like Fukushima or Sandy must be addressed before any new licensing is allowed for the sake of safety of the public. The paragraph starting "waste confidence" on page 65138 give a basis or extending consideration of comments to new issues and ties comments to an adequate decision: "no final license will be issued until a new WC Decision and Rule are in effect." (CLI-12-016)

The Court finding of three specific deficiencies show that the NRC is not perfect or omniscient. The NRC must be willing to look at all deficiencies to meet the obligations from its own Charter which states, "health and safety of the public" nine times.

NEPA law requires comment periods which open the record to all comments. This present notice of rulemaking does not state that the record is open to all comments, and it should!

Three specific deficiencies:

The Court did not state that site specific deficiencies were outside the decision of the Court. The Court did not state that the rulemaking would be limited to generic issues.

I am being cut off by the website. I shall send the next pages separately. Marvin

