

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: November 14, 2012
Received: November 08, 2012
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jw-81v7-p9i4
Comments Due: January 02, 2013
Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2012-0246

Consideration on Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

**Comment On:** NRC-2012-0246-0001

Consideration of Environmental Impacts of Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

**Document:** NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0028

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-26295

10/25/2012

77 FR 65137

30

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Laura Levey

**Address:**

NJ, 08873

RECEIVED

2012 NOV 14 AM 10:19

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC

---

## General Comment

For decades, Riverkeeper and other organizations have had no confidence in assertions by the nuclear industry and various regulatory agencies about nuclear waste—now a federal court has agreed. It is imperative that we use this opportunity to halt the industry push for a quick and dirty solution and inject some sanity into the debate on nuclear energy and radioactive waste. I ask that you consider the following:

1. Don't Rush It.

The NRC is rushing the process, and expects to issue a draft EIS for public comment sometime in 2013. Producing a legitimate Waste Confidence Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) should take seven years.

2. Be Thorough

The NRC must resolve many technical issues including long-term waste integrity, vulnerability and deterioration; the potential for accidents; and lessons learned from Japan's devastating 2011 Fukushima Daiichi meltdown.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03

Add: S. Lopas (SLL2)