

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

| |
|---------------------------------------|
| As of: November 14, 2012 |
| Received: November 09, 2012 |
| Status: Pending_Post |
| Tracking No. 1jw-81vy-bj52 |
| Comments Due: January 02, 2013 |
| Submission Type: Web |

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration on Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0001

Consideration of Environmental Impacts of Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0049

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-26295

10/25/2012
77 FR 65137

51

Submitter Information

Name: Johanna Anderson

General Comment

See attached file(s)

Attachments

Johanna Anderson

RECEIVED

2012 NOV 14 PM 2:42

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM -03
Add= S. Lopas (SLL2)



Joosten, Sandy

From: johanna.anderson [johanna.ande@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 02, 2012 11:48 PM
To: CHAIRMAN Resource
Subject: Environmental Scoping Process

November 2, 2012

Dear Chair Allison Macfarlane,

I am a citizen of the southern United States with many friends and family living near nuclear power plants and other nuclear facilities. In light of the many accidents at nuclear facilities over recent decades, I am very concerned for their health and safety. I am pleased that the NRC will be writing an environmental impact statement regarding current on site nuclear waste storage, and desire, as I'm sure you also do, for it to be as thorough as possible. It seems that with the current schedule for the scoping hearing and public comments, this process will be rushed.

I have two requests for the NRC about the environmental scoping process. First, please extend the environmental scoping period so that a truly thorough assessment can be made. January 2nd is too soon for a deadline for public comments. Secondly, I urge you to hold in-person hearings in every community directly affected by a reactor or a proposed reactor and it's high-level radioactive waste. A single in-person hearing is not enough for this issue. Each reactor site is unique in its past, present, and future challenges, and each directly affected community deserves to have their voices heard in person.

I know you understand the gravity of high-level nuclear waste and it's past and potential risks. Please lengthen the environmental scoping period and hold more in-person hearings in directly affected communities.

Thank you for your work.

Sincerely,

Johanna Anderson