

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: November 14, 2012
Received: November 08, 2012
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jw-81v5-uokc
Comments Due: January 02, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration on Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0001

Consideration of Environmental Impacts of Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0017

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-26295

10/25/2012

19

77 FR 65137

Submitter Information

Name: Alice Bartholomew

Address:

Elmira, New York,

General Comment

See attached file(s)

Attachments

Alice Bartholomew

RECEIVED

2012 NOV 14 AM 10:18

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM -013

E-RIDS = ADM-03

Add = S. Lopas (SLL2)

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: November 14, 2012
Received: November 08, 2012
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jw-81vf-fsvl
Comments Due: January 02, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration on Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0001

Consideration of Environmental Impacts of Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0033

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-26295

10/25/2012

77 FR 68137

Submitter Information

Name: Alice Bartholomew

Address:

415 Wall Street
Elmira, NY, 14905

RECEIVED

2012 NOV 14 PM 2:41

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

General Comment

I understand that on June 8, 2012, Riverkeeper and their allies won a landmark U.S. Court of Appeals decision nullifying the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's "Waste Confidence Rule," which has allowed each U.S. nuclear reactor to store highly radioactive nuclear waste on site. The now-invalidated rule presumed that waste stored at reactors would go to a national nuclear waste dump someday and that the storage of spent fuel at individual reactors is temporary and causes "no significant environmental impact."

Because of this landmark court victory, there is no longer any legal basis for plants like Indian Point to avoid studying the environmental impacts of long-term nuclear waste storage in fuel pools or dry storage. At Indian Point, spent fuel has leaked carcinogenic nuclear waste into groundwater and the Hudson River, and its unfortified storage structure has been considered as a target of terrorists. There is a paramount overdue need to address the risks of nuclear waste disposal before any U.S. nuclear plant, including Indian Point, may be licensed or re-licensed.

SUNSI Review Complete

E-RIDS = ADM-03

Template = ADM-013

Add = S. Lopas (SLL2)

Joosten, Sandy

From: Alice Bartholomew [aiw777@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 10:44 PM
To: CHAIRMAN Resource
Subject: Extend Environmental Scoping Period and Address Community Exoisyre

Dear NRC Chairwoman:

I am writing to request that the environmental scoping period be extended. Also request that additional in-person hearings be held in communities living in the shadows of high-level radioactive waste storage pools and dry casks.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Alice Bartholomew
Elmira, NY 14905