

10 CFR 50.59 10 CFR 50.90

October 25, 2012

ZS-2012-0448

U.S. Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2
Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-39 and DPR-48
NRC Docket Nos. 50-295 and 50-304

Subject: Request for Amendment to Approve Methods of Analysis, use of the Upgraded Fuel Handling Building Crane System as a Single-Failure Proof Crane and Approval of a NUREG 0612 Compliant Heavy Loads Handling Program

Reference: 1. Zion Solutions, LLC Letter, Daly to NRC, "Request for Amendment to Approve Methods of Analysis, use of the Upgraded Fuel Handling Building Crane System as a Single-Failure Proof Crane and Approval of a NUREG 0612 Compliant Heavy Loads Handling Program," dated May 31, 2012

ZionSolutions, LLC (ZS) is in the process of decommissioning the Zion Nuclear Power Station. One of the major activities to complete decommissioning is to place the spent fuel into spent fuel storage casks which must be moved from the Spent Fuel Pool area to a new on-site Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) in order to allow decommissioning and dismantlement of the Fuel Handling Building. A total of 61 transportable storage canisters (TSCs) must be loaded with spent fuel and moved. In order to perform this activity, the existing Fuel Handling Building overhead crane will be upgraded to a single-failure proof lifting system to ensure the canister loading and preparation activities are performed safely and without the need to postulate a cask handling accident during any part of the cask movement by the Fuel Handling Building lifting system. The crane upgrade conforms to the applicable criteria as listed in NUREG-0554 "Single-Failure-Proof Cranes for Nuclear Power Plants" (NUREG-0554) and to any applicable criteria as listed in NUREG-0612 "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants: Resolution of Generic Technical Activity A-36" Appendix C (NUREG-0612). Any deviations are explained in the compliance matrices attached. This submittal augments and supercedes our earlier request of reference 1.

The attached License Amendment request provides information not previously available that summarizes the calculations supporting the new single failure proof crane. It also includes amplified discussion of the Zion Station plant configuration, the crane related design analyses

Zion*Solutions*, LLC ZS-2012-0448 Page 2 of 4

and modifications, and how various NRC regulatory positions are accommodated. The submittal also includes the results of our ongoing evaluation of the existing rail clips on the runway rails. Our analyses indicate the rail clips would be over stressed during the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE, also referred to as the Design Basis Earthquake or DBE) if the crane is loaded to its maximum critical load. Modification of the runway rail clip arrangement is being analyzed and details of the stresses in rails and clips (after modification), will be provided in a later supplement to this amendment request on or before 12/31/2012. The attached amendment request identifies locations where that additional information will be provided to show compliance with the NOG-1 seismic requirements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.90 "Applications for amendment of license or construction permit" and 10 CFR 50.59 "Changes, tests, and experiments," ZS, is requesting a change to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-39 and DPR-48 for Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 (ZNPS). The proposed changes revise the Defueled Safety Analysis Report (DSAR) to include a description of the new single failure proof Fuel Handling Building lifting system and the methodology associated with that design; describe the NUREG 0612 program commitments; and, describe fuel handling operations using the Fuel Handling Building crane. The analyzed event for a spent fuel cask drop in the cask handling area of the Spent Fuel Pool will be deleted from the DSAR (Section 3.9.5) based on use of the single-failure proof system coupled with implementation of the Heavy Loads Program. These measures will sufficiently preclude spent fuel cask drops and the need to explicitly analyze for such an event in or near the Spent Fuel Pool by reducing the probability of a drop event to an acceptably low value, as described in NUREG 0612. No portion of the Fuel Handling Building structure is adversely affected by the replacement of the Fuel Handling Building Crane trolley other than the runway rail clips as previously discussed. Accordingly no analysis is required or provided for failures of the FHB, its roof or associated structure over the spent fuel pool nor does any effect of building failure on the crane need to be analyzed.

The FHB overhead bridge crane was manufactured by Harnischfeger/P&H as original equipment at ZNPS. It has a 125-ton rated main hoist and a 15-ton rated auxiliary hoist, both of which operate from the same trolley. The FHB overhead crane is characterized as Important-to-the-Defueled-Condition (ITDC) in the DSAR. An ITDC structure, system or component functions and/or supports a spent fuel or radiation protection safety function in the defueled condition.

The lifting system modifications include replacement of the existing trolley on the overhead crane with a new trolley and single-failure proof main hoist and new crane controls. The existing trolley and hoists will be replaced with a new trolley and hoists manufactured by Konecranes Nuclear Equipment & Services, LLC. The new trolley will have a new 125-ton rated single-failure proof main hoist and a new 15-ton rated non-single-failure proof auxiliary hoist. No structural modifications to the existing bridge are necessary. The calculations that examine the connection of the runway rails to the Fuel Handling Building structure (without considering friction) are in preparation and the results will be provided as indicated above.

Associated lifting devices and interfacing lift points will also conform to the guidance in NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.6 in order to ensure that the entire Fuel Handling Building lifting

Zion*Solutions*, LLC ZS-2012-0448 Page 3 of 4

system is single-failure proof for each heavy load lift made in or around the Spent Fuel Pool or in or around a cask loaded with spent fuel or a lift of a cask loaded with spent fuel. The heavy loads safe load paths are identified and additional staging areas in the Fuel Handling Building to facilitate spent fuel transfer are identified as part of this license amendment request. Operator training and procedures will also conform to the recommendations of the regulatory guidance.

A seismic analysis was performed in accordance with ASME NOG-1 "Rules for Construction of Overhead and Gantry Cranes (Top Running Bridge, Multiple Girder)" (ASME NOG-1) for the new trolley. Analysis was also performed to include the existing bridge and new trolley, coupled with the supporting FHB structure that confirmed the overhead crane system will be able to safely control the Maximum Critical Load during a seismic event (SSE) once the evaluation of the modified clip arrangement on the runway rails is completed.

This License Amendment Request is arranged as follows:

- Attachment 1 provides a description and an evaluation of the proposed change;
- Attachment 2 provides a list of Regulatory Commitments, Proposed Operating License Page Markups, Proposed DSAR Page Markups;
- Attachment 3 provides a copy of the Draft ZS Heavy Loads Program;
- Attachment 4 provides a matrix identifying compliance with NUREG 0612;
- Attachment 5 provides a matrix identifying compliance with ASME NOG-1;
- Attachment 6 provides a matrix identifying compliance with NUREG 0554;
- Attachment 7 provides drawings associated with the Design Change.

The proposed change has been reviewed by the ZNPS Station Review Committee in accordance with the requirements of the ZNPS Technical Specifications.

ZS is notifying the State of Illinois of this request for change to the operating license by transmitting a copy of this letter and its attachments to the designated State Official.

Respectfully,

Patrick Daly Senior Vice President

ZionSolutions, LLC

cc: John Hickman, USNRC Senior Project Manager (Attachment 7 on CD)

Service List (Attachment 7 on CD)

Zion*Solutions*, LLC ZS-2012-0448 Page 4 of 4

Attachments: Affidavit

Attachment 1: Description and Safety Analyses for the Proposed Change

Attachment 2: Regulatory Commitments, Proposed Operating License Changes

and Proposed DSAR Changes

Attachment 3: Copy of the Draft ZS Heavy Loads Program

Attachment 4: NUREG 0612 Compliance Matrix Attachment 5: ASME NOG-1Compliance Matrix Attachment 6: NUREG 0554 Compliance Matrix

Attachment 7: Drawings associated with the Design Change

STATE OF ILLINOIS	)
	) SS
COUNTY OF LAKE	)

Patrick T. Daly, being duly sworn according to law deposes and says:

I am Senior Vice President and General Manager of Zion Solutions, LLC, and as such, I am familiar with the contents of this correspondence and the attachments thereto, concerning the Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, and the matters set forth therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me this 25th day of <u>OCTOBER</u>, 2012

Notary Public of Illinois

LINDA CHOU OFFICIAL SEAL Notary Public, State of Illinois My Commission Expires December 07, 2015

## Zion Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 and 2 License Transfer Service List

1

cc:

Patrick T. Daly Senior VP and General Manager Zion Solutions, LLC 101 Shiloh Boulevard Zion, IL 60099

Patrick Thurman, Esq.

VP Regulatory Affairs, Licensing & Document Control

Zion Solutions, LLC

101 Shiloh Boulevard

Zion, IL 60099

Gary Bouchard
VP Engineering, Ops & Nuclear Security
and Decommissioning Plant Manager
ZionSolutions, LLC
101 Shiloh Boulevard
Zion, IL 60099

Alan Parker
President Projects Group
EnergySolutions
1009 Commerce Park Drive, Ste. 100
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

John Christian
President of Logistics, Processing and Disposal (LP& D) Group
EnergySolutions
1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1500
Mclean, VA 22102

Thomas Magette Senior VP Nuclear Regulatory Strategy Energy Solutions 6350 Stevens Forest Road, Ste. 2000 Columbia, MD 21046

Russ Workman General Counsel EnergySolutions 423 West 300 South, Ste. 200 Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety Office of Nuclear Facility Safety 1035 Outer Park Drive Springfield, IL 62704

Kent McKenzie
Emergency Management Coordinator
Lake County Emergency Management Agency
1303 N. Milwaukee Avenue
Libertyville, IL 60048-1308

Regional Administrator U.S. NRC, Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Lisle, IL 60532-4352

John E. Matthews Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

## Attachment 1:

Description and Safety Analyses for the Proposed Change

## 1.0 SUMMARY DESCRIPTION

Zion Solutions, LLC (ZS), is decommissioning the Zion Nuclear Power Station. One of the major activities is to transfer the spent fuel from the Spent Fuel Pool to a new on-site Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) in order to allow decommissioning and dismantlement of the Fuel Handling Building. A total of 2,226 spent fuel assemblies and fuel assembly pieces and parts will be loaded into 61 MAGNASTOR transportable storage canisters (TSCs) in the Spent Fuel Pool. When filled, each canister will be moved in a transfer cask to the canister preparation area inside the Fuel Handling Building where it will be drained, dried, backfilled with inert gas and welded shut before it is moved to a storage cask and placed on a low profile cask rail transporter inside the Fuel Handling Building truck bay in preparation for transport to the ISFSI. In preparation for this activity, the existing 125-ton capacity, non-single-failure proof Fuel Handling Building overhead crane will be upgraded to be single-failure proof and heavy load handling will be performed in accordance with a new Heavy Loads Program These actions will improve the assurance that the canister loading and preparation activities are performed safely and to achieve a very low probability of occurrence for a canister drop event thus effectively precluding the need to postulate a heavy load handling accident in or around the Spent Fuel Pool, or in or around a cask loaded with spent fuel, or a lift of a cask loaded with spent fuel.

The existing trolley on the overhead crane will be replaced with a new trolley with a single-failure proof main hoist and upgraded crane controls. No structural modifications are required for the existing bridge or the support structure with one exception regarding the runway rail clips described below. The design rated load and maximum critical load for the main hoist will remain at 125 tons.

A detailed description of the trolley and hoist replacement and runway modifications are provided below. The proposed changes to the Defueled Safety Analysis Report (DSAR) along with the Heavy Loads Program are also discussed. The DSAR page markups and draft ZS Heavy Loads Program are provided in Attachments 2 and 3, respectively to this submittal.

A technical evaluation discussing the existing plant condition and existing cask drop analysis is provided. The single-failure proof Fuel Handling Building lift system upgrade is consistent with NUREG-0554 "Single-Failure-Proof Cranes for Nuclear Power Plants (NUREG-0554) and Rules for Construction of Overhead and Gantry Cranes (Top Running Bridge, Multiple Girder)" (ASME NOG-1). This lift system and the associated implementation of heavy load procedures will substantially lower the probability of a cask drop event (as described in NUREG-0612 "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants: Resolution of Generic Technical Activity A-36" (NUREG-0612) and eliminates the need for discrete analysis of a drop of a heavy load in or around the Spent Fuel Pool, or in or around a cask loaded with spent fuel, or a lift of a cask loaded with spent fuel. The upgraded crane system meets the ZNPS seismic safe shutdown earthquake (SSE, also referred to as the Design Basis Earthquake or DBE) response spectra for the Fuel Handling Building and the crane lift system. However, evaluation of existing clips on the runway rails during SSE with the crane loaded to its maximum critical load has been performed and shows the clips to be overloaded. Modification of the clip arrangement is being analyzed and details of the stresses in rails and clips (after modification), will be provided later.

A discussion of any impacts to the remaining accident analyses for a plant undergoing decommissioning is also provided.

A regulatory evaluation discusses how the modifications meet the guidance provided in ASME NOG-1, NUREG-0554, and NUREG-0612. Detailed compliance matrices are provided for each of these guidance documents. The proposed addition of a new license condition to the Unit 1 and Unit 2 licenses and proposed changes to the DSAR are discussed. Also included are a basis for a "no significant hazards consideration" declaration, a statement of environmental considerations and a list of commitments needed to implement the modifications.

## 2.0 DETAILED DESCRIPTION

## 2.1 Introduction

#### Background

The existing trolley on the overhead crane will be replaced with a new trolley with a single-failure proof main hoist and upgraded crane controls compliant with NUREG-0554, ASME-NOG-1, and NUREG-0612 as applicable. No structural modifications will be required for the existing bridge or support structure except for the modification of the clip arrangement for the runway rails. Modification of the clip arrangement is being analyzed and details of the stresses in rails and clips (after modification), will be provided later. Associated lifting devices and interfacing lift points will also meet the guidance in NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.6 in order to ensure that the entire Fuel Handling Building lift system is single-failure proof for each heavy load lift in or around the Spent Fuel Pool, or in or around a cask loaded with spent fuel, or a lift of a cask loaded with spent fuel. The design rated load and maximum critical load for the main hoist will remain at 125-tons. The heavy lifts will use safe load paths including any additional staging areas needed in the Fuel Handling Building to facilitate spent fuel transfer. Operator training and procedures will also conform to the recommendations of the regulatory guidance.

## Fuel Handling Building

The Fuel Handling Building (FHB) at the Zion Nuclear Power Station is located between the Unit 1 and Unit 2 Reactor Containment Buildings. The Fuel Handling Building, as described in the DSAR, is a Seismic Class I structure and is characterized as Important-to-the-Defueled-Condition (ITDC). A structure, system or component that supports a fuel safety or radiation protection safety function is designated as Important to the Defueled Condition (ITDC). The seismic qualification of this structure ensures that a structural failure in the building will not result in an increase in the severity of any accident postulated to occur in the defueled condition, thus the entire structure is classified as Seismic Class I. As such, the FHB complies with Section C.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.29, "Seismic Design Classification," and Paragraph II.8 of NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan," Section 3.7.2. The west end of the FHB has a Truck Bay at grade that also provides railway access. Shield doors at the north end of the Truck Bay open into an extension with a roll-up door at the north end opening to the outside.

## Spent Fuel Pool

The Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) has space in the storage racks for 3,012 assemblies. A total of 2,226 spent fuel assemblies, one failed spent fuel rod storage basket, and one damaged fuel assembly skeleton containing 15 spent fuel rods in the guide tubes are stored in the SFP. A cask load pit, a discrete area in the SFP, has plan view dimensions of 9.5 feet by 9.5 feet with a floor that is approximately 39 feet below the nominal water level of the SFP. The SFP and storage racks are characterized as Important-to-the-Defueled-Condition (ITDC) in the DSAR. The spent fuel will be characterized as damaged or undamaged fuel in accordance with the NRC approved loading requirements for the NAC MAGNASTOR contents. Damaged fuel assemblies as well as the

failed rod storage basket and the damaged fuel assembly skeleton will be placed inside damaged fuel cans and loaded into the NAC MAGNASTOR Transportable Storage Canisters (TSC). Each canister holds up to 37 fuel assemblies.

#### Overhead Crane

The existing FHB overhead bridge crane was manufactured by Harnischfeger/P&H as original plant equipment. It has a 125-ton rated main hoist and a 15-ton rated auxiliary hoist, both of which operate from the same trolley. The FHB overhead crane is characterized as ITDC in the DSAR.

As demonstrated in the attached compliance matrices, the crane upgrade generally conforms to the applicable criteria as listed in NUREG-0554 and NUREG-0612 Appendix C. ASME NOG-1-2004 (NOG-1) is used as the design and construction standard to satisfy NUREG-0554 requirements. Any deviations are explained in the compliance matrix, including alternative approaches or justifications as appropriate.

## 2.2 Bridge Modifications

The following modifications will be made to the bridge:

- 1. Replacement of control panels.
- 2. Addition of a bridge mounted load cell readout visible from the refueling floor and also a readout in the crane cab and on the radio receiver.
- 3. Addition of an isolation transformer for the new flux vector and frequency controls.
- 4. Addition of new radio controls with load cell readout. The existing cab master switches which will remain as an alternate means of crane control.
- 5. New festoon electrification for the trolley and an additional ground conductor bar for bridge electrification.
- 6. Modifications to interface with the crane existing main disconnect, crane lighting systems, and crane warning systems.
- 7. Addition of a cutoff switch that removes power from the crane in the event of a Safe Shutdown Earthquake.
- 8. Modifications of the bridge limit switch arrangement to enforce end of travel and restricted zone travel and eliminate the existing retractable bridge and trolley stops.
- 9. Modification of the runway rail clip arrangement that, without considering friction, assure that the clips will not be overstressed during SSE with the crane loaded to its maximum with the hook up.

The bridge has been evaluated with regard to the ASME NOG-1 structural design criteria for use as part of the single failure proof crane system and no structural modifications to the bridge have been determined to be required.

## 2.3 Trolley and Hoist Replacement

The existing trolley and hoists will be replaced with a new trolley and hoists manufactured by Morris Material Handling/P&H. The new trolley will have a new 125-ton rated single-failure proof main hoist and a new 15-ton rated non-single-failure proof auxiliary hoist. The ASME NOG-1 Type I main hoist unit with Type I main hoist components is designed and arranged to prevent a "two-blocked" condition consistent with the NRC and industry guidance. Also included are new hoist hooks, new carbon steel wire rope, lower block and other components affixed to the trolley. The 125-ton hook will attach directly to the specially-designed yoke for the MAGNASTOR Transfer Cask (MTC). Means and equipment are provided for manually positioning the new upgraded trolley in case of loss of all external AC power.

The existing crane motor controls will be replaced with new alternating current (AC) flux vector main and auxiliary hoist controls and new AC adjustable frequency bridge and trolley controls. New motor controllers and radio controls interface with the existing main line disconnect and magnetic contactor, existing cab master switches, existing crane lighting systems, existing crane warning systems, and existing travel limit switches.

The replacement trolley generally conforms to the applicable design requirements of ASME NOG-1. The main hoist and trolley unit is a NOG Type I design, with the auxiliary hoist being a NOG Type III design. Any deviations from ASME NOG-1 are explained in the ASME NOG-1 compliance matrix (Attachment 5). A summary of the upgraded crane performance requirements is provided in Table 1. Table 1 also provides a listing of the key components and dimensions of the new trolley and hoist assemblies. Operating procedures and training will provide operating instructions and limitations for crane operations to assure design limitations are met. Further details of the design are provided as follows:

#### Travel Length -

- 1. The north/south (N/S) and east/west (E/W) end of travel limits of the 125-ton hook are the same as the existing end of travel limits and lift lengths are the same or greater than those for the existing hoist.
- 2. The size of the existing load path restricted zone will be reduced to exclude the new fuel vault. The revised restricted zone will incorporate the Spent Fuel Pool and Transfer Canal plus margin to prevent the MTC from travelling over those areas.

#### Reeving -

In addition to the requirements of NOG-l, the main hoist is provided with a balanced redundant reeving system such that the load block does not twist in the un-loaded or loaded condition. Reverse bends are not used in the main hoist.

- 1. The main hoist reeving and arrangement of mechanical components are such to permit lifting at an angle of three degrees in either direction along the centerline of the hoist, parallel with the girders. This off-angle pulling is required for the possible upending of the dry cask storage system components, which will require alternate operations of hoisting and trolley traversing. The arrangement ensures that the hoisting ropes do not rub against the trolley frame when lifting at this angle. Hoist drums are provided with a means to ensure that the ropes are in the drum grooves. A monitoring system shuts down the main hoist crane drive if a wire rope becomes dislodged from its proper groove.
- 2. The main hoist wire ropes are selected to provide a 10-to-1 design factor when supporting the maximum critical load (MCL) plus the weight of the load block, including an increase to accommodate for degradation due to wear (5%).
- 3. The auxiliary hoist has a standard double-reeved system providing a true vertical lift.
- 4. All rope parts of both the main and auxiliary hoist reeving systems are provided with equalizer sheaves or bars to ensure equal rope load.
- 5. Main hoist fleet angles do not exceed 3½ degrees except for the last three feet of maximum lift elevation.

## Hooks -

- 1. The main hoist dual prong (sister) hook is made of forged alloy steel (similar to SAE 4340) designed for a safety factor of 10-to-1, static plus dynamic, including margin for wear, such that this design margin is maintained when lifting from either the hook prongs or center pin hole. The design of the lower center pin hole requires that the sides of the hook at the pin hole are parallel with a flush face surface. Safety latches bridge the two hook prong openings. To ensure hook mating with the fuel transfer lifting devices, all latch components are narrower than the hook width and do not interfere with pins sized to rest in the valley of the hook prongs.
- 2. The auxiliary hoist single-prong (fishhook) hook is made of forged carbon steel with a safety latch to bridge the hook prong opening.
- 3. All hooks rotate 360 degrees and have punch marks to measure the hook prong throat openings. All safety latch components are of a non-corrosive material.

## Load Blocks -

1. Critical components of the main hoist upper and lower blocks are designed for a safety factor of 10-to-1, static plus dynamic loading.

2. The main hoist load block is expected to be immersed in the SFP, and is designed accordingly.

## Drip Pans -

1. All oil-lubricated components of the main hoist, auxiliary hoist, and trolley are provided with drip pans. Grease-lubricated components which do not have a structure beneath them (such as the trolley deck) also are provided with drip pans to retain any excess lubricant. Drip pans are sized to hold 1.1 times the total quantity of lubricant that could leak.

#### Lubricants -

1. Lubricants for components immersed in the Spent Fuel Pool are selected to be non-water soluble and free of halogenated compounds, halogens, mercury, and other deleterious materials to ensure compatibility with the pool chemistry.

## Hoist Controllers -

1. New main and auxiliary hoist controllers are of the AC "Flux Vector" closed loop variable frequency type, specifically meeting the criteria of NOG-1 Paragraph 6417 and Item 6417.1.

## Bridge and Trolley Controllers -

1. New bridge and trolley controllers are of the AC open loop variable frequency type. These controllers meet the criteria of ASME NOG-1Paragraph 6417.

#### Motors -

## 1. Motor features are:

- a. The hoist motors are 460v, 3ph, 60 Hz AC vector duty induction motors. Each motor is in accordance with National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) MG1 Part 31 as applicable for vector duty.
- b. The bridge and trolley drive motors are 460v, 3 phase, 60 Hz AC inverter duty motors. Each traverse motor is in accordance with NEMA MG1 Part 31 as applicable for inverter duty.

- c. Maximum motor revolutions per minute (RPM) for any motor is 1800, with bridge motors being selected to interface with the existing bridge drive gear case and shafting.
- d. External Motor Branch-Circuit Overload Protection

  Each new motor is provided with an automatic resetting three phase bi-metallic thermal overload relay.
- e. Motor Branch-Circuit Short-Circuit Protection

  Each new motion controller is provided with a separate 3-pole molded case thermal magnetic (inverse-time) circuit breaker.

Hoist Holding Brakes and Emergency Brakes -

#### 1. The brake features are:

- a. The main hoist is provided with two shoe-type holding brakes, one on each of the redundant gear trains. Each brake is sized to hold 150% of the rated load hoisting torque.
- b. An eddy current brake (Magnetorque) is provided on the common motor drive shaft. This brake allows the load to be lowered at a controlled speed under emergency conditions.
- c. Each main hoist holding brake is provided with a means for manual releasing to provide emergency lowering of the load controlled by the Magnetorque eddy current brake in case of loss of all external AC power.
- d. The auxiliary hoist is provided with two holding brakes. Each brake is sized for 100% of the rated load hoisting torque.
- e. All holding brakes for normal operating modes engage upon returning the motion controller to the neutral ("off") position, upon opening the mainline magnetic contactor, or upon loss of power. An emergency brake (and as appropriate, the normal operating brakes), engage upon the predetermined emergency conditions, such as rope failure.

## Bridge and Trolley Brakes -

Each new traverse motion controller motor is furnished with a motor mounted disc brake. Brakes are sized for 100% of the drive torque that can be developed at the point of application and are field adjustable to be capable of stopping the drive within the distances specified in NOG-1. Each brake is furnished with a means for manual brake release. The disc brake of each new traverse motor serves as the emergency brake and as the holding (parking) brake. Each brake engages upon returning the motion controller to the neutral ("off") position, upon opening the mainline magnetic contactor (as can be accomplished by pressing the emergency stop button), upon loss of power, or upon any emergency or faulted condition as detected by the traverse motion controller. Controlled braking for each traverse motion is furnished as a function of the AC variable frequency control.

## Hoist Limit Switches -

Each hoist is provided with an upper and lower geared limit switch wired to the hoist control circuit and with a second back-up upper limit switch of the block actuated type. For each hoist, a second back-up lower limit switch is provided based on the load weighing system detecting a slack rope condition. Upon tripping an initial hoist limit switch, only motion in the reverse direction is permitted. Actuation of a second limit switch requires operator action to reset the control system before the control system will allow further motion.

#### Overload Limiting Device & Load Cell Readout -

Each new hoist controller is furnished with a field adjustable overload limiting device which senses the lifted load (independent of any electronic sensing features of a flux vector hoist control) to prevent lifting overloads which could cause permanent damage. The set point is adjustable, with the high setting approximately 120% of the heaviest lifted load (i.e., loaded and flooded TSC with a lid inside a Transfer Cask and including the Lifting Yoke) to provide a margin for acceleration of the lifted load. A means for bypassing the overload limiting device is furnished in order to perform the field load tests. A digital readout is furnished for the crane cab and a large readout on the bridge will be visible from the refueling floor. The display permits load readout of either the main or auxiliary hoist. A readout is also provided on the radio control system. Operating procedures and training will implement administrative control of the load limit controls.

## Radio Controls -

- 1. The radio control features are as follows:
  - a. A new radio control system is furnished as the primary means for controlling the crane. A selector switch is furnished in one of the bridge mounted control enclosures to select either radio or cab operation. The cab master switches have the same control functions as the radio.
  - b. The Magnatek/Telemotive radio system has Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) such that it does not produce electromagnetic emissions that will interfere with other devices and will not be interfered with by the electromagnetic emissions of other devices.
  - c. The radio system has a receiver within its own RFI shielded NEMA 12 enclosure, Magnetek SLTX lever type belly box transmitter, receiving antenna, three rechargeable NiCad battery packs, and 120v AC battery charger. The system is digitally encoded and is failsafe upon loss of power or low battery. The system meets Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations for a Part 90 licensed system.
  - d. The Magnetek SLTX transmitter with internal antenna has a transmitter "on" and low-battery LED, key lock transmitter-on switch (removable in the "off" position only), emergency stop button (operating the mainline magnetic contactor), warning horn button, switch for the existing crane lights, main or auxiliary hoist load cell readout, and four (4) separate motion master switches (one for each crane function). Each crane motion master switch has 5 speed steps in each direction. The transmitter is capable of transmitting the master command, all motion commands, and all auxiliary function commands simultaneously. Energizing the transmitter and closing the mainline magnetic contactor illuminates a new "red" under-walkway "power on" light.
  - e. All radio master switches for motion controllers spring return to the "off" (neutral) position.

## Welding Requirements -

Welding is performed in accordance with American Welding Society (AWS) D14.1. The vendors welding procedures comply with both AWS D.1.1 and D.14.1, where applicable.

## Load Testing -

The trolley was load tested at the factory. Based on the factory load test of the trolley and the original load test of the bridge, the crane will be put back into service on an interim basis after installation and functional testing but prior to approval of this license amendment. Travel restrictions will be in place during the interim period consistent with the 50.59 screening for the modification. This will limit operations of the crane to be consistent with the exiting DSAR requirements until the license amendment is approved.

During the interim period a 'cold proof' load test will be performed to determine the lower operating temperature limitation for the existing bridge in accordance with NUREG-0554. This load test will be limited to the truck bay and mezzanine area.

Seismic Requirements and Analysis -

A seismic analysis performed in accordance with NOG-1, including the existing bridge and new trolley, coupled with the supporting FHB structure confirmed that the overhead crane system can safely control the Maximum Critical Load during a design basis seismic event with the following exception. Evaluation of existing clips on the runway rails indicates that they will be over stressed during SSE if the crane is loaded to its maximum critical load. The modification of the clip arrangement is being analyzed and details of the stresses in rails and clips (after modification), will be provided later.

The results confirmed that the existing bridge and FHB support structure are adequate. Drawings associated with this design change are included in Attachment 7. Details of the seismic requirements and assumptions are summarized below.

#### 1. General

A seismic qualification was performed of the new trolley unit, existing bridge, and new components that are mounted on the bridge and trolley. The building structure, up to and including the runway rails was qualified, using a seismic model including the new trolley. The following seismic features are included in the design and analysis:

- a. The trolley is provided with suitable restraints so that it remains on the bridge rails during an earthquake.
- b. Once the rail clips attaching the runway rail to the runway girder have been analyzed and modifications installed, no part of the bridge or trolley will become detached or fall during or after an SSE earthquake.
- c. The main hoist will remain in place and support the maximum critical load during or after a seismic event.

- d. The auxiliary hoist will remain in place with or without a load during a seismic event, but is not required to support that load, because procedural controls will restrict placing a load on the auxiliary hook that could impact stored fuel or a loaded cask.
- e. The design does not provide for the hoists to remain operational during or after an earthquake consistent with the provisions of NUREG-0554.
- f. The seismic analysis of the crane and building does not include the effect of the maximum credible tornado combined with a lift at the full rated capacity of the crane. The FHB is designed to withstand the effects of the design basis tornado and associated missiles. Procedural provisions shall be made to prevent FHB Overhead Crane operations during a tornado watch or warning. This procedure revision to AOP-8.4 has already been issued.

## 2. Seismic Analysis Summary

The trolley and bridge are qualified to the existing Zion seismic environment described in the DSAR by utilizing dynamic analysis techniques in accordance with the recommendations contained in ASME NOG-1 Paragraph 4150.

The seismic analytical work was performed using computer codes that are commercial off the shelf (COTS) products used in the NRC licensed nuclear industry and controlled by the individual vendors QA programs. Note that there are two different programs used for the main seismic calculations (ANSYS & STAAD). This is because the evaluation of the existing bridge and new trolley was performed by a separate vendor than the evaluation of the FHB. As such there is no overlap in the evaluations. The list of software used in the calculations includes:

- a. Seismic analysis of the miscellaneous bolted connections on the trolley was performed with MathCad 14 and some section properties were calculated using AutoCad 2007.
- b. Seismic analysis of the Main Hoist Reeving System was performed with MathCad 14 and some section properties were calculated using AutoCad 2007.
- c. MathCAD 14 software was used to calculate the trolley frame critical weld stresses.
- d. The structural analysis of the bridge and trolley was performed with ANSYS 12 software. The analysis was performed for the crane operational load combinations required by ASME NOG-1 Section 4140 and seismic load cases (three trolley positions with hook up, hook down and no load on the hook configurations) in accordance with ASME NOG-1 Section 4150.

- e. The Fuel Handling Building crane and crane supporting structure are modeled as a coupled system using a combination of common finite element practices and a stick model of the crane and analyzed using STAAD. Pro V8i. The seismic loads on the Fuel Handling Building are generated from a dynamic, artificial time history analysis and are used to evaluate the structural integrity of the building.
- f. The time history analysis is also used to generate response spectra at the runway rail (crane wheels) using the computer code Spectrum V2.0. The response spectra are used by the crane manufacturer as input to the bridge calculation and to verify input values used for the trolley calculations are bounded.

## 3. Allowable Stress Criteria

Allowable stresses for the trolley are in accordance with NOG-l Paragraph 4300 with mechanical component stresses in accordance with ASME NOG-l Section 5000. Allowable stresses for the existing bridge were also evaluated in accordance with ASME NOG-l Paragraph 4300 requirements.

## 4. Detailed Analysis Descriptions

The following descriptions provide a summary of the calculations that include modeling of existing plant configurations coupled with the new trolley design that documents compliance with the requirements of ASME NOG-1-2004, (primarily Section 4000), NUREG 0612, and NUREG 0554 requirements except as noted where an updated calculation is being performed and will be submitted when complete.

a. KNES Calculation No. 36675-01: Simplified Crane Model for Coupled Analysis

<u>Summary</u>: This calculation provides the data required to build a stick model of the ZS overhead bridge crane.

Model Description: The crane mathematical model meets the requirements of NOG -1 para. 4153.3 and 4154.1. The boundary conditions meet the requirements of NOG-1 para. 4153.6 and Table 4154.3-1. The model includes the following elements:

<u>Ropes</u>: Spring stiffness is calculated to represent the ropes and simulate the pendulum effect. Hook up and hook down positions are included.

Bridge: The bridge is modeled with beam elements. The density of the beam elements is adjusted to arrive at the overall weight of the bridge. Girder dimensions and end tie dimensions along with the sections that correspond to each segment are used.

<u>Trolley</u>: The trolley is modeled as a lumped mass with the mass moment of inertia at the center of gravity (CG) of the trolley, connected with the bridge using rigid beam elements. The trolley CG and mass moment of inertia details are modeled. The South and North end positions are identified as well as the mid position, and the two quarter positions.

<u>Boundary Conditions</u>: Bridge boundary conditions and trolley-bridge interface boundary conditions are applied per ASME NOG-1 Table 4154.3-1.

<u>Assumptions</u>: The trolley center of gravity is in-line with the main hook, since the difference between them is only 0.5 inches. This assumption will not adversely affect the results.

Results: The model is used to determine results found in ZION001-CALC-002.

# b. <u>Enercon Calculation ZION001-CALC-002 Fuel Handling Building (FHB) 125 Ton Crane Upgrade Evaluation</u>

Summary: This calculation demonstrates that the FHB will continue to perform its safety function of supporting the new 125 ton single failure proof loaded crane following SSE and will meet the requirements of criteria as specified in Section C.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.29. This is accomplished by evaluating the static and dynamic analysis along with the subsequent code check of all primary structural members of the Fuel Handling Building superstructure. No modifications are required to the FHB to support crane operations; however, evaluation of the original clips on the runway rails concludes that they will be over stressed during SSE if the crane is loaded to its maximum critical load. Additional rail clips will be installed and details of the stresses in rails and clips (after the modification design is complete), shall be provided.

Model/Methodology Description: The mathematical model of the FHB is coupled with the crane model. This combined model has been analyzed for different loading conditions, which include the Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE, also referred to as the Design Earthquake in the Zion seismic design criteria) and the Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE, also referred to as the Design Basis Earthquake or DBE in the DSAR and the Maximum Credible Earthquake or MCE in the Zion seismic design criteria).

The seismic loads are generated from artificial linear time history analysis per ASME NOG-1-2004 (Section 4153.2). In addition to the self weight, in accordance with NUREG 0800, SRP 3.7.2, the mass equivalent to a floor load of 50 pounds per square foot to account for miscellaneous dead loads, 25% of floor design live load and 75% of roof design snow load is included in the dynamic model.

Load cases and load combinations for the Fuel Handling Building structural components, excluding crane components above the runway rail, are in accordance with the Zion DSAR Table 3-5. All applicable combinations are modified to include the rated capacity of the crane, including impact, and live load occurring simultaneously with earthquake. The load combinations are also modified to conform to NUREG-800, which invokes the combinations provided in ACI 349-97 for reinforced concrete and AISC N690-1994 for steel design. The load cases and load combinations for the crane, including all components above the runway rails, are in accordance with ASME NOG-1-2004 (Section 4140 for Type 1 Crane). Note that tornado load is not combined with a loaded crane, resulting in controls over crane operations discussed elsewhere in this document.

The Fuel Handling Building superstructure is analyzed with the crane bridge at the most critical locations along the runway girder to maximize shear and moments. Additional locations are also considered for maximum axial load delivered to the columns, or for an increase in torsional effects on the superstructure. A total of seven bridge locations are modeled including a position to maximize accelerations for evaluation of the crane itself.

Trolley positions considered include both extreme ends, both quarter points, and the mid-point on the bridge girders. For the purposes of analyzing the superstructure, the bounding trolley position is determined to be the north extreme end with the hook loaded in the up position. This position provides the largest center of mass shift from the building center of rigidity. The mid-point, south quarter point, and south extreme end trolley positions are considered bounding for determining the seismic response of the crane. Placing the trolley closer to the stiffer wall (south wall at Column Line 23) provides higher response accelerations. These three positions are modeled with the hook up loaded and unloaded and the hook down loaded and unloaded per the requirements of NOG-1 Section 4153.7.

The crane interface with the FHB and crane support structure is in accordance with the boundary conditions provided in ASME NOG-1-2004 Figure 4154.3-1 and Table 4154.3-1. The crane wheels are modeled as rigid members connected to the runway girder rail and the boundary conditions are applied at the base of the wheels. The girder rail is also modeled as a rigid member offset and positioned from the runway girder by the height of the rail to obtain force effects to the runway structure due to the load at the base of the wheels to the top of the rail. The runway girder, connections and associated framing along the remaining load path are as provided in site drawings.

Both crane support structure and FHB structure are composed of reinforced concrete, bolted, riveted and welded steel members. Structures constructed of reinforced concrete and welded steel have damping equal to 2% and 5% of critical for the Design Earthquake and Maximum Credible Earthquake (MCE), respectively, as provided in the ZS Seismic Design Criteria. The bolted connections

between the steel beams and the floor are rigid components designed to transfer significant loads to the concrete and as such do not have damping that is significantly higher than that for welded steel. Any bolted connections associated with the crane are local to the crane and do not impact the dynamic response of the structure. Therefore, the use of 2% and 5% damping for the purposes of evaluating the structure at all modes is appropriate.

The structural steel member code check is performed in accordance with AISC 9<sup>th</sup> Edition. The design methodology, parameters, and allowable stresses provided within the AISC 9<sup>th</sup> Edition are identical, or have been expanded upon due to advancements in research, to those provided in the original code of record for the Fuel Handling Building, AISC 6<sup>th</sup> Edition. Independent steel connection evaluations are performed in accordance with the original code of record, AISC 6<sup>th</sup> Edition.

Concrete member stresses are checked for enveloped SSE loads (conservative) in accordance with ACI 318-63, which is the original reinforced concrete code used for design of the structure. Anchor bolt analysis is performed utilizing Concrete Capacity Design (CCD) methodology per ACI 349-97, which is supplemented by USNRC Reg. Guides 1.142 and 1.199.

Based on the time histories developed in CALC-002, response spectra at the crane bridge wheels are developed in Enercon Calculation ZION001-CALC-025 using the computer code SPECTRUM and provided to the crane manufacturer (Konecranes) to evaluate the crane and new trolley system. The response spectra curves provided to the crane vendor use a damping value of 4% of critical damping for MCE, which is lower than 7% required per ASME NOG-1-2004, Section 4153.8.

#### Assumptions:

- The Spent Fuel Pool walls per Zion site drawing B-113 and floor slab per drawing B-118 are considered rigid and will resist any deflections which would cause P-Delta effects at the base of the columns. Rotational and translation springs are not included for foundation effects to provide conservatism in the internal member stresses and to reduce damping effects providing higher accelerations.
- The response effect of sloshing in the spent fuel pool is not considered to affect the response of the steel frames at the level of the crane rail supports.
- It is considered that the values provided in Zion's original seismic analysis are accurate for contribution of building systems not modeled in full detail. Since the plant is not operating, mass values are assumed to have decreased relative to live loads used in the original design bases thereby providing values that are conservative.

<u>Results</u>: The highest loads and stress values of different components along with allowable values are listed below:

Steel Members and Connections: (Ref. Section 7.2 and Appendix A of CALC-002)

Main Body of Calculation (Section 7.2): The code check for the majority of the steel members was performed as part of the STAAD dynamic evaluation. All of these members pass the code check except for the girder on Column Line P for two load cases. The interaction ratios for shear capacity in these two cases were 1.038 and 1.048. This girder has gusset plates that were not included in the model. The extra capacity provided by the gusset plates is calculated and determined to bring the interaction ratio to well below unity.

Appendix A: Plate girders with web depth to thickness ratio greater than 960/√Fy and various other components are not directly checked in STAAD and are evaluated independently in Appendix A. A summary of these items is tabulated below including the forces or stresses and allowables for the highest interaction ratios:

Horizontal Bracing	Max. enveloped force at connection	Allowable
OBE	55.15 kips	72.16 kips
SSE	58.67 kips	72.16 kips

Beam Members	Max. resultant load at connection	Allowable
OBE	156.39 kips *	154 kips
SSE	109.20 kips	126 kips

<sup>\*</sup>This interaction ratio of 1.016 is the only IR above unity for this section and is qualified by the fact that the enveloped loads in all directions are conservatively considered to act simultaneously.

<b>Girder Connections</b>	Max. resultant load at connection	Allowable
OBE	381.16 kips	396.88 kips
SSE	412.70 kips	414.92 kips

<b>Column Connections</b>	Max. Weld Stress	Allowable
OBE	11.476 ksi	14.847 ksi
SSE	13.69 ksi	14.847 ksi

Vertical Bracing	Max. enveloped force at connection	Allowable
OBE	269.02 kips	288.64 kips
SSE	190.62 kips	207 kips

Plate Girders*	Max. Interaction Ratio - Bending	Max. Interaction Ratio - Shear
OBE	0.494	0.637
SSE	0.548	0.667

<sup>\*</sup>For plate girders the interaction ratios are presented as formulas that combine stresses per AISC requirements. This is also true for some other components in Appendix A. However, given the complexity of the formulas for the plate girders, in this case it is simpler to present the IR in lieu of extracting values to present vs. allowables.

Column Base Plates	Provided Base Plate Thickness	Required
OBE	2.25"	1.636"
SSE	2.25"	1.664"

## <u>Crane Runway, Rail and Connections</u>: (Ref. Appendix B of CALC-002)

**NOTE:** Evaluation of the existing clips on the runway rails concludes that they will be overstressed during SSE if the crane is loaded to its maximum critical load. Additional rail clips will be installed to reduce all seismically-induced loads to within code allowable limits. Details of stresses in the rails and clips (after modification) will be provided upon completion of the detailed calculation package.

## Anchor Bolts, Concrete Columns and Shear Walls: (Ref. Appendix C of CALC-002)

Anchor Bolts			Allowable
Tension   Max. Tension in single Anchor   Max. Tension in group of Anchors		104.7 kips	129.41 kips
		209.28 kips	229.11 kips
G1	Max.shear in single Anchor	51.88 kips	68.45 kips
Shear	Max. shear in group of Anchors	100.26 kips	137.5 kips
Maximum Interaction, Combined Tension and Shear		0.928	1.0

Concrete Columns		Allowable
Max. Axial Load	711.49 kips	3617 kips
Max. Shear Stress	21.9 psi	70 psi
Max. Bearing Stress	207.3 psi	1000 psi
Max. Moment	18351 kip in	18951 kip in

Shear Walls		Allowable
Maximum Shear Stress (out of plane load)	70 psi	70 psi
Maximum out of plane Moment (per 1" width)	28.578 kip in	34 kip in
Maximum in plane Shear	68 psi	70 psi
Maximum in plane Moment	1073150 kip in	1097317 kip in
Maximum axial Load (per foot of length)	512 kips *	508 kips

<sup>\*</sup>This highest interaction ratio of 1.008 is the only one greater than unity in this section. This case is for SSE under working stress loads and is therefore conservative and considered OK.

## c. KNES Calculation No. 36675-05 (Rev.01): Zion Bridge Structural Calculations

<u>Summary</u>: This analysis evaluates the crane structural steel member stresses and deflections and demonstrates that they meet the NOG-1-2004 Section 4300 design criteria, along with the buckling requirements.

Model/Methodology Description: Although not required for the existing bridge, NOG-1-2004 design criteria are followed for the bridge structural evaluation as it has more stringent rules than EOCI/CMAA and to be consistent with the other calculations.

A finite element model of the crane is developed using ANSYS 13.0 to solve for the applicable load cases. The bridge and end trucks are represented by beam elements and the trolley is represented by shell elements.

With respect to NOG-1-2004 paragraph 4140, the crane model is analyzed for crane operational loads and extreme environmental loads. SSE is considered for extreme loading conditions as it envelopes OBE. For the operational load case, the bridge girders are evaluated with the trolley at mid-span and the end trucks are evaluated with the trolley at the end of the span. 12 combinations of trolley location, hoist position, and load are evaluated for the extreme environmental case. A set of load cases is run at the mid-height hook position in addition to the nine cases required by NOG-1 Table 4153.7-2 (trolley at end, ¼, and mid-span for hook unloaded, hook up with load, and hook down with load). Boundary conditions are in accordance with NOG-1 Figure 4154.3-1 and Table 4154.3-1.

## Assumptions:

- End tie bolts take only shear load. The bridge end truck connection is assumed to consist of bolts at the location only where the girder rests on the end truck.
- Conservatively, the bridge end truck connection is assumed to consist of bolts at the location only where the girder rests on the end truck.

<u>Compliance to Section 4300 of NOG-1</u>: The following paragraphs from NOG-1-2004 design criteria (paragraph 4300) are applicable to the analysis:

NOG -1 Section 4311: Calculations per Section 4330 show that the members are not controlled by buckling. Therefore the allowable values from Table 4311-1 are applicable.

NOG -1 Section 4313: The girders conform to the dimensional criteria outlined in Section 4333 of NOG-1, therefore bending stress allowables need not be altered.

NOG -1 Section 4321: This paragraph addresses the combined axial compression and bending. When there is a combination of axial compression (P) and bending (M), the interaction between them increases the bending stress. If a transverse load causing bending (M) produces a deflection d, then the axial compression (P) results in an additional moment P<sub>d</sub>. A common practice in the codes is to include the contribution of axial compression to bending through an amplification factor. Since the bridge has a camber, which brings the bridge close to flat during normal operation, the deflection of the bridge with respect to horizontal level will be close to zero and therefore, the P<sub>d</sub> moment will be close to zero. Bending stress on the plates about the minor axis will be insignificant, as the transverse load causing bending about the minor axis does not include the live load. Since the bending is less, the P<sub>d</sub> effects will be insignificant in this case, too. Therefore, the stress values are combined per equation (3). This equation is used in ANSYS to compute the axial stress. Therefore, the finite element analysis results are compliant with paragraph 4321.

NOG -1 Section 4322: Allowable bending stress and allowable axial stress are taken as 0.5 times the yield strength according to Table 4311-1. With this data, the denominator of equation (3) in paragraph 4321 of NOG-1 becomes 0.5S<sub>y</sub>. Equation (3) computes the resultant normal stress as the sum of axial stress and bending stress due to bending in two orthogonal directions. This interpretation suggests that comparing the normal stress output from the finite element analysis with 0.5S<sub>y</sub> allowable is equivalent to using equation (3).

NOG -1 Section 4323: Bolts are evaluated per this Section.

<u>NOG -1 Section 4324</u>: Shear stress is computed by including the effect of direct bending, and shear stress and compared with 0.4 times the yield strength. This is equivalent to comparing the shear stress output from the finite element analysis with  $0.4S_{\nu}$  allowable.

NOG -1 Section 4330: Buckling is checked in accordance with this Section.

<u>NOG -1 Section 4341</u>: The girder deflection from the finite element analysis is compared with the requirements of this Section.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The stresses and deflections of the structural steel members of the crane bridge were evaluated and it was demonstrated that they meet the NOG-1-2004 design criteria (paragraph 4300) including buckling requirements. Stress and deflection values are tabulated below against their respective allowables.

## Operational Load Case

Bridge Girder		Allowable
Normal Stress	16.565 ksi	18 ksi
Shear Stress	2.728 ksi	14.4 ksi
Deflection	0.881 in	0.981 in
End Truck		
Normal Stress	4.518 ksi	18 ksi
Shear Stress	2.866 ksi	14.4 ksi
Connections		
End Tie Bolt Shear Stress	1.35 ksi	17.85 ksi
End Truck Bolt Normal Stress	2.08 ksi	39.6 ksi
End Truck Bolt Shear Stress	6.01 ksi	20.4 ksi

## Extreme Environmental (SSE) Load Case

Bridge Girder		Allowable
Normal Stress (Hook up, Trolley @ mid)	17.378 ksi	32.4 ksi
Shear Stress (Hook up, Trolley @ ¼)	4.901 ksi	18 ksi
End Truck		
Normal Stress (Hook up, Trolley @ mid)	6.228 ksi	32.4 ksi
Shear Stress (Hook up, Trolley @ ¼)	3.379 ksi	18 ksi

Connections	Allowable	
End Tie Bolt Shear Stress (Hook up, Trolley @ 1/4)	6.79 ksi	27.3 ksi
End Truck Bolt Normal Stress (Hook up, Trolley @ end)	4.0 ksi	60 ksi
End Truck Bolt Shear Stress (Hook up, Trolley @ mid)	23.0 ksi	31.2 ksi

## d. KNES Calculation No. 036675-09 NOG-1 2004 Single Failure Proof Trolley Structural Analysis

<u>Summary</u>: This calculation analyzes the stress distribution of the trolley per the load cases presented in NOG-1 2004 and the existing Zion Station design.

Model/Methodology Description: An ANSYS 13 software package, which has been qualified by Konecranes Nuclear Equipment and Services QA program, is used to build and analyze the model. The shell model of the trolley is built in ANSYS 12 and meshed with the SHELL63 elements. Since the trolley is a plate structure, it was decided that the 3D shell model would be the most accurate and efficient finite element model to build and analyze. Mid surfaces of the plates are used to create the shell model. The beam elements are used to model the drum and gear cases. Motor and platform weights are included as lumped masses at their locations. Beam 188 elements are used to model the bridge. Spring elements are used to represent the ropes which are also capable of providing the pendulum frequencies. Applied rope stiffness values for various hook positions are calculated.

Constraint equations (rigid regions) are created to tie the components such as drum, motors and gear cases to trolley and trolley wheels to the bridge.

Finite element models are generated for three trolley positions on the bridge (mid span, quarter span and end span) in combination with hook up, hook down, hook mid and no load on the hook configurations. The set of load cases run at the midheight hook position is in addition to the nine cases required by NOG-1 Table 4153.7-2. Boundary conditions are in accordance with NOG-1 Figure 4154.3-1 and Table 4154.3-1.

The response spectrum method is used for qualification of the trolley during the seismic event. From the coupled building crane evaluation, 24 response spectra at crane rail level are generated from ZION001-CALC002 Rev 0. Enveloping response spectra for each direction are regenerated from the 24 broadened spectra provided. Results of the seismic analysis are combined per ASME NOG-1 Table 4153.7-2 by using SRSS method for all three directional responses along with an absolute value of 1 G static load. All three directional combined normal and shear stresses are determined and compared with NOG-1 allowable values. von Mises stresses are also plotted to show the critical regions. von Mises stress (also called equivalent stress) is used in design work because it allows any arbitrary three-

dimensional stress state to be represented as a single positive stress value. Equivalent stress is part of the maximum equivalent stress failure theory. The purpose of providing the von Mises stress is to show the combined stresses and critical regions in one plot, instead of 6 different plots for normal and shear stresses.

## Crane operational load cases in section 4140 of the ASME NOG-1

 $P_{C1}$ :  $P_{db} + P_{dt} + P_{lc}$ : enveloped by  $P_{C2}$ .

 $P_{C2}$ :  $P_{db}$ +  $P_{dt}$  +  $P_{lc}$  +  $P_{v}$ : analyzed under section 5.1 of this report.

 $P_{C3}$ :  $P_{db}$ +  $P_{dt}$  +  $P_{lc}$  +  $P_{ht}$ : analyzed under section 5.1 of this report.

 $P_{C4}$ :  $P_{db} + P_{dt} + P_{lc} + P_{hl}$ : analyzed under section 5.1 of this report.

P<sub>C5</sub>: Plant operation induced loads and static test pressure loads. Not applicable

The trolley positions are addressed in Table 4153.7-2 for extreme environment conditions and all the applicable extreme environment conditions are addressed in the report. Impact loads in section 4133 are defined for operating condition loads and they are not required during seismic application as described in section 4140. For this reason, impact loads are applied only on the mid position, which is the most critical case for operational load case.

Load cases P<sub>C6</sub>, P<sub>C7</sub>, P<sub>C8</sub> and P<sub>C9</sub> are not performed in this analysis, since construction loads and design wind loads are not applicable for this crane.

## Crane seismic event loads in section 4140 of the ASME NOG-1

 $P_{C10}: P_{db} + P_{dt} + P_{cs} + P_{e}$ 

 $P_{C11}: P_{db} + P_{dt} + P_{e}$ 

 $P_{cs}$ : Credible critical load for SSE = 250,000 lbs.

Pe: SSE loads

Per NOG-1 Section 4140, both operating basis earthquake and safe shut down earthquake are defined as extreme environmental conditions; and for this reason, they have the same allowable values. Since operating basis earthquake response spectra are enveloped by safe shut down earthquake response spectra (and both events have the same allowable values), the OBE event is enveloped by the SSE event and no further evaluation is carried out for OBE event.

#### Compliance to Section 4300 of NOG-1

NOG -1 Section 4311 - Members Not Controlled by Buckling. It is shown that the members are not controlled by buckling. Therefore, the allowable values in Table 4311-1 are used.

NOG -1 Section 4313 - Bending Stress: Section 4313 states that: "The allowable bending stress for members other than those girders conforming to the dimensional criteria outlined in paragraph 4333 shall conform to AISC "Specification for Structural Steel Buildings, Allowable Stress Design and Plastic Design" Chapter F divided by 1.12N for the different loading conditions."

This section reduces the flexural strength to avoid lateral torsional buckling due to bending. However, if the member is a compact section, the plastic moment corresponding to the plastic section modulus can be used as the limit state. This is the same as the tension and compression allowable stress provided in NOG-1. Since the trolley plates are compact sections with several lateral stiffeners supporting them to avoid lateral torsional buckling, section 4313 is not applicable to the compact trolley plates.

NOG -1 Section 4321 - Section 4321 addresses the combined axial compression and bending. When there is a combination of axial compression (P) and bending (M), the interaction between them increases the bending stress. If a transverse load causing bending (M) produces a deflection  $\delta$ , then the axial compression (P) results in an additional moment  $P\delta$ . The common practice in the codes is to include the contribution of axial compression to bending through an amplification factor. The trolley is built using plates that are short (taking credit for the presence of stiffeners), wide and thick. The only significant bending stress present in the trolley is about the major axis and this bending is caused by the application of live load. Because the plates are wide, the moment of inertia about the major axis is usually a large number resulting in a large bending stiffness for a short plate. Therefore, any deflection caused by bending will be insignificant resulting in negligible increase in bending stress due to the P $\delta$  effects. Bending stress on the plates about the minor axis will be insignificant, since the transverse load causing bending about the minor axis does not include the live load. Since the bending stress is insignificant, the Pδ effects will be insignificant in this case as well. Therefore, the stress values are combined per equation 3 of section 4321.

NOG -1 Section 4322 - Members subjected to Axial Tension and Bending: Allowable bending stress and allowable axial stress are taken as 0.5 times the yield strength according to Table 4311-1 and Section 4322. With this data, the denominator of equation (3) in section 4321 becomes 0.5S<sub>y</sub>. A closer look at the equation (3) shows that it computes the resultant normal stress as the sum of axial stress and bending stress due to bending in two orthogonal directions. From this interpretation it is concluded that comparing the normal stress output from ANSYS with 0.5S<sub>y</sub> is identical with equation (3).

NOG -1 Section 4323 - Members subjected to Shear and Tension: Section 4323 addresses computing the combined stresses on bolts. Bolts are evaluated in miscellaneous item calculation 36675-14.

 $\underline{\text{NOG-1 Section 4324}}$  - Members subjected to Shear and Bending: As per section 4324, shear stress is computed by including the effects of direct, bending, and shear stress and compared with 0.4 times the yield strength. This is identical to comparing the shear stress output from ANSYS with 0.4S<sub>v</sub>.

NOG -1 Section 4330 - Buckling: Buckling is evaluated in accordance with this section.

<u>Results</u>: Seismic responses are computed by taking the square root of the sum of the squares of the maximum representative values of the co-directional responses caused by each of the three components of earthquake motion at each node, plus an additional 1 G static load.

All three directional normal and shear stresses are within the allowable limits defined in Table 4311-1 for all trolley and hook positions during seismic conditions and operating conditions. The stresses resulting from the hook up position are higher than the stresses in the hook down position, hook mid position and no load on the hook condition.

The table below shows the summary of combined maximum normal and shear stresses that are observed on the trolley for the governing hook up position.

	Max. Normal Stress	Allowable	Max. Shear Stress	Allowable
<b>Operating Case</b>				
Trolley at Mid Span	16.8	25	8	20
Seismic Cases				7 - Marina - 1
Trolley at Mid Span	19.2	45	7.9	25
Trolley at ¼ Span	21.5	45	7.5	25
Trolley at End Span	19.2	45	7.8	25

## e. KNES Calculation No. 036675-10 Trolley Critical Weld Stress Calculation

<u>Summary</u>: This calculation determines the trolley frame critical weld stresses in order to demonstrate that those stresses are within allowable stress values.

## Model/Methodology Description:

Analysis of welds is performed per NOG-1 Section 4314, which states that the allowable stresses in welds shall be as specified in AWS D1.1, and may be increased by a factor of 1.50 for extreme environmental load combinations.

The trolley frame (per NOG-1 Section 4432.1) shall be designed to resist all loading imposed by the lifted load and the load combinations specified in NOG-1 Section 4140. For this analysis, the worst case load combinations are conservatively used.

Many welds in the calculation are evaluated by symmetry or by comparison to identical welds with higher loading. The welds for the 'drum catcher' support plates are considered to be acceptable by engineering evaluation. These welds will be subjected to compressive loading in the event of a drum shaft failure, but the stress on the welds will be minimal due to the presence of the top plate and the stiffeners underneath. Some girt plate welds were also considered acceptable by engineering evaluation. These welds were not considered in the evaluation of the primary girt plate welds leading to a conservative analysis.

## Assumptions:

- The live load will not participate in the horizontal direction seismic calculations. Due to the low frequency of the ropes only the vertical seismic loads are considered for the live load.
- The forces transmitted to the welds due to seismic horizontal accelerations are negligible. The dead loads of the members are small.
- Net vertical seismic acceleration of 2.0g (including 1g for earth's gravity) was conservatively assumed in this calculation.

<u>Results</u>: The calculated weld stresses are within the allowable values. The table below presents the maximum stresses versus the allowable stresses.

	Maximum Weld Stress	Allowable Weld Stress
Operating Load Case	12.47 ksi	15.79 ksi
Seismic Load Case	21.696 ksi	23.69 ksi

## f. KNES Calculation No. 036675-14 Seismic Analysis of Miscellaneous Items

<u>Summary</u>: This calculation evaluated the miscellaneous trolley connections to ensure their integrity during a seismic event

<u>Model/Methodology Description</u>: Material properties are given as a minimum and meet the ASTM or referenced standard.

Seismic stresses are calculated by applying a force equivalent to the component weight multiplied by a directional seismic factor at the estimated center of gravity of the component

Tensile forces on bolts due to moments were conservatively calculated at the point in the connection furthest away from the component center of gravity (e.g. at the location of the nut instead of the shear plane location).

NOG-1 allowable bolt stresses are based upon AISC specifications, which are based upon the nominal diameter of the bolt. Therefore, in this calculation the bolt nominal diameter is used in calculating bolt stresses.

The analysis of bridge mounted components is limited to those weighing above 300 lbs. This assumption limits the analysis to the electrical panel assemblies, electrical enclosures, and isolation transformer.

## Assumptions:

- Seismic acceleration values (vertical and horizontal) are from Zion DSAR seismic response curves. These values are then multiplied by a 1.5 multimodal factor. Vertical down net acceleration has 1g added for gravity, and vertical uplift net acceleration has 1g subtracted. These seismic values were verified to envelope the accelerations determined in the new seismic analysis.
- All bolted connections are considered to be bearing type connections.
- The weld and bolt stresses are combined directly, which is conservative.
- The centers of gravity of the enclosures and enclosure assemblies are assumed to be at half of their height.
- In the calculations for the electrical platform assemblies only the welds at the bottom of the platform are considered to be holding the platform in place. The connections on the rear of the assembly are conservatively ignored in order to simplify the calculation process.
- Similarly, in the enclosure bolt stress calculations, only the bolts at the bottom of the enclosure are considered to be holding the enclosure in place. The connections at the rear of the enclosures are conservatively ignored in order to simplify the calculation process.

<u>Results</u>: All analyzed components meet the allowable stress values of the applicable NOG-1 Section. The maximum stresses versus allowable values are presented in the table below. This calculation was only performed for the Extreme Environmental Load Case (SSE).

All values are in ksi	Calculated Stress	Allowable Stress
Max. Weldment Shear Stress	7.17	25
Max. Weldment Tensile Stress	39.48	45
Max. Bolt Shear Stress	38.21	42
Max. Bolt Tensile Stress	47.07	58.73
Max. Combined Weld Stress	26.6	31.5

## g. KNES Calculation No. 036675-23 Main Hoist Reeving Stress

<u>Summary</u>: The hoist reeving system is evaluated for normal operation, broken rope and safe shutdown conditions. The components are designed for three times normal load along with 15% impact factor. The equalizer load pin is rated for five times specified lifted load.

Methodology: The components in the main hoist load path are evaluated against applicable NUREG-0612 and NOG-1 requirements. A 15% wear factor is added where applicable per NUREG-0554 requirements. A 5% wear factor is used for the main hoist wire rope due to limited anticipated wear (refer to NUREG-0554 Compliance Matrix).

The main hook is evaluated using straight beam theory in accordance with NOG-1 Section 5477(b). Equations from ASME BTH-1-2005 are used for the pinhole.

Material properties are given as a minimum and meet the ASTM or referenced standard.

## Assumptions:

- Net vertical seismic acceleration of 2.0g (including 1g for earth's gravity) was conservatively assumed in this calculation.
- Design is for a minimum of three times the load with a 15% impact factor. This results in an allowable stress of 0.2898 x yield strength for the operational load case. The allowable stress in the seismic load case would be 0.9 x yield. With a vertical equivalent seismic acceleration factor of 2 the effective allowable yield stress is 0.45 x yield. Therefore the operational load case envelopes the seismic case. Critical components were designed for 6:1 on yield, which further envelopes the seismic load case.
- Similarly, for emergency conditions (i.e., broken rope), the allowable stress for mechanical components per NOG-1 Section 5321.2 is 75% of the yield strength. When one rope fails, the maximum increase in load would be 3x (2x normal load with 1.5x impact). Critical components were designed to take 6x the actual load with permanent deformation. Therefore the induced stress is less than 16.67% of the yield strength. Hence, if the load triples and the load path does not change, the induced stress will be less than 50% (3x 16.67%=50%) of the yield strength, which is less than the 75% allowed for the emergency condition. Therefore critical components that do not get into a different load path need not be checked for a single rope failure.

<u>Results</u>: All hoist reeving components including bearings meet the applicable NOG-1 and NUREG-0612/0554 requirements.

## Individual evaluations include:

Hook Assembly	Meets NUREG-0612 & NOG-1-2004 (5428.1(b) requirements		
Max. Normal or Tensile Stress (Tensile Through Shank)		11.27 ksi	< 15.83 ksi per NOG-1
		11.27 ksi	< 11.50 ksi per NUREG 0612
Max. Shear Stress (Tearout at		5.13 ksi	< 9.14 ksi per NOG-1
Pinhole)		5.13 ksi	< 6.64 ksi per NUREG 0612

Rope		Rope is selected based on the most stringent of NOG-Para. 15425.1 requirements. 5% degradation is applied to account for wear.		
Max. Operational Load 33.99 kg		33.99 kip	< 38.52 kip per 5425(b)(2) (more limiting than (b)(1)	
Max. Impact Load		100.36 kip	< 154.8 kip per 5425(b)(3)	
Max. Seismic Load		69.61 kip	< 154.8 per 5425(b)(4)	
Max. displacement was also calculated to be 1.69" during a single rope break.				

<b>Bottom Block</b>	Allowable stresses are per NOG-1 Table 4311-1 or NUREG-0612/0554.		
		Calculated Stress	Allowable Stress
Max. Bending S Pin)	Stress (Sheave	13.73 ksi	15 ksi
Max. Shear Stress (Crosshead)		2.59 ksi	3.75 ksi
Max. Bearing S Pin/Plate)	tress(Sheave	13.33 ksi	37.50 ksi
Max. Weld Stress (Crosshead)		8.46 ksi	18.90 ksi

Upper Block	Allowable stresses are per NOG-1 Table 4311-1 or NUREG-0612/0554. The values below are from the operational load case, which was more limiting than the broken rope evaluation due to the more restrictive allowable stresses.		
		Calculated Stress	Allowable Stress
Max. Bending or Normal Stress (Equalizer Frame Plate 1)		14.37 ksi	16.67 ksi
Max. Shear Stress (Tearout at Equalizer Frame Plate 1 Hole)		6.27 ksi	9.62 ksi
Max. Bearing Stress (Equalizer Frame Plate 1)		8.56 ksi	37.50 ksi
Max. Weld Stress (Equalizer Frame Plate 1)		8.25 ksi	18.90 ksi

	The Drum Shell is designed per NOG-1 Para 5411.5 with allowable stresses per Figure		
Drum	5474-1 & 2.The Drum Shaft is designed per NOG-1 Para.5415.1with allowable stresses and load combinations per NOG-1 Table 5415.1-1.		
	Calculated Stress	Allowable Stress	
Max. Drum Stress vs. Allowable: Combined Crushing and Bending Stress in Shell	8.51 ksi	8.91 ksi	
Max. Drum Shaft Stress: Combined Bending and Shear (Load Combination 1)	18.65 ksi	40.85 ksi	
Max. Drum Weld Combined Stress	6.49 ksi	18.90 ksi	

# 2.4 Heavy Loads Program

Zion Solutions, LLC has developed a heavy loads program that satisfies the guidelines provided in NUREG-0612, Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants." In NUREG-0612, the guidelines for control of heavy load lifts provide assurance for the safe handling of heavy loads in areas where a load drop could impact on stored spent fuel, fuel in the reactor core, or equipment required to achieve safe shutdown or permit continued decay heat removal. Based on the permanently defueled state of the ZNPS, and the length of time the spent fuel has been stored in the Spent Fuel Pool, the areas applicable to NUREG-0612 guidelines are in or around the Spent Fuel Pool, or in or around a cask loaded with spent fuel, or a lift of a cask loaded with spent fuel There is no safe shutdown equipment associated with the handling of heavy loads.. The heavy loads program will prohibit travel with a heavy load over the Spent Fuel Pool, exclusive of the cask loading area, without the appropriate administrative controls. To further reduce the probability of a load handling accident, ZS will use a single-failure proof crane to improve reliability through increased factors of safety and through redundancy in certain active components for activities near the fuel pool and the handling of spent fuel casks. ZS has followed the guidance for designing single-failure proof cranes provided in NUREG-0554, "Single-Failure-Proof Cranes for Nuclear Power Plants" as supplemented by NUREG-0612 Appendix C and NRC Regulatory Information Summary (RIS) 2005-25 (including Supplement 1).

In NRC Bulletin 96-02, Movement of Heavy Loads over Spent Fuel, Over Fuel in the Reactor Core, or Over Safety-Related Equipment, dated April 1996, the NRC Staff requested licensees to provide specific information detailing the extent of their compliance with the guidelines and their licensing basis. In response to NRC Bulletin 96-02, Exelon provided confirmation that all heavy load handling activities that were planned at that time were within existing regulatory guidelines. Existing plant crane procedures implement the heavy load activities. Furthermore, the response indicated that if any subsequent activities identified an unreviewed safety question per the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59, a license amendment request would be submitted. This proposed license amendment request and the associated heavy loads program, as revised, are intended to satisfy that commitment.

ZS has chosen to satisfy the guidance in Sections 5.1.1, 5.1.2 and 5.1.6 as detailed in the attached NUREG 0612 compliance matrix (Attachment 3). This matrix includes a discussion on meeting the additional guidance provided in Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2005-25, "Clarification of NRC Guidelines on Control of Heavy Loads," issued in October 2005 and as supplemented in May 2007.

The draft Heavy Loads Program is attached to this document and will be approved and implemented by ZS prior to handling heavy loads and revised as needed in accordance with station procedures.

## Required Load Lifts

Each transportable storage canister (TSC) will be placed in the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) and removed from the pool using a transfer cask. Once a TSC has been loaded with spent fuel and processed it is lowered into a Vertical Concrete Cask (VCC) for transport to the ISFSI. The general steps to fill and process each TSC inside the Fuel Handling Building (FHB) are as follows:

- 1. Place an empty MAGNASTOR Transfer Cask (MTC) in the FHB Truck Bay or Cask Decontamination Pit (CDP).
- 2. Place an empty TSC inside the MTC.
- 3. Transfer the empty MTC/TSC to a staging area on the north side of the SFP.
- 4. Fill the TSC with water.
- 5. Lift the flooded MTC/TSC assembly from the staging area to the cask loading pit in the SFP.
- 6. Fill the TSC with spent fuel.
- 7. Place the TSC lid on the loaded TSC.
- 8. Lift the loaded MTC/TSC assembly from the SFP to the CDP.
- 9. The TSC's internal volume is dewatered, vacuum-dried, lid closure-welded, and filled with inert gas.
- 10. Lift the MTC/TSC assembly over to the Truck Bay.
- 11. Place the loaded MTC/TSC assembly on top of an associated Vertical Concrete Cask (VCC)/Transfer Adaptor.
- 12. Lower the loaded TSC from the MTC into the VCC.
- 13. Remove the empty MTC from the top of the VCC and place it in the FHB Truck Bay or CDP.
- 14. Remove the Transfer Adaptor from the VCC and install the VCC Lid.

The Transfer Cask weighs 108,500 lbs. and a fully loaded TSC with a lid weighs 102,000 lbs. The combined weight of the loaded and flooded TSC with a lid inside a Transfer Cask and including the Lifting Yoke is 228,000 lbs. The Fuel Building Crane is rated at 125 tons.

The safe load path for handling the loaded cask will be shown in revised DSAR Figure 3-34. Handling of the MTC will be performed using a specially designed yoke as specified by NAC and described in the MAGNASTOR Safety Analysis Report. Safe load paths for other lifts listed above will be controlled as required by the Heavy Loads Program attached.

This sequence of cask lifts/movements will be performed during both dry run and normal spent fuel transfer operations until all the spent fuel assemblies are removed from the SFP, placed into TSCs lowered into their associated VCCs and transported to the ISFSI.

The FHB overhead crane will also be used to lift/move auxiliary equipment and components (e.g., welding system, vacuum drying system, shielding devices, lifting yokes, etc.) throughout the FHB in preparation for and during spent fuel transfer operations.

#### 2.5 Installation

The crane has been designed, assembled and will be installed using processes compliant with a 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Quality Assurance Program. The installation of the single failure proof crane will be performed during the summer months of calendar year 2012 which is prior to receipt of NRC approval for use of the crane crediting its single failure proof features. Crane installation will be restricted to areas removed from the spent fuel, such that a failure of the crane or its lifting mechanism will not affect stored spent fuel. Once installed, the heavy loads program will be utilized for heavy load lifts in the FHB, however, administrative and physical prohibitions will remain in effect until approval for use as a single failure proof crane is obtained from the NRC.

# 2.5 DSAR Changes

In addition to proposing a new license condition for upgrading the Fuel Handling Building overhead bridge crane, DSAR changes are proposed to reflect the design changes to the crane and the implementation of the NUREG-0612 heavy loads program. These proposed changes also remove the existing cask drop event analysis and update the safe load path in the DSAR. Any postulated drops of radioactive components outside of the Fuel Handling Building are compared against the radioactive waste handling accident in the DSAR. The DSAR changes are provided in Attachment 2 and will be implemented upon approval of the proposed license amendment in compliance with 10 CFR 50.71(e).

TABLE 1 - UPGRADED FUEL HANDLING BUILDING OVERHEAD BRIDGE CRANE SYSTEM PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

Bridge Capacity (MCL & Design Rated Load)	125 Tons
Auxiliary Hoist Capacity	15 Tons
Main Hoist Speed (Maximum with MCL)	5 fpm
Main Hoist Speed (Maximum with no Load)	7.5 fpm
Auxiliary Hoist Speed (Maximum with Rated Load)	20-24 fpm
Auxiliary Hoist Speed (Maximum with No Load)	30-36 fpm
Trolley Speed (Maximum with MCL Rating)	50 fpm
Bridge Speed (Maximum with MCL Rating)	50 fpm
Main Hoist Lift	52'-0"
Auxiliary Hoist Lift	74'-1"
Trolley Height	5'-4"
Trolley Gage	13'
Trolley Wheel Base	8'

#### 3.0 Technical Evaluation

# 3.1 Existing Design Bases

The general design criteria followed in the initial design of ZNPS were developed as performance criteria which define or describe safety objectives and procedures. Along with these performance criteria, the Station was designed to comply with Commonwealth Edison's understanding of the intent of the Atomic Energy Commission's (AEC) proposed General Design Criteria, as published for comment by the AEC in July 1967. The Zion Construction Permit, which established many of the ZNPS safety-related design criteria, was issued in December 1968. The ZNPS FSAR, which presented the detailed design of the plant, was submitted in December 1970. Subsequent to this submittal, the AEC's final General Design Criteria was published as Appendix A to 10CFR50 in July 1971.

The performance criteria used in the design of ZNPS that remain applicable in the defueled condition are specifically addressed in the Defueled Safety Analysis Report (DSAR). There, the performance criteria are quoted and are followed by a brief summary of the resulting design or procedures. These performance criteria provide compliance with the intent of the AEC's proposed General Design Criteria, as published in July 1967. The following GDCs are currently applicable to the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane.

#### GDC 2, Design Bases for Protection Against Natural Phenomena

The Fuel Handling Building and the structures used to protect and handle the spent fuel, such as the Spent Fuel Pool and overhead bridge crane are designed to withstand the effects of natural phenomena such as an earthquake and tornado winds.

# GDC 61 - Protection Against Radioactivity Release From Spent Fuel and Waste Storage

Containment of fuel and waste storage is provided if an accident could lead to release of undue amounts of radioactivity to the public environs.

All fuel storage and spent fuel handling activities are performed within the Fuel Handling building and the facility design is such that accidental releases of radioactivity directly to the atmosphere will not exceed the limits of 10CFR100. All operations with the spent fuel are conducted underwater. This provides visual control of the operation at all times and also maintains low radiation levels. The storage pool is filled with borated water which assures subcriticality at all times, though maintenance of boron in the pool is not required by the ZNPS Technical Specifications. This water also provides adequate cooling for the spent fuel. The spent fuel storage pool is supplied with a cooling system for the removal of the decay heat of the spent fuel. Racks are provided to accommodate the storage of up to 3012 fuel assemblies in a vertical array ensuring appropriate geometry (spacing of assemblies) to maintain subcritical conditions even with unborated water for fuel with for fuel having a maximum loading of 57.4 grams U-235 per axial centimeter of fuel assembly length. The water level maintained in the pool will provide sufficient shielding to permit normal occupancy of the area by operating personnel. Postulated

accidents involving the release of radioactivity from the fuel and waste storage and handling facilities are shown in Chapter 5 of the DSAR to result in exposures well within the limits of 10CFR100. The spent fuel storage pool is a reinforced concrete structure with a corrosion resistant liner. This structure is designed to withstand the anticipated earthquake loadings. The liner will prevent leakage even in the event the reinforced concrete develops cracks.

The following GDC is frequently considered applicable to evaluation of heavy loads handling systems is no longer considered applicable at ZNPS and accordingly not to the Fuel Handling Building Crane for the stated reasons.

## GDC 4 - Dynamic Effects Design Bases

No high energy systems remain at ZNPS, thus dynamic events need not be considered with respect to the Fuel Handling Building crane.

The following GDC are frequently considered applicable to evaluation of heavy loads handling systems but are no longer considered applicable at ZNPS. The design and installation of the crane system however satisfies the bases of these GDC.

## GDC-1 - Quality Standards and Records

No SSCs are designated as safety related at ZNPS and both reactors are permanently defueled. A designation of Important to the Defueled Condition (ITDC) as opposed to safety related is described by the DSAR for system, structures or components (SSCs) that require additional quality controls based on the current ZNPS conditions. The ITDC quality program is discussed in the Quality Assurance Program Plan. However, the crane design, fabrication and installation have been contracted with KoneCranes Nuclear Equipment & Services LLC who will apply an approved a 10CFR50 compliant QA program equivalent to the ASME NQA-1 program to the crane.

# GDC-5 - Sharing of Equipment and Components Important to Safety

There is no requirement for safe shutdown of the units since the units are permanently defueled and no SSCs are designated as safety related. Accordingly, there is no requirement associated with shared equipment to assure safe shutdown. The Fuel Handling Building and Spent Fuel Pool are designed to prevent the release of undue amounts of radioactivity to the public. The accidents currently applicable in the defueled condition are a loss of Spent Fuel Pool cooling, a loss of Spent Fuel Pool inventory, a fuel handling accident, and a radioactive waste handling accident. The DSAR also contains an analysis that shows for a cask drop handling event in the Fuel Handling Building that the spent fuel pool is not damaged. Although GDC 5 is not directly applicable, no single failure of the new lifting system that will be installed will impact the capability of the spent fuel pool to perform its function in its current condition since no new event is credible that affects the existing analyses.

# 3.2 Existing Safety Analysis

The accidents and events that remain applicable to ZNPS in its permanently defueled condition as currently described in the DSAR are:

- Fuel Handling Accident in the Fuel Building
- Spent Fuel Pool Events/Operational Occurrences
- Radioactive Waste Handling Accident
- Spent Fuel Cask Drop

# Those associated uniquely with the Fuel Building are:

# Fuel Handling Accident in the Fuel Building

An analysis has been performed for the Fuel Handling Accident in the Fuel Building (involving the drop of a single, irradiated fuel assembly into the pool). This analysis indicates that off-site doses (without mitigation) are much lower than previously evaluated and, thus, well within the 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1) and 10 CFR 100.11 exposure guidelines. The dose to Control Room personnel remains within the limits specified in 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC-19 under these same conditions. These results are primarily due to the fact that the spent fuel assemblies in the Spent Fuel Pool have undergone more than ten years of radioactive decay and there is an insignificant amount of radioactive iodine left in the fuel assemblies. This accident is discussed in Chapter 5 of the DSAR.

#### Spent Fuel Pool Events/Operational Occurrences

Potential events associated with the Spent Fuel Pool while in the defueled condition are limited to those related to the loss of pool cooling or the loss of pool water inventory. An analysis for the loss of Spent Fuel Pool cooling concludes that a loss of forced Spent Fuel Pool cooling does not constitute an excessive risk for ZNPS. Analysis of a loss of spent fuel inventory concluded that a rupture in the Spent Fuel Pool cooling return line (the limiting break) does not constitute an unacceptable risk for ZNPS. In both cases this is due to the extremely slow rate of Spent Fuel Pool water boil off. Adequate time is available to initiate corrective measures for restoration of malfunctioning components, or to institute an alternative method of cooling using onsite or offsite water supplies without significant radiological consequences for plant workers in the fuel handling building. This accident is discussed in Chapter 5 of the DSAR.

# Spent Fuel Cask Drop

The Spent Fuel Cask Drop analysis is used only for structural considerations to demonstrate that impact of a swinging spent fuel cask that is dropped from the maximum allowed height will not result in damage to the Spent Fuel Pool such that uncontrolled water loss occurs. The permanently defueled condition of the plant does not change this analysis or its conclusions. This event is discussed in section 3.9.5 of the DSAR.

The DSAR does not identify a failure of any portion of the Fuel Handling Building. The absence of this discussion demonstrates that the Fuel Handling Building structure does not result in an adverse consequence in the event of a seismic occurrence. As such the FHB complies with Section C.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.29, "Seismic Design Classification," and Paragraph II.8 of NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan," Section 3.7.2.

## 3.3 Accident Analysis Impacts

Upgrading the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane to a single-failure proof crane and performing operations in accordance with a the proposed heavy loads program will permit elimination of the postulated spent fuel cask drop event analysis from the ZNPS safety analysis (DSAR Section 3.9.5) since the probability of occurrence of a drop will be very low as described in NUREG 0612. The existing cask drop event analysis, as described in DSAR Section 3.9.5, does not envelope the MAGNASTOR cask and yoke which will be used to load the spent fuel from the pool for transport to the ISFSI. A fully loaded MAGNASTOR cask, filled with water, including the transfer cask and the lifting yoke weighs approximately 115 tons under the hook. The existing DSAR cask drop event analysis is for a cask which weighs 110 tons including the yoke and lifting cables.

The existing fuel handling accident, Spent Fuel Pool loss of cooling or pool drain down, and the radioactive waste handling accident as presently described in the DSAR are not affected by the crane upgrade. The fuel handling accident will remain applicable until all spent fuel has been removed from the pool and transported to the ISFSI. The Spent fuel Pool Events and the radioactive waste handling accident will remain applicable until the pool components and low level radioactive waste respectively have been removed from the site during decommissioning.

# 3.4 Upgraded Crane Safety Analysis

ZS will no longer consider a cask drop to be credible because the use of a single failure proof crane and associated handling programs as described in NUREG 0612 reduces the probability of a canister or cask drop event to acceptably low levels as to effectively preclude the event. ZS specifically commits to meet the guidance of Section 5.1., 5.1.2 and 5.1.6 of NUREG-0612 as discussed in the NUREG 0612 Compliance Matrix (Attachment 4) which is a part of this submittal. This matrix includes a discussion on meeting the additional guidance provided in RIS 2005-25 and clarification of NRC Guidelines on Control of Heavy Loads, issued in October 2005 and as supplemented in May 2007. A list of commitments is also provided in Attachment 1. ZS is upgrading the Fuel Handling Building overhead bridge crane to a single-failure proof crane, which minimizes the probability of a heavy load handling accident in or near the Spent Fuel Pool by improving crane reliability through increased factors of safety and through redundancy in certain active components. ZS has followed the guidance for designing singlefailure proof cranes located in NUREG-0554, Single-Failure Proof Cranes for Nuclear Power Plants, ASME NOG-1, and NUREG-0612 Appendix C. Upon installation of additional crane rail clips the Fuel Handling Building and the upgraded crane will withstand the safe shutdown earthquake as described in the DSAR by safely holding the maximum critical load during and after an earthquake. Evaluation of the existing clips on the runway rails indicates that they will

be over stressed during SSE if the crane is loaded to its maximum critical load. Modification of the clip arrangement is being analyzed and details of the stresses in rails and clips (after modification), shall be provided later. The FHB, as a result of the design basis earthquake, will not adversely impact ITDC structures, systems or components, such as the fuel pool and stored fuel, and the upgraded crane will have the ability to safely lower the heavy load either with or without power to an area on the safe load path.

The DSAR will be revised to remove the existing cask drop analysis (DSAR Section 3.9.5) and add a discussion to reflect the design changes to the crane and the implementation of the NUREG-0612 heavy loads program. The DSAR changes are provided in Attachment 2.

# 4.0 REGULATORY EVALUATION

# 4.1 Applicable Regulatory Requirements/Criteria

The DSAR and plant procedure changes required to implement dry spent fuel storage at the Zion Nuclear Power Station have been evaluated to determine whether applicable requirements and regulations continue to be met with this proposed modification. ZS has determined that the proposed amendment does not require any exemptions or relief from regulatory requirements and does not affect conformance with any 10 CFR 50, Appendix A General Design Criterion (GDC) differently than described in the DSAR.

NRC regulatory guidance applicable to this proposed amendment includes NUREG-0612, "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants" Sections 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.1.6 and Appendix C, NUREG-0554, 'Single-failure Proof Cranes' (Reference 2), ASME NOG-1-2004, which has been endorsed by the NRC in RIS 2005-25, Supplement 1 (Ref.7) as an acceptable means of meeting the criteria in NUREG-0554 "Single-failure Proof Cranes", and ANSI N14.6- 1993, "American National Standard for Radioactive Material - Lifting Devices for Shipping Containers Weighing 10,000 lbs (4500 kg) or More".

The current DSAR licensing basis includes an analysis of the handling of a spent fuel shipping cask in the cask area of the Spent Fuel Pool. Additionally, the movement of the shipping cask from the cask area to the transport area is currently provided in plan view. The licensing basis for the handling of the shipping cask in the cask area of the Spent Fuel Pool will be replaced by use of the single-failure proof crane handling system and the associated heavy loads program. Accordingly, the prevention of a drop and the handling methods identified in the DSAR remain bounding for the handling of the loaded MAGNASTOR Transfer Cask since the single-failure proof design of the crane precludes events in the cask area of the Spent Fuel Pool, due to the very low probability of occurrence of such drops, and on the movement path to the transportation loading area. Because the Fuel Building Crane is integral to the Fuel Building, this amendment request is governed by the regulations in 10 CFR Part 50.

## NUREG 0612 Compliance Matrix (Attachment 4)

As a means of demonstrating conformance to the NUREG-0612 criteria for operations, maintenance, testing and administrative procedures, ZS has compiled a point by point evaluation of the heavy load handling controls with the provisions of NUREG-0612 and presents it in Attachment 4 to this Amendment Request. The basis for the individual evaluation is provided and referenced to a specific procedure or administrative control or technical document that provides or will provide demonstration of that basis when completed.

## ASME NOG-1 Compliance Matrix (Attachment 5)

Since the NRC has endorsed ASME NOG-1 2004 as an acceptable means of satisfying the Guidelines of NUREG-0554, ZS has compiled a point by point evaluation of the design of the replacement trolley with the provisions of ASME NOG-1 and presents it in Attachment 5 to this Amendment Request. The basis for the individual evaluation is referenced to a technical document that provides demonstration of that basis. If a specific deviation is taken relative to a provision, a basis for that deviation is provided.

# NUREG-0554 Compliance Matrix (Attachment 6)

The replacement trolley has been designed to ASME NOG-1 criteria which satisfies the NUREG-0554 criteria. To demonstrate that the entire crane system, bridge, bridge rails and supporting building structure meet the guidelines of NUREG-0554, ZS has compiled a point by point evaluation of the design of the crane system with the provisions of NUREG-0554 and presents it in Attachment 6 to this Amendment Request. The basis for the individual evaluation is referenced to a technical document that provides demonstration of that basis. If a specific deviation is taken relative to a provision, a basis for that deviation is provided.

The above references contain appropriate commitments to ANSI N14.6, "American National Standard for Radioactive Material - Lifting Devices for Shipping Containers Weighing 10,000 lbs (4500 kg) or More," and B30.9 2003 "Slings" to ensure compliance with the associated recommendations.

The NRC staff has endorsed (RIS 2005-05 as supplemented) the application of the criteria for Type 1 cranes from ASME NOG-1-2004, "Rules for Construction of Overhead and Gantry Cranes," to the design of new overhead heavy load handling systems as an acceptable method for satisfying the guidelines of NUREG-0554, "Single-Failure-Proof Cranes for Nuclear Power Plants. Further, rigging that conforms with the guidance of N14.6-1993, "Radioactive Materials - Special Lifting Devices for Shipping Containers Weighing 10,000 Pounds (4500 kg) or More," will be used for recurrent load movements of spent fuel casks. The lifting device will have a single load path with twice the design safety factor specified by ANSI 14.6 for the load. ZS has provided information showing conformance with these standards as well as developing administrative controls (Heavy Loads Program) consistent with NUREG-0612 to control the operations, maintenance, testing and administrative controls of handling heavy loads. Accordingly, the use of the single-failure proof crane with the application of the Heavy Load

Program procedures provides the basis for approval of use of the crane and precluding the possibility of a drop due to the low probability afforded by these actions.

# 4.3 No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

The subject License Amendment Request seeks NRC approval for the methods of analyses, installation of a new trolley on the existing bridge rails in the Fuel Building, approval of the administrative controls to be used for control of heavy loads and certification of the crane and its supporting structure as a single-failure proof crane for use in spent fuel packaging operations. The License Amendment Request further requests that the Defueled Safety Analysis Report (DSAR) be changed to include a description of the crane and its supporting structure and associated modifications of the runway rail clip arrangement, which will be provided later, to delete the spent fuel dropped cask event analysis (DSAR Section 3.9.5), which is no longer applicable and to add a section on the control of heavy loads.

Specifically Zion Solutions, LLC requests the following:

- Approval of the analyses and methods used to design the new ASME NOG-1 trolley and evaluation of the existing bridge and supporting structures including the existing runway rails and Fuel Building structure as being acceptable for qualification of the Fuel Building Crane as single-failure proof as described by ASME-NOG-1 as endorsed by Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2005-25, Supplement 1, "Clarification of NRC Guidelines for Control of Heavy Loads," (RIS 2005-25) as a means of satisfying the requirements of NUREG-0554. ZS will provide evaluation of modification of the clip arrangement on the runway girder during SSE if the crane is loaded to its maximum critical load details of the stresses in rails and clips (after modification) and the design of the clip arrangement modification, will be provided later for NRC approval.
- Approval that operations, maintenance, testing and administrative procedures developed in accordance with NUREG-0612 provide the necessary controls for the handling of heavy loads at the Zion Nuclear Power Station.
- Approval to revise the DSAR to include: a description of the Fuel Building Crane; a section to describe the Control of Heavy Loads; and deletion of the spent fuel dropped cask analysis.

The use of the new single-failure crane in the Fuel building has been evaluated and the analysis has concluded:

This change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The existing DSAR analysis assumes that a spent fuel cask drop occurs. In this analysis, the physics of the drop, coupled with concrete bumpers on the cask loading pit and pool edge were used to demonstrate that a postulated drop of the spent fuel cask near the Spent Fuel Pool neither impacted the spent fuel directly nor damaged the pool structure in a manner that adversely affected the spent fuel, when a cask was to be handled in the cask loading pit.

The proposed License Amendment Request to operate a single-failure proof Fuel Building Crane demonstrates that no analysis is required for the cask drop event based on the design and the associated programmatic controls. A drop of the spent fuel cask handled with a single-failure proof crane (designed to ASME NOG-land compliant with NUREG 0554), operated in accordance with the administrative controls of NUREG 0612, has an acceptably low probability so as to effectively preclude consideration of the event. No aspect of the Fuel Handling Building seismic design adversely affects the ability of the crane to support the load and the cask drop is therefore not considered. The risk of such a drop event using the new single-failure proof crane operated in accordance with the Heavy Loads Program procedures, qualitatively, is lower than the event previously analyzed which postulate the event without evaluation of its likelihood.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

This change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident previously evaluated.

The location and design functions of the Fuel Building crane are not changed from those currently described in the DSAR. Because the new crane has a single-failure proof design the uncontrolled lowering, or drop, of a heavy load will not be considered credible. Evaluations show that individual malfunctions or component failures of the crane will not result in load drop. The new single-failure proof crane primary use will be used to move a loaded or unloaded MAGNASTOR transfer cask between the cask loading pit, the decontamination pit, and transfer to the low profile cart rail transport in the Fuel Handling Building. No components that are classified as Important to the Defueled Condition, other than the Fuel Building crane, will be affected by these movements. Based on the design and programmatic controls on the crane no load will lower uncontrollably or drop in or around the spent fuel pool or near an open cask containing spent fuel nor will a cask containing spent fuel drop or be lowered uncontrollably during operation of the crane. Hence no new accidents will be initiated.

Therefore, the proposed change will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

Does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

This proposed License Amendment Request involves the replacement of the existing non-single-failure proof Fuel Building Crane with a new single-failure proof crane. The new crane has been designed to meet the specifications found in ASME NOG-1-2004, which has been endorsed by the NRC in RIS 2005-25, as supplemented, as an acceptable means of meeting the criteria in NUREG-0554, "Single-failure Proof Cranes for Nuclear Power Plants." to provide adequate protection and safety margin against the uncontrolled lowering of the lifted load. The occurrence of a cask load drop accident is considered not credible when the load is lifted with a single-failure proof lifting system meeting the guidance in NUREG-0612, "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants," Section 5.1.6, "Single-Failure-Proof Handling Systems." As a result, the proposed change, replacing the existing non-single-failure proof crane, has no adverse impact on stored spent fuel, or structural integrity of the pool.

The configuration of the crane and the primary load, a spent fuel cask containing spent fuel, is changed from that of the DSAR. The specific analysis dealing with a drop of the cask will no longer be applicable and removed from the DSAR, since the new single-proof crane makes that event of low enough probability to not be considered credible. The maximum critical lift capacity of the crane has not been changed, though the load to be lifted is larger. The structural analyses of the crane and its support structure, however, show acceptable margin under the acceptance criteria of NOG-1 for operation of the crane with one exception. Evaluation of existing clips on the runway rails indicates that they will be over stressed during SSE if the crane is loaded to its maximum critical load. Modification of the clip arrangement is being analyzed and details of the stresses in rails and clips (after modification), shall be provided later.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety. Based on the above, ZS concludes that the proposed License Amendment Request presents no significant hazards considerations under the standards set forth in 10 CFR 50.92(c) and, accordingly, a finding of "no significant hazards consideration" is warranted.

#### 4.4 Environmental Assessment

ZS has evaluated the proposed change against the criteria for identification of licensing and regulatory actions requiring environmental assessment in accordance with 10 CFR 51.21, "Criteria for and identification of licensing and regulatory actions requiring environmental assessments." ZS has determined that the proposed change meets the criteria for a categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22, "Criterion for categorical exclusion; identification of licensing and regulatory actions eligible for categorical exclusion or otherwise not requiring environmental review," paragraph (c)(9), and as such, has determined that no irreversible consequences exist in accordance with 10 CFR 50.92, "Issuance of amendment," paragraph (b). This determination is based on the fact that this change is being proposed as an amendment to a license issued pursuant to 10 CFR 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," which changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area, and the amendment meets the following specific criteria:

(i) The proposed change involves no significant hazards consideration.

As demonstrated in Section 5.1, the proposed change does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

(ii) There is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amount of any effluent that may be released offsite.

The proposed change will allow use of a single-failure proof methodology that includes hardware and operational procedures and processes to replace an existing drop analysis approach. As a result of this approach no changes in consequences occur because of the change. As a result there will be no significant increase in the amounts of any effluents released offsite since no event need be postulated to occur. The proposed change does not increase the production, nor alter the flow path or method of disposal of radioactive waste or byproducts. Therefore, the proposed change will not affect the types or increase the amounts of any effluents released offsite.

(iii) There is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

The proposed change will not result in changes in the configuration of the facility. There will be no change in the level of controls or methodology used for processing of radioactive effluents or handling of solid radioactive waste, nor will the proposal result in any change in the normal radiation levels within the plant. Therefore, there will be no increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure resulting from this change.

# 5.0 References

- NUREG-0612, Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants: Resolution of Generic Technical Activity A-36
- 2. NUREG-0554 Single-Failure-Proof Cranes for Nuclear Power Plants
- 3. ASME NOG-1-2004 Rules for Construction of Overhead and Gantry Cranes (Top Running Bridge, Multiple Girder)
- 4. Crane Manufacturer's Association of American (CMAA) Specification No. 70-1975
- 5. American Welding Society (AWS) D14.1 Industrial Mill Crane Welding
- 6. NRC Regulatory Information Summary (RIS) 2005-25, Clarification of NRC Guidelines for Control Of Heavy Loads, October 31, 2005
- 7. NRC Regulatory Information Summary (RIS) 2005-25 Supplement 1, Clarification of NRC Guidelines for Control Of Heavy Loads
- 8. NRC Bulletin 96-02, Movement of Heavy Loads over Spent Fuel, Over Fuel in the Reactor Core, or Over Safety-Related Equipment, dated April 1996
- 9. John B. Hosmer (ComEd) letter to U.S. NRC, ComEd Response to NRC Bulletin 96-02, "Movement of Heavy Loads Over Spent Fuel, Over Fuel in the Reactor Core, or Over Safety-Related Equipment," dated May 13, 1996.
- 10. ANSI N14.6, "American National Standard for Radioactive Material Lifting Devices for Shipping Containers Weighing 10,000 lbs (4500 kg) or More"

# Attachment 2:

Regulatory Commitments, Proposed Operating License Changes and Proposed DSAR Changes

# **List of Regulatory Commitments**

This table identifies actions discussed in this letter that ZionSolutions, LLC commits to perform.

Any other actions discussed in this submittal are described for the NRC's information and are not commitments.

	TYPE	
COMMITMENT	ONE-TIME ACTION	CONTINUING COMPLIANCE
Notify the NRC when the single-failure proof modifications to the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane are complete	X	,
All heavy load lifts in or around the Spent Fuel Pool or in or around a cask loaded with spent fuel or a lift of a cask loaded with spent fuel the Spent Fuel Pool made		
using the upgraded Fuel Handling Building crane lifting system will meet the guidance in NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.6, as follows:		
<ul> <li>Special lifting devices, as defined in ANSI N14.6 will meet the guidance in NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.6(1)(a) and ANSI N14.6- 1993, as clarified in the NUREG 0612 Compliance Matrix attached to this License Amendment Request (LAR).</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Lifting devices not specially designed for the MAGNASTOR casks will meet the guidance in NUREG 0612, Section 5.1.6(1)(b) and ASME B30.9-2003, as clarified in the NUREG 0612 Compliance Matrix attached to this LAR.</li> </ul>		X
• The Fuel Handling Building overhead crane will meet the guidance in NUREG 0612, Section 5.1.6(2) and Appendix C and NUREG-0554 as clarified in the NUREG 0612 Compliance Matrix and NUREG-0554 compliance matrix attached to this LAR.		
<ul> <li>Interfacing lift points will meet the guidance in NUREG 0612, Section 5.1.6(3), as clarified in the NUREG 0612 Compliance Matrix attached to this LAR.</li> </ul>		
Crane operator training will meet the guidance in ASME B30.2-1976.		X
Crane maintenance and inspections will meet the guidance in ASME B30.2-1967.		X
Lift height limits consistent with the crane single failure analysis and NAC MAGNASTOR technical specifications will be included in the crane operating procedures.		X
Revise DSAR Sections 3.2.4.1, 3.9.2.2, 3.9.3.2.3, 3.9.3.2.4, 3.9.5, and 3.9.12 to replace the dropped cask analysis and travel restrictions over the Spent Fuel Pool with a description of the single-failure proof crane modifications to the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane.	X	

	TYPE	
COMMITMENT	ONE-TIME ACTION	CONTINUING COMPLIANCE
Heavy load movements using the Fuel Handling Building (FHB) Overhead Bridge Crane are not permitted if a tornado watch or warning has been declared for the site by the National Weather Service. If heavy load handling with the OBC is in progress when any of these criteria are met, the load will be placed in a safe location as soon as possible and the crane secured.		X
The auxiliary hoist will be administratively controlled to prevent placing a load on the auxiliary hook that could impact stored fuel or a cask containing spent nuclear fuel.		X

# **Unit 1 Proposed Operating License Change**

(e) The appropriate section of the decommissioning trust agreement shall state that the trustee, investment advisor, or anyone else directing the investments made in the trust shall adhere to a "prudent investor" standard, as specified in 18 CFR 35.32(a)(3) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's regulations.

(15) ZS shall take all necessary steps to ensure that the decommissioning trust is maintained in accordance with the application for approval of the transfer of the Zion, Unit 1, license and the requirements of the Order approving the transfer,

and consistent with the safety evaluation approving the Order.

ZS is authorized to revise the Defueled Safety Analysis Report (DSAR) as set forth in the application for amendment by the licensee, dated May 31, 2012. ZS shall update the DSAR to include a description of the single-failure proof Fuel Building crane as authorized by Amendment xxx and in accordance with 10 CFR 50.71(e). This license amendment is effective on the date of issuance and shall be implemented prior to the start of spent fuel transfer operations to the Zion Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation.

3. This amended license is issued without prejudice to subsequent licensing action which may be

taken by the Commission.

4. This license is effective as of the date of issuance and shall expire at midnight on April 6, 2013.

# FOR THE ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION Original signed by Roger S. Boyd

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director For Reactor Projects Directorate of Licensing

Date of Issuance: October 19, 1973

Amendment xxx

# **Unit 2 Proposed Operating License Change**

- (e) The appropriate section of the decommissioning trust agreement shall state that the trustee, investment advisor, or anyone else directing the investments made in the trust shall adhere to a "prudent investor" standard, as specified in 18 CFR 35.32(a)(3) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's regulations.
- (15) ZS shall take all necessary steps to ensure that the decommissioning trust is maintained in accordance with the application for approval of the transfer of the Zion, Unit 1, license and the requirements of the Order approving the transfer, and consistent with the safety evaluation approving the Order.
- TS is authorized to revise the Defueled Safety Analysis Report (DSAR) as set forth in the application for amendment by the licensee, dated May 31, 2012. ZS shall update the DSAR to include a description of the single-failure proof Fuel Building crane as authorized by Amendment xxx and in accordance with 10 CFR 50.71(e). This license amendment is effective on the date of issuance and shall be implemented prior to the start of spent fuel transfer operations to the Zion Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation.
- 3. This amended license is issued without prejudice to subsequent licensing action which may be taken by the Commission.
- 4. This license is effective as of the date of issuance and shall expire at midnight on Nov 14, 2013.

# FOR THE ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION Original signed by Roger S. Boyd

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director For Reactor Projects Directorate of Licensing

Date of Issuance: October 19, 1973

Amendment xxx

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.9	FUEL STORAGE AND HANDLING	3-26
3.9.1	New Fuel Storage	3-26
3.9.1.1	Design Basis	3-26
3.9.1.2	New Fuel Storage Facility Description	3-26
3.9.1.3	Design Features Important to the Defueled Condition	3-26
3.9.2	Spent Fuel Storage	3-26
3.9.2.1	Design Basis	3-26
3.9.2.1.1	Prevention of Fuel Storage Criticality	3-26
3.9.2.1.2	Fuel Storage Decay Heat	3-27
3.9.2.1.3	Spent Fuel Storage Radiation Shielding	3-27
3.9.2.1.4	Protection Against Radioactivity Release	3-28
	from Spent Fuel Storage	
3.9.2.1.5	Monitoring Fuel Storage	3-28
3.9.2.2	Spent Fuel Facility Description	3-28
3.9.2.3	Design Features Important to the Defueled Condition	3-31
3.9.3	Fuel Handling Systems	3-31
3.9.3.1	Design Basis	3-31
3.9.3.2	System Description	3-32
3.9.3.2.1	Failed Fuel Cans	3-32
3.9.3.2.2	Spent Fuel Pool Bridge	3-32
3.9.3.2.3	Fuel Building Crane	3-33
3.9.3.2.4	Fuel Building Crane Interlocks	3-33
3.9.3.2.4.1	Interlock/Limit Switch Function	3-33
3.9.3.2.4.2	Hoist Limit Switches-Operation	3-34
3.9.3.3	Design Features Important to the Defueled Condition	3-35
3.9.4	Spent Fuel Pool Cooling and Cleanup System	3-35
3.9.4.1	Design Basis	3-35
3.9.4.1.1	Fuel and Waste Storage Decay Heat	3-35
3.9.4.1.2	Codes and Classifications	3-35
3.9.4.2	System Description	3-36
3.9.4.3	Components	3-36
3.9.4.3.1	Spent Fuel Pool Heat Exchangers	3-36
3.9.4.3.2	Spent Fuel Pool Pumps	3-37
3.9.4.3.3	Spent Fuel Pool Filters	3-37
3.9.4.3.4	Spent Fuel Pool Strainers	3-37
3.9.4.3.5	Spent Fuel Pool Demineralizers	3-37
3.9.4.3.6	Spent Fuel Pool Skimmer	3-37
3.9.4.3.7	Spent Fuel Pooling Cool System Valves	3-37
3.9.4.3.8	Spent Fuel Pool Cooling System Piping	3-37
3.9.4.3.9	Spent Fuel Pool Sump Recessed Area	3-37

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	N TITLE PAGE	
3.9.4.4	Spent Fuel Pool Make-Up Capability	3-38
3.9.4.5	Design Features Important to the Defueled Conditio	n 3-38
3.9.5	Spent Fuel Cask Drop Analysis Control of Heavy Loads	3-39
3.9.5.1	Objective of Analysis Introduction/ Licensing Backgr	ound 3-39
3.9.5.2	Statement of Physical Problem Safety Basis	3 <del>-40</del> 39
3.9.5.3	Calculational Methods and Other Assumptions	
	Scope and Control of Heavy Loads Program	3-39
3.9.5.4	ResultsNUREG-0612 Elements	3- <del>42</del> 39
3.9.5.5	Safety Evaluation	3-41
3.10	PLANT SUPPORT SYSTEMS	3-43a
3.10.1	Spent Fuel Pool Secondary Loop Cooling System	3-43a
3.10.1.1	Design Basis	3-43a
3.10.1.2	System Description	3-43a
3.10.1.3	Components	3-43b
3.10.1.3.1	Cooling Tower	3-43b
3.10.1.3.2	Cooling Pumps	3-43b
3.10.1.4	Design Feature Important to the Defueled Condition	3-43b
3.10.2	Service Water System	3-46
3.10.2.1	Design Basis	3-46
3.10.2.2	System Description	3-47
3.10.2.3	Design Features Important to the Defueled Conditio	
3.10.3	Ventilation Systems	3-49
3.10.3.1	Control Room Heating, Ventilating, and	3-49
	Air Conditioning System	
3.10.3.1.1	Design Basis	3-49
3.10.3.1.2	System Description	3-49
3.10.3.1.3	Normal Operation	3-49
3.10.3.1.4	Design Features Important to the	3-50
	Defueled Condition	·
3.10.3.2	Auxiliary Building Ventilation	3-50
3.10.3.2.1	Design Basis	3-50
3.10.3.2.2	Normal Operation	3-50
3.10.3.2.3	Design Features Important to the	3-51
0.40.00	Defueled Condition	
3.10.3.3	Containment Purge3-51	0.54
3.10.3.3.1	Design Basis	3-51
3.10.3.3.2	Normal Operation	3-51

# LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE</u>	TITLE
3-1	List of Missiles for Which Seismic Class I Structures Have Been Designed
3-2	Zion Auxiliary-Turbine Bldg, Time-History vs Response Spectrum Analysis, Comparison of Accelerations at Various Elevations
3-3	Seismic Class I Systems and Components
3-4	Summary of Concrete and Reinforcing Steel Stresses
3-5	Seismic Class I Load Combinations for the Fuel Handling and Auxiliary Buildings the Crib House, and Reactor Building Internal Structures
3-5a	Fuel Handling Building Load Combinations including Single-Failure-Proof Crane
3-5a 3-6	Maximum Soil Pressures and Actual Factors of Safety
3-7	Quality Assurance Records to be Maintained for Containment Vessel
3-8	Spent Fuel Pool Cooling System Code Requirements
3-9	Spent Fuel Pool Cooling System Component Design Data
3-10	(Deleted)
3-11	(Deleted)
3-12	(Deleted)
3-13	(Deleted)
3-14	Service Water System Flow Requirements
3-15	AC and DC Power Data

# LIST OF FIGURES

# FIGURE TITLE

3-1	Removable Slab – Tie Down Details
3-2	Removable Slab – Tie Down Details  Removable Slab – Tie Down Details
3-3	Design Basis Earthquake (DBE) Response Spectra
3-4	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 642' Auxiliary-Turbine-Diesel
0.5	Generator Building
3-5	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 630' Auxiliary-Turbine-Diesel
	Generator Building
3-6	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 617' Auxiliary-Turbine-Diesel
	Generator Building
3-7	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 592' Auxiliary-Turbine-Diesel
	Generator Building
3-8	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 580' Auxiliary Building
3-9	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 560' Auxiliary-Turbine Building
3-10	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 542' Auxiliary Building
3-11	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 617' Fuel Handling Building
3-12	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 602' Fuel Handling Building
3-13	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 592' Fuel Handling Building
3-14	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 617' Reactor Building
3-15	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 590' Reactor Building
3-16	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 581'-10" Reactor Biological Shield
3-17	Response Spectra – DBE Elevation 568' Reactor Building
3-18	Response Spectra – DBE Containment Vessel Elevation 626'-3"
3-19	Response Spectra – DBE Crib House Elevation 594' Operating Floor
5-15	Slab
3-20	Response Spectra – DBE Crib House Elevation 552' Pump Room Floor
3-21	Response Spectra – DBE Outdoor Equipment
3-22	Comparison - Actual Spectrum and Site Spectrum (DBE)
3-23	Reactor Building Framing Section B-B
	Crib House Section A-A West Area
3-24	
3-25	Fuel Handling Building Roof Framing Plan West Area
3-26	Fuel Handling Building Wall Plan El. 617'-0" East Area
3-27	Auxiliary Building Sections & Details Sheet 16
3-28	Auxiliary Building Concrete Beam Schedule
3-29	Concrete Block Shield Wall–Tie Down Details
3-30	Combined Interaction Model for Reactor and Auxiliary Buildings
3-31	Spent Fuel Storage Pool
3-32	Spent Fuel Pool Cooling and Cleanup System
3-33	Spent Fuel Cask Movement Path in Fuel Handling Building Deleted
3-34	Elevation of Fuel Handling Building Fuel Handling Building Safe Load
	Path and Restricted Area
3-35	Cask Over Storage Area - Looking SouthDeleted
3-36	Elevation of Fuel Handling Building - Looking SouthDeleted
3-37	Yield Line Pattern for Flexural Failure in Guard WallDeleted
3-38	Load vs. Deflection Curve for Guard Wall (for most critical
	position)Deleted

# 3.2.4 Structures, Systems and Components Important to the Defueled Condition (ITDC)

#### 3.2.4.1 General

SSC classification involves a determination that an SSC is, or is, not safety related <sup>1</sup>. SSCs classified as safety-related are treated differently by regulation than other SSCs<sup>2</sup>.

SSC's were originally classified according to the safety function they performed during power operation. Clearly, the first two parts of the safety-related definition in 10 CFR 50.2 (ensuring integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary, and the capability to achieve and maintain safe shutdown) are not applicable to a permanently defueled plant. The third part of the safety-related definition (prevent or mitigate consequences of accidents comparable to 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1) or 10 CFR 100.11 guidelines) is also not applicable. This is primarily due to the fact that the present day source terms are significantly reduced.

The accidents and events that remain applicable to Zion Station in its permanently defueled condition are:

- Fuel Handling Accident in the Fuel Building
- Spent Fuel Pool Events/Operational Occurrences
- Radioactive Waste Handling Accident
- Spent Fuel Cask Drop

A new analysis has been performed for the Fuel Handling Accident in the Fuel Building. This analysis indicates that off-site doses (without mitigation) are much lower than previously evaluated and, thus, well within the 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1) and 10 CFR 100.11 exposure guidelines. The dose to Control Room personnel remains within the limits specified in 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC-19. These results are primarily due to the fact that the spent fuel assemblies in the Spent Fuel Pool have undergone in excess of a year of radioactive decay and there is an insignificant amount of radioactive iodine left in the fuel assemblies. This accident is discussed in Chapter 5.

Safety related SSCs are those relied upon to remain functional during and following design basis events to ensure: a). the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary; b), the capability to shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition, and c), the capability to prevent or mitigate the consequences of accidents that could result in potential offsite exposures comparable to guidelines of 10 CFR 100.

 <sup>10</sup>C FR 50, Appendix B notes that "The pertinent requirements of this appendix apply to all activities affecting the safety-related functions of..." SSCs.

The Spent Fuel Pool Events are a loss of forced cooling and a loss of water level. Both cases result in a loss of forced cooling and a loss of inventory such that boiling in the pool eventually occurs. Based on the reduced heat load in the Spent Fuel Pool (due to the elapsed time since both reactors were shutdown), the evaluations of these events demonstrate that, in both cases, the loss of inventory is very slow. For both the loss of Spent Fuel Pool forced cooling and the loss of Spent Fuel Pool inventory, a significant period of time will elapse from the loss of forced cooling until boiling and boiloff of the pool occurs until the water level drops to approximately 3.9 feet above the stored fuel assemblies. At this water level, the resultant radiological dose at the edge of the Spent Fuel Pool is approximately 3.6 R/hr. In either of the above cases, adequate time is available to initiate corrective measures for restoration of malfunctioning components, or to initiate an alternative method of cooling using onsite or offsite water supplies, without significant radiological consequences to plant workers in the Fuel Handling Building or to members of the general public. These events are discussed in Chapter 5.

An evaluation of an accident associated with a container of radioactive resins generated during decontamination activities has been performed. This evaluation indicated that, based upon a curie content of waste significantly higher than would be allowed in a shipping container, an accident that results in the airborne release of dewatered resin will not result in a dose at the EAB in excess of the USEPA Protective Action Guidelines or 10 CFR 100 limits. This accident is described in Chapter 5 (Radioactive Waste Handling Accident).

The Spent Fuel Cask Drop analysis is used only for structural considerations to demonstrate that impact of a swinging spent fuel cask that is dropped from the maximum allowed height will not result in damage to the Spent Fuel Pool such that uncontrolled water loss occurs. The permanently defueled condition of the plant does not change this analysis or its conclusions. This event is discussed in section 3.9.5

The drop of a spent fuel cask during handling is not considered credible due to the use of a single failure proof Fuel Building crane, the use of special lifting devices that satisfy ANSI 14.6, slings that conform to ANSI B30.9-2003 and implementation of the controls of NUREG-0612, which reduces the probability of a heavy load handling accident in of near the Spent Fuel Pool such that it need not be considered.

Based on the above, it is concluded that no SSC's are required to be classified as safety-related at Zion in its permanently defueled condition. This results in two areas of interest:

- 1. Zion's "nuclear grade" processes are based largely upon quality assurance (10 CFR 50, Appendix B) requirements. Reclassifying all SSCs as non-safety related could lead to the elimination of most management controls in situations where maintaining management controls is desired.
- 2. Zion recognizes that certain functions remain important to safety in the defueled condition.

The Cask Loading Pit is a A separate, walled off area is provided at the northwest corner end of the pool for the storage of the spent fuel cask for loading used fuel into a Transportable Storage Canister (TSC). The walls prevent the cask from falling into the spent fuel storage area. The base mat of the fuel storage pool in the cask storage area has been designed so that structural integrity will not be lost in the event of a cask drop. The TSC is handled using a MAGNASTOR Transfer Cask (MTC). The empty MTC and TSC may be staged on the north side of the pool prior to placement in the loading pit. After the TSC is loaded with used fuel, it is moved from the spent fuel pool to the Cask Decontamination Pit for canister processing. The TSC lid is welded and the canister is vacuum dried and back filled with helium in the decontamination pit. When canister processing is complete, the MTC is placed on top of the Vertical Concrete Cask (VCC) and the TSC is lowered into the cask. The VCC with the loaded TSC is then removed from the FHB on a Low Profile Cask Rail Transporter.

In the unlikely event that some leakage from the spent fuel pool were to occur, protective features have been provided to prevent radioactive material release to the environs from either loss of water from the storage pool or mechanical damage to the irradiated fuel.

The below listed features were incorporated in the design of the spent fuel pool to meet the design intent of AEC Safety Guide 13:

- 1. All spent fuel storage facilities are located in a Seismic Class I structure. In addition, the spent fuel racks within the fuel pool are Seismic Class I.
- Although no longer required to be functional or credited in any accident analysis, the ventilation system provided in the Fuel Handling Building is equipped with filtration devices to limit the potential release of radioactive materials.
- 3. All piping connections to or from the spent fuel pool are above the level of the spent fuel racks.
- 4. Level instrumentation, with alarms, and radiation monitoring devices have been provided for the spent fuel pool.
- 5. Makeup water for the pool is available from a tank onsite. Backup sources are available from additional water supplies.
- Interlocks are provided to prevent the main hoist from eapable of carrying heavy loads from entering over the area of the spent fuel pool where fuel is stored unless specific procedural requirements are met to bypass the interlocks. These interlocks are described in detail in Section 3.9.3.2.4.1. Figure 3-34 shows the general arrangement of the Fuel Handling Building and the route for the spent fuel transfer cask to move from the pool to the truck bay.

#### 3.9.3.2.3 Fuel Building Crane

The Fuel Handling bBuilding crane is an 125-ton electric overhead top-running traveling bridge crane and is equipped with a 125-ton design rated load (DRL) main hoist and a 15-ton auxiliary hoist. The overhead crane is used for lifting and transporting the MAGNASTOR Transfer Cask (MTC) from the Spent Fuel Pool cask loading area to the cask decontamination area and to the Fuel Handling Building truck bay. It is also used to lift and move other equipment located or stored in the Fuel Handling Building and items associated with loading and handling spent fuel casks. The maximum critical load (MCL) rating on the overhead crane is 125 tons.

The overhead crane meets the single-failure proof criteria of ASME NOG-1-2004, NUREG-0554, and NUREG-0612, Appendix C. The main hoist is classified as a Type main hoist per ASME NOG-1-2004 (single-failure proof for loads up to 125 tons). The auxiliary hoist is not single-failure proof (Type III)

The Fuel Handling Building crane is classified as Important-To-Defueled-Condition (ITDC) equipment and is seismically analyzed. The crane is seismically designed such that it will maintain control of the MCL during a design basis Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) event.

The single-failure proof trolley for the overhead crane meets the structural and mechanical requirements of ASME NOG-1-2004.

designed to allow for lifting and carrying a load 25% above the rated capacity. This is a specification design consideration and does not affect the crane rating. The structural design of the existing bridge and support structure conforms to the applicable requirements of the Electrical Overhead Crane Institute, Inc. (EOCI) Specification and applicable portions of the American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC) Specification for all design provisions not covered by the EOCI Specification. An additional design consideration allows for lifting and carrying a 230-ton load nine feet from the centerline of the north crane rail. This requirement resulted in crane and building runways capable of taking a load far in excess of the rated capacity at that particular location. - The crane has been designed to withstand seismic conditions. The main hook is a "sister hook" type with pin hole and is fitted with safety clamps latches. The hook is forced steel and has been radiographed ultrasonic and magnetic particle inspected. The lifting tackle and gearing was designed with a factor of safety not less than five. The load holding capability for the main hoist cable has a factor of safety of 6.2. Each of the 14 cables has a breaking strength of 48.3 tons. The load on the wire rope does not exceed 10% of the published breaking strength. The load does not exceed 40% of the published breaking strength in a seismic event or broken rope scenario

The crane is provided with three brakes, an eddy current speed control brake and two motor shoe-type holding brakes. Each motor mechanical brake is rated at 550 ft-lbs and is capable of holding 150% of full the load drive torque. Electrical power is required to unload the motor brakes. Loss of power results in setting the mechanical holding brakeslocking the load in position. The stall-out torque of the motor (275% of full load running torque) is 750 ft-lbs. The two motor brakes together are capable of holding the load at the stall-out torque condition. Load control is provided by electrically controlled

dynamic braking. The eddy current brake is used for emergency lowering of the load and does not require external power.

# 3.9.3.2.4 <u>Fuel Building Crane Interlocks</u>

The fuel building crane has been designed with safety interlocks and limit switches that which, together with end stops, guard against any over-travel of both the bridge and trolley. And Additional limit switches limit both the upper and lower travel of the hoist. These switches are activated whenever the crane apparatus goes beyond these limits and whenever a high radioactivity signal is transmitted by the radiation monitor. Interlocks are also provided to prevent main hoist travel over the spent fuel racks containing spent fuel. Other interlocks are also provided as safety features for the main hoist. No load and a fully raised main hoist are further requirements for travel over the spent fuel storage pool. These restrictions ensure that no accidental load will be carried ever the pool. The interlocks provided are further described below.

# 3.9.3.2.4.1 Travel Limit Switches

The bridge and trolley are provided with limit switches of the automatic-reset type to slow down the bridge or trolley prior to contact with the end stops. Limit switches are also provided for the bridge and trolley that define a restricted zone around the Spent Fuel Pool (SFP). The zone is based on main hook location such that a spent fuel transfer cask could not be brought over the SFP exclusive of the cask loading pit. In the event that the crane must be brought over the spent fuel pool, where spent fuel is stored, administrative controls performed in accordance with the heavy load program will be used to control bypass of these limit switches.

#### 3.9.3.2.4.2 Hoist Limit Switches

The main and auxiliary hoists are provided with two limit switches in both the raise and lower directions. Both hoists have a geared limit switch for the first limit in each direction. The geared limits remove control power in the direction of motion, but will allow the reverse motion after being actuated. Both hoists also have a weighted limit switch for the second upper limit. The upper weighted limit switches de-energize the respective safety stop circuits, removing power from the hoist motion contactor and setting the brakes. Both hoists utilize the load weighing system to provide a second lower limit. When an underweight (slack rope) condition is detected, the respective safety stop circuits are de-energized, removing power from the hoist motion contactor and setting the brakes.

The following conditions also stop main hoist motion by de-energizing the main hoist motion contactor:

- Mis-reeving detected by a bar over the drum.
- Overweight condition detected by the load weighing system.
- Unbalanced load detected by the load weighing system.
- Overspeed switch.
- Main Hoist Drive fault.

#### The following condition interrupts the up motion control for the main hoist:

High radioactivity signal transmitted by Fuel Building overhead crane radiation monitor

## 3.9.3.2.4.1 Interlock/Limit Switch Function

The bridge and trolley are provided with limit switches of the automatic-reset type to prevent over-travel in either direction. The main hoist has limit switches to stop the hook in its highest and lowest safe positions. Each limit switch is wired so that the drive motor can be energized in the reverse direction after its limit switch has opened. Two limit switches serve to restrict the upward travel of the hoist hooks, while a block-type switch is used on the cable, and screw type on the drum. These switches are adjusted so that if one fails to operate the remaining one will shut off the current.

Electrical limit switches backed up by fail-safe mechanical stops located on all four rails will not permit any trolley movement over the restricted pool area. If operation over this area is necessary, the operator must override the above mentioned limits with controls located on the bridge. Trolley movement is interlocked through the use of a load cell on the main hook and a position sensor. When the main hook is in its uppermost position and unloaded, the trolley can pass over the restricted area with the auxiliary hoist in operation.

A mechanical system of stops to prevent crane movement over the fuel pool area consists of a system of bumpers and limit switches. Targets will be provided on the crane bridge to contact special bumpers mounted on the building. Electrical limits are provided on the hoist to sense position and loading. The only time the target can be hoisted out of the way is when the main hoist is in its highest position with an empty hook. Additional limit switches are provided on the target hoists which will not allow operation of the main hoist until the targets are in position. A similar target bumper arrangement is provided for the trolley. The sensing of the hoist position and the load on the hook is done with electric limit switches. An override switch box with red warning lights is located on the bridge.

In addition, an auxiliary relay with contacts in the raising control circuit of both hoists is provided such that, when it is energized by a fuel radiation monitor, it will prevent further raising of the hoists.

## 3.9.3.2.4.2 Hoist Limit Switches - Operation

The hoist limit switch is a safety device which prevents the bottom block from coming in contact with any part of the trolley. All limit switches are similar in that they open circuits to stop the hoisting motion when the bottom block is raised above a preset upper limit.

The hoist limit switches automatically reset when the bottom block is lowered below the preset upper limit. Two types of limit switches are used on crane applications. They are the weight-operated limit switch and the geared limit switch.

The basic operation of any weight-operated limit switch is as follows:

1. When the bottom block is below the preset upper limit, the reset counterweight or bar maintains the limit switch in its normal operating

#### position.

- 2. When the bottom block approaches its preset upper limit, it contacts the reset counterweight or bar. Further upper movement at the bottom block lifts the reset counterweight or bar, allowing the operating lever or sheave to rotate. As the operating lever or sheave rotates it turns a shaft on which the actuating cams are mounted. Therefore, as the shaft rotates, the cams rotate until they open the normally closed contacts of the limit switch. This stops the hoist motor and applies the motor brake.
- 3. If the bottom block drifts beyond the preset upper limit, the reset counterweight or bar continues to rise and the actuating cams rotate still further. Eventually, the plugging cams close the plugging circuit contacts. This reverses the heist drum and lowers the bottom block below the preset upper limit.

The adjustable geared limit switch opens the HOIST circuit when the bottom block reaches the preset upper limit and opens the LOWER circuit when the bottom block reaches a preset lower limit. The limit switch is driven by the hoist drum and is actuated after a predetermined number of drum revolutions.

Both the HOIST and LOWER circuits are connected through sets of normally closed contacts in the limit switch. Both the upper and lower limits of bottom block travel are preset at the factory.

## 3.9.5 Control of Heavy Loads

#### 3.9.5.1 Introduction/ Licensing Background

In response to NRC Bulletin 96-02 (Ref. 13), Exelon provided confirmation (Ref. 14) that all heavy load handling activities that were planned at that time were within existing regulatory guidelines. Furthermore, the response indicated that if any subsequent activities identified an unreviewed safety question per the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59, a license amendment request would be submitted.

In order to move the spent fuel from the spent fuel pool to the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI), Zion has upgraded the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane to a single-failure-proof capability. The change in methodology for analyzing a cask drop, from a non-single-failure-proof crane and load drop analysis to a single-failure-proof crane with no assumed load drop, required a license amendment request per 10 CFR 50.90 (Ref. 15). The license amendment (Ref. 16) allows the transfer of spent fuel to a transportable storage canister located in the cask loading area of the spent fuel pool without having to assume a cask drop accident.

Operations, maintenance, testing and administrative station procedures provide the necessary controls for the handling of heavy loads over the spent fuel pool such that the requirements of NUREG-0612 are met.

#### 3.9.5.2 Safety Basis

The risk associated with handling of heavy loads at the Zion Nuclear Power Station is acceptably low based on compliance with the NUREG 0612 Section 5.1.1, 5.1.2 and 5.1.6 requirements. For movement of the of the transportable storage canisters in the Fuel Handling Building using the overhead crane, the safety evaluation report concluded that a cask drop accident is unlikely based on the use of the single-failure-proof crane, handling equipment certification and administrative controls.

#### 3.9.5.3 Scope and Control of Heavy Load Handling Systems

The Fuel Handling Building overhead and load handling equipment has been determined to be within the scope of NUREG 0612. The sections that follow provide details of the aspects of the Zion Nuclear Power Station Heavy Loads Program.

#### 3.9.5.4 NUREG 0612 Elements

The Fuel Handling Building overhead crane is within the scope of NUREG 0612. Seven elements must be met as described in NUREG 0612, Section 5.1.1 commonly known as Phase I ["...Accordingly, all plants should satisfy each of the following for handling heavy loads that could be brought in proximity to or over safe shutdown equipment or irradiated fuel in the spent fuel pool area or containment (PWRs), in the reactor building (BWRs), and in other plant areas."] Due to the present state of decommissioning the Zion Nuclear Power Station, the only area still applicable to NUREG-0612 is the spent fuel pool area.

These seven elements of Phase I have been implemented at the Zion Nuclear Power Station as follows:

## 3.9.5.4.1 Safe Load Paths

Safe load paths have been defined at the Zion Nuclear Power Station for the handling of heavy loads utilizing load handling equipment, which, if dropped could impact irradiated fuel. Deviations from defined load paths will require alternative approved procedures. Load paths are not defined for loads less than 1,800 lbs., however, they typically follow the safest and shortest route with the load as close to the floor as practical. A heavy load is defined as a load greater than or equal to 1,800 lbs.

Existing Fuel Handling Building overhead crane procedures and the fuel transfer procedures describe the job responsibility of the person directing the heavy load movement.

## 3.9.5.4.2 Load Handling Procedures

Procedures have been developed to cover load handling operations for the heavy loads identified in Table 3.1-1 of NUREG 0612 at the Zion Nuclear Power Station. These procedures identify the required equipment, the inspections and acceptance criteria prior to initiating load movement, the steps and sequence in handling the load and define the safe load path and other special precautions. Because the maximum credible tornado and the fully loaded crane have not been analyzed together, heavy load movements using the Fuel Building overhead bridge crane are not permitted if a tornado watch or warning has been declared for the site.

# 3.9.5.4.3 Qualifications, Training, and Conduct of Crane Operators

The Zion Nuclear Power Station complies with ANSI B30.2-1976 with respect to operator training, qualification and conduct.

#### 3.9.5.4.4 Special Lifting Devices

The special lifting devices employed at the Zion Nuclear Power Station have been designed in accordance with industrial standards using good engineering practices. The special lifting devices for the MAGNASTOR transfer cask have been provided by NAC. The fabrication of these lifting devices used standard quality control procedures. The lift yoke special lifting devices have been designed and tested in accordance with ANSI N14.6-1993 requirements.

Prior to use of specially designed lifting devices, visual inspection is performed, in some cases, certain critical and accessible parts or members, such as hooks and pins, are non-destructively examined at appropriate time intervals.

Should an incident occur in which a special lifting device is overloaded, damaged or distorted, an engineering assessment is performed. This assessment addresses ANSI NI4.6 and includes consideration of the load test up to the original procurement load test value or I50%, whichever is less.

## 3.9.5.4.5 Lifting Devices Not Specially Designed

For heavy load handling in the Fuel Handling Building, Zion Nuclear Power Station procures and inspects slings to ANSI B30.9-2003. Inspections are conducted annually and examined visually prior to use. Slings are installed and used in accordance with ANSI B30.9-2003. All lifting devices were designed according to industrial standards using good engineering practices. The dynamic loads generated by the Fuel Handling Building crane at the Zion Nuclear Power Station are added to the static load when selecting slings.

# 3.9.5.4.6 Inspection and Testing of Cranes

The Fuel Handling Building crane at the Zion Nuclear Power Station is inspected, tested, and maintained in accordance with Chapter 2-2 of ANSI B30.2-1976, with the exception that testing and inspections are performed prior to use where it is not practical to meet the frequencies of ANSI B30.2.

#### 3.9.5.4.7 Crane Design

The Fuel Handling Building crane bridge was originally designed in accordance with the EOCI specification for Electric Overhead Travelling Cranes and runways in accordance with the AISC specifications for the design, fabrication and erection of structural steel for buildings. Welding was performed in accordance with AWS specifications. The trolley and 125-ton main hoist meet the single-failure proof requirements of NUREG-0554 and ASME NOG-1-2004. The bridge has been evaluated against ASME NOG-1 criteria for use as part of the single failure proof crane.

#### 3.9.5.5 Safety Evaluation

The overhead handling of heavy loads at the Zion Nuclear Power Station is conducted in a safe manner through the use of safe load paths, qualified operators and adequately designed and maintained load handling equipment.

These controls as implemented by NUREG 0612 "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants," Section 5.1.1 (Phase I) and single failure-proof features per Section 5.1.6, ensure that the risk of a load drop accident is low.

Restrictions for load height and weight are procedurally controlled for this activity to ensure the design basis and seismic analysis remain valid. Requirements to ensure that the handling of heavy loads is performed in a safe manner and that the risk associated with these activities is adequately evaluated are provided in station procedures that control these activities.

# 3.9.5 Spent Fuel Cask Drop Analysis

The design of the spent fuel storage area precludes damage from occurring as a result of a dropped spent fuel shipping cask. Figure 1-8 shows the arrangement of structures and components for the storage and handling of new and spent fuel assemblies. Figure <del>3-33 provides a sketch which shows the movement of the spent fuel cask from the cask</del> area in the spent fuel storage pool to the transport loading area. An elevation drawing is shown in Figure 3-34. Guard walls are provided in the spent fuel storage pool. These walls, which surround the cask area, rise the full height of the pool and are structurally designed to withstand the impact from a falling spent fuel cask, including the mass of the wire rope, load block, hook, and lifting device. If the cask is positioned over the cask area and tips and falls, it will land on the guard walls. Since the center of gravity of the cask is within the guard walls, as noted on Figure 3-33 the cask cannot tip over into the spent fuel storage pool. If the cask should roll, the slot between the wall will stop the cask since the opening is smaller than the cask dimensions. Therefore, if the cask does not fall straight down, either because the drop occurs over a pool edge and the cask is deflected, or the trunnion or a yoke on one side of the cask fails and produces a lateral force, the spent fuel cask cannot damage any spent fuel. In addition, the fuel building crane is restricted from operation over the spent fuel storage pool by electrical and mechanical interlocks.

An analysis was performed on the integrity of the fuel pool floor. The analysis took into account the highest elevation from which the cask could be dropped. The drag effects of the water were taken into account to determine the energy at impact with the floor. A 9 foot 0 inch deep slab was provided to distribute the impact force to the foundation. Through the slab cracking will not occur and therefore the possibility of leakage is eliminated.

The details of this specific spent fuel cask drop analysis are presented here.

#### 3.9.5.1 Objective of Analysis

Investigation of cask displacement in a plane along the axis of lower block (East West) when unfavorable (West) end of cable breaks. A similar analysis was performed for lateral displacement in the north-south direction that resulted in a 7 inch displacement. This analysis is for the NLI 10/24 cask which weighs 110 Tons including yoke and lifting cables.

#### 3.9.5.2 Statement of Physical Problem

The analysis was done on the assumption that the cask will swing about the most unfavorable cable when the cable on the other extreme end breaks. Since inclusion of dewnward movement of eask will result in smaller lateral displacement above the pool (the maximum lateral displacement will remain above the cask storage area), the downward movement of the cask was neglected for lateral displacement computations. The system consisting of upper and lower blocks; and cask and yoke will have two kinds of motion:

- Pendulum swinging about the intersection between the horizontal plane through
  the center line of the upper block and the vertical plane through the two most
  eastern cables.
- 2. Rotational motion of cask and yoke system about its own center of gravity. This motion occurs due to the fact that center of pendulum motion and center of gravity of cask and yoke assembly are not in one vertical line.

Figure 3.35 shows the elevation of the cask along the axis of lower block. The cask is 6" above the pool wall, 00: is the axis of upper block. A B is the axis of the lower block. C is the center of gravity of yoke and cask assembly. Other dimensions for the cask position just before lowering in cask area also are shown in the figure.

For analysis, cable 0:A is assumed to have broken. This results in the swinging of cask about point 0 which is at the other extreme (East) end of upper block. Since point 0 and C are not in the same vertical line, rotation of yoke and eask assembly about C also will occur. Extreme position of two motions are combined to get the maximum lateral displacement.

#### 3.9.5.3 Calculational Methods and Other Assumptions

#### Details Of Impact:

The impact of the cask with guard wall has been assumed to be plastic. Impact energy calculations are made on the basis of approximately 1/4 weight of the guard wall participating in the plastic impact. The impact is essentially vertical (in the plane of guard wall), and the guard wall will act as a column.

The impacted mass of the wall is 14.84 kips/ft/Sec.2

The impact of cask takes place at an angle of 6 – 12' and results into a vertical force of 7750 kips and a horizontal force of 842 kips.

#### Punching Shear:

The punching shear stress value at distance d/2 away from impacted area is 400 psi where "d" is the thickness of wall at the point under consideration.

The vertical compressive stress at the section is approximately 2.13 ksi. The maximum allowable shear based on shear friction is 750 psi. Thus, the actual punching shear stress is within the allowable stress.

Although the impact of cask with guard wall occurs as indicated in Figure 3-36, for conservative calculations of strain energy capacity of guard wall, it is assumed to occur at the corner of the wall as shown in Figure 3-37.

#### 3 Reaction Shear:

Reaction shear along the negative yield line is computed on the basis of the ultimate lead capacity of the panel for given yield line pattern. Actual shear stress along the yield line is 116 psi, while allowable shear is 122.5 psi which does not include any credit for the compressive force acting at the section. The resistance function of the wall in the horizontal direction is shown in Figure 3-38. The ultimate lead capacity of the wall (258.8 kips) was computed on the basis of the yield line pattern, shown in Figure 3-37, which corresponds to the most critical point of impact.

#### Yield Line Pattern:

Reference is made to Page 277 of "Yield Line Analysis of Slabs" by Jones and Wood. Ultimate load capacity of the slab for point load is 2m when resisting moment capacity of the slab is the same for positive yield lines as well as negative yield lines and is equal to m. If positive moment capacity of the slab is ignored as was done here, the ultimate load capacity reduces to 1.57m. Thus, the more conservative approach of not specifying any moment capacity to positive yield lines is followed.

#### 5. Overall Behavior Of The Structure:

Structural members supporting the guard wall need be checked for the reaction and its dynamic effect due to impact loading of the guard wall. To be conservative, a Dynamic Load Factor of 2.0 can be assumed for the design of these supporting members (base slab and north wall of the pool). Since, by inspection, base slab as well as the north wall of the pool have moment capacities of more than twice that of the guard wall, there is no need for detailed design. It should be noted that these members resist the moments on the two sides of the junction with the guard wall.

#### 3.9.5.4 Results

Calculations indicate that the time required for the pendulum system to swing from dead center to its extreme end (or one-quarter of its period of swinging) is 1.37 seconds. These calculations also show that the time required for the cask to hit the bottom of the pool, which is equivalent to falling a distance of 41.33 feet, is 1.6 seconds; whereas it only takes 0.89 seconds for the center of gravity of the cask and yoke assembly to drop below the top of the guard wall, which is equivalent to a drop of 12.79 feet. Therefore, the center of gravity of the cask and yoke assembly will be below the top of the guard wall before it reaches its extreme horizontal displacement during its first swing. Also, the calculations show that keeping the cask centerline 4½ inches farther away from the guard wall will preclude the dropping cask from hitting the guard wall.

In addition to this analysis, an analysis was performed on the integrity of the fuel pool floor. The analysis took into account the highest elevation from which the cask could be dropped. The drag effects of the water were taken into account to determine the energy at impact with the floor. A 9-foot 0-inch-deep slab was provided to distribute the impact force to the foundation. Through-the-slab cracking will not occur and therefore the possibility of leakage is eliminated.

#### 3.12 References, Section 3.0

- 1. Spent Fuel Pool Modification for Increased Storage Capacity, Revision 0, dated November 15, 1991.
- 2. Zion Station Calculation No. 22S-0-110S-0060, "Evaluation of the Zion Spent Fuel Pool for an Accident Temperature of 212 Degrees F."
- 3. November 18, 1996 ComEd Response to NRC Final Report on Spent Fuel Storage Pool Safety Issues.
- Gould Co. Calculation SO 7-0432124-EQ dated 1-8-71.
- 5. Power Conversion Inc. letter dated 5-25-71 and Gaynes Testing Lab report on Job #7115, dated 3-11-71.
- 6. General Electric Co. letter dated 2-26-71.
- 7. General Electric Co. Report #70ICS101, dated 2-18-71.
- 8. Gould Co. Calculation SO 7-043123-EQS, dated 1-8-71.
- 9. Gould Co. letter dated June 14, 1971 summarizing results of test performed by TII Testing Lab, Inc., College Point, NY.
- 10. Gaynes Testing Lab Report #71448A dated November 9, 1971.
- 11. AIEE/IPCEA Power Cable Ampacities, Volume I Copper Conductors, (AIEE Publication No. S-135-1, IPCEA Publication No. P-46-426) 1962.
- 12. Zion Station Calculation No. 22S-B-123M-0080, "SFNI Cooling Tower and HVAC Lightning Evaluation."
- 13. NRC Bulletin 96-02, "Movement of Heavy Loads Over Spent Fuel, Over Fuel In The Reactor Core Or Over Safety-Related Equipment."
- John B. Hosmer (ComEd) letter to U.S. NRC, ComEd Response to NRC Bulletin 96-02, "Movement of Heavy Loads Over Spent Fuel, Over Fuel in the Reactor Core, or Over Safety-Related Equipment," dated May 13, 1996.
- 15. Zion Solutions, LLC license amendment request dated May 31, 2012.
- 16. NRC License Amendments xxx and xxx, dated \_\_\_\_\_, 2012.

#### TABLE 3-5A

#### Fuel Handling Building Load Combinations including Single-Failure-Proof Crane

Original design of the Fuel Handling Building was in accordance with Table 3-5. The support structure for the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane was reevaluated as part of the single failure proof handling system upgrade described in Section 3.9.2.3. All applicable combinations provided in Table 3-5 were modified to include the rated capacity of the crane, including impact, and live load occurring simultaneously with earthquake and conform to ACI 349-97 for reinforced concrete design and AISC N690-1994 for steel design.

The effect of W' (maximum credible tornado) combined with the full rated capacity of the crane shall not be considered applicable since provisions shall be made to prevent fuel transfer operations during a tornado watch.

For this evaluation, the load combinations of Table 3-5 have been determined to be as follows, which include crane impact loads per AISC N690-1994, Table Q1.5.7.1 and ACI 349-97. Section 9.2.1:

#### **Load Combination**

	ACI 349-97
$D + C + I_V \pm I_H \pm I_L \pm E_{SS}$ (E)	ktreme - no live load condition) AISC N690-1994.
$D + L + S + C + I_V \pm I_H \pm I_L \pm E_{SS}$ (E)	AlSC N690-1994 ACI 349-97
	evere - no live load condition) AISC N690-1994
, - V - 11 - 2L 0	evere) AJSC N690-1994

#### Member stress limits are in accordance with Table 3-5.

The following additional ultimate strength combinations are applicable to reinforced concrete components, such as anchor bolts, which are evaluated in accordance with ACI349-97 (Ref. 3.1.9):

$1.4D + 1.7(L + S + C + I_V \pm I_H \pm I_L \pm E_O)$	(Severe)	ACI 349-97
$0.9D + 1.7(C + I_V \pm I_H \pm I_L \pm E_O)$	(Severe - no live load condition)	ACI 349-97
D+L+S+C+I <sub>V</sub> ±I <sub>H</sub> ±I <sub>L</sub> ±E <sub>SS</sub>	(Extreme)	ACI 349-97
$0.9D + C + I_V \pm I_H \pm I_L \pm E_{SS}$	(Extreme - no live load condition)	ACI 349-97

Member resistance factors are in accordance with ACI349-97, (Ref. 3.1.9).

#### Where:

D = Dead Load including Crane Bridge and Trolley

L = Live Load

S = Snow Load

C = Crane Rated Load including Load Block and Rigging

I<sub>V</sub> = Vertical impact load per AISC 6th Edition

 $I_H$  = Transverse Crane Impact Load per AISC 6th Edition (perpendicular to building runway girder)  $I_L = \text{Longitudinal Crane Impact Load per AISC 6th Edition (parallel to building runway girder)}$   $E_O = OBE Earthquake$   $E_{SS} = SSE Earthquake$ 

Load combinations for evaluation of the crane are from ASME NOG-1-2004, Section 4140 for a Type I crane. The only credible load combinations to consider which will have an impact on the building evaluation are consolidated into the following load combination. This combination may vary from the previous combinations since it applies impact loads that may, or may not be, different than those required for the building evaluation.

 $P = D + C + P_v \pm P_{hl} \pm P_{ht}$ 

#### Where:

D = Dead Load including Crane Bridge, Trolley and Load Block

C = Crane Rated Load including rigging


P<sub>v</sub> = Vertical Impact Load per ASME NOG-1-2004 (Ref. 3.1.10)


P<sub>ht</sub> = Transverse Horizontal Load per ASME NOG-1-2004 (Ref. 3.1.10)


(parallel to building runway girder, perpendicular to crane bridge)


P<sub>hl</sub> = Longitudinal Horizontal Load per ASME NOG-1-2004 (Ref. 3.1.10)


(perpendicular to building runway girder, parallel to crane bridge)














## Attachment 3

ZionSolutions Draft Heavy Loads Program



ZAP-510-19 Revision 0 Information Use

# Heavy Loads Program Draft – September 6, 2012 Zion Solutions Project



~	•	$\sim$ 1		. 1 .	D ' '
Summary	Λt	( 'hanges	1n	thic	Revision
Summar y	$\mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{i}}$	Changes	111	umo	ICC VISIOII.

• Rev. 0 – This is initial issuance of this procedure.

1.	PUR:	POSE AND SCOPE	5
	1.1.	PURPOSE	5
	1.2.	SCOPE	5
2.	RESI	PONSIBILITIES	5
	2.1.	ZionSolutions Fuel Transfer & Dry Cask Storage Engineers – are responsible for	
	2.2.	Heavy Load Handling Personnel (Supervisor, Craft and Contractors) – are	
		responsible for:	5
	2.3.	Planners – are responsible for:	5
	2.4.	Work Control – is responsible for:	6
	2.5.	Shift Supervisor – is responsible for:	
	2.6.	Fuel Transfer Operations – is responsible for:	
	2.7.	Fuel Transfer & Dry Fuel Storage Maintenance Supervisor – is responsible for:	
	2.8.	Occupational Health Services – are responsible for:	6
3.	DEF	NITIONS	6
	3.1.	Dedicated Rigging	
	3.2.	Restricted Area	
	3.3.	Handling Equipment	
	3.4.	Heavy Load Handler	6
	3.5.	Heavy Load	7
	3.6.	Rigging	7
	3.7.	Safe Load Path	7
	3.8.	Single–Failure-Proof.	
	3.9.	Special Lifting Device	7
4.	MAT	ERIALS, SPECIAL EQUIPMENT AND SUPPORT SERVICES	7
	4.1.	Materials:	
	4.2.	Tools and Equipment:	7
	4.3.	Support Services	
5.	PRE	CAUTIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND PREREQUISITES	R
٥.	5.1.	Precautions:	
	5.2.	Limitations:	
	5.3.	Prerequisites:	8
_			
6.		N BODY	
	6.1. 6.2.	Handling Equipment Inspection	
	6.3.	Handling Equipment Maintenance	
		General Handling Requirements	
7.	RET	URN TO NORMAL	13
8.	REF	ERENCES	13
	8.1	Procedures	
	8.2	Commitments	
	8.3	NRC Guidance	14

### ZAP-510-19 Revision 0 Information Use

9.	REC	ORDS	14
	9.1.	Vendor Supplied Certificates of Test	14
	9.2.	Handling equipment inspection records	
	9.3.	Crane operator and qualified person(s) qualification records	
	9.4.	Crane operator medical evaluations	
10.	ATTA	ACHMENTS	14
	10.1.	Attachment 1 - Table, Heavy Loads Equipment Certification	14
	10.2	Attachment 2 - Figure, Fuel Handling Building Restricted Area (top down	view)14
11.	FOR	MS	14

#### 1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

#### 1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this procedure is to provide administrative controls for safely handling heavy loads in, around and over the spent fuel pool or a loaded spent fuel canister. It is intended to be used in conjunction with approved site procedures, FHI-22, Fuel Building Overhead Crane Procedure/Checklist, and ZAP 510-13 Safe Rigging Practices.

#### 1.2. SCOPE

The scope of this procedure applies only to the Fuel Handling Building Overhead Crane. This procedure is applicable to all personnel involved with moving heavy loads in the Fuel Handling Building using the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane.

#### 2. **RESPONSIBILITIES**

#### 2.1. ZionSolutions Fuel Transfer & Dry Cask Storage Engineers – are responsible for:

- Generating and controlling Safe Load Paths for fuel transfer within Fuel Handling Building.
- Qualifying heavy load handling personnel in accordance with requirements of ANSI/ASME B30.2-1976, with the support of the Training Department.

## 2.2. <u>Heavy Load Handling Personnel (Supervisor, Craft and Contractors) – are</u> responsible for:

- Performing heavy load handling operations
- Maintaining, controlling, and inspecting heavy load equipment.
- Identifying/validating heavy loads lifts, assuring heavy load lifts activities are planned and scheduled to ensure risk may be evaluated and communicated.
- Requesting assistance from Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Engineering as necessary.
- Following the Heavy Load Lift procedure and work package requirements.
- Ensuring appropriate work order is approved for work to be performed.
- Notify Shift Supervisor or designee approval prior to the lift.

#### 2.3. Planners – are responsible for:

- Identifying heavy load lifts.
- Requesting assistance from Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Engineering as necessary.
- Assuring heavy load lifts activities are planned and scheduled so that risk may be evaluated and communicated
- Following Heavy Load Lift procedural and work package requirements.
- Developing an appropriate work order.

#### 2.4. Work Control – is responsible for:

- Based on the results of the risk evaluation, identifying the redundant/diverse equipment important to the defueled condition to be relied upon, should a load drop occur.
- Scheduling the heavy load lift such that diverse equipment will be available at the time of the lift.

#### 2.5. <u>Shift Supervisor – is responsible for:</u>

- Approving Work Orders.
- Overall safety of work activities performed.

#### 2.6. Fuel Transfer Operations – is responsible for:

- Ensuring that any required diverse equipment is "available" at the time of the lift.

#### 2.7. Fuel Transfer & Dry Fuel Storage Maintenance Supervisor – is responsible for:

- Performing a risk evaluation for conditions that do not screen out per Section 5, Spent Fuel Pool and High Risk Activity Management of the Work Management Manual Guide (Ref. 8.1.1). High Risk will screen out if the following conditions occur:
  - Single Failure Proof Crane or hoist, and Rigging are used, or
  - The Work Management Manual Guide, Section 5, Spent Fuel Pool and High Risk Activity Management, does not indicate a high risk.

#### 2.8. Occupational Health Services – are responsible for:

 Certifying the medical qualifications of personnel in accordance with ANSI/ASME B30.2 and ZNPS Policies.

#### 3. **DEFINITIONS**

#### 3.1. Dedicated Rigging

Rigging that is certified for handling a specific load or loads.

#### 3.2. Restricted Area

An area below a suspended heavy load where, if the heavy load were dropped or lowered, unacceptable damage would occur to irradiated fuel.

#### 3.3. Handling Equipment

All load bearing components used to lift a load, including the crane, hoist, the lifting device, and interfacing load lift points.

#### 3.4. Heavy Load Handler

A person that has successfully completed heavy loads training for the fuel transfer project.

#### 3.5. Heavy Load

A load carried in the Fuel Handling Building that contains irradiated fuel that weighs more than the combined weight of a spent fuel assembly and its associated handling tool (NUREG 0612). A load that weighs more than 1800 pounds over or near the spent fuel pool is considered a heavy load.

#### 3.6. Rigging

Chain, hooks, shackles, links, wire rope, slings, eye bolts, chain blocks and other such portable items.

#### 3.7. Safe Load Path

A path defined for transport of a heavy load that will minimize adverse effects, if the load is dropped, in terms of releases of radioactive material and damage to spent fuel. The path follows, to the extent practical, structural floor members, beams, etc, such that if the load is dropped, the structure is more likely to withstand the impact. Safe Load Paths are indicated on safe load path drawings or by other approved drawings/ methods.

#### 3.8. Single-Failure-Proof

A single failure-proof lifting system consists of:

- 1.) Cranes, meeting the requirements of NUREG 0554.
- 2.) Special lifting devices, meeting the requirements of ANSI N14.6-1993 (Section Titled "Special Lifting devices for Critical Loads").
- 3.) Slings and rigging components use redundant rigging or use rigging that has double the factor of safety and meets the requirements of ASME B30.9-1971.

#### 3.9. Special Lifting Device

A lifting device that is designed specifically for handling a certain load or loads, such as the lifting device for the spent fuel cask. Special lifting devices shall be used when normal rigging is not adequate. Special lifting devices shall be designed, tested and maintained in accordance with ANSI N14.6.

#### 4. MATERIALS, SPECIAL EQUIPMENT AND SUPPORT SERVICES

#### 4.1. Materials:

- The following are some of the materials needed to keep the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane in good working order:
  - Wire rope lubricant (per vendor recommendation)
  - Hydraulic brake fluid (per vendor recommendation)
  - Bearing grease (per vendor recommendation)
  - Gear case lubricant (per vendor recommendation)

#### 4.2. Tools and Equipment:

 Special tools and rigging devices will be provided by NAC to lift the Transportable Storage Cask, Transfer Cask and Concrete Cask during fuel transfer.

#### 4.3. Support Services

- Vendor will provide support services for the Fuel Handling Building crane upgrade including load testing, maintenance and operating procedures and training to the crane operators.
- NAC will provide support services for using the special tools and rigging devices for spent fuel transfer including guidance on operating procedures and cask fuel handling training.

#### 5. PRECAUTIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND PREREQUISITES

#### 5.1. Precautions:

None

#### 5.2. Limitations:

- This procedure only applies to moving heavy loads in the Fuel Handling Building using the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane.

#### 5.3. Prerequisites:

- Personnel Qualification and Certification:
  - 1.) Crane Operators shall successfully complete a medical evaluation or equivalent per ES-SH-PR-602, Operation and Inspection of Overhead Cranes (Ref. 8.1.7) and ZS-SA-01, Zion Restoration Project Health and Safety Plan (Ref. 8.1.12).
    - A. Crane Operators whose medical certification is not current shall not operate heavy load handling equipment until re-certification is completed.
    - B. Physical restrictions, such as the need to wear corrective lenses, shall be strictly adhered to and is the responsibility of the crane operator to ensure compliance.
    - C. Occupational Health Services personnel shall maintain records of crane operator medical qualifications for the period of qualification.
  - 2.) Crane operators shall attend and successfully complete Crane Operator Training for the new Fuel Handling Building overhead crane per Zion Solutions training program requirements.
    - A. The training status of each crane operator shall be maintained by the Zion Solutions Training Department.
  - 3.) Heavy Load Handling Personnel other than crane operators shall attend and successfully complete a training brief that contains the job performance measures for heavy load handling.
    - A. The training status of each qualified person shall be maintained by the Training Department.

- Crane maintenance records are up-to-date and previous inspections completed and documented.
- Handling Equipment Certification:
  - 1.) Heavy load handling equipment should be identified with unique identification numbers.
    - A. Identification for permanent and portable heavy load handling equipment for spent fuel transfer shall be controlled and issued by the Fuel Transfer & Dry Cask Storage Engineers.
    - B. Identification shall be traceable to the vendor supplied equipment's Certificate of Test, including other information relevant to certification.
  - 2.) A vendor supplied "Certificate of Test" shall be available and traceable to each piece of heavy load handling equipment and rigging to verify compliance with applicable ANSI standards.
    - A. Heavy load handling equipment shall be certified in accordance with applicable ANSI standard as listed on Attachment 1.
    - B. Special lifting devices shall be certified in accordance with ANSI N14.6 or alternate inspection and load test criteria approved by the Fuel Transfer & Dry Cask Storage Engineers.
    - C. Completion of a Load Test Procedure may be used in lieu of a Certificate of Test for portable or manually operated heavy load handling equipment and rigging.
    - D. Designated Fuel Transfer & Dry Cask Storage Engineers shall be responsible for control and certification of rigging and special lifting devices.
    - E. Certificates of Test (or Alternate Test Procedures) and the Test Reports shall be maintained.

#### - Safe Load Path:

- 1.) Safe Load Paths shall be established to designate avenues for movement of heavy loads by handling equipment to minimize the potential for damage from those heavy loads, if dropped, to impact irradiated fuel. Safe Load Paths shall be developed in accordance with NUREG-0612. The current safe load paths for the loaded transfer cask are shown in DSAR Figure 3-33 (vertical view) and in the attached figure, Fuel Handling Building Restricted Area (top down view) (Attachment 2). Safe load paths for other heavy lifts shall be shown on applicable drawings and/or procedures.
- 2.) Changes to Safe Load Paths shall be identified by drawings and available for general facility use.

- A. Safe Load Path drawings shall be approved by Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Engineering.
- B. Safe Load Path drawings shall be in approved spent fuel transfer procedures.
- 3.) In situations where a Safe Load Path does not exist, cannot be followed, or the transient load will depart from the Safe Load Path, an alternate Safe Load Path shall be established.
  - A. Alternate Safe Load Paths shall be determined in accordance with NUREG 0612, Section 5.1.1 (1).
  - B. Alternate Safe Load Paths shall be evaluated and approved by Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Engineering before use.
  - C. Alternate Safe Load Paths shall be documented and included with the Work Package.
  - D. Heavy load handling operations requiring deviation from Alternate Safe Load Paths shall be rigged Single-Failure-Proof or a load drop analysis shall be performed.
  - E. Perform 10CFR50.59 Screening/Evaluation per ZAP 100-06, 10 CFR 50.59 Review Process.

#### 6. MAIN BODY

#### 6.1. Handling Equipment Inspection

- 6.1.1 Inspections of heavy load handling equipment shall be controlled by designated Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Engineering personnel and performed in accordance with Fuel Handling Building overhead crane inspection procedures (Ref. 8.1.2 to 8.1.5).
- 6.1.2 Inspection frequency for heavy load handling equipment shall be in accordance with approved Fuel Handling Building overhead crane and rigging procedures for fuel transfer.
- 6.1.3 Pre-Operational Inspections of slings, rigging, and hooks performed in accordance with Fuel Handling Building overhead crane and rigging procedures for fuel transfer shall satisfy periodic inspection requirements.
- 6.1.4 A schedule for inspections of heavy load handling equipment including rigging and special lifting devices shall be maintained by the Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Department to ensure timeliness of inspection.

6.1.5 Inspection records shall be maintained electronically or by hard copy.

#### 6.2. Handling Equipment Maintenance

- 6.2.1 Maintenance shall be performed by ZNPS personnel or an approved service vendor.
- 6.2.2 Permanent heavy load handling equipment shall be maintained in accordance with the applicable ANSI standard as identified on Attachment 1.
- 6.2.3 Load bearing components of heavy load handling equipment which have been extensively repaired, repaired by welding, or otherwise modified shall be re-certified before being placed into service in accordance with applicable ANSI criteria.
  - 1.) Test criteria used for re-certification shall be the same criteria used for the original certification unless otherwise stated by Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Engineering.
- 6.2.4 Non-permanent heavy load handling equipment shall be stored in areas to protect it from damage or adverse environments either in the Fuel Handling Building or an enclosed building onsite.

#### **6.3.** General Handling Requirements

- 6.3.1 Heavy load lifts shall be assessed by the Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Department for impact to components important to the defueled condition following the guidance in the Work Management Manual Guide (Ref. 8.1.1).
- 6.3.2 Heavy load handling operations shall be performed in accordance with approved load handling procedures (Ref. 8.1.2 to 8.1.5).
- 6.3.3 Heavy load handling operations shall be performed by qualified crane operators and qualified persons assigned by the responsible Supervisor.
  - 1.) Operators of heavy load handling equipment shall be familiar with the procedures, height / weight restrictions, and Safe Load Path applicable to the handling operations.
- 6.3.4 Heavy load handling operations shall be conducted in accordance with the height / weight restrictions at the lowest height practicable defined in approved procedures.
  - 1.) Heavy load handling operations requiring deviation from height / weight restrictions shall be evaluated by Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Engineering prior to starting the heavy load handling operation.

- 2.) Single-Failure-Proof Rigging shall be required for all heavy load handling over the Spent Fuel Pool with spent fuel in the racks.
- 6.3.5 Heavy load rigging used shall be determined by Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Engineering to be adequate for the weight of the item to be handled based upon the weight of the item with allowance for dynamic loading for non-single-failure-proof configurations.
- 6.3.6 For all configurations, lifting devices that are not specially designed should be installed and used in accordance with station procedure ZAP 510-13, Safe Rigging Practices. In selecting the proper sling, the load should be the sum of the static and maximum dynamic load. The rating on the sling should be greater than the combined maximum static and dynamic load. For purposes of selecting the proper sling, loads imposed by the Design Basis Earthquake (DBE) need not be included in the dynamic loads imposed on the sling or lifting device.
- 6.3.7 Refer to station procedure, ZAP 510-13, Safe Rigging Practices, for the proper method used in selecting a sling with the appropriate rating for the static and dynamic load lift to be performed.
- 6.3.8 Handling equipment attachments to permanent station equipment (beams, etc.) shall not be made until evaluation and approval of the attachment is completed by the Engineering Director.
- 6.3.9 Verification of heavy load handling equipment inspection shall be made before each use.
- 6.3.10 Heavy loads handling operations, where no load drop analysis has been performed, that are bounded by an existing load drop analysis, require the same accident mitigators as the analyzed load drop.
- 6.3.11 Handling of heavy loads over irradiated fuel within the scope of NRC Bulletin 96-02, and not previously evaluated, shall be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Based on the results of these evaluations, the appropriate actions shall be taken as required by NRC Bulletin 96-02. This would only apply if a non-single-failure-proof crane was used to handle heavy loads over the spent fuel pool.
- 6.3.12 Procedures for heavy load handling operations shall include the following Precaution/Limitation:

"Heavy load movements using the Fuel Handling Building (FHB) Overhead Bridge Crane (OBC) are not permitted if a tornado watch or warning has been declared for the site by the National Weather Service. If heavy load handling with the OBC is in progress when any of these criteria are met, then the load will be immediately lowered to a safe location and the crane secured." (Ref. 8.2.6)

#### 7. RETURN TO NORMAL

None

#### 8. REFERENCES

#### 8.1 **Procedures**

- 8.1.1 ZAP 400-16, Work Management Manual Guide
- 8.1.2 ZAP 510-13, Safe Rigging Practices
- 8.1.3 MDAI 10-51-1, Crane Inspections
- 8.1.4 ZAP 510-02, Fuel Transfer and Dry Fuel Storage Plant Modifications
- 8.1.5 FHI-22, Fuel Building Overhead Crane Procedure/Checklist
- 8.1.6 ES-SH-PG-600, Hoisting and Rigging Programs
- 8.1.7 ES-SH-PR-602, Operation and Inspection of Overhead Cranes
- 8.1.8 ES-SH-PR-603, Operation and Inspection of Mobile Cranes
- 8.1.9 ES-SH-PR-604, Operation and Inspection of Hoists
- 8.1.10 ES-SH-PR-605, Non-Standard Lift Plans
- 8.1.11 ES-SH-PR-606, Safe Use and Inspection of Rigging Equipment
- 8.1.12 ZS-SA-01, Zion Restoration Project Health and Safety Plan

#### 8.2 Commitments

- 8.2.1 DSAR Sections 3.9 and 5.2
- 8.2.2 NRC Bulletin 96-02, "Movement of Heavy Loads Over Spent Fuel, Over Fuel In The Reactor Core Or Over Safety-Related Equipment"
- 8.2.3 GL 85-11, "Completion of Phase II of Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants, NUREG-0612"
- 8.2.4 John B. Hosmer (Comed) letter to U.S. NRC, "ComEd Response to NRC Bulletin 96-02, "Movement of Heavy Loads Over Spent Fuel, Over Fuel in the Reactor Core, or Over Safety-Related Equipment," dated May 13, 1996.
- 8.2.5 Patrick Daly (ZS) letter to U.S. NRC, "Request for Amendment to Approve Methods of Analysis, Use of a Single-failure Proof Crane and Approval of a NUREG 0612 Compliant Heavy Loads Handling Program," dated May xx, 2012.

8.2.6 NOG-1 Compliance Matrix, P&H *SUPERSAFE*TM Single Failure Proof Upgrade for Zion Fuel Handling Crane, February 2012.

#### 8.3 NRC Guidance

- 8.3.1 NUREG 0554, "Single Failure Proof Cranes For Nuclear Power Plants"
- 8.3.2 NUREG 0612, "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants"

#### 9. RECORDS

The following documents shall be maintained electronically or by a hard copy for the retention period identified in document control:

- **9.1.** Vendor Supplied Certificates of Test
- **9.2.** Handling equipment inspection records
- **9.3.** Crane operator and qualified person(s) qualification records
- **9.4.** Crane operator medical evaluations

#### 10. ATTACHMENTS


- **10.1.** Attachment 1 Table, Heavy Loads Equipment Certification
- 10.2 Attachment 2 Figure, Fuel Handling Building Restricted Area (top down view)

#### 11. **FORMS**

None

Handling	ANSI/ ASME Inspection Standard
Equipment Type	
Overhead and Gantry Cranes	B30.2-1976
Slings and Rigging	B30.9-1971
Hooks	B30.10-2001 Edition with 2003 Addenda
Below-the-Hook Lifting Devices	B30.20-2001 Edition with 2003 Addenda
Rigging Hardware	B30.26-2001 Edition with 2003 Addenda
Special Lifting Devices	N14.6-1993

Attachment 2
Figure - Fuel Handling Building Restricted Area



## Attachment 4

NUREG 0612 Compliance Matrix

Section	Guidance	Evaluation	Notes
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.1(1)	Safe load paths should be defined for the movement of heavy loads to minimize the potential for heavy loads, if dropped, to impact irradiated fuel in the reactor vessel and in the spent fuel pool, or to impact safe shutdown equipment.	Safe load paths for heavy loads have been defined at the Zion Nuclear Power Station (ZNPS). Spent fuel cask handling will not require the transfer cask containing the Transportable Storage Cask (TSC) to be suspended over irradiated fuel. The TSC lid is the sole heavy load handled by the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane single-failure-proof lifting system that must be suspended over exposed spent fuel in the loaded canister to properly conduct spent fuel loading operations. The transfer cask, TSC and TSC lid will be handled with the single-failure proof Fuel Building overhead crane single-failure-proof lifting system, including lifting devices and lift points.	The safe load path for the loaded cask in the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane as defined in the draft Heavy Loads Program ZAP-510-19 will be included in Section 5.2 of Zion procedure FHI-22, Fuel Building Overhead Crane Procedure/ Checklist. Other safe load paths will be developed in accordance with the Heavy Loads Program  NAC MAGNASTOR Technical Specification 4.3.1.h (MAGNASTOR draft Certificate of Compliance Rev. 3) limits the height for a loaded concrete cask to less than or equal to 24 inches.
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.1(2)	Procedures should be developed to cover load handling operations for heavy loads that are, or could be handled over, or in proximity to irradiated fuel or safe shutdown equipment.	The ZNPS heavy loads control program includes procedures to address load handling operations for heavy loads handled by the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane single-failure-proof lifting system.	Lift height limits consistent with the single failure analysis and NAC MAGNASTOR SAR will be included in the operating procedures, as appropriate.

Section	Guidance	Evaluation	Notes
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.1(3)	Crane operators should be trained, qualified, and conduct themselves in accordance with Chapter 2-3 of ANSI B30.2-1976.	Crane operators are trained in the area of heavy load handling, safe load paths, and the potential consequences of load drops over the spent fuel pool. Training will meet the guidance of Chapter 2-3 of ANSI B30.2-1976.	ZNPS currently meets ANSI B30.2-1967. The training program will be upgraded to meet the guidance in Chapter 2-3 of ANSI B30.2-1976.
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.1(4)	Special lifting devices should satisfy the guidelines of ANSI N14.6-1978.	The special lifting devices used to lift and handle the spent fuel transfer cask and other heavy load components are part of the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane single-failure-proof lifting system. The evaluation of these devices is discussed under NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.6(1)(a) in this matrix.	The transfer cask lifting yoke and crane hook extension meets the requirements of ANSI N14.6-1993 per MAGNASTOR FSAR Sections 2.4.4, 2.6.6, 3.1.2 and 3.1.3.
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.1(5)	Lifting devices that are not specially designed should be installed and used in accordance with the guidelines of ANSI B30.9-1971.	The lifting devices used to lift and handle the spent fuel transfer cask and other heavy load components that are not specially designed are part of the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane single-failure-proof lifting system. The evaluation of these devices is discussed under NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.6(1)(b) in this matrix.	ZNPS Procedures will be prepared and include the guidance of ANSI B30.9-2003 for slings as requirements for heavy load lifts in the Fuel Handling Building.

Section	Guidance	Evaluation	Notes
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.1(6)	The crane should be inspected, tested and maintained in accordance with Chapter 2-2 of ANSI B30.2-1976.	The Fuel Handling Building overhead crane is inspected, tested, and maintained in accordance with Chapter 2-2 of ANSI B30.2-1967. The crane trolley and controls are being upgraded and will meet the inspection, testing and maintenance guidance in ANSI B30.2.	ZNPS currently meets ANSI B30.2-1967. The inspection, test and maintenance program will continue to meet the guidance in Chapter 2-2 of ANSI B30.2-1967.
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.1(7)	The crane should be designed to meet the applicable criteria and guidelines of Chapter 2-1 of ANSI B30.2-1976 and CMAA-70 or suitable alternative provided the intent of ANSI B30.2 and CMAA-70 is satisfied.	The Fuel Handling Building overhead crane is being upgraded to a single-failure-proof design through replacement of the crane trolley and an upgrade of the crane controls. The existing crane bridge will be used as is. The design codes and standards used for the upgraded crane trolley, bridge and controls are a combination of CMAA-70-1975, ASME NOG-1-2004, and original plant design codes as discussed in more detail under NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.6(2) in this matrix. Compliance with these codes and standards will ensure the crane, as a whole, meets the intent or the applicable criteria and guidelines of ANSI B30.2-1976and CMAA-70-1975.	The NOG-1 and NUREG-0554 Compliance Matrices also discusses compliance with applicable portions of CMAA-70.

Section	Guidance	Evaluation	Notes
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.2(1)	For the spent fuel pool area of a PWR plant, the overhead crane and associated lifting devices used for handling heavy loads in the spent fuel pool area should satisfy the single-failure-proof guidelines in Section 5.1.6 of this report.	The Fuel Handling Building overhead crane and associated lifting devices used to lift and handle the spent fuel transfer cask and other heavy loads associated with spent fuel cask handling operations are designed to be a single-failure-proof lifting system. See the discussion of compliance with NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.6 in this matrix.	
NUREG-0612 Sections 5.1.2(2) through 5.1.2(4)	These sections provide acceptable alternatives to meeting the single-failure-proof guidance in Section 5.1.2(1) for the overhead crane and associated lifting devices.	The upgraded Fuel Handling Building overhead crane and associated lifting devices will meet the guidance in Section 5.1.2(1). The guidance in these sections is not applicable.	
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.3	For the containment building of a PWR plant, this section provides guidance on heavy load control.	The upgraded Fuel Handling Building overhead crane and associated lifting devices do not have access to the ZNPS Unit 1 or Unit 2 containment buildings. The guidance in this section is not applicable.	

Section	Guidance	Evaluation	Notes
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.4	For the reactor building of a BWR plant, this section provides guidance on heavy load control.	ZNPS is a PWR plant so the guidance in this section is not applicable.	
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.5(1)	This section provides guidance on protecting safe shutdown equipment.	Spent fuel cask handling operations occur in the Fuel Handling Building. There is no safe shutdown equipment in the Fuel Handling Building or in the heavy load transport path to the ISFSI. Therefore, this section is not applicable.	The heavy load transport path will also avoid, to the extent possible, traversing over the spent fuel racks in the pool.
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.5(2)	This section provides guidance on protecting safe shutdown equipment.	Spent fuel cask handling operations occur in the Fuel Handling Building. There is no safe shutdown equipment in the Fuel Handling Building. Therefore, this section is not applicable.	
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.6(1)(a)	Special lifting devices that are used for heavy loads in the area where the crane is to be upgraded should meet ANSI N14.6-1978, including Section 6 of that document. If only a single lifting device is provided instead of dual devices, the special lifting device should	The lifting yoke used to lift the transfer cask is a special lifting device and is designed and fabricated in accordance with ANSI N14.6-1993. The rated load of the lift yoke is 117 tons. The design and safety factors for the lifting yoke are based on a static plus dynamic load of 110% of the rated load. The safety factors for the lifting yoke are 6:1 against the yield strength of the material and 10:1 against the ultimate strength of the	The NAC MAGNASTOR FSAR, Sections 3.1.2, 3.1.3, and 3.4.3 states that the hook assembly is designed with a safety factor of 10:1.  The NOG-1 Compliance Matrix,  The safe load path for the Fuel

Section	Guidance	Evaluation	Notes
	have twice the design safety factor as required to satisfy the guidelines of NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.1(4)  From RIS 2005-25, Supplement 1: A special lifting device that satisfies ANSI N14.6-1993, "Radioactive Materials – Special Lifting Devices for Shipping Containers Weighing 10,000 Pounds (4,500 kg) or More," should be used for recurrent load movements in critical areas (i.e., reactor heads, reactor vessel internals, and spent fuel casks). The lifting device should have either dual, independent load paths or a single load path with twice the design safety factor specified by ANSI N14.6 for the	material. These safety factors are appropriate for a lifting device designed with increased stress design factors instead of a dual load path in accordance with ANSI N14.6-1993, Section 7.2.1.  There are no other intervening lift devices between the crane hook and the transfer cask lifting trunnions for these lifts.  NAC MAGNASTOR FSAR, Section 10.1.2.1, Load Testing of Transfer Casks, states that the lifting and handling of the transfer cask and loaded TSC are the critical lifting loads. The transfer cask lifting trunnions, shield doors and door rails are designed to higher safety factors and are load tested to 300% of the maximum service load for each component.	Handling Building overhead crane as defined in the draft Heavy Loads Program ZAP-510-19 will be included in Section 5.2 of Zion procedure FHI-22, Fuel Building Overhead Crane Procedure/ Checklist.

Section	Guidance	Evaluation	Notes
	load.		
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.6(1)(b)	Lifting devices that are not specifically designed and that are used for handling heavy loads in the area where the crane is to be upgraded should meet ANSI B30.9-1971, "Slings" as specified in NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.1(5), except that one of the following should also be satisfied unless the effects of the drop of the particular load have been analyzed and shown to satisfy the evaluation criteria of NUREG-0612, Section 5.1:  (i) Provide dual or redundant slings or lifting devices such that the failure of a single component failure or malfunction in the sling will not result in uncontrolled lowering of the load;	Other lifting devices not specifically designed, such as slings, wire ropes, etc., that will be used for heavy load handling (e.g., spent fuel storage racks) with the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane system will meet the guidance in ANSI B30.9-2003 for the particular load being lifted. This is controlled through the ZNPS heavy load control program and procedures.  ZNPS may use slings made of metallic or synthetic material in making heavy load lifts with the Fuel Handling Building overhead crane system, based on the nature of the lift and the lifting location. When synthetic slings are used, the rigging of those slings is controlled by procedure to avoid the types of sling failure modes described in RIS 2005-25 (Supplement 1).	ZNPS procedures will be prepared and include the guidance of ANSI B30.9-2003.  NAC MAGNASTOR FSAR Table 9.1-1, Major Auxiliary Equipment, states redundant sling systems (two 3-legged slings) are used to transfer a TSC into a concrete cask or a transfer cask and meets the guidance of ANSI N14.6. Alternative TSC handling systems will comply with the ZNPS heavy loads program developed per NUREG-0612.

Section	Guidance	Evaluation	Notes
	OR		
	(ii) In selecting the proper sling, the load used should be twice what is called for in meeting NUREG-0612, Section 5.1.1(5).		
	From RIS 2005-25, Supplement 1:	·	
	Slings should satisfy the criteria of ASME B30.9-2003, "Slings" and be constructed of metallic material (chain or wire rope). The slings should be either (a) configured to provide dual or redundant load paths or (b) selected to support a load twice the weight of the handled load.		
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.6(2)	New cranes should be designed to meet NUREG-0554.	The Fuel Handling Building crane is being upgraded to a single-failure-proof design through replacement of	

Section	Guidance	Evaluation	Notes
		the crane trolley and controls. See the NUREG-0554 compliance matrix for a point-by-point compliance discussion of each guideline in NUREG-0554 as it pertains to the upgraded Fuel Handling Building crane.	
NUREG-0612 Section 5.1.6(3)	Interfacing lifting points, such as lifting lugs or cask trunnions should also meet one of the following for heavy loads handled in the area where the crane is to be upgraded unless the effects of the drop of the particular load have been analyzed and shown to satisfy the evaluation criteria of NUREG-0612, Section 5.1:  (a) Provide redundancy or duality such that a single lift point failure will not result in uncontrolled lowering of the load; lift point should have a design safety factor with respect to ultimate	When the empty or loaded TSC is inside the transfer cask, the transfer cask is lifted and handled with a lifting yoke attached to the transfer cask upper lifting trunnions. The transfer cask upper lifting trunnions are considered a non-redundant, dual lift point system. The upper lifting trunnions and trunnion sleeves are designed and fabricated in accordance with the ANSI N14.6-1993 guidance for non-redundant interfacing lift points. The safety factors for the transfer cask upper lifting trunnions are 6:1 against the yield strength of the material and 10:1 against the ultimate strength of the material. This safety factor meets the safety factor specified in NUREG-0612 for a non-redundant lift point system. The loaded transfer cask to be used at ZNPS weighs a maximum of 125 tons in its heaviest configuration.	

Section	Guidance	Evaluation	Notes
	strength of five (5) times the maximum combined concurrent static and dynamic load after taking the single lift point failure.  OR  (b) A non-redundant or non-dual lift point system should have a design safety factor of ten (10) times the maximum combined concurrent static and dynamic load.	When the empty TSC is lifted for initial insertion into the transfer cask, it is lifted by lifting lugs attached to the inside of the canister shell. These lifting lugs are considered interfacing lift points for these lifts. The TSC lifting lugs are not designed with the safety factors specified in NUREG-0612. However, these lifts occur only with no fuel in the TSC and in the truck bay in the Fuel Handling Building. There is no safe shutdown equipment on site. Therefore, a drop of the empty TSC will have no unacceptable consequences.	

# Attachment 5

ASME NOG-1 Compliance Matrix

# Single Failure Proof Upgrade for Zion Fuel Handling Crane

Crane Serial Number: CN-36675

NOG-1 Compliance Matrix

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Purpose of the NOG-1 Compliance Matrix	3
References	3
1000 Introduction	5
2000 Quality Assurance	8
3000 Coatings and Finishes	9
4000 Requirements for Structural Components	12
5000 Mechanical	
6000 Electrical Components	95
7000 Inspection and Testing	130
8000 Packaging, Shipping, Receiving, Storage, and Handling	153
9000 Planned Engineering Lifts	154

#### Purpose of the NOG-1 Compliance Matrix

The purpose of this report is to verify that the design of the new single failure proof crane upgrade meets the requirements of ASME NOG-1, 2004. This report meets the intent of the matrix for reconciling the trolley design to single failure proof criteria per NOG-1, 2004.

Compliance with the requirements of NOG-1, 2004 is provided for the new trolley and associated upgrades in this document. All other components of the crane are addressed in the NUREG-0554 Compliance Matrix, which demonstrates the crane system as single failure proof by evaluation to the requirements of NUREG 0554.

#### References

ASME NOG-1, 2004 (Rules for Construction of Overhead and Gantry Cranes (Top Running Bridge, Multiple Girder))

Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003

```
P&H Drawing 28A11377 (Welded Box Girder)
```

P&H Drawing 29E5235 (Earthquake Restraint & End Stop)

P&H Drawing 29E5236 (Earthquake Restraint & End Stop)

P&H Drawing 105A3002 (Bridge Layout)

P&H Drawing 10F8569 (Trolley Wheel Idler Axle)

P&H Drawing 10F7747F1 (Bridge Wheel Drive Axle)

P&H Drawing 10F7748 (Bridge Wheel Idler Axle)

```
MMH Document 36675-02 (B22 Painting Specification)
```

MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations)

MMH Document 36675-06 (Electrical Calculations)

MMH Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure)

MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis)

MMH Document 36675-10 (Trolley Weld Calculation)

MMH Document 36675-11 (Critical List)

MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure)

MMH Document 36675-14 (Seismic Analysis of Misc. Items)

MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure)

MMH Document 36675-17 (QA Document Binder)

MMH Document 36675-18 (Main Hoist Gearing Analysis)

MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual)

MMH Document 36675-22 (NUREG-0554 Compliance Matrix)

MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report)

MMH Document MOP 18.1 (Preservation and Packaging)

MMH Drawing OR82460 (Main Hoist Hook Assembly)

MMH Drawing QR89592 (Main Hoist Gear Case Assembly)

MMH Drawing R94424 (Top Level Crane Assembly)

MMH Drawing R94603 (Main Hoist Drum Assembly)

MMH Drawing QR94604 (Main Hoist Drum Machining)

MMH Drawing QR94709 (Main Hoist Motor)

MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly)

MMH Drawing R94780 (Main Hoist Machinery Assembly)

MMH Drawing QR94824 (Main Hoist Wire Rope Assembly)

MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly)

MMH Drawing R95005 (Main Hoist Bottom Block Assembly)

MMH Drawing R95253 (Trolley Wheel Assembly - Drive)

MMH Drawing QR95370 (Trolley Frame Weldment)

MMH Drawing 54214604 (Interconnection Wiring Diagram)

MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic)

MMH Drawing R95797 (Conduit Layout)

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
1000 Introduction	
1100 GENERAL	·
Cranes covered under this Standard shall be designed in accordance with the Standard's requirements, but not necessarily with its recommendations. The word shall is used to denote a requirement, the word should is used to denote a recommendation, and the word may is used to denote permission, which is neither a	The crane is designed in accordance with this Standard's requirements.
requirement nor a recommendation.	
1110 Scope This Standard covers electric overhead and gantry multiple girder cranes with top running bridge and trolley used at nuclear facilities and components of cranes at nuclear facilities.	The crane is an electric overhead, multiple-girder crane with a top running bridge and trolley for use in a nuclear facility and is covered by this Standard.
1120 Applications	:
This Standard applies to the design, manufacture, testing, inspection, shipment, storage, and erection of the cranes covered by this Standard.	This standard is applied to the design, manufacture, testing, inspection, shipment, storage, and erection of the crane.
1130 Responsibility	
The cranes covered by this Standard are classified into three types (see para. 1150, Definitions, <i>crane types</i> ) depending upon crane location and usage of the crane at a nuclear facility. The owner shall be responsible for determining and specifying the crane type. The owner shall also be responsible for determining and specifying the environmental conditions of service, performance requirements, type and service level of coatings and finishes, and degree of Quality Assurance. Determining the extent to which this Standard can be used, either in part or in its entirety, at other than nuclear facilities, shall be the responsibility of those referencing the use of this Standard.	Crane type, environmental conditions of service, performance requirements, type and service level of coatings and finishes, and degree of Quality Assurance are detailed in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. The equipment design is in accordance with the specific needs of the end user, yet still employs the highest quality standards and engineering evaluations to determine that all safety systems are maintained.
1140 Environmental Conditions (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	·
1141 Radiation	
(a) The purchase specification shall specify the accumulated	(a) Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 details the accumulated radiation

radiation dosage expected to be seen by the crane in the life of the nuclear facility.

- **(b)** Components whose normal life could be reduced by the effects of the specified radiation shall be tabulated and submitted to the crane purchaser.
- (c) Components whose failure, due to radiation, could result in loss of one of the single failure-proof features which hold the load either shall be designed to withstand the specified radiation or shall have a specific replacement period. Where state-of-the-art is such that sufficient data are not available, periodic inspections shall be made by the purchaser to determine when replacement should be made.

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

dosage expected to be seen by the crane in the life of the nuclear facility. **(b)** Components whose normal life could be reduced by the effects of the specified radiation will be inspected and replaced as necessary per the recommendations in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual).

(c) Components whose failure, due to radiation, could result in loss of one of the single failure-proof features which hold the load either are either designed to withstand the specified radiation or will have specific inspection and replacement procedures detailed in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual).

#### See Note 1.

#### 1142 Temperature

(a) The purchase specification shall specify the following temperature requirements in the area where the crane operates:

- (1) maximum operating temperature,
- (2) minimum operating temperature,
- (3) ambient temperature for motors,
- (4) maximum construction temperature, and
- (5) minimum construction temperature.
- (b) The crane shall be designed to withstand the effects of the specified temperatures, or the limitations of the crane's design concerning these temperature conditions shall be specified by the crane designer.
- (a) Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 details the maximum and minimum operating temperatures in the area where the crane operates. Construction temperatures are not provided since the crane is not used for construction
- (b) The trolley is designed to withstand the effects of the specified temperatures. Any limitations of the trolley design due to the specified temperature conditions will be provided in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual).

See Note 1.

#### 1143 Pressure

(a) The purchase specification shall specify the following pressure requirements in the area where the crane operates:

- (1) normal operating pressure and
- (2) any test or abnormal event of these pressures including the rate of change.
- (b) The crane shall be designed to withstand the effects of the specified pressures, or the limitations of the crane's design concerning these pressure conditions shall be specified by the
- (a) Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 details the normal operating pressure conditions in the area where the crane operates.
- **(b)** The trolley is designed to withstand the effects of the specified pressures. Any limitations of the trolley design due to the specified pressure conditions will be provided in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual). Enclosed components that are exposed to changes in pressure are vented.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
manufacturer. Specifically where there are changes in pressure, enclosures shall be vented.	See Note 1.
1144 Humidity	
<ul><li>(a) The purchase specification shall specify the humidity conditions in the area where the crane operates.</li><li>(b) The crane shall be designed to withstand the effects of the specified humidity, or the limitations of the crane's design concerning the humidity condition shall be specified by the manufacturer.</li></ul>	(a) Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 details the normal operating humidity conditions in the area where the crane operates. (b) The trolley is designed to withstand the effects of the specified humidity. Any limitations of the trolley design due to the specified humidity conditions are provided in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual).
	See Note 1.
1145 Chemical	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
<ul> <li>(a) Spray Systems         <ul> <li>(1) If the crane may be subject to any spray systems, then the chemistry of the spray shall be specified in the purchase specification. Any restrictions on the use of materials due to the effects of the spray shall also be specified. Specifically, where a corrosive spray is present the possibility of H<sub>2</sub> generation exists and, therefore, the use of exposed aluminum, magnesium, galvanized steel, and zinc is to be minimized.</li> <li>(2) The crane shall be designed to withstand the effects of the specified spray and shall not use the specified restricted materials. Any limitations of the crane's design concerning the spray condition and the use of any restricted materials shall be specified by the manufacturer prior to the manufacture of the crane.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	(a) N/A - The crane is not subject to any spray systems (b) Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 details the Zion spent fuel pool chemistry. The load block and wire rope are immersed in the pool and associated lubricants are selected to be non-water soluble and free of halogenated compounds, halogens, mercury, and other deleterious materials to ensure compatibility with the pool chemistry. MSDS will be provided for all chemicals used, including coatings and lubricants. Any limitations of the crane design due to the pool chemistry and lubrication requirements will be provided in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation 8 Maintenance Manual).
(b) Pools	
(1) If the crane's load block and wire rope are to be immersed, then the chemistry of the pool shall be specified	
in the purchase specification. Requirements for the	
materials and lubricants of the load block and wire rope	
shall also be specified to insure compatibility with the pool	* .

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
chemistry. In reactor and fuel pools, the lubricants shall	
basically be nonwater-soluble and shall be free of	
halogenated compounds, halogens, mercury, and other	·
deleterious materials.	·
(2) Load blocks and wire ropes that are to be immersed	
shall be lubricated with a lubricant that meets the specified	
lubrication requirements. Any limitations of the crane	
design concerning the pool chemistry and lubrication	·
requirements shall be specified by the manufacturer.	·
1150 Definitions	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified definitions are used to properly describe the equipment or
	process.
1160 References	
The following is a list of codes and standards referenced in NOG-1.	The Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley system complies with the codes and
These codes and standards apply to the extent invoked at the point	standards to the extent invoked at the point of reference.
of reference.	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
1170 Nomenclature	
The nomenclature used in this Standard is listed and defined in the	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
Section in which it is used.	
1180 Conversion Factors	
Conversion factors, including metric equivalents, are provided in	All calculations take unit systems and conversion factors into
the Mandatory Appendix I.	consideration.
2000 Quality Assurance	
2100 REQUIREMENTS	,
(a) The quality assurance program of the Manufacturer of Types I	(a) The quality assurance program used for the manufacture of Type I
and II cranes shall meet the Basic and Supplemental Requirements	Cranes is a 10 CFR 50 compliant QA program equivalent to the ASME
of ASME NQA-1, or shall meet the Quality Assurance Requirements	NQA-1 program. This program is audited for compliance. Ref. MMH
specified by the owner.	Document 36675-11 (Critical List) for items covered under this program.
(b) A specific quality assurance program for manufacturers of Type	(b) N/A - This is a Type I Crane
III cranes is not required unless specified in the procurement	(c) N/A - This is a Type I Crane
documents.	(d) A quality assurance program for electrical components is not specified
(c) A specific quality assurance program for mechanical components	per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB <i>Crane Trolley</i> Compliance
for Type II cranes is not required unless specified in the procurement documents.  (d) A specific quality assurance program for suppliers of electrical components for Types I and II cranes is not required unless specified in the procurement documents.  (e) A specific quality assurance program for suppliers of Type I crane structural and mechanical components which are not listed in Table 7200-1, and for Type II crane structural components which are not listed in Table 7200-2, is not required unless specified in the procurement documents.  (f) The quality assurance program for packaging, shipping, receiving, storage, and handling of Types I and II cranes shall be in conformance with Section 8000.	(e) Specific quality assurance requirements for Type I structural and mechanical components not listed in Table 7200-1 are not specified per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. This is not a Type II crane.  (f) The quality assurance program for packaging, shipping, receiving, storage, and handling of the crane upgrade components is in conformance with Section 8000.
3000 Coatings and Finishes	
3100 COATING SERVICE LEVELS  The owner shall specify either coating service level I or II as defined below.  (a) Coating Service Level I. For use in areas where coating failure could adversely affect the operation of post-accident fluid systems and, thereby, impair safe shutdown. With few exceptions, coating service level I applies to coatings inside a nuclear power plant's primary containment.  (b) Coating Service Level II. For use in areas where coating failure could impair, but not prevent, normal operating performance. The function of coating service level II coatings is to provide corrosion protection and decontaminability in those areas outside primary containment subject to radiation exposure and radionuclide contamination. Coating service level II also applies to coatings in nonradiation areas.	A coating service is not specified per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003, so a service level II coating is used.  (a) N/A - A service level II coating is applied to the trolley.  (b) A service level II coating is applied to the trolley to provide corrosion protection and decontaminability in those areas outside primary containment subject to radiation exposure and radionuclide contamination. Ref. MMH Document 36675-02 (B22 Painting Specification).  See Note 1.
3200 SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS FOR COATING SERVICE LEVELS 3210 Requirements for Coating Service Level I (a) Coating requirements for coating service level I shall be in accordance with ASTM D 5144, Standard Guide for Use of	(a-c) N/A - A service level II coating is applied to the trolley.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
Protective Coating Standards in Nuclear Power Plants.	
(b) In accordance with ASTM D 5144, coating service level I requires	See Note 1.
a quality assurance program.	
(c) Inspection and testing of coatings for coating service level I shall	•
be in accordance with para. 7700. Specific coating inspections shall	·
be specified by the owner, dependent upon the coating system	
being used. See ASTM D 5161, Standard Guide for Specifying	
Inspection Requirements for Coating and Lining Work (Metal	
Substrates), for selecting and specifying the appropriate inspection	
requirements.	
3220 Requirements for Coating Service Level II	
(a) Coating requirements for coating service level II shall be as	(a) N/A - Coating requirements are specified per Zion Specification SP-ZS-
specified by the owner. The owner may invoke applicable sections	FH-003
of ASTM D 5144, Standard Guide for Use of Protective Coating	(b) N/A - Quality assurance requirements for coating service level II are
Standards in Nuclear Power Plants.	not specified per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003
(b) Quality assurance requirements for coating service level II shall	(c) Inspection and testing requirements for coating service level II are in
only apply as specified by the owner.	accordance with this standard.
(c) Inspection and testing requirements for coating service level II	
shall be in accordance with para. 7700.	See Note 1.
3230 Additional Requirements Applicable to All Coatings	
Additional requirements for coatings and finishes are listed in (a)	Ref. MMH Document 36675-02 (B22 Painting Specification) for the
through (o) below. Further information for coatings and finishes is	following items.
provided in Nonmandatory Appendix A, Section A3240.	(a) A service level II coating is applied to the trolley, which exceeds the
(a) If not specified by the owner, the type of coating will be	requirements of Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.
determined by the manufacturer to meet the specified	(b) Welding through coatings is prohibited.
environmental conditions of service and coating service level.	(c) Surfaces exposed to the environment, but inaccessible after assembly
Specifically, the selected coatings shall be suitable for any specified	are coated prior to assembly.
radiation, temperature, and chemical immersion or chemical spray	(d) Interior or enclosed surfaces of the equipment are, at a minimum,
environment.	primed or coated with appropriate preservatives.
(b) Welding through coatings shall not be allowed unless the	(e) Contact surfaces of friction-type joints to be joined by high-strength
coating system is specifically designed and formulated as a	bolts are prepared in accordance with AISC "Specifications for Structural
weldable system and documentation can be provided by the	Joints Using ASTM A325 or A490 Bolts." Coating systems prohibited by
coating manufacturer to attest to this capability. The use of these	para. 1145 are not used.

coatings shall be approved by the owner.

- (c) Surfaces exposed to the environment, but inaccessible after assembly, such as wheel wells and hubs, shall be coated prior to assembly.
- (d) Coating of interior or enclosed surfaces of the equipment, such as inside a welded box section, is not required by this Standard.
- (e) Contact surfaces of friction-type joints to be joined by highstrength bolts shall not be coated with specified coating system except for organic or inorganic zinc coating systems not prohibited by para. 1145.
- (f) Machined mating surfaces and other surfaces not normally protected by the specified coating system, such as hooks, hook nuts, wheel treads, rails, gears, shafts, pinions, couplings, drum grooves, sheave grooves, and brake wheels, shall be protected by means of an appropriate preservative for shipment and/or storage. The manufacturer shall specify which preservatives must be removed by the owner for proper operation of the equipment. Other preservatives may be removed by the owner after installation of the equipment.
- (g) Forced curing or drying of the coating system shall not be performed unless recommended by the coating manufacturer. (h) Fillers, sealants, and caulking compounds shall be compatible with the coating system.
- (i) Finished components, such as motors, brakes, gear reducers, limit switches, electrical dials and gauges, control enclosures, brake rectifier cabinets, control masters, safety switches, auxiliary heaters, push-button stations, transformers, manual magnetic disconnects, light fixtures, reactors, resistor banks, protective guards, cross-shaft bearing blocks, unitized hoists, interior of control cabinets, festoon trolley cable spacer systems cab interiors, and radio control equipment, may be furnished with conventional coatings unless otherwise specified by the owner.
- (j) For coating service level I applications, the equipment

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

- (f) Machined mating surfaces and other surfaces not normally protected by the specified coating system are protected by means of appropriate preservative for shipment and storage. Any preservatives that must be removed prior to operation are identified.
- **(g)** Forced curing or drying is not used unless recommended by manufacturer.
- **(h)** Fillers, sealants, and caulking compounds are compatible with the coating system.
- (i) Finished components are furnished with conventional coatings unless otherwise specified by the owner.
- (j) N/A A service level II coating is applied to the trolley.
- (k) Nameplates and warning labels of factory finished components that are recoated are masked to preserve legibility.
- (1) Items such as fasteners and conduit are coated, galvanized or plated and comply with the chemical requirements of para. 1145.
- A list of galvanized and plated items can be provided upon request.
- (m) Any surface contaminants detected are removed after surface preparation to comply with the requirements of the Steel Structures Paining Council (SSPC) Painting Manual.
- (n) Any surfaces with visible deterioration beyond the specified SSPC preparation are re-prepared.
- (o) When touchup is required on small surfaces where conventional blasting is not desirable, vacuum blasting, power tool cleaning, or hand sanding is used as specified.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
manufacturer shall supply the estimated surface area of exposed	
parts provided with conventional coatings.	
(k) Nameplates and warning labels of factory finished components	
that are recoated shall be masked to preserve legibility.	
(1) Items such as fasteners and conduits shall be supplied with the	
specified coating system, galvanized, or plating. Galvanizing or	
plating shall be subject to the requirements of para. 1145. When	
specifically requested by the owner, a list of galvanized or plated	
parts shall be provided by the equipment manufacturer.	
(m) Surface contaminants, such as grease and oil, detected after	
blasting shall be removed to produce the surface conditions	
required by the appropriate Steel Structures Painting Council (SSPC)	
surface preparation requirement.	
(n) If there is visible deterioration of the surface beyond the	
specified SSPC preparation, repreparation of the surface shall be	
required.	
(o) Preparation of surface shall be accomplished by the methods	
originally used, except that small areas requiring repair or touchup	
where conventional blasting is not desirable may be reprepared by	
one of the following methods. These methods are listed in	
descending order of effectiveness.	•
(1) Vacuum blasting to clean an abrasive finish with a	
minimum 2.0 mil profile; the minimum blasting air pressure	
shall be 50 psi at the blasting nozzle.	
(2) Power tool cleaning using grinding wheels, sanding discs,	
or other devices to provide a minimum 2 mil profile in	
accordance with SSPC SP-3; the use of a needle gun to	
roughen the surface after grinding is recommended.	
(3) Hand sanding to obtain as clean a surface as possible in	
accordance with SSPC SP-2; or wire brushing in accordance	
with SSPC SP-2.	
4000 Requirements for Structural Components	
4100 GENERAL	·

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
4110 Scope	
Section 4000 specifies the design criteria, design, materials, and	The structural components are designed, procured and manufactured per
fabrication procedures for the structural components of Types I, II,	the requirements of Section 4000.
and III cranes. The structural components of the crane are identified	
in para. 4400.	
4120 Nomenclature	
The nomenclature listed herein is used in the equations in 4000. For	Calculation documentation adheres to the nomenclature used in Section
further information on nomenclature, application, and units of	4000 to the extent practical. Any alternative nomenclature used in
measurement, see the Section 4000 references noted in	calculations is accompanied by complete descriptions.
parentheses.	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
4130 Description of Loads	
The loads described herein are the loads to be used for the analysis	All loads used for the analysis and design of the structural components of
and design of the structural components of the crane. The loads are	the crane are detailed in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 except for the
to be combined as outlined in para. 4140. All loads described,	trolley dead load, which is provided in MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley
except the crane dead loads, shall be stated by the purchaser in the	Assembly). The loads are combined as outlined in para. 4140 for
purchase specification.	applicable calculations.
4131 Dead Loads	
(a) Trolley $P_{dt}$ : the total weight of the trolley including all machinery	(a) The total weight of the trolley is provided in MMH Drawing R94779
and equipment attached thereto.	(Trolley Assembly) and is used in applicable calculations.
<b>(b)</b> Bridge or Gantry Frame $P_{db}$ : the total weight of the bridge or	(b) The total weight of the bridge structure is determined from
gantry frame structure including all machinery and equipment	documentation provided by the customer and is used in applicable
attached thereto, trucks, wheels, and end ties.	calculations. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).
4132 Live Loads	·
(a) Rated Load P <sub>Ir</sub> . The design rated load to be lifted and	Ref. Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 for the following items:
transported by the crane which by definition is not considered as	(a) The design rated load to be lifted and transported by the crane is
the critical load. An allowance for lifting accessories which are not	provided. Allowance for lifting accessories which are not part of the
part of the crane, such as yokes, spreader beams, etc., is to be	crane are included in the design rated load.
included in the design rated load.	(b) Critical loads and lifting accessory weights are provided The duration
<b>(b)</b> Critical Load $P_{lc}$ . For the definition of critical load, see para.	the critical load is expected to be on the hook was not included in Zion
1150. In addition to listing each critical load, the purchaser shall	Specification SP-ZS-FH-003, however is expected to be less than 150
furnish the duration in hours per year that each critical load is	hours per year, which is well under usage assumed in NOG-1 Section
expected to be on the crane hook. An allowance for lifting	4350.

# **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements** accessories is to be included in each critical load. (c) Construction Load $P_{cn}$ . The maximum expected construction load to be lifted and transported by the crane during the plant construction phase, prior to its use as a plant operating crane. (d) Credible Critical Load $P_{co}$ , $P_{cs}$ . $P_{co}$ is the weight of lifted load

(d) Credible Critical Load  $P_{co}$ ,  $P_{cs}$ .  $P_{co}$  is the weight of lifted load which may be considered in combination with the operating basis earthquake (OBE).  $P_{cs}$  is the weight of lifted load which may be considered in combination with the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE).

Alternatively,  $P_{co}$  and  $P_{cs}$  may be defined to be lifted loads in credible combinations with seismic events other than OBE and SSE. If this alternative method is used, the appropriate substitution for  $P_e$  and  $P_{e'}$  shall be made in load combinations  $P_{c10}$  and  $P_{c12}$ . The loads and seismic events shall be specified by the Purchaser.

# 4133 Impact Loads

- (a) Vertical Impact Load  $P_v$ . Shall be taken as 15% of the maximum lifted load.
- **(b)** Transverse Horizontal Load P<sub>ht</sub>. The horizontal load transverse to the bridge is induced by the acceleration or deceleration of the bridge crane or gantry crane along its runway and shall be taken as 5% each of the bridge or gantry frame dead load, the trolley dead load, and the maximum lifted load.
- (c) Longitudinal Horizontal Load  $P_{hl}$ . The horizontal load longitudinal to the bridge is induced by the acceleration or deceleration of the trolley on the crane bridge girder rails and shall be taken as 10% of the trolley dead load and the maximum lifted load.

#### 4134 Wind Loads

The following wind loads are to be considered to act in any direction.

(a) Operating Wind  $P_{wo}$ . The maximum wind load under which the crane will be permitted to operate. If none is stipulated by the purchaser, then the nominal wind load specified in CMAA 70 shall be used.

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

- (c) N/A This crane is not used for construction
- (d) The credible critical load is specified as the maximum critical load (MCL), which is equivalent to the design rated load. This load is used in combination with the spectra provided for the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE), which envelopes the operating basis earthquake (OBE).

Ref. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) and MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report) for the following items: (a) 15% of the maximum lifted load is used for vertical impact loads.

- **(b)** The horizontal load transverse to the bridge is taken as 5% of the combined bridge dead load, the trolley dead load, and the maximum lifted load.
- (c) The horizontal load longitudinal to the bridge is taken as 10% of the trolley dead load and the maximum lifted load.

(a) N/A - This crane is used indoors and no wind loads are specified.

(b) N/A - This crane is used indoors and no wind loads are specified.(c) N/A - This crane is used indoors and no wind loads are specified. Procedural Provisions shall be made to prevent fuel transfer operations during a tornado watch or warning

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
<b>(b)</b> Design Wind $P_{wd}$ . The plant design basis wind load resulting from the 100 year recurrence, "fastest mile of wind." Under this loading,	
the crane will not be operational, but be secured. (c) Tornado Wind $P_{wt}$ . The plant design basis tornado loads. Tornado	
pressure differentials associated with the plant design basis tornado shall be included in the loading. Tornado-generated missiles shall be	
considered. The purchaser shall be responsible for the missile parameters and method of evaluation of tornado loads and	
tornado-generated missiles. Under these loadings, the crane will not be operational, but be secured. Indoor cranes may be subjected to the design basis tornado if the building enclosures have been designed to fail.	
4135 Normal Plant Operating Loads	
(a) Plant Operation Induced Loads $P_p$ . The loads imposed on the crane through the supporting structure due to normal operation of plant equipment. The crane is not operating.	<ul><li>(a) N/A - Plant operation induced loads are not specified.</li><li>(b) N/A Static test pressure loads are not specified.</li></ul>
<b>(b)</b> Static Test Pressure Load $P_{tp}$ . The over-pressure imposed on the crane due to the static test pressure load for the structure enclosing the crane. This load applies only to those cranes housed within	
containments. The crane is not operating.  4136 Seismic and Abnormal Events Loads	
(a) Safe Shutdown Earthquake $P_e$ . The site SSE parameters shall be used in the seismic analysis of the bridge crane or the gantry crane following the procedures outlined in para. 4140. (b) Operating Basis Earthquake $P_e$ . The site OBE parameters shall be used in the seismic analysis of the bridge crane or the gantry crane following the procedures outlined in para. 4140. (c) Abnormal Event Loads $P_a$ . Loads caused by failure of plant equipment which impose jet or missile loads on the crane. The Purchaser shall be responsible for the effects of, and shall establish the criteria for, these loads.	(a) Response spectra for safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) are provided in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 and ENERCON Calculations ZION001-CALC-002 & -025 and are used for the seismic analysis of the crane following the procedures outlined in para. 4140Evaluation of existing clips on the runway rails indicates that they will be over stressed during SSE if the crane is loaded to its maximum critical load. Modification of the clip arrangement is being analyzed and details of the stresses in rails and clips (after modification), will be provided later.  Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).  (b) N/A - SSE loads are used, which envelope the OBE loads.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
4140 Load Combinations The following tabulated loads and their designations are described in para. 4120. The various load combinations, using the load designations, are listed herein. All load combinations are applicable to Types I and II cranes, whereas the Crane Operational Loads and the Construction Loads combinations are applicable to the Type III cranes.  [Text and/or equations omitted]	The various load combinations specified are used for applicable calculations. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).
4150 Seismic Analysis for Types I and II Cranes	
4151 Methods of Analysis	
A dynamic analysis method (e.g., response spectrum or time-history method) shall be used to establish the response of the crane to a seismic event.	The response spectrum method was used for the dynamic seismic analysis.
4152 Seismic Input Data	
The seismic input data for the crane seismic analysis shall be provided in the specification for the crane. The seismic input shall be specified as broadened floor response spectra or time histories of acceleration, displacements, or velocities defined at an appropriate level in the structure supporting the crane. For analysis of a crane with a suspended critical load, the specification for the crane shall provide the credible critical load. The basis for determining the credible critical load will be studies of site seismicity and expected crane usage (see para. 4132).	The rail level response spectra are provided by ZionSolutions and applied using the response spectrum method. The credible critical load is specified as the maximum critical load (MCL), which is equivalent to the design rated load. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).
4153 Linear Analysis	•
4153.1 Response Spectrum Method  The crane shall be considered to respond as a linear elastic system when using the response spectrum method. The undamped natural modes and frequencies shall be computed using a model acceptable under the rules of this Section. These outputs shall serve as the basis for mode-by-mode computation of the response of the crane to each of the three components of seismic input.	The linear response spectrum method is used for seismic evaluation of the crane. Modal solution is performed and un-damped natural modes and frequencies are determined for use in the spectrum analysis. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).
4153.2 Time-History Analysis	
Time histories of structural response at the appropriate level may	N/A - The response spectrum method was used instead of a time-history

## be used for analysis of the crane. The time histories shall be provided by the purchaser, and shall account for parametric variation in the supporting structure. Procedures for assembling the mathematical model shall be in accordance with this Section. The effects of the three components of ground motion shall be combined in accordance with the following requirements. (a) The representative maximum values of the structural responses to each of the three components of earthquake motion shall be combined by taking the square root of the sum of the squares of the maximum representative values of the co-directional responses caused by each of the three components of earthquake motion at a particular point of the structure or of the mathematical model. (b) The maximum value of a particular response of interest for design of a given element may be obtained through a step-by-step method. The time-history responses from each of the three components of the earthquake motions may be obtained separately and then combined algebraically at each time step, or the response at each time step may be calculated directly, owing to the simultaneous action of the three components. The maximum response is determined by scanning the combined time-history solution. When this method is used, the earthquake motions specified in the three different directions shall be statistically independent.

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

analysis.

#### 4153.3 Crane Mathematical Model

(a) The crane shall be represented by a generalized three-dimensional system of nodes. The model's geometry shall reflect the overall size, length, connectivity, and stiffnesses of the various structural members. An appropriate element representation of each member shall be used to describe all components which contribute significantly to the stiffness of the crane. The elements shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following structural members: bridge girders, trolley frame, gantry legs, end ties, end trucks, platform supports, and cab supports; and for

Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) for the following items:

(a) A detailed finite element representation of the crane structure is generated that represents the overall size, length, connectivity, and stiffness of the various structural members. Shell elements are used to represent the trolley and beam elements are used to represent the bridge structures, including the end ties and end trucks. A combination of beam and shell elements is used to model the drum. Gear cases, motors, bottom blocks, electrical enclosures, platforms, and wheels are

cranes required to be analyzed for credible critical loads, drum, upper block supports, and hoist ropes. Line elements emanating from the end truck shall be used to represent the connectivity of the wheels of the crane to the runway rail.

(b) For cranes using bogeyed wheel trucks, pinned connections shall be specified for line elements which represent the attachment of the end trucks to the bridge girders or gantry legs. Where various connected structural members of the crane do not have intersecting centroidal axes, stiff line elements shall be used to represent the offset. These elements shall have stiffnesses which are an order of magnitude higher than the most stiff structural member of the crane.

(c) A simplified finite element representation of the trolley structure using stiff line elements may be used for the crane dynamic model, provided it can be shown by rational analyses that the actual trolley structure responding as an uncoupled system has natural frequencies above 33 Hz. The model used for seismic analysis should be evaluated and revised if required to account for higher frequencies if plant operations induce such frequencies.

4153.4 Location and Number of Dynamic Degrees of Freedom
Dynamic degrees of freedom shall be assigned to a sufficient
number of node points, and in such locations that the real mass and
stiffness distribution of the crane are simulated. Structural
members subject to concentrated loads shall be provided with
additional nodes at the points where a concentrated load or its
equivalent mass is positioned. Crane components to be modeled as
mass points (concentrated loads) shall include, but not be limited
to, upper and lower blocks, gear cases, motors, brakes, heavy
electrical control cabinets, cab, wheel assemblies, and trunnion
pins. The total number of masses, or degrees of freedom, selected
shall be considered adequate when additional degrees of freedom
do not result in more than a 10% increase in responses. Dynamic
coupling shall be accounted for.

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

contributed as lumped mass. Line elements emanating from the end trucks are used to represent the connectivity of the wheels of the crane to the runway rail.

(b) End trucks are directly bolted to the bridge girders, so pinned connections are not applicable. Where various connected structural members of the crane do not have intersecting centroidal axes, stiff line elements are used to represent the offset. These elements have stiffnesses an order of magnitude higher than the most stiff structural member of the crane.

**(c)** A detailed shell model of the trolley structure is used instead of a simplified stiff line model to represent the exact response of the structure.

Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) for the following items:

A detailed finite element model of the crane is generated using computer software with a significant number of nodes to accurately simulate the real mass and stiffness of the crane. Crane components such as upper and lower blocks, gear cases, motors, brakes, control cabinets, cab, wheels, etc. are modeled as mass points and connected at their respective locations to represent the exact behavior of the crane. Missing mass applications are performed to justify that additional degrees of freedom do not result in more than 10% increase in response. Dynamic coupling is accounted for.

#### 4153.5 Decoupling Criteria for the Crane Runway

The crane and runway shall be evaluated to determine if the crane can be represented as a separate model or a model coupled with the runway. For the crane to be considered decoupled from the runway, the criteria of (a) or (b) below shall be met.

(a) If  $R_m < 0.01$ , decoupling can be done for any  $R_f$ . (b) If  $0.01 \le R_m \le 0.1$ , decoupling can be done if  $R_f \le 0.8$  or if  $R_f \ge 1.25$ .

(c) If  $R_m \ge 0.1$ , or  $0.8 \le R_f \le 1.25$ , an approximate model of the runway system shall be included with the crane model.

 $R_m$  and  $R_f$  are defined as:

 $R_m$  = total mass of the crane / mass of the runway system

 $R_f$  = fundamental frequency of the crane / frequency of the dominant runway motion

The purchaser shall determine the mass and frequency characteristics of the crane runway.

#### 4153.6 Boundary Conditions at Trolley and Runway Rails

The crane bridge (including gantry legs, if applicable) and trolley shall be provided with devices so that they remain on their respective runways during and after a seismic event. Characteristics of these devices that influence the dynamic behavior of the crane shall be included as boundary conditions in the model of the crane. The restraint devices shall be considered to be in contact with the resisting structure in establishing boundary conditions used in the analysis for the crane. The restraint device and resisting structure shall be designed for the maximum load resulting from the boundary condition considered. The crane shall be modeled with the boundary conditions specified in Fig. 4154.3-1, unless additional restraining, driving, or holding mechanisms exist. Basic boundary

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

(a-c) Coupled building-crane analysis was performed by a ZionSolutions vendor (ENERCON) for evaluation of the building. From the coupled analysis, ENERCON also generated the response spectra at crane rail level for Konecranes to evaluate the trolley and bridge. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) addresses the new response spectrum data generated from the coupled building-crane model.

The bridge and trolley are provided with seismic restraints that prevent them from leaving their respective rails during and after a seismic event as shown in P&H Drawing 29E5235 (Earthquake Restraint & End Stop), P&H Drawing 29E5236 (Earthquake Restraint & End Stop), and MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly). The seismic restraints are considered to be in contact with the resisting structure in establishing boundary conditions used in MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis), and the resisting structures are designed for the maximum resulting load. Displacement boundary conditions are applied in the analysis with respect to Figure 4154.3-1 and Table 4154.3-1.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
conditions for the crane model shall be consistent with the figure and the rational displacements, deformations, and forces in the structure under consideration.	
4153.7 Trolley Locations and Hoist Positions  The crane (bridge and trolley) shall be analyzed under two separate loading conditions:  (a) credible critical load on hook  (b) no load on hook  The analysis procedure shall use three different trolley positions.  These correspond to: the trolley at its extreme end positions on the bridge span; the trolley at the 1/4 point of the span positions; and the trolley at mid-span. In calculating the 1/4 and mid-span positions, a location on the trolley which is approximately equal to the center between the closest trolley wheel to the loaded hook shall be positioned at the appropriate point on the span. Two positions of the loaded hook shall also be analyzed: hook up and hook down. The hook positions shall be specified by the purchaser. Table 4153.7-1 shows 21 independent load cases which are to be considered. The maximum combined structural responses SR <sub>max</sub> at each node, in terms of displacement, forces, moments, stresses, is  SR <sub>max</sub> = largest of SR <sub>22</sub> , SR <sub>23</sub> ,, SR <sub>29</sub> , SR <sub>30</sub>	The analysis procedures in MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) use three different trolley positions that correspond to: the trolley at its extreme end positions on the bridge span; the trolley at the 1/4 point of the span positions; and the trolley at mid-span. The hook is also analyzed with (b) no load and with (a) the credible critical load at the high hook and low hook positions as specified in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. The seismic response for each direction and for each node is vector summed and added to the absolute value of the response observed during static loading.
Values The response of each mode shall be determined from the amplified response spectra for the appropriate values of structural damping. A damping value of 7% of critical damping shall be used for the crane when the SSE is used in the analysis. A damping value of 4% of critical damping shall be used when the OBE is used in the analysis.	The response of each mode is determined from the amplified response spectra provided in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 for the appropriate values of structural damping.  The structure, both crane support structure and SFP building structure, are composed of reinforced concrete, bolted, riveted and welded steel members. Structures constructed of reinforced concrete and welded steel have damping equal to 2% and 5% of critical for the Design Earthquake and Maximum Credible Earthquake (MCE), respectively, as provided in the Zion Seismic Design Criteria, DC-SE-002-ZI. The bolted

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
	connections between the steel beams and the floor are rigid components
	designed to transfer significant loads to the concrete and as such do not
	have damping that is significantly higher than that for welded steel. Any
	bolted connections associated with the crane are local to the crane and
	do not impact the dynamic response of the structure. Therefore, the use
	of 2% and 5% damping for the purposes of evaluating the structure at all
	modes is appropriate.
	Additionally, response spectra curves for time histories at the bridge
	crane wheels are provided to the crane vendor at 4% damping of critical
	for MCE, which is lower than 7% required per ASME NOG-1-2004, Section
	4153.8, for the crane vendor's evaluation of the Overhead Bridge Crane.
	A damping value of 7% of critical damping is used for the crane when the
	SSE is used in the analysis, which envelopes the OBE loading. Ref. MMH
	Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document
	36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).
4153.9 Number of Modes Required for Seismic Analysis	Modal solution is performed up to 33 Hz and all mode shapes are
It is not generally necessary to include the contributions of all	extracted. A 0.001 default threshold value for mode participation is used.
modes to the seismic response of the crane. A modal participation	Above the 33 Hz value, missing mass method is performed to justify that
factor shall be used with the modal frequencies to select significant	additional modes do not result in more than 10% increase in response.
modes. Since high frequency modes may respond strongly in some	The missing mass method constitutes the total effect of all system mass
cases, it is not sufficient to limit the modal analysis to the first	that is not included in the modes with frequencies above 33 Hertz.
several modes computed. Additional modes shall be computed until	Additional response due to the missing mass is calculated by performing a
the inclusion of additional modes does not result in more than a	static analysis for an applied load that is equal to the missing mass
10% increase in response.	multiplied by the highest gravitational acceleration value (ZPA) above 33
	Hertz in the provided spectrums. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge
·	Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).
4153.10 Combination of Modal Responses	:
In combining the static and dynamic responses, it shall be assumed	Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH
that the dynamic responses have the sign which yields the worst	Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) for the following items:
case for the combination being considered. The loading conditions	Dynamic responses are assumed to have the sign which yields the worst
for the static and dynamic load cases which are required to be	case for the combination being considered.

considered, and the corresponding structural responses (SR<sub>1</sub>, SR<sub>2</sub>, etc.) are listed in Table 4153.7-1.

(a) With No Closely Spaced Modes. When the results of the modal dynamic analysis show that the crane modes are not closely spaced, the crane's response to each of the three components of seismic input shall be combined by taking the square root of the sum of the squares (SRSS).

(b) With Closely Spaced Modes. When the results of the modal dynamic analysis show that some or all of the modes are closely spaced (two consecutive modes are defined as closely spaced if their frequencies differ from each other by 10% or less of the lower frequency), modal responses for each of the three components for seismic input shall be combined using one of the following three methods.

(1) Grouping Method. Closely spaced modes shall be divided into groups that include all modes having frequencies between the lowest frequency in the group and a frequency 10% higher [see Note (1) below]. The representative maximum value of a particular response of interest for the design of a given element of a nuclear power plant structure, system, or the crane attributed to each such group of modes shall first be obtained by taking the sum of the absolute values of the corresponding peak values of the response of the element attributed to individual modes in that group. The representative maximum value of this particular response attributed to all the significant modes of the structure, system, or the crane shall then be obtained by taking the square root of the sum of the squares of corresponding representative maximum values of the response of the element attributed to each closely spaced group of modes and the remaining modal responses for the modes that are not closely spaced. [Text and/or equations omitted]

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

- (a) When the results of the modal dynamic analysis show that the crane modes are not closely spaced, the crane's response to each of the three components of seismic input are combined by taking the square root of the sum of the squares (SRSS).
- (b) When the results of the modal dynamic analysis show that some or all of the modes are closely spaced, modal responses for each of the three components for seismic input are combined using the grouping method as described.
- (c) The representative maximum values of the structural responses of each of the three directional components of earthquake motion are combined by taking the square root of the sum of the squares of the maximum representative values of the co-directional responses caused by each of the three components of earthquake motion at each node of the crane mathematical model. Maximum values for each of the three-directional components of earthquake motion are calculated per Table 4153.7-2. The maximum combined structural response at each node is determined as specified.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(2) Ten-Percent Method	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	·
(3) Double-Sum Method	•
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
(c) Combination of Three Components of Earthquake Motion. The	
representative maximum values of the structural responses of each	
of the three directional components of earthquake motion shall be	
combined by taking the square root of the sum of the squares of	
the maximum representative values of the co-directional responses	_
caused by each of the three components of earthquake motion at	
each node of the crane mathematical model. Table 4153.7-2 lists	
the representative maximum values (SR <sub>22</sub> , SR <sub>23</sub> , etc.) for each of the	
three-directional components of earthquake motion which are	
required to be calculated.	
The maximum combined structural response (SR <sub>max</sub> ) at each node,	
in terms of displacements, forces, moments, and stresses, is the	
largest of the representative maximum values of the structural	
responses of each of the three-directional components of	
earthquake motion, i.e.,	
$SR_{max}$ = largest of $SR_{22}$ , , $SR_{29}$ , $SR_{30}$	
4154 Nonlinear Time History for Slack Rope Condition	
For the case when the credible critical load is being supported by	Slack rope condition is not observed with the provided spectrums.
the hoist ropes, and the results of the linear analysis of para. 4153	Accordingly, non-linear time history analysis of the ropes is not required.
indicate a slack rope condition, that is, rope going into compression,	Ref. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) and MMH Document
the nonlinear time-history method of analysis shall be used to	36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
determine the maximum rope tension loads resulting from a slack	•
rope condition. These loads shall be used for the sizing of the rope	
only.	
Nonlinear analysis concerns the performance of a dynamic analysis	
of the crane, when subjected to earthquake-induced forces, taking	
into account the nonlinear properties (for example, tension only of	
the hoist ropes). To perform such an analysis, computer programs	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
are available to solve the equations of motion via direct integration techniques at discrete time intervals over the time history of the earthquake.	
4154.1 Crane Mathematical Mode	
The crane finite element model shall be similar to the one described in para. 4143.3 for the linear analysis, except that the crane trolley and bridge geometry may be simplified if justification can be provided that the coupling effects of those degrees of freedom that are omitted from the three- dimensional model are not significant. A nonlinear spring (tension member only) shall be used to represent the hoist ropes.	Slack rope condition is not observed with the provided spectrums.  Accordingly, non-linear time history analysis of the ropes is not required.  Ref. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) and MMH Document  36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
4154.2 Location and Number of Dynamic Degrees of Freedom	
Dynamic degrees of freedom shall be assigned to a sufficient number of node points and in such locations that the real mass and stiffness distribution of the crane is simulated. An important consideration for modeling the crane for the nonlinear analysis is that the fundamental frequency of the crane system in its unloaded (no load on the hook) configuration approximates that determined by the linear analysis.	Slack rope condition is not observed with the provided spectrums.  Accordingly, non-linear time history analysis of the ropes is not required.  Ref. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) and MMH Document  36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
4154.3 Boundary Conditions at Trolley and Runway Rails	
Boundary conditions for the crane model shall be consistent with those specified in para. 4153.6, Fig. 4154.3-1, and Table 4154.3-1.	Slack rope condition is not observed with the provided spectrums.  Accordingly, non-linear time history analysis of the ropes is not required.  Ref. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) and MMH Document  36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
4154.4 Trolley Locations and Hoist Positions	
A combined trolley and loaded hook position shall be selected so as to result in a crane system frequency which produces the severest slack rope conditions. Trolley locations and hoist positions specified in para. 4153.7 shall be considered.	Slack rope condition is not observed with the provided spectrums.  Accordingly non-linear time history analysis of the ropes is not required.  Ref. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) and MMH Document  36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
4154.5 Damping Values	
A critical damping ratio of 7% shall be used for the rope for determining the damping parameters required to form the damping matrix. Higher values may be used with adequate justification.	Slack rope condition is not observed with the provided spectrums.  Accordingly, non-linear time history analysis of the ropes is not required.  Ref. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) and MMH Document

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
	36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
Dimensions of welded beams, girders, and built-up members shall be within the tolerances specified by AWS D1.1. All measurements shall be based on 70°F ambient temperature and be taken at the manufacturer's plant prior to shipment, unless otherwise specified. A minimum dimensional check of a member will consider straightness, camber, lateral deviation of web to flange center lines, and depth. Overall crane dimensions shall be in accordance with the crane manufacturer's clearance drawing of the crane. Dimensions on the clearance drawings are the maximum dimensions of the crane and shall not be exceeded by the manufacturer. Height and end dimensions shall be shown in relationship to the top of the runway rail center line. Cumulative measurements of crane components are permitted. The accuracy of the runway rail dimensions shall be the responsibility of the purchaser. The runway rails shall be straight, parallel, level, and at the same elevation within the tolerances given in Fig. 4160-1. The crane manufacturer shall design the crane to operate properly within the runway rail tolerances given in Fig. 4160-1.	Welded beams, girders, and built-up members are within the tolerances specified by AWS D14.1. Procedures qualified under AWS D1.1 are acceptable under AWS D14.1 per Section 9.1.4. Measurements are taken during the fabrication process and any deviations from specifications are included in MMH Document 36675-17 (QA Document Binder).
	in Fig. 4160-1, as applied to the bridge rails.  See Note 1.
4200 MATERIALS AND CONNECTIONS All materials of the structural components of the crane shall be an accepted type, suitable for the purpose for which the materials are to be used, and shall be in compliance with any additional requirements specified herein for the materials.	The materials selected for the trolley are industry specified in accordance with such standards as ASTM and AISC. The specification for each material is available for review and public inspection. Materials are clearly designated on individual component drawings.  See Note 1.
4210 Base Materials 4211 Material	
(a) The base materials listed in Table 4211-1 are considered	(a) Structural components selected for the trolley are primarily ASTM A36

acceptable for the structural components identified in para. 4400 of Types I and II cranes when they meet the requirements of para. 4212. The manufacturer shall report the materials actually employed to the purchaser. Other suitable materials, which are in compliance with the other provisions for materials specified herein, may be acceptable subject to approval by the purchaser.

(b) The base materials for the components of cranes not included in (a) above shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.

#### **4212 Fracture Toughness**

(a) Material for the structural components defined in para. 4211(a) for Types I and II cranes shall be impact tested in accordance with (a)(1) below, except as provided in (b) below. Materials for Type III cranes shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70. The purchaser shall specify the minimum operating temperature as defined in para. 1150.

- (1) For material greater than 5/8 in. thick, Charpy V-notch tests shall be performed in accordance with (a)(3) below, or drop weight tested in accordance with (a)(2) below.
- (2) The drop weight test shall be performed in accordance with ASTM E 208 using specimen type P-1, P-2, or P-3. The sampling shall be in accordance with ASTM A 20 when applicable or ASTM A 673 frequency P except for the type of specimen. The specimen depth shall be at least as far from the material surface as that specified for tensile test specimens in the material specification. The nilductility transition temperature shall be a minimum of 30°F below the minimum operating temperature.
- (3) The Charpy V-notch test shall be performed in accordance with ASTM A 370 using full-size specimens if possible. For Type I, cranes the sampling shall be in accordance with ASTM A 673 frequency P. For Type II cranes, the sampling shall be in accordance with ASTM A 673 frequency H for specified minimum yields of 55 ksi or

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

and A572 materials, which are approved under Table 4211-1. Materials are clearly designated on individual component drawings.

(b) N/A - Structural components for the trolley are selected to be in compliance with Table 4211-1

- (a) Material for the trolley structural components defined in para. 4211(a) is impact tested in accordance with (a)(1) below, except as provided in (b) below. Minimum operating temperatures are provided in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.
  - (1) Material greater than 5/8 in. thick is Charpy V-notch tested in accordance with (a)(3).
  - (2) N/A Charpy V-notch testing is used instead of drop weight testing.
  - (3) Charpy V-notch testing is performed in accordance with the specified requirements. MMH Document 36675-11 (Critical List) details the required components, procedures, and temperatures for testing, and results are provided in MMH Document 36675-17 (QA Document Binder).
- **(b)** Materials are exempted from impact testing as required in (a) above for the following conditions:
  - (1) the nominal thickness is 5/8 in. or less;
  - (2) the nominal cross-sectional area is 1 sq in. or less;
  - (3) the maximum tensile stress (including residual stresses if the component is not postweld heat treated) under all conditions of loading required in para. 4100 does not exceed 6000 psi;
  - (4) the component is fabricated from an austenitic stainless steel or a nonferrous material not subject to a ductile brittle transition; (5) the component is fabricated from normalized ASTM A 516
  - Grade 70 steel and the required test temperature or the lowest

less, and frequency P for higher strength steels. The test temperature shall be a minimum of 30°F below the minimum operating temperature. The acceptance criteria shall be as shown in Table 4212-1. The results obtained with sub-size Charpy V-notch specimens shall be converted to full-size specimens in accordance with ASTM A 673 Table 1 before comparison with the acceptance criteria.

- **(b)** The base material shall be exempt from impact testing as required in (a) above provided one of the following is met:
  - (1) the nominal thickness is 5/8 in. or less;
  - (2) the nominal cross-sectional area is 1 sq in. or less;
  - (3) the maximum tensile stress (including residual stresses if the component is not postweld heat treated) under all conditions of loading required in para. 4100 does not exceed 6000 psi;
  - (4) the component is fabricated from an austenitic stainless steel or a nonferrous material not subject to a ductile brittle transition;
  - (5) the component is fabricated from normalized ASTM A 516 Grade 70 steel and the required test temperature or the lowest service temperature is greater than 0°F; (6) the component is fabricated from normalized ASTM A 537 Class 1 steel and the required test temperature or the

### **4220 Fastener Materials**

#### 4221 Material

(a) The fastener materials in Table 4221-1 are considered acceptable for the structural interconnections of Types I and II cranes. The manufacturer shall report the materials actually employed to the purchaser.

lowest service temperature is greater than -30°F.

**(b)** The fastener materials for structural components of cranes not included in (a) above shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

service temperature is greater than 0°F;

(6) the component is fabricated from normalized ASTM A 537 Class 1 steel and the required test temperature or the lowest service temperature is greater than  $-30^{\circ}$ F.

- (a) Structural fasteners for the trolley are selected to be in compliance with Table 4221-1. Materials are designated on assembly drawings.
- (b) N/A Structural fasteners for the trolley are selected to be in compliance with Table 4221-1
- (c) N/A Structural fasteners for the trolley are selected with plain (uncoated) finishes
- (d) Structural connections are designed per AISC and take into account

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(c) When not restricted by para. 1145, the fastener materials in	the fastener finishes and tolerances where applicable.
Table 4221-2 may be galvanized or coated with zinc-rich paints.	
Fastener materials with a hardness higher than 320 BHN shall not	See Note 1.
be galvanized.	
(d) The fastener finish and tolerances shall be suitable for the type	
of connection in which it is employed.	
4222 Fracture Toughness	
(a) Fastener materials for connections defined in 4221(a) shall be	(a) N/A - Fastener used on the trolley are exempt from impact testing per
impact tested in accordance with (a)(1) below except as provided in	(b).
(b) below. The purchaser shall provide the minimum operating	(b) Fasteners used on the trolley are 1 in. diameter or less, exempting
temperature as defined in para. 1150.	them from impact testing.
(1) Charpy V-notch tests shall be performed in accordance	·
with ASTM A 370. For bolts and studs, the sampling shall be	See Note 1.
in accordance with ASTM A320. For nuts, the sampling shall	· ·
be in accordance with ASTM A 194. The test temperature	
shall be equal to or less than 30°F below the minimum	
operating temperature as defined above. The acceptance	
criteria shall be as shown in Table 4222-1.	·
(b) Fastener materials shall be exempt from impact testing as	
required in (a) above, provided the nominal size of the bolt or stud	· ·
is 1 in. or less.	
4230 Welding Materials	
4231 Material	
All welding materials shall be in compliance with the requirements	Welding materials for the trolley are specified in MMH Nuclear Operating
of AWS D1.1 and the additional requirements specified herein.	Procedure #5.0.1, which complies with both AWS D14.1 and D1.1 where
(a) Matching filler metal of adequate toughness per para. 4232 shall	applicable. Procedures qualified under AWS D1.1 are acceptable under
be used. For the shielded metal arc welding (SMAW) process, low	AWS D14.1 per Section 9.1.4. Welding materials are specified on
hydrogen type electrodes shall be used for the structural	individual welding drawings.
connections of Types I and II cranes per para. 4400.	(a) Matching filler metal of adequate toughness per para. 4232 is used.
(b) The filler metal for the structural connections of cranes not	SMAW process is not used for this crane
included in (a) above shall be as specified.	(b) N/A - All filler metal is included in (a) above.
(c) If the SMAW process is employed for connections per (b) above	(c) N/A - SMAW process is not used for this crane
but one of the base metals is on a component per para. 4211(a)	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
that is over 2-1/2 in. thick, and is subject to applied tensile stresses in excess of 6000 psi, low hydrogen type electrodes shall be used.	See Note 1.
4232 Fracture Toughness	
(a) The filler metal defined in para. 4231(a) shall be impact tested in accordance with (a)(1) below except as provided in (b) below. The purchaser shall provide the minimum operating temperature as defined in para. 1150.  (1) Charpy V-notch tests shall be performed in accordance with the filler metal specification. The difference between the minimum operating temperature and the test temperature shall be in accordance with Table 4232-1.  (b) The filler metal shall be exempt from impact testing as required in (a) above, provided:  (1) the base materials are exempt per paras. 4212(b)(1), (2), (3) or (4); or	(a) Filler material used for critical welds on nuclear cranes is specifically segregated and purchased under a nuclear purchase order. The filler metal is tested to verify the material composition and impact tested as specified. This documentation is maintained by the Quality Department.  (b) N/A - All filler material used for critical welds on nuclear cranes is impact tested per (a).  See Note 1.
(2) the effective throat of the nominal weld is 5/8 in. or less.  4240 Welded Studs	
Welded studs shall not be employed for the connections of the structural components defined in para. 4400. Studs welded to the structural components of the crane shall comply with the requirements for studs specified in AWS D1.1, and shall be compatible with the base material.	N/A - Welded studs are not used in the trolley design  See Note 1.
4250 Connections 4251 Welded Connections Welded connections shall comply with the requirements of AWS D1.1 except as specified herein.	Welding is performed per MMH Nuclear Operating Procedure #5.0.1, which complies with both AWS D14.1 and D1.1 where applicable. Procedures qualified under AWS D1.1 are acceptable under AWS D14.1 per Section 9.1.4.  See Note 1.
4251.1 Welding Procedures	
All welds for Types I and II cranes shall be performed in accordance with written procedures that establish limitations	Welding is performed per MMH Nuclear Operating Procedure #5.0.1, which complies with both AWS D14.1 and D1.1 where applicable.

Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
Procedures qualified under AWS D1.1 are acceptable under AWS D14.1 per Section 9.1.4. This document contains both pre-qualified and qualified weld procedures.
See Note 1.
(a-c) All weld filler metal for the trolley has been impact tested. Test
results are included in MMH Document 36675-17 (QA Document Binder).
·
See Note 1.
·
·
The allowable capacity of each weld group is calculated separately if two
or more general types of welds are combined in a single joint.
See Note 1.
·
All welds are visually inspected over their entire length. Additional weld
inspections are carried out per MMH Document 36675-11 (Critical List)
and as dictated on individual drawings as required. Examination and
acceptance criteria of welds and repairs are in accordance with AWS
D14.1. Procedures qualified under AWS D1.1 are acceptable under AWS
D14.1 per Section 9.1.4.
(a) The following inspections and tests are applied to welded structural
connections. Percents of welds specified for inspection are measured

the length for fillet welds on both sides of a joint. The length subject to examination of other welds shall be considered as doubled when welds are made from both sides. Areas examined shall be randomly distributed along the weld length.

- (1) Full penetration butt welds: 100% volumetric examination by either radiographic or ultrasonic testing unless the specification for the crane stipulates which method to use.
- (2) Other welds with an effective throat over 3/8 in.: dye penetrant or magnetic particle testing as follows unless the specification for the crane stipulates which method to use:
  - (a) 100% of each of trolley load girt welds
  - (b) 10% of each of the cover plate or flange to web welds of crane girders
- (3) Other welds as stipulated in the crane specification.
- (4) Base materials (greater than 5/8 in. thick) with highly restrained welded connections subject to weld shrinkage strains in a through-thickness direction shall be ultrasonically tested per Mandatory Appendix I, para. 14251.4
- **(b)** Types III Cranes. Nondestructive examination requirements for welds and base metal shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70 and the manufacturer's standards unless otherwise stated in the specification for the crane.

#### 4251.5 Postweld Heat Treatment

(a) Types I and II Cranes. Welded connections shall be postweld heat treated (stress relieved) in accordance with AWS D1.1 except where exempted by Table 4251.5-1. However, exempted material may be postweld heat treated at the manufacturer's option. Times and temperatures per AWS D1.1 shall be employed.

When it is not practical to postweld heat treat an entire assembly, local postweld heat treatment shall be employed. Local postweld heat treatment shall be accomplished by heating a band of metal

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

along each face. The length subject to examination of other welds is considered as doubled when welds are made from both sides. Areas examined are randomly distributed along the weld length.

- (1) N/A There are no full penetration butt welds.
- (2) All critical welds are 10% magnetic particle tested. There are no trolley load girt welds with an effective throat over 3/8 in.
- (3) N/A Additional weld inspections and tests are not specified
- (4) N/A There are no highly restrained welded connections subject to weld shrinkage strains.
- (b) N/A This is a Type | Crane

See Note 1.

(a) Welded connections are postweld heat treated (stress relieved) in accordance with AWS D1.1 for welded structures listed in MMH Document 36675-11 (Critical List) and stress relieving is specified on the applicable drawings. Local postweld heat treating and vibratory conditioning are generally not performed.

(b) N/A - This is a Type I Crane

### **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements**

that includes the joint. Heating may be obtained by any method that will ensure sufficient uniformity without harming the material. The width of the heated band on each side of the greatest width of the finished weld shall be at least twice the effective throat of the weld. The material outside the heated band shall be protected to avoid harmful temperature gradients. When postweld heat treating is employed, the following shall be observed.

- (1) All required postweld heat treating shall be covered by a written procedure.
- (2) Localized postweld heat treating may be employed as stated above, when approved by the design engineer. A written procedure must address the same seven points outlined in (a)(3) below for furnace postweld heat treating. (3) For furnace postweld heat treating, the procedure must address the following:
  - (a) temperature at start of thermal cycle;
  - (b) rate of heating;
  - (c) maximum allowable variation of temperature throughout the portion of the part being treated;
  - (d) maximum temperature tolerance at stress relief temperature;
  - (e) holding time at stress relief temperature;
  - (f) rate of cooling to temperature suitable
  - for removal of work from the furnace; and
  - (g) location of thermocouples and the number required.

Vibratory conditioning to improve dimensional stability may be used at the option of the Manufacturer. The conditioning shall be done in accordance with the recommendations and procedures established by the manufacturer of the equipment.

**(b)** Type III Cranes. The manufacturer shall determine the need for postweld heat treatment. When used, PWHT shall comply with AWS D1.1.

### Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
4251.6 Stud Welding	
The welding of studs shall be in accordance with AWS D1.1. The	N/A - Welded studs are not used in the trolley design
thickness of the base material to which studs are welded shall equal	
or exceed 20% of the nominal stud diameter to minimize	See Note 1.
burnthrough.	
4252 Bolted Connections	
4252.1 Structural Joints Using ASTM A 325 or A 490 Bolts	
Structural joints for structural components identified under para. 4400 using ASTM A 325 or A 490 bolts shall be designed and installed in accordance with the AISC "Specification for Structural Joints Using ASTM A 325 or A 490 Bolts." Bolts holes shall be subpunched and reamed or drilled. Standard holes shall have a	Structural joints for the trolley are designed and installed in accordance with the AISC "Specification for Structural Joints Using ASTM A 325 or A 490 Bolts." This includes drilling or reaming holes to 1/16" diameter greater than the nominal bolt size. Slotted bolt holes for structural joints are not used.
diameter nominally 1/16 in. in excess of the nominal bolt diameter.	·
Slotted bolt holes shall not be used except for connections which	See Note 1.
may require field adjustment for fitting the crane to the runway.	
4252.2 Structural Joints Using Bolts Other Than ASTM A 325 or A	
490	
Structural joints using bolts other than ASTM A 325 or A 490 shall	N/A - Only ASTM A 325 or A 490 bolts are used for the trolley structural
be bearing type and shall comply with the requirements for non-	connections.
high-strength bolts specified in the AISC "Specification for Structural	
Steel Buildings, Allowable Stress Design and Plastic Design." All bolts	See Note 1.
shall be torqued to a pre-tension load on the bolt of 60% to 70% of	·
the minimum yield strength of the bolt material. Standard holes	•
shall have a diameter nominally 1/16 in. in excess of the nominal	·
bolt diameter, except for bound bolts.	
4252.3 Pitch and Edge Distances	
The minimum pitch between centers of bolt holes and minimum	Hole patterns used for structural bolts meet the minimum pitch
edge distances from the center of a bolt hole to any edge shall be as	requirements as stipulated in the AISC "Specification for Structural Steel
stipulated in the AISC "Specification for Structural Steel Buildings,	Buildings, Allowable Stress Design and Plastic Design."
Allowable Stress Design and Plastic Design."	
	See Note 1.
4252.4 Bolt Identification	
The bolting of the structural joints of the Types I and II cranes shall	The structural fasteners used for the trolley have industry standard

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
be identifiable. A unique marking system shall be used to identify type and/or grade of bolts and nuts used.	markings as required to identify their specific type and/or grade. These fasteners are also purchased with a certificate of conformance.
	See Note 1.
<b>4253 Field Connections</b> All field connections of structural components shall be bolted unless otherwise approved by the purchaser. The manufacturer shall provide sufficient information on drawings or in installation manuals on the requirements for all field connections.	All field connections of structural components for the trolley will be bolted. These connections are detailed on the applicable assembly drawings.  See Note 1.
4300 DESIGN CRITERIA	
4310 Basic Allowable Stresses for Structural Steel Members 4311 Members Not Controlled by Buckling	
For members not controlled by buckling, the basic allowable stresses in structural steel members of the crane shall not exceed values in Table 4311-1.	For members not controlled by buckling, the basic allowable stresses in structural steel members of the crane do not exceed the values specified in Table 4311-1. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).
4312 Compression Members Controlled by Buckling	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	N/A - There are no structural compression members controlled by buckling. Structural components are fabricated from welded plates, which are covered by paras. 4331 and 4332.
4313 Bending Stress The allowable bending stress for members other than those girders conforming to the dimensional criteria outlined in para. 4333 shall conform to AISC "Specification for Structural Steel Buildings, Allowable Stress Design and Plastic Design" Chapter F divided by 1.12N for the different loading conditions.	The allowable bending stress for members other than those girders conforming to the dimensional criteria outlined in para. 4333 conform to AISC "Specification for Structural Steel Buildings, Allowable Stress Design and Plastic Design" Chapter F divided by 1.12N for the different loading conditions.
4314 Welds  Basic allowable stresses in welds shall be as specified in AWS D1.1.  Allowable stresses for all types of welds may be increased for severe environmental load combinations by a factor of 1.33, and for extreme environmental load combinations by a factor of 1.50.	Trolley weld calculations are provided in MMH Document 36675-10 (Trolley Weld Calculation) using allowable stresses specified in AWS D1.1. Allowable stresses for extreme environmental load combinations are increased by a factor of 1.5.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
	See Note 1.
4315 Bolts	
(a) ASTM A 325 or A 490 Bolts. Allowable working stresses for operational or construction loads shall be in accordance with AISC "Specification for Structural Joints Using ASTM A 325 or A 490 Bolts." Allowable working stresses for other loadings shall be as follows.  (1) Bearing-Type Joints. Allowable working stresses for bearing-type joints may be increased by a factor of 1.33 for severe environmental loadings, and by a factor of 1.50 for extreme environmental loadings.  (2) Friction-Type Joints. Allowable working stresses for friction-type joints may not be increased for severe or extreme environmental loadings.  (b) Bolts Other Than ASTM A 325 or A 490. Allowable stresses shall be in accordance with Table 4315-1.	Ref. MMH Document 36675-14 (Seismic Analysis of Misc. Items) for the following items:  (a) Allowable working stresses for operational loads are in accordance with AISC "Specification for Structural Joints Using ASTM A 325 or A 490 Bolts." Allowable working stresses for bearing type joints are increased by a factor of 1.50 for extreme environmental loadings. Allowable working stresses for friction type joints are not increased for severe or extreme environmental loadings.  (b) Allowable stresses for bolts other than ASTM A 325 or A 490 are in accordance with Table 4315-1.  See Note 1.
4320 Combined Stresses	
<b>4321 Axial Compression and Bending</b> [Text and/or equations omitted]	Discussions are provided in MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) to demonstrate that the results of the software analysis are in accordance with the requirements of this section.
4322 Axial Tension and Bending	
Members subject to both axial tension and bending stresses shall satisfy the requirements of Eq. (3). The computed bending tensile stress, taken alone, shall not exceed the applicable value according to para. 4311.	Discussions are provided in MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) to demonstrate that the results of the software analysis are in accordance with the requirements of this section.
4323 Shear and Tension	
(a) Bolts subject to combined shear and tension shall be so proportional that the tension stress, psi, produced by forces applied to the connected parts, shall not exceed the following.	(a) N/A - There are no bolts in combined shear and tension. (b) N/A - There are no friction-type joints.
[Text and/or equations omitted]	See Note 1.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(b) For bolts used in friction-type joints, the shear stress allowed in para. 4315 shall be reduced so that:	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
4324 Shear and Bending The maximum combined shear stress due to shear, bending, and direct stresses shall not exceed the allowable values for shear as given in para. 4311, except that in severe and extreme environmental conditions, the allowable shear stress may be increased by 20%.	Discussions are provided in MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) to demonstrate that the results of the software analysis are in accordance with the requirements of this section.
4330 Buckling	
4331 Local Buckling or Crippling of Flat Plates  The structural design of the crane must guard against local buckling of plates such as webs and coverplates of girders, etc., by limiting the allowable compression stress along opposite edges and the uniformly distributed shear stress assumed to be acting around all edges of the plate or a combination of both.  [Text and/or equations omitted]	Calculations for local buckling or crippling of flat plates are performed in MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations). The basic allowable stresses in the structural steel members do not exceed the values specified in para. 4332.1. Members are not controlled by buckling.
4332 Combined Compression and Shear Buckling	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Combined compression and shear buckling calculations are performed inside the buckling section of MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).
4332.1 Design Factors in Plate Buckling (DFB)	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Design factors for plate buckling are applied as required in MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).
4333 Proportion for Fabricated Box Girders	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Proportions for fabricated box girders are sufficient and are documented in MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).
4334 Spacing of Transverse Stiffeners	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	The spacing of transverse stiffeners in the girders is sufficient and is documented in MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).
4335 Stiffness of Longitudinal and Transverse Stiffeners	
The required stiffness of the longitudinal stiffener and the stiffness of the transverse stiffeners shall be in accordance with Specification	The required stiffness of the longitudinal and transverse stiffeners is in accordance with Specification CMAA 70 and is documented in MMH

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB <i>Crane Trolley</i> Compliance
CMAA 70.	Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).
4340 Allowable Deflections and Cambers	
4341 Girder Deflection	·
The total vertical deflection of the girder during operational loading	Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) for the
for the rated live load plus trolley $(P_{dt} + P_{lr})$ , and not including	following items:
impact or dead load of the girder, shall not exceed 1/1000 of the	The total vertical deflection of the girder during operational loading for
span.	the rated live load plus trolley ( $P_{dt} + P_{ir}$ ), and not including impact or dead
The total vertical deflection of the girder during constructional	load of the girder, does not exceed 1/1000 of the span.
loading for the construction load plus trolley ( $P_{dt} + P_{cn}$ ), and not	Vertical deflection during constructional loading is not applicable since
including impact or dead load of the girder, shall not exceed 1/600	the crane is not used for construction.
of the span. The total vertical or lateral deflection of the girder	Vertical and lateral deflections of the girder during environmental loading
during environmental loading shall be limited such that	are not severe enough to cause the girder or any of its attachments to
displacements do not cause the girder or any of its attachments to	become dislodged or to leave the crane.
become dislodged or to leave the crane.	
4342 Girder Camber	
Girders shall be cambered an amount equal to the dead load	See Note 1.
deflection plus one-half of the deflection caused by the live load	
plus trolley [camber = $\Delta$ ( $P_{db}$ ) + 0.5 $\Delta$ ( $P_{dt}$ + $P_{lt}$ )].	
4343 Trolley Frame Deflection	
The trolley frame shall be of rigid construction such that lifted loads	The trolley frame is sufficiently rigid such that lifted loads do not cause
do not cause deflections that impair the proper operation of	deflections that impair the proper operation of machinery. Ref. MMH
machinery.	Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).
4344 Miscellaneous Structure Deflection	
Deflections of components such as end ties, end trucks, saddles,	Deflections of components such as end ties, end trucks are not severe
and equalizer beams shall not impair the functions for which they	enough to impair the functions for which they were designed or cause
were designed or cause any attachments to the crane to become	any attachments to the crane to become dislodged or to leave the crane.
dislodged or to leave the crane.	Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
4345 Gantry Frame Deflection	
In addition to the preceding criteria, the following criteria shall	(a-c) N/A - This is not a gantry crane
apply to the gantry frame.	,
(a) The total vertical deflection of the girder shall not exceed	
1/1000 of the span between the gantry legs for the rated live load	
plus trolley $(P_{dt} + P_{lr})$ when the deflection is calculated as a simply supported beam.	
(b) The total vertical deflection of the girder cantilever shall not	
exceed 1/500 of the cantilever length for the rated live load plus	
trolley $(P_{dt} + P_{lr})$ when the deflection is calculated as a fixed end cantilever beam.	
(c) Side thrust at the runway rail due to gantry leg spreading caused	
by girder span or cantilever deflection or thermal movement shall	•
be held at an acceptable level by providing adequate clearance	
between the rail head and the wheel flanges, or by means of other	
design features incorporated into the gantry structure.	1.
4350 Fatigue Requirements	
Cranes used for nuclear power plants are normally used relatively	N/A - There are no additional requirements specified by the purchaser for
few times during the entire life of the plant, as compared to typical	additional fatigue cycles.
structural fatigue criteria. The number of times a typical crane is	
cycled from no live load to full capacity load seldom exceeds 20,000	
cycles during the entire life of the crane. Because of the combined	
effect of low full-load cycles and low allowable stresses during	
normal operation, the allowable stresses for the structural	
members, as specified in para. 4310, need not be reduced due to	
fatigue. If the Purchaser determines that greater than 20,000 full-	· .
load cycles are required, the Purchaser shall then specify the cycles	
and load class per Specification CMAA 70. The allowable stresses for	
the appropriate service level in Specification CMAA 70 shall be	
used, but shall not exceed the basic operating stress allowables	
specified in para. 4310.	
4400 COMPONENT DESIGN	
4410 General	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
4411 Venting	-
Closed sections used in structures which are subject to changes in pressure shall be vented. If used, vent openings shall be sized to equalize the internal closed section (or compartment) pressure with its external environmental pressure. Pressure rate of change tables or graphs may be required to determine maximum flow requirements. Where internal full depth diaphragms extend from the top flange to the bottom flange, the compartment formed by a pair of diaphragms shall be vented.	N/A - Venting is not required since the crane is not subject to pressure changes.
4412 Drainage	
Box sections when required by environmental conditions shall be drained to prevent moisture from accumulating. Where internal full depth diaphragms extend from the top flange to the bottom flange, the compartment formed by a pair of diaphragms shall be drained. Holes shall be provided in the bottom flange of the box girder for draining the whole box girder or each compartment formed by the diaphragms.	N/A- The environmental conditions do not require that box sections require drainage; however, the bridge girders do have drain holes. Ref. P&H Drawing 28A11377 (Welded Box Girder).
4413 Stress Concentrations	
Consideration shall be given to points where high stresses might be encountered, such as (but not limited to) at ends of stiffeners, intermittent welds, points of attachment, cut-outs, and reentrant corners. All reentrant corners shall be shaped notch free to a radius of at least 1/2 in. Sharp corner cuts are to be avoided, as are abrupt changes in section properties. Cut-outs, where necessary, shall be made with rounded corners, and their edges shall be analyzed for reinforcement.	All re-entrant corners of the plates are designed to be notch free with a radius greater than 1/2 in. Sharp corner cuts and abrupt changes in section properties are avoided. Cut-outs are made with rounded corners. The analysis of the trolley also envelops these critical stress concentration locations. Ref. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).  See Note 1.
4420 Bridge Girders 4421 General	
The crane girders (bridge girders) shall be fabricated of structural steel. Structural steel materials shall comply with the requirements of para. 4210. Construction of the crane girders can be of several types, namely, welded plates to form box sections, box sections fabricated from rolled shapes with or without plates, single-rolled	The crane girders are constructed from welded plates to form a box section using structural steel that complies with para. 4210. Ref. P&H Drawing 28A11377 (Welded Box Girder).

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
shapes, or built-up single web plate girders.	
4422 Loading Criteria	
Bridge girders shall be designed to resist the load combinations specified in para. 4140. When bridge girders and end ties are moment-connected in the horizontal plane, the assembly shall be analyzed as a rigid frame for the transverse horizontal loads.	The bridge girders are designed to resist the load combinations specified in para. 4140 and the assembly is analyzed as a rigid frame for transverse horizontal loads. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).
4423 Fabricated Box Girders	
4423.1 Proportions	
Proportions for fabricated box girders shall be as specified in para. 4333.	Girder proportions are as specified in para. 4333. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).
4423.2 Stiffeners	
The requirements of longitudinal and vertical stiffeners are given in para. 4330. Internal full depth diaphragms are required at machinery attachment points, bridge drive supports, and line shaft bearing supports. The diaphragms may also be considered to meet the requirements of the vertical stiffeners. External stiffeners adjacent to the diaphragms may be required to transmit forces from the attachments into the girder.	Longitudinal and vertical stiffeners for the bridge girders meet the requirements of para. 4330. Internal full depth diaphragms are provided at machinery attachment points, bridge drive supports, and line shaft bearing supports. External stiffeners adjacent to the diaphragms are provided to transmit forces from the attachments into the girder. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and P&H Drawing 28A11377 (Welded Box Girder).
4423.3 Diaphragms	
All internal diaphragms shall be fitted to bear against the top cover plate to support the trolley rail, and shall be welded to the web plates to transfer the rail load directly to the box girder webs.	All internal diaphragms are fitted to bear against the top cover plate to support the trolley rail, and are welded to the web plates to transfer the rail load directly to the box girder webs. Ref. P&H Drawing 28A11377 (Welded Box Girder).
4423.4 Diaphragm Spacing	
Short diaphragms shall be placed between full depth diaphragms [Text and/or equations omitted] The top cover plate of the box girder shall not be considered as contributing to the bending properties of the trolley rail.	Short diaphragms are placed between full depth diaphragms to minimize the bending stress in the girders. The girder top plate is not considered as contributing to the bending properties of the trolley rail. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and P&H Drawing 28A11377 (Welded Box Girder).
4423.5 Diaphragm Thickness  The thickness of the diaphragm plate shall be sufficient to resist the trolley wheel load in bearing, on the assumption that the wheel load is distributed over a distance equal to the width of the rail base	The diaphragm thickness is sufficient to resist the trolley wheel load in bearing. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
plus twice the distance from the rail base to the top of the diaphragm plate.	
4424 Single Web Girders	
Single web girders may be standard rolled beams or plate girders, reinforced with angles, channels, or plates. Where necessary, auxiliary girders shall be used to support overhanging loads to minimize torsional moments and lateral deflections on the single web girder. The analysis required for single web girders shall be the same as required for the plate box girder in para. 4423. The design shall be in accordance with the AISC Specification for the Design, Fabrication and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings, but with the allowable stresses set forth in para. 4310.	N/A - This crane uses welded box section girders.
4430 Trolley Frames	·
4431 Construction	
The trolley frame shall be constructed of structural steel. If field assembly of the trolley structure is required, the connections shall be designed to ensure proper alignment of the components.	The trolley frame is constructed from structural steel that complies with para. 4210. Field assembly of the trolley structure is not required. Ref. MMH Drawing QR95370 (Trolley Frame Weldment).
4432 Design	·
4432.1 Trolley Frame	
The trolley frame shall be designed to resist all loading imposed by the motor, gearing, lifted load, and the load combinations specified in para. 4140.	The trolley frame is designed to resist the loading imposed by the motor, gearing, lifted load, and the load combinations specified in para. 4140.  Ref. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).
4432.2 Load Girt	
The load girt(s) shall be designed to carry the load to the side frames. Care shall be taken that the load girt deflections do not adversely affect the machinery alignment.	The load girts are designed to carry the load to the side frames. The frame has been designed as a welded fixture with low stresses to minimize deflection that would adversely affect machinery alignment. Ref. MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).
4433 Axle Failure	
Provisions shall be made to prevent a drop of more than ${\bf 1}$ in. in case of an axle failure.	The trolley end trucks are designed to prevent a drop of more than 1 in. in case of an axle failure. Ref. MMH Drawing QR95370 (Trolley Frame Weldment).
4440 End Trucks and End Ties	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
4441 End Trucks	
4441.1 General	·
The end truck is the assembly consisting of wheels, bearings, axles, and structural frame that supports the crane bridge.	End trucks consisting of wheels, bearings, axles, and structural frames that support the crane bridge are provided. Ref. P&H Drawing 105A3002 (Bridge Layout).
4441.2 Construction	
The end trucks shall be constructed of structural steel.	The end trucks are constructed from structural steel that complies with para. 4210 (Ref. P&H Drawing 31A5302/3).
4441.3 Design	
The end truck shall be designed to support the maximum crane end reactions for the load combinations specified in para. 4140.	The end trucks are designed to support the maximum crane end reactions for the load combinations specified in para. 4140. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).
4441.4 Axle Failure	
Provisions shall be made to prevent a drop of more than 1 in. in case of an axle failure.	The bridge end trucks are designed to prevent a drop of more than 1 in. in case of an axle failure.
4441.5 Wheel Base	·
The wheel base of the end trucks of four-wheel cranes, or center-to-center of outermost wheels of multiple end trucks for cranes with more than four wheels, shall be not less than one-seventh of the girder span.	The wheel base of the outermost bridge wheels is not less than 1/7 of the girder span. Ref. P&H Drawing 105A3002 (Bridge Layout).
4441.6 Rail Sweeps	
A rail sweep shall be provided in front of each outside wheel. The rail sweep shall project below the top of the bridge runway rail.	Rail sweeps are provided in front of each outside wheel. The rail sweeps project below the top of the bridge runway rail.
4442 End Ties	
4442.1 Construction	
The end tie shall be constructed of structural steel.	The end ties are constructed from structural steel that complies with para. 4210.
4442.2 Types	
End ties for cranes with more than four bridge wheels can be either the flexible or rigid type. If equalizer bridge trucks are incorporated into the end carriage design to promote equal sharing of bridge wheel loads, and equalizer pins are provided between the equalizer trucks and equalizer beam and/or the rigid bridge frame structure, a	End ties for this crane are of the rigid type. Equalizer bridge trucks are not used. Ref. P&H Drawing 105A3002 (Bridge Layout)

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
rigid type end tie may be used. If equalizer pins are not provided	
between the equalizer trucks and equalizer beam and/or the rigid	
bridge frame structure, a flexible end tie must be used.	
4442.3 Design	
End ties shall be designed to resist the loads due to crane movement and the load combinations specified in para. 4140.  A rigid frame analysis shall be used to determine the proportions of the loads resisted by the end ties and by the girders. The flexible	The end ties are designed to resist the loads due to crane movement and the load combinations specified in para. 4140. A rigid frame analysis is used to determine the proportions of the loads resisted by the end ties and by the girders. Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress
end tie shall be designed to accommodate up to 1/4 in. difference in	Calculations).
elevation of the bridge rail between any wheels or pair of wheels	
without exceeding allowable stresses.	See Note 1.
4450 Gantry Frames	
4451 General	
Gantry frames shall be fabricated of structural steel. The structural	N/A - This is not a gantry crane
members assembled to form the gantry frame may include, but are	
not limited to, the following: girders, end ties, legs, trucks, sills,	
struts, saddles, and equalizer beams. Structural steel materials used	
in the gantry frame members shall comply with the requirements of	
para. 4210.	
4452 Loading Criteria	
Gantry frames shall be designed to resist the load combinations	N/A - This is not a gantry crane
specified in para. 4140. The gantry frame assembly shall be	
analyzed as a three-dimensional structure.	
4453 Gantry Legs	
Gantry legs shall be designed to withstand the load combinations	N/A - This is not a gantry crane
specified in para. 4140. The legs shall be constructed of structural	
steel, and their configuration may vary according to the clearance	
and overall crane geometry required. Gantry legs constructed of	
box sections shall be provided with diaphragms to maintain the leg	
geometry. The legs shall be stiffened to meet the requirements of	
para. 4330.	
4454 Struts and Sills	
Struts and sills are used to connect the legs and joining members.	N/A - This is not a gantry crane

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
They shall be designed to resist the load combinations specified in	
para. 4140. Struts and sills shall be constructed of structural steel.	
4455 Saddles and Equalizer Beams	
Saddles and equalizer beams are used to support the crane	N/A - This is not a gantry crane
structure and are themselves supported by the gantry trucks. Their	
purpose is to distribute the loading at one corner of the crane	
equally to the bridge wheels at that corner. They shall be	
constructed of structural steel. Saddles and equalizer beams shall	, ·
be designed for the load combinations specified in para. 4140.	·
Plates or hubs used in saddles or equalizer beams to support	
trunnions and rotating pins shall be designed to meet the bearing	
stress specified in Section 5000.	·.
4456 Gantry Wheel Base	
The wheel base of the end trucks of four-wheel gantry cranes, or	N/A - This is not a gantry crane
center-to-center of extreme wheels of multiple end trucks for	
gantry cranes with more than four wheels, may be required to	
exceed that ratio specified in para. 4441.5. The gantry structure	
height may necessitate an increased wheelbase in order to gain	
gantry stability and to reduce gantry skewing.	
4457 Gantry Stability	,
The gantry crane shall have a safety factor of not less than 1.5	N/A - This is not a gantry crane
against overturning when used in the unrestrained operating	
condition and subjected to the load combinations specified in para.	
4140. During severe environmental, extreme environmental, or	
abnormal event loading, the gantry crane shall have a safety factor	
of not less than 1.1 against overturning. Restraints may be used to	
prevent overturning.	
4460 Rails	
4461 Requirements	
All bridge and trolley rails required to transmit vertical down and	The bridge and trolley rails conform to the Bethlehem & U.S. Steel
horizontal loads due to normal and construction loads only shall	standard crane rail specifications as listed in Table 5452.3-1. Seismic
conform to the ASCE, ARA, or AREA Specifications. When these rails	restraints are installed on the bridge and trolley and only interact with
are used on Types I and II cranes, secondary restraints which are	the rail support structures during a seismic event. Ref. MMH Document

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
not necessarily in contact under normal loading conditions shall be provided to resist the vertical up and horizontal loads due to severe environmental and extreme environmental loading conditions. Rails required to transmit vertical up and/or horizontal loads due to severe environmental and extreme environmental loading conditions shall meet all of the requirements of a structural steel member as covered in paras. 4200 and 4300.	36675-14 (Seismic Analysis of Misc. Items). The seismic restraints do not interact directly with the rails.
A462 Fastening Bridge and trolley rails shall be joined by standard joint bars or welded. For other than polar crane runway rails, provision shall be made to prevent creeping of the rails by means of a positive stop at the ends of the rail. Rails shall be securely fastened in place to maintain center-to-center distance of rails. Fastening of rails to the supporting structure shall be appropriate to transfer the calculated horizontal and vertical forces.	The trolley and runway rails are joined by standard joint bars. Trolley rails are provided with positive stops at the ends of the rails to prevent creeping. Rails are securely fastened with rail clips to maintain center-to-center distance. Horizontal and vertical (uplift) forces on the rail and corresponding fasteners are not evaluated since the seismic restraints interact directly with the girder structures.  See Note 1.
4470 Footwalks, Handrails, Platforms, Stairs, and Ladders 4471 General Platforms and footwalks shall be provided as required for access and maintenance. Dimensions and clearances for footwalks, handrails, platforms, stairs, and ladders shall be in accordance with the latest edition of OSHA.	Platforms and foot walks are provided for access and maintenance of the bridge. Platforms and foot walks are not provided for the trolley since it is not a normally accessed location. Platforms and foot walks comply with the latest edition of OSHA.
Materials for construction of footwalks, handrails, platforms, stairs, and ladders shall meet the requirements of para. 4200, except that the requirements of para. 4212 need not be considered. ASTM A 569 is an acceptable material for metal bar grating.	Materials for new construction of foot walks, handrails, platforms, stairs, and ladders meet the requirements of para. 4200, with the exception of para. 4212.  See Note 1.
4473 Design Footwalks, handrails, platforms, stairs, and ladders shall be designed for the appropriate dead load and the live loads as specified in the OSHA Standards. Structural design shall be in accordance with para. 4300.	Existing bridge foot walks, handrails, platforms, stairs and ladders will be reused. Design for new construction shall be in accordance with para. 4300.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
,	See Note 1.
4480 Operator's Cab  4481 General  (a) The standard location of the operator's cab shall be at one end of the crane bridge on the driving girder side unless otherwise specified. It shall be so located as not to interfere with the hook approach. The operator's cab shall be open type for indoor service unless otherwise specified. Dimensions and clearances shall be in accordance with the latest edition of OSHA.  (b) Cabs shall be provided with ladder or stairway leading to the bridge footwalk.  (c) The arrangement of equipment in the cab shall be approved by the purchaser.  (d) Cabs shall be designed for maximum operator visibility. A visibility diagram shall be furnished to the purchaser for approval.  (e) If specified by the purchaser, the cab shall be provided with heating, ventilating, and/or air conditioning.  (f) The operator's cab shall have a clear height, with equipment installed, of not less than 7 ft. Provision shall be made in the operator's cab for placement of the necessary equipment, wiring, and fittings. All cabs should be provided with a swiveled seat unless otherwise specified.	Ref. P&H Drawing 105A3002 (Bridge Layout) for the following items:  (a) The cab is located at the end of the crane bridge on the driving girder side and does not interfere with the hook approach. The cab is an open type for indoor service with dimensions that are in accordance with OSHA.  (b) The cab is provided with a ladder leading to the bridge footwalk.  (c) Any new controls furnished for the cab are submitted for approval.  (d) The cab is designed for maximum operator visibility.  (e) N/A - The cab does not have heating, ventilating, and/or air conditioning.  (f) The operator's cab has a clear height, with equipment installed, of more than 7 ft. Existing cab equipment will be reused to the extent possible and any upgrades are submitted to the user for approval. The existing swivel seat is reused.
4482 Materials  Materials for construction of the operator's cab shall meet the requirements of para. 4200, except that the requirements of para. 4212 need not be considered.	See Note 1.
4483 Design The operator's cab shall be designed for appropriate dead and live loads. Structural design shall be in accordance with para. 4300.	See Note 1.
4484 Construction 4484.1 Enclosed Cabs Enclosed cabs shall have watertight plate roofs which slope to the	N/A - An open cab is used instead of an enclosed cab

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
rear and shall be provided with sliding, hinged, or drop windows on	·
the three sides, and with sliding or hinged doors. Steel plates for	
enclosing sides, when used, shall be not less than 1/8 in. thick. The	·
window sash shall be equipped with clear shatterproof glass	
installed from the inside so that if it is dislodged it will fall in the	
cab. Drop windows shall be protected from breakage by a 1/8 in.	
sheet steel guard, extending to within 2 in. of the floor, and shall be	
provided with handles and stops which will prevent catching the	
user's hands or toes when operating the windows. Drop windows	
shall be counter weighted.	
4484.2 Open Cabs	
Open cabs shall be enclosed with panels not less than 1/8 in. thick	The cab is enclosed with standard hand rails, midrails, and toe plates. Ref.
or standard railing 42 in. high. Railing enclosures shall be provided	P&H Drawing 105A3002 (Bridge Layout).
with midrail and steel toe plate. Where the top rail, or top of the	
panel, interferes with the operator's vision, it may be lowered, with	1
the purchaser's approval.	
5000 Mechanical	
5100 GENERAL	
5110 Load Spectrum Crane Classification	`
5111 Type I Cranes	
(a) The design of the mechanical components of the crane is based	(a) The design of the mechanical components of the crane is based on the
on the loading conditions, the operating frequency, and the	loading conditions, the operating frequency, and the operating cycle in
operating cycle in respect to the function within the facility. This	respect to the function within the facility. The specific load spectrum
specific load spectrum information, or a realistic estimate of the	information and the necessary information to perform a complete seismic
anticipated load spectrum, shall be conveyed to the crane	analysis are provided in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.
manufacturer by the Purchaser.	(b) The service life and usage requirements of the crane are provided in
(b) For a crane having a specific operating cycle such as a typical	Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. Service and maintenance requirements
polar crane, the service condition (load spectrum) can be	are provided in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance
determined by the number of operating cycles per hour, the type	Manual).
and magnitude of applied loads, the distance of travel motion, and	(c) Design for fatigue is considered for the critical mechanical components
the number of operating hours by given time period. The user shall	of the crane. Ref. MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress
also establish the service life and reliability requirements for the	Report).
crane, considering such factors as technical, economic,	(1) Mechanical components in the critical load path are designed

# **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements**

environmental, and probability of obsolescence. This information is important so that the designer can then provide for the fatigue strength for the components of the crane to meet the requirements of the intended service. The information will provide the basis for the fatigue strength and fatigue life data criteria of the component design, which will require that a numerical documentation of the crane service requirements be in a form that will represent the duty cycle for the crane. This duty cycle or service data for each individual motion of the crane shall be recorded on the Crane Service Data Record Form 1.00 (see Fig. I-5111), and shall become part of the contract between the crane manufacturer and the Purchaser.

- (c) The design for fatigue analysis shall be considered for the critical components of the crane mechanical components. The cumulative fatigue usage factors shall reflect the effects of all loads sustained from both the construction and operating periods. In absence of a complete certified crane load cycle or load spectrum, the following criteria shall govern.
  - (1) All mechanical components in the critical load path, or whose failure could result in uncontrolled movement of a critical load, shall be designed for infinite fatigue life.
  - (2) Travel drives are exempted from infinite fatigue analysis where the maximum excursions due to any postulated failure are facility acceptable.
  - (3) Fatigue analysis shall be based on crane maximum rated load.
  - (4) Design consideration shall be taken to ensure that the failure of catalog-purchased components during the projected life of the crane will not result in facility unacceptable excursion of the critical load.

#### 5112 Types II and III Cranes

The load spectrum of Types II and III cranes shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70 classifications.

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

per the applicable sections of this standard, which generally provides infinite fatigue life due to high safety factors.

- (2) Travel drives are not considered for infinite fatigue life.
- (3) Fatigue analysis is based on the maximum rated load.
- (4) Failure of catalog-purchased components will not result in facility unacceptable excursion of the critical load.

N/A - This is a Type I Crane

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
5120 Hoisting Units	
5121 Type I Cranes	
(a) The hoist components shall meet the requirements of this Standard, as applicable.  (b) The hoist drive system shall be designed to provide assurance that a failure of a single hoist mechanism component would not result in the uncontrolled movement of the lifted load. This can be accomplished by the application of a single (Fig. 5416.1-1) or dual (Figs. 5416.1-2 and 5416.1-3) hoist drive unit.  (1) The wire rope drum is exempted from this requirement.  (2) The hook, hook nut, trunnion, and load block load structure may be exempted from dual load path criteria by doubling the design service factor.  (c) Critical load excursions due to failure in the dual load path shall be determined and certified as to facility acceptability.  (d) Hooks for critical loads shall have dual attaching points.	(a) The hoist components are designed to meet the requirements of this Standard, as applicable.  (b) Assurance that a failure of a single hoist mechanism component would not result in the uncontrolled movement of the lifted load is accomplished by the application of a dual hoist drive system (Fig. 5416.1-2). Load sharing between the gearboxes is assumed to be 75%/25% for normal operation. For single failure overload events, each gearbox is designed to hold 100% load in MMH Document 36675-18 (Main Hoist Gearing Analysis).  (1) The wire rope drum is exempted from this requirement and is designed according to the applicable sections of this document.  (2) The hook, hook nut, cross head, and load block are designed according to the applicable sections of this document.  (c) Critical load excursions due to failure in the dual load path are analyzed in the broken rope section of MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).  (d) The main hoist hook is a sister hook design with dual attaching points. Ref. MMH Drawing QR82460 (Main Hoist Hook Assembly).
5122 Types II and III Cranes	The state of the s
Hoist components of Types II and III cranes shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70, except as specified herein.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5130 Bridge and Trolley Drives	
5131 Type I Cranes	
<ul> <li>(a) Drive components shall meet the requirements of this Standard, as applicable.</li> <li>(b) In travel drives, single failure-proof features are generally not required. However, in those cases where a failure in the braking mode could result in a facility unacceptable excursion, the design shall incorporate single failure-proof features to ensure that the crane can be brought to a safe stop.</li> <li>5132 Types II and III Cranes</li> </ul>	(a) The drive components are designed to meet the requirements of this Standard, as applicable. (b) The bridge and trolley travel drive arrangements are not single failure proof and are not required to be single failure proof.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
Drive components shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
70, except as specified herein.	
5140 General Mechanical Components	
5141 Type I Cranes	
Couplings, wheels, axles, drive shafts, bearings, fasteners, gear	Couplings, wheels, axles, drive shafts, bearings, fasteners, gear cases,
cases, enclosures, guards, bumpers, stops, and limit switches shall	enclosures, guards, bumpers, stops, and limit switches are designed to
meet the requirements of this Standard, as applicable.	meet the requirements of this Standard, as applicable.
5142 Types II and III Cranes	
General mechanical components shall be in accordance with	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
Specification CMAA 70, except as specified herein.	
5150 Critical Items	
(a) Critical items for a single failure-proof handling system on a Type	(a) MMH Document 36675-11 (Critical List) specifies the critical items,
I crane are those components which are located between the load	required tests per Table 7200-1, and acceptance criteria per para. 7100.
and the source of energy holding the load.	
(1) These components require special consideration as to	
material, design, control of manufacturing processes, and	
examination of final product.	
(2) Table 7200-1 lists the tests and the inspections that are	
to be applied to critical items in accordance with the	
requirements of Section 7000.	
(3) The acceptance criteria for all items listed in Tables	
7200-1 and 7200-2 shall be in accordance with para. 7100.	
5160 Nomenclature	
The following nomenclature is used in Section 5000 and is listed	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
according to the article heading where it appears.	·
5161 Drum Shell Design (Para. 5411.5)	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
5162 Allowable Strength Horsepower — Pat (Gearing) [Para.	
5413.1(a)]	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
5163 Allowable Durability Horsepower — $P_{ac}$ (Gearing) [Para.	
5413.1(b)]	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
5164 Allowable Momentary Overload — W <sub>tov</sub> (Gearing) [Paras.	·
5413.1(c) and 5413.1(d)]	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
5165 Gearing Efficiencies [Para. 5413.1(g)]	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
5166 Reeving Efficiency (Para. 5429)	•
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
5167 (Table 5452.3-1)	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
5168 Nomenclature (Analytical Procedures; Para. 5472)	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
5169 Analytical Method for Hook of Approximate Trapezoidal	
Shape (Para. 5477)	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
5200 MATERIALS	·
5210 Material (Type I Crane)	
Materials with less than 15% elongation shall not be used for any	Materials with less than 15% elongation are not used for any mechanical
mechanical component except electrical motors and hydraulic	components other than electrical motors and hydraulic components.
components.	
	See Note 1.
5220 Material (Types II and III Cranes)	
Materials shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5300 DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	
5310 Load Combinations	·
(a) The individual mechanical components of the overhead or	(a) The individual mechanical components are designed with safety
gantry crane shall be designed to provide a design factor specified	factors to resist the forces resulting from the combination of loading
for that component to resist the forces resulting from the	specified. Resultant load combinations determined in the electrical and
combination of loading specified for the component. The load	structural sections are applied to the components as applicable.
combinations that must be considered for the individual	(b) Since this is a Type I crane, seismic loading is applied to mechanical
components vary with the component, and frequently include	components to ensure retention of the load and will prevent any
maximum loadings calculated in the electrical or structural section,	component from becoming a missile. MMH Document 36675-09
i.e., motor torque of a motor or live load including wind and impact.	(Seismic Analysis) provides the location, magnitude, and direction of the

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(b) Certain components must be designed for seismic loading as a part of the load combinations. If a lump mass approach is used in the seismic design, the methods of structural design calculations within Section 4000 will provide the values of the dynamic analysis which will be determined for location, magnitude, and direction for forces to be used as equivalent static loading. The extent of seismic consideration necessary for the three types of cranes is as follows.  (1) For Type I Cranes. Seismic loading shall be only to ensure retention of the load and the prevention of any component from becoming a missile that would be detrimental to the facility's safety related equipment.  (2) For Type II Cranes. Seismic considerations shall be made to ensure that no component of the crane could become a missile that would be detrimental to the facility's safety related equipment.  (3) For Type III Cranes. Seismic analysis is not required unless specified by the purchaser.	G-forces to be used as equivalent static loading. These loads will be applied to components such as gear cases, drums, reeving systems, etc. in MMH Document 36675-14 (Seismic Analysis of Misc. Items) and MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
5311 Design Loads — Hydraulic Components (a) For Types I, II, and III Cranes. The design selection for hydraulic components shall be based on the rated load.	(a) N/A - Hydraulic components are not used on this crane
5320 Allowable Stresses 5321 Type I Cranes 5321.1 Normal Operating Conditions All load combinations and factors including stress concentrations shall have a service factor of 1 or more based on the design fatigue allowable stress limit of the material, except as otherwise specified in Section 5000.	The trolley is designed for all load combinations using a minimum service factor (allowable stress / design stress) of 1 based on the design fatigue allowable stress limits of the materials used.  See Note 1.
Service Factor x Design Stress ≤ Allowable Stress	
5321.2 Emergency Conditions  For all emergency loads such as load hang-up, seismic loads, using the gross cross-section excluding the stress concentration factors, the service factor shall be not less than 1 based on an allowable	The trolley is designed for emergency loads using a minimum service factor (allowable stress / design stress) of 1 based on an allowable stress equal to 75% of the yield strength.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
stress equal to 75% of the yield strength, unless specifically	
exempted elsewhere in Section 5000.	See Note 1.
5322 Type II Cranes	
5322.1 Normal Operating Conditions	·
All load combinations and factors including stress concentrations	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
shall have service factors as stated for the design of specific	
mechanical components.	·
5322.2 Emergency Conditions (if Applicable)	
All emergency loads such as load hang-up shall have specific factors	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
as stated for the design of specific mechanical components.	
5323 Type III Cranes	
5323.1 Normal Operating Conditions	
Allowable stresses shall be in accordance with the provisions of	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
Specification CMAA 70.	
5323.2 Emergency Conditions	
Not applicable for this type of crane.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5324 Hydraulic Components — Allowable Stresses	
5324.1 Types I, II, and III Cranes	
Stresses imposed by the maximum rated load shall not exceed 20%	N/A - Hydraulic components are not used on this crane
of the average ultimate strength of the material or components.	
5330 Motion Speeds	
Rated load speeds for hoist, bridge, and trolley shall be such as to	Rated load speeds for hoist, bridge, and trolley are selected to allow
allow controlled handling of those loads for which the crane is	controlled handling of those loads for which the crane is designed. See
designed. These speeds depend on the nature of the load, load	paras. 5331, 5332, 5333 for individual requirements.
clearances, position of operator, weight of load, positioning	·
accuracy required, and type of drive. Design rated load speeds	
recommended in paras. 5331, 5332, and 5333 have been	
established based on typical operator reaction time and drive	
performance which will allow the load to be stopped and held.	
5331 Hoist Speeds	
5331.1 Type I Hoists	
(a) The performance speed and speed tolerance of the hoist with	Ref. the variable frequency drive parameters specified in MMH Drawing
rated load shall be specified by the purchaser. The rated load test	R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items:

### **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements**

(125% rated load or as specified by purchaser) speed criteria shall be as specified by the manufacturer.

- **(b)** Rated load recommended hoisting speeds are given in Table 5331.1-1 for slow, medium, or fast service for various capacities. The design tolerance for rated load hoisting speed is  $\pm 10\%$ .
- (c) Hoisting speed for a critical load less than the rated load shall be limited to 125% of the rated load hoisting speed.
- (d) Empty hook and light load speed-up controls are permitted. Refer to para. 6320(e).
- (e) When precise positioning capability is required, and the principal control system is incapable of providing lowering speed control of 0.5 ft/min with the load as specified by the purchaser, the hoist system shall be equipped with an auxiliary system. The positioning capability speed should be 0.5 ft/min. for a distance of 2 ft within the final position.
- (f) The lowering speed for any critical load shall be limited to 125% of the rated load hoisting speed.

#### 5331.2 Types II and III Hoists

Recommended rated load speeds should be as specified in either Table 5331.1-1 or the Speed Table given in Specification CMAA 70.

### **5332 Trolley Speeds**

### **5332.1 Type 1 Cranes**

- (a) The performance speed and speed tolerance of the trolley with rated load shall be specified by the purchaser. Rated load test speed (125% rated load) criteria shall be specified by the manufacturer.
- (b) Rated load recommended trolley speeds are given in Table 5332.1-1 for various capacities. The design tolerance for a design rated load speed shall be  $\pm 10\%$ .
- (c) The trolley control shall provide an operating speed range of at least 10 to 1 under all loading conditions or be equipped with an

### Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

- (a) The performance speed of the main hoist with 100% rated load is 5 ft/min per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. Since a speed tolerance is not specified, +/-10% is selected per (b). The 125% rated load test speed is limited to the 100% rated load speed by the variable frequency drive.
- **(b)** The rated load main hoist speed is equivalent to the recommended value for slow service given in Table 5331.1-1. The design tolerance for rated load hoisting speed is  $\pm 10\%$ .
- (c) The variable frequency drive for the main hoist limits hoisting speed to within  $\pm 10\%$  of the rated load hoisting speed for all loads.
- (d) Speed-up controls are provided for both hoists per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 to allow 150% of the rated load hoisting speed for unloaded and light loads. The unloaded/light load speeds are 7.5 ft/min for the main hoist and 30 ft/min for the aux hoist.
- (e) N/A The variable frequency drive for the main hoist has 5 speed steps per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 and is capable of lowering at 0.5 ft/min with the load as specified.
- (f) An over speed protection device on the main hoist ensures the lowering speed of any critical load is limited to 125% of the rated load hoisting speed.

The performance speed of the aux hoist with 100% rated load is 20 ft/min per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. This speed is equivalent to the recommended value for slow service given in Table 5331.1-1.

Ref. the variable frequency drive parameters specified in MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items:

- (a) The performance speed of the trolley with 100% rated load is 50 ft/min per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. Since a speed tolerance is not specified, +/-10% is selected per (b). The 125% rated load test speed is limited to the 100% rated load speed by the variable frequency drive.
- **(b)** The rated load trolley speed is equivalent to the recommended value for slow service given in Table 5331.1-1. The design tolerance for rated

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
auxiliary system to provide precise positioning capabilities.  (d) Trolley speed for a critical load less than rated load shall be limited to 125% of the rated load trolley speed.  (e) Empty hook and light load speed-up controls are permitted. Refer to para. 6340(c).	load trolley speed is ±10%.  (c) The speed control with the variable frequency drive is better than 10:1 under all loading conditions.  (d) The variable frequency drive for the trolley limits travel speed to within ±10% of the rated load trolley speed for all loads.  (e) Empty hook and light load speed-up controls are not provided for the trolley and are not required.
5332.2 Types II and III Cranes	
Recommended rated load speeds should be as specified in either Table 5332.1-1 or the Speed Table given in Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5333 Bridge Speeds	
5333.1 Type I Cranes	
(a) The performance speed and speed tolerance of the bridge with	Ref. the variable frequency drive parameters specified in MMH Drawing
rated load shall be specified by the purchaser. Rated load test speed	R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items:
(125% rated load) criteria shall be specified by the Manufacturer.	(a) The performance speed of the bridge with 100% rated load is 50
(b) Rated load recommended bridge speeds are given in Table	ft/min per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. Since a speed tolerance is not
5333.1-1 for various capacities. The design tolerance for a design	specified, +/-10% is selected per (b). The 125% rated load test speed is
rated load speed shall be ±10%.	limited to the 100% rated load speed by the variable frequency drive.
(c) The bridge control shall provide an operating speed range of at	(b) The rated load bridge speed is less than the recommended value for
least 10 to 1 under all loading conditions, or be equipped with an	slow service given in Table 5331.1-1, and therefore more conservative.
auxiliary system to provide precise positioning capabilities.	The design tolerance for rated load bridge speed is ±10%.
(d) Bridge speed for a critical load less than rated load shall be	(c) The speed control with the variable frequency drive is better than 10:1
limited to 125% of the rated load bridge speed.	under all loading conditions.
(e) Empty hook and light load speed-up controls are permitted.	(d) The variable frequency drive for the bridge limits travel speed to
Refer to para. 6320(c).  (f) For cranes with circular polar-type bridges, the recommended	within ±10% of the rated load bridge speed for all loads.
speeds shall be the tangential speeds at the runway rail.	(e) Empty hook and light load speed-up controls are not provided for the bridge and are not required.
speeds shall be the tangential speeds at the fullway fail.	(f) N/A - This is not a polar crane
5333.2 Types II and III Cranes	Dy 1977 This is not a point craft.
Recommended rated bridge speeds should be as specified in either	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
Table 5332.1-1 or the Speed Table given in Specification CMAA 70.	The state of the s
5334 Pendant Hoist and Travel Speeds	
5334.1 Traversing	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
Motorized travel speed for this motion should be 30 ft/min.	N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant
5334.2 Vertical Travel of Control Pendant	
Motorized travel speed for this motion should be 30 ft/min.	N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant
5335 Powered Hook Rotation (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
(a) Speed of rotation shall be specified by Purchaser.	(a-c) N/A - This crane does not have powered hook rotation
(b) Rotation limit shall be specified by Purchaser.	
(c) Single failure-proof features are not required.	
5400 COMPONENT DESIGN	
5410 Hoist System	
5411 Drum	
5411.1 Description (Type I Cranes)	
The drum shall be of cylindrical type, varying in length and	The main hoist drum is of cylindrical type and is designed as to ensure the
diameter, and shall be so designed as to ensure the accumulation of	accumulation of the entire length of rope in one single layer. Ref. MMH
the entire length of rope in one single layer.	Drawing R94603 (Main Hoist Drum Assembly)
5411.2 Size (Type I Cranes)	
The pitch diameter of the drum shall be not less than 24 times the	The main hoist uses high strength wire rope that is 8 x 25 construction
hoist rope diameter for 6 x 37 rope construction, or not less than 30	and has a manufacturer's minimum recommended ratio for drum groove
times the hoist rope diameter for 6 x 19 rope construction.	minor diameter to rope diameter of 20:1. The actual main hoist ratio for
	drum pitch diameter to rope diameter is 26:1, which is more conservative
	than the manufacturer's recommendations, as well as the requirements
	specified for 6 x 37 rope construction. Ref. MMH Drawing QR94604 (Main
· ·	Hoist Drum Machining)
5411.3 Construction (Type I Cranes)	
The drum shell shall be of rolled or centrifugal cast steel	The main hoist drum shell is a rolled steel structure with flanged ends. It
construction with flanged ends. It shall be designed to withstand	is designed to withstand combined crushing and bending loads as
combined crushing and bending loads. Equations (1), (2), and (3)	specified. Ref. MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress
may be used to determine the stress in the drum shell. The drum	Report).
gear shall be pressed on and keyed to the periphery of the shell,	•
hub, or shaft of the drum, or be bolted with close fitting bolts (para.	The drum gear is pressed on and keyed to the periphery of the drum
5456) to the flange on the drum, in which case the bolts transmit	shell. Ref. MMH Drawing R94603 (Main Hoist Drum Assembly)
only torque.	
5411.4 Grooves (Type I Cranes)	
Drum grooves shall be machined to a minimum depth equal to	The minimum drum groove depth, the groove radius, and the pitch for

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
three-eighths of the diameter of the hoist rope, and a pitch equal to	the main hoist drum are within the requirements of this section. Ref.
1.14 x rope diameter or rope diameter + 1/8 in., whichever is	MMH Drawing QR94604 (Main Hoist Drum Machining)
smaller. The groove radius shall be $1/32$ in. larger than the radius of	
the rope.	With the hook at its lowest position, there are no less than 2 wraps on the
Rope shall be secured to the drum as follows: No less than two	main hoist drum at each anchorage point. There are two clamps on each
wraps of the rope shall remain on the drum at each anchorage to	rope that are tightened per the manufacturer's recommend torque. Ref.
the hoisting drum when the hook is in its extreme low position.	MMH Drawing R94603 (Main Hoist Drum Assembly)
Rope end shall be anchored by a minimum of two clamps attached	
to the drum, or by a socket arrangement specified by the crane or	
rope manufacturer. The rope clamp bolts shall be tightened evenly	
to the manufacturer's recommended torque.	
5411.5 Drum Shell Design (Type I Cranes)	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	The main hoist drum shell is designed in accordance with this section. Ref.
	MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
5411.6 Drum (Types II and III Cranes)	
The size, construction, and grooving for Types II and III crane drums	The size, construction, and grooving for the aux hoist drum is in
shall be established in accordance with the provisions of	accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH
Specification CMAA 70.	Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
5411.7 Single Failure-Proof Features (Type I Cranes)	
(a) Single failure-proof features are not required for the drum shell.	(a) Single failure proof features are not provided for the main hoist drum
(b) In the event of failure of a drum shaft or bearing, the drum must	shell and are not required.
be retained on the trolley in a manner which precludes	(b) A drum retainer is installed on both ends of drum to prevent
disengagement of any gearing or brake acting on the drum and	disengagement or load retaining function of any gearing or brake acting
precludes disablement of the load-retaining function of these	on the drum. Ref. MMH Drawing QR95370 (Trolley Frame Weldment).
components.	(c) N/A - This item is identical to (b)
(c) In the event of failure of a drum shaft or bearing, the drum must	
be retained on the trolley in a manner which precludes	
disengagement of any gearing or brake acting on the drum and	
precludes disablement of the load-retaining function of these	
components.	
5411.8 Single Failure-Proof Features (Types II and III Cranes)	
Single failure-proof features are not required for the drum.	Single failure proof features are not provided for the aux hoist drum shell
·	and are not required.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
5412 Drive Motors	
5412.1 Type I Cranes	
Each hoist drive system, such as those indicated in Figs. 5416.1-1 through 5416.1-3, shall be provided with a hoist drive motor(s) for lifting and lowering loads. Motors shall be selected per para. 6470. Motor fasteners shall be per para. 5456.	The main hoist drive system, similar to Figure 5416.1-2, is provided with a hoist motor for lifting and lowering loads. The motor is selected to comply with para. 6470. Motor fasteners are selected to comply with para. 5456. Ref. MMH Drawing QR94709 (Main Hoist Motor).
5412.2 Types II and III Cranes	
Motors shall be selected per para. 6470.	The aux hoist motor is selected to comply with para. 6470. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
5413 Gearing	·
5413.1 Type I Cranes	
Gearing shall be designed and manufactured in accordance with the procedures presented by the American Gear Manufacturers Association (AGMA) as modified by this Section. The gearing shall be designed for strength, durability, and momentary overload which includes the loads imposed during a seismic excursion. Unless positive control of accurate alignment under varying loads can be ensured, parallel shaft gearing, both enclosed and open, shall be straddle mounted; that is, each shaft shall be supported by two outboard bearings. (The intent is to preclude inadequately supported or inaccurately aligned overhung gears or pinions, shafts with three bearing supports, and combination gear reducer/wire	(a-i) Gearing for the main hoist is designed and manufactured in accordance with the procedures presented in AGMA as modified by this Section. The gearing is designed for strength, durability, and momentary overloads, including Increased vertical loads imposed during a seismic excursion. Parallel shaft gearing is straddle mounted with two outboard bearings to provide adequate support. Ref. MMH Document 36675-18 (Main Hoist Gearing Analysis).
rope drum shafts.)	
(a) Allowable Strength Horsepower P <sub>at</sub> .	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
<b>(b)</b> Allowable Durability Horsepower P <sub>ac</sub> .	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
(c) Allowable Momentary Overload Tooth Load W <sub>tov</sub> .	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	,
(d) Crane Class Factor S <sub>fd</sub> (durability)	· ·
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
(e) Allowable Stresses $S_{ab}$ $S_{ao}$ $S_{ay}$	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
<b>(f)</b> Load Distribution Factors $K_{m_s}$ $C_m$ .	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
(g) Gear Efficiencies.	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
(h) Gearing Forms and Quality.	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
(i) Lubrication.	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	·
5413.2 Types II and III Cranes	
Gearing for Types II and III cranes shall be established in accordance	Gearing for the aux hoist is in accordance with provisions in Specification
with provisions in Specification CMAA 70.	CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
5414 Brakes — Load and Holding	
5414.1 Hoist Control Braking Means (Types I, II, and III Hoists)	
An electrical control braking means or a mechanical braking means	'
capable of maintaining controlled lowering speeds shall be	Electrically controlled dynamic braking is provided for the main and aux
provided. Electrically controlled braking means include	hoists and is designed per para. 6400. Mechanical control braking is not
regenerative, dynamic, countertorque, and eddy current. Brake	provided and is not required. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical
sizing and design are specified in para.6400.	Schematic).
Mechanical load brakes, if used as the control braking means, shall	
be provided with sufficient thermal capacity to accommodate	
lowering of the rated load at full speed through the expected	
operating distance.	·
5414.2 Hoist Holding Brakes (Type I Hoists)	
Two holding brakes shall be provided, mounted such that the failure	Similar to Fig. 5416.1-2, two mechanical holding brakes are provided for
of any hoist shaft or coupling will not disengage both of the hoist	the main hoist and are mounted directly to the gearbox input shafts. Ref.
holding brakes. Under normal operating conditions, the brakes will	MMH Drawing R94780 (Main Hoist Machinery Assembly). Failure of any
be automatically applied on power removal with the application of	hoist shaft or coupling will not disengage both of the hoist holding brakes.
one brake delayed to minimize shock to the hoist drive train. Brake	Under normal operating conditions, the brakes are automatically applied
sizing and design is specified in para. 6400.	on power removal with the application of one brake delayed to minimize
	shock to the hoist drive train. Brake sizing and design is per para. 6400.
5414.3 Hoist Holding Brakes (Types II and III Hoists)	
Hoist holding brakes shall be selected in accordance with para.	Holding brakes for the aux hoist are selected in accordance with para.
6420.	6420. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
5415 Load Combinations — Hoist Drive Shafting (Types I, II, and III Cranes) 5415.1 Load Combinations, Allowable Stresses, and Service Factors The hoist drive machinery shafting shall be designed to resist the following load combinations with corresponding values of allowable stresses and service factors. Combinations of the various types of loading are shown in Table 5415.1-1.	The main and aux hoist drive machinery shafting is selected to resist the load combinations specified in Table 5415.1-1 with corresponding values of allowable stresses and service factors. This conclusion is based on existing, proven designs for similar applications.
<b>5415.2 Computation — Analysis</b> Analytical stress computations shall be performed according to procedures in para. 5470.	Stress calculations are performed according to procedures in para. 5470 based on the load cases specified in Table 5415.1-1.
5416.1 Type I Cranes The hoist drive system shall be designed to provide assurance that a failure of a single-hoist mechanism component would not result in the loss of the lifted load. This can be accomplished in several ways. Typical applications of single- and dual-hoist drive units are shown in Figs. 5416.1-1 and 5416.1-2, respectively, and are defined below. (a) Single-Hoist Drive. The single-hoist drive may have one gear train with a single drive motor and two holding brakes. One holding brake may be located at the drive motor shaft or any intermediate shaft (including the drum shaft axis), and the second brake may be located at the drum brake to act as a back-up with a delayed setting or in cases of malfunction. The torque setting of each holding brake shall not be less than 125% of the required full-load drive torque at brake location in normal operation. (b) Dual-Hoist Drive. The dual-hoist drive may have two gear trains with drive motor(s) and at least two holding brakes located on the cross shaft external or between the gear trains, with one of the holding brakes to act as a back-up with a delayed setting. The torque rating of each holding brake shall not be less than 125% of the full-load drive torque required at the brake location in normal operation. Each gear train shall be sized for the total full-load drive	The main hoist drive system is designed to provide assurance that a failure of a single-hoist mechanism component does not result in the loss of the lifted load. This is accomplished by using a dual-hoist drive as shown in Figure 5416.1-2.  (a) N/A A dual-hoist drive is used.  (b) The dual-hoist drive for the main hoist has two gear trains with a single drive motor and two mechanical holding brakes located on the cross shaft between the gear trains, with one of the holding brakes acting as a back-up with a delayed setting. The torque rating of each holding brake is 150% of the required full-load drive torque at the brake location in normal operation per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003, which is more conservative than the requirements of this para. Each gear train is sized for the total full-load drive torque of the motor.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
torque of the motor.	
5416.2 Types II and III Cranes	
Single failure proof features are not required.	Single failure proof features are not provided for the aux hoist drive
	system and are not required.
5420 Reeving System	
(a) Type I Cranes. The design of the rope reeving system shall be	(a) The design of the main hoist rope reeving system is such that a single
such that a single rope failure will not result in the loss of the lifted	rope failure will not result in the loss of the lifted load. A load balance is
load. A load balance shall be provided on each rope system. In the	provided on each rope system and the ropes are guided by the weight-
event of a hook over travel, where the lower block contacts the	operated limit switch so that ropes are not cut or crushed in the event of
crane structure, the ropes shall not be cut or crushed. The wire rope	a hook over travel. The wire rope and fleet angle requirements are in
and fleet angle requirements shall be in accordance with paras.	accordance with paras. 5425.1 and 5426, respectively. Ref. MMH Drawing
5425.1 and 5426, respectively.	R94779 (Trolley Assembly).
(1) Single Failure-Proof Features. Single failure proof	(1) Single failure proof mechanical features are provided for the
mechanical features for the reeving system shall consist of	main hoist reeving system and include the following:
the following.	(a) The reeving system is divided into two separate load
(a) Reeving system shall be divided into two	paths so that either path will support the load and
separate load paths so that either path will support	maintain vertical alignment in the event of rope breakage
the load and maintain vertical alignment in the	or failure in the rope system.
event of rope breakage or failure in the rope	(b) Upper blocks and load blocks are designed such that
system. Figure 5420-1 shows one such reeving	each attaching point is able to support a load of three
system. Other reeving systems that meet the above	times the load (static and dynamic) being handled
requirements are acceptable.	without permanent deformation of any part of the block
(b) Upper blocks and load blocks shall be designed	assembly. Components in the lower block that do not
such that each attaching point will be able to	provide a dual load path will be designed with double the
support a load of three times the load (static and	service factor in accordance with 5121(b)(2). These
dynamic) being handled without permanent	assemblies are designed so that the sheaves are
deformation of any part of the block assembly.	contained in the event a sheave support pin fails.
These assemblies shall be designed so that the	(b) Reeving system components for the aux hoist are in accordance with
sheaves will be contained in the event of failure of	Specification CMAA 70. The aux hoist is double-reeved with multiple
the sheave support pin.	parts. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
(b) Types II and III Cranes. Reeving system components for Types II	(1) N/A - The aux hoist is double-reeved.
and III cranes shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	(2) The aux hoist is double-reeved with both ends of the rope
Hoist reeving may be either single or double and may be one or	attached to the drum in accordance with Fig. 5420-2(b). The drum

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
multiple parts.  (1) On single-reeved hoists, one end of the rope is attached to the drum and the other end is dead ended on a stationary portion of the hoist. Continuous drum grooving runs in one direction. The load block moves laterally in the direction of the axis of the drum as the rope winds onto or off of the drum. Refer to Fig. 5420-2, sketch (a).  (2) On double-reeved hoists, both ends of the rope are attached to the drum. The drum is grooved with left and right grooves beginning at both ends of the drum, then grooving toward the center of the drum. The load block will follow a true vertical path (true vertical lift) as the ropes wind toward or away from each other onto or off the drum. Refer to Fig. 5420-2, sketch (b).  (3) Single failure-proof features are not required for Type II and III cranes.	is grooved with left and right grooves beginning at both ends of the drum, then grooving toward the center of the drum. The load block follows a true vertical path as the ropes wind toward or away from each other onto or off the drum.  (3) Single failure proof features are not provided for the aux hoist and are not required.
5421 Upper Block 5421.1 Type I Cranes (a) The upper block, in conjunction with the load block, shall be designed to maintain a vertical load balance about the center of the lifted load and shall have a reeving system of dual design. (b) All design loads and allowable stresses for mechanical and structural components of the upper block shall be in accordance with paras. 5300 and 4310, respectively. The upper block shall be accessible from above the trolley floor.	(a) The main hoist upper block, in conjunction with the load block, is designed to maintain a vertical load balance about the center of the lifted load and has a reeving system of dual design. Ref. MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly).  (b) All design loads and allowable stresses for mechanical and structural components of the main hoist upper block are in accordance with paras. 5300 and 4310, respectively. Ref. MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
5421.2 Types II and III Cranes  Upper blocks for Types II and III cranes shall be established in accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70.  5422 Load Block	The aux hoist upper block is designed in accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
5422.1 Type I Cranes (a) The load block frame shall be constructed of rolled steel and shall be entirely enclosed except for the rope openings. The hook(s)	Ref. MMH Drawing R95005 (Main Hoist Bottom Block Assembly) for the following items:

#### **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements** Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance shall be free to swivel on antifriction or sleeve bearing so (a) The main hoist load block frame is constructed of rolled steel and is constructed as to exclude dirt and also shall be provided with a entirely enclosed except at the top for the rope openings. The hook means for lubrication. Refer to para. 5460 for data relating to swivels on an antifriction thrust bearing that excludes dirt and provides lubrication. easy access for re-lubrication per para. 5460. (b) N/A - The main hoist load block does not use trunnions. A cross head, (b) Welding of trunnions for critical load handling load blocks shall fabricated from structural steel, is welded directly to the load block not be permitted. 5422.2 Types II and III Cranes Load blocks for Types II and III cranes shall be established in The aux hoist load block is designed in accordance with the provisions of accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70. Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly). **5423 Equalizer Systems** 5423.1 Type I Cranes (a) Where separate rope equalizing is required, either an equalizer (a) The main hoist reeving system consists of two separate and complete bar or a sheave will be acceptable. In either case, two separate and reeving systems, each with an equalizer bar assembly accessible from the complete reeving systems shall be provided. The equalizer, where trolley floor and capable of swiveling to align with the pull of the ropes. possible, should be designed to be accessible from the floor of the Ref. MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly). trolley and be made in such a manner that it can turn or swivel to (b) N/A - Equalizer bars are used instead of sheaves. (c) The main hoist rope equalizer system is designed to meet the required align itself with the pull of the ropes. (b) Equalizer sheaves, when used, shall have a pitch diameter not criteria. Ref. MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress less than one-half of the diameter of the running sheaves. Report) and MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly) for the following (c) Rope equalizer systems shall be designed to meet the criteria as items: delineated herein. (1) Reeving equalization is not restricted under normal operating conditions. (1) Reeving equalization shall not be restricted under normal operating conditions. (2) Adequate free movement to compensate for operational (2) Adequate free movement to compensate for block swing and/or normal rope stretch is provided. operational block swing and/or normal rope stretch shall be (3) Sensing and automatic signaling of excessive rope provided. displacement to either side is provided with the weigh system, (3) Sensing and automatic signaling of excessive rope which monitors the individual loads on the equalizer trunnions displacement to either side shall be provided. using strain gages. Excessive rope displacement to one side (4) Sensing and automatic signaling of a broken causes an unbalanced load fault, which disables hoisting and reeving shall be provided. applies the brakes. (5) In the event of a broken rope, the remaining intact (4) Sensing and automatic signaling of a broken rope is provided reeving system shall not be loaded to more than 40% of the with the weigh system, which monitors the individual loads on

breaking strength of the wire rope, including the dynamic

the equalizer trunnions using strain gages. A broken rope causes

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
effects of the load transfer. (6) The vertical displacement of the load following a rope failure shall be minimized. (7) The vertical displacement of the load following a rope failure shall be calculated and reported to the purchaser. (8) The effects of a broken rope on the entire system including the equalizer assembly shall be analyzed.	an unbalanced load or underweight fault, which disables hoisting and applies the brakes.  (5) In the event of a broken rope,, the remaining intact reeving system is not loaded to more than 40% of the breaking strength of the wire rope, including the dynamic effects of the load transfer  (6) The vertical displacement of the load following a rope failure is minimized.  (7) The vertical displacement of the load following a rope failure is calculated and reported to the purchaser.  (8) The effects of a broken rope on the entire system including the equalizer assembly are analyzed.
<b>5423.2 Types II and III Cranes</b> Equalizer bars or sheaves of Types II and III cranes shall be established in accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70.	The aux hoist equalizers are designed in accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
5424 Sheave Pins	
5424.1 Type I Cranes	
<ul> <li>(a) Sheave pins for the upper block and load block shall be designed to withstand the combined line pull of the live load, plus the dead load of the load block.</li> <li>(b) Seismic effects shall be included in the analysis.</li> <li>(c) Analytical stress computation shall be performed in accordance with para. 5470.</li> <li>(d) Service factors shall be applied in accordance with para. 5320.</li> <li>(e) Grease lubricated sheave bearings should be provided with individual lubrication fittings if the pin size is sufficiently large to provide the space for these fittings.</li> </ul>	Ref. MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report) for the following items:  (a) Main hoist sheave pins are designed to withstand the combined line pull of the live load, plus the dead load of the load block.  (b) Seismic effects are enveloped by the broken rope condition since the increased load due to a rope break condition is significantly higher than the seismic loading.  (c) Analytical stress computation is performed in accordance with para. 5470.  (d) Service factors will be applied in accordance with para. 5320. Load block sheave pins will have the design service factor doubled since they do not provide a dual load path.  (e) Individual lubrication fittings are provided in the main hoist sheave pins for grease lubricated sheave bearings.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
Sheave pins for Types II and III cranes shall be in accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70.	The aux hoist sheave pins are designed in accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
5425 Rope Construction, Loads, and Design Factors	
5425.1 Type I Cranes	
(a) Rope Construction. The hoist rope shall be of a construction for crane service, such as improved or extra-improved plow steel grades, 6 x 37 class construction (6 strand, 27 to 49 wires per strand), right regular lay with independent wire rope core. Other materials, strength grades, rope constructions, type of cores, and	Ref. MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report) and MMH Drawing QR94824 (Main Hoist Wire Rope Assembly) for the following items:  (a) The main hoist uses high strength EEIPS wire rope of 8 x 25 construction specifically designed for crane service. Right and left regular
lay may be used where application or future development in wire rope technology indicates.	lay are used per the manufacturer's recommendations.
<ul> <li>(b) Selection of Ropes. Hoisting ropes shall be selected based on the more stringent of the following requirements.</li> <li>(1) The rated load (without impact), plus the weight of the load block divided by the total number of parts of rope per system, shall not exceed 20% of the manufacturer's published breaking strength.</li> <li>(2) The maximum critical load (without impact), plus the weight of the load block divided by the total number of parts of rope, shall not exceed 10% of the manufacturer's published breaking strength on the total system or 20% on each of the dual systems.</li> <li>(3) The impact load in the transfer of the maximum critical load from one of the dual hoisting rope systems to the other, in the event of rope failure, shall not exceed 40% of the manufacturer's published breaking strength.</li> <li>(4) The seismic load (para. 4130) with all parts of rope intact shall not exceed 40% of the manufacturer's published breaking strength.</li> <li>(c) Breaking Strength of Ropes. The breaking strength of rope shall</li> </ul>	(1) The rated load (without impact), plus the weight of the load block divided by the total number of parts of rope per system, does not exceed 10% of the manufacturer's published breaking strength.  (2) The maximum critical load is equivalent to the rated load, which does not exceed 10% of the manufacturer's published breaking strength on the total system or 20% on each of the dual systems.  (3) The impact load in the transfer of the maximum critical load from one of the dual hoisting rope systems to the other, in the event of rope failure, does not exceed 40% of the manufacturer's published breaking strength.  (4) The seismic load (para. 4130) with all parts of rope intact does not exceed 40% of the manufacturer's published breaking strength.  (c) The breaking strength of rope conforms to the manufacturer's published values based upon the minimum values determined by actual tensile tests performed on new ropes. The theoretical strengths based upon material properties and net metal cross-section are not used.
conform to the manufacturer's published values based upon the	
minimum values determined by actual tensile tests performed on	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
new ropes. The theoretical strengths based upon material properties and net metal cross-section shall not be used.	
5425.2 Types II and III Cranes	
Rope construction, loads, and design factors for Types II and III cranes shall be established in accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70.	The aux hoist wire rope construction, loads, and design factors are in accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
5426 Fleet Angles 5426.1 Type I Cranes	
(a) The rope fleet angle to the drum grooves shall be limited to 3-1/2 deg, except at the last 3 ft of the maximum lift elevation it shall be limited to 4 deg. Refer to Fig. 5426-1 for fleet angle measurement to the drum groove.  (b) The rope fleet angle for sheaves shall be limited to 3-1/2 deg, except at the last 3 ft of the maximum lift elevation it shall be limited to 4-1/2 deg. Refer to Fig. 5426-2 for fleet angle measurement to the sheaves.	Ref. MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly) for the following items: (a) The maximum fleet angle for the main hoist drum is < 3-1/2° throughout the hoisting range, except at the last 3 ft of maximum lift elevation, where it is < 4°.  (b) The maximum fleet angle for the main hoist sheaves is < 3-1/2° throughout the hoisting range, except at the last 3 ft of maximum lift elevation, where it is < 4-1/2°.
5426.2 Types II and III Cranes	
The operating fleet angle for Types II and III cranes shall be in accordance with CMAA 70.	The aux hoist wire rope fleet angles are in accordance with Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
5427 Sheaves	
Sheaves shall be of steel and provided with antifriction or sleeve bearings. Proper provision for the effects of thrust shall be made where applicable. Figures 5427.1-1 and 5427.1-2 are recommended for running sheave proportions only. The pitch diameter of all sheaves except equalizer sheaves shall be not less than 24 times the diameter of the hoist rope for 6 x 37 rope construction, or not less than 30 times the hoist rope diameter for 6 x 19 rope construction. Sheave journals requiring external lubrication shall be provided with individual grease lines, with the fittings located such that they will be protected from damage (see para. 5460). Means shall be provided to prevent the wire rope from leaving the sheave grooves.	Ref. MMH Drawing R95005 (Main Hoist Bottom Block Assembly) for the following items:  The main hoist sheaves are high-strength, low-weight Nylatron material specifically designed for sheave application. The sheaves are provided with sleeve bearings and are separated using washers to prevent galling and accommodate thrust loads. Sheave dimensions are in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations, but follow Figures 5427.1-1 and 5427.1-2 whenever possible. The main hoist uses high strength wire rope that is 8 x 25 construction and has a manufacturer's minimum recommended ratio for sheave groove minor diameter to rope diameter of 20:1. The actual main hoist ratio for sheave pitch diameter to rope diameter is 22:1, which is more conservative than the manufacturer's recommendations. The main hoist sheave sleeve bearings are solid with

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
	lubricant inserts, so there are no external grease lines. Means are provided to prevent the wire rope from leaving the sheave grooves. The bottom block is designed with metal bars that prevent the rope from leaving the sheave grooves.
5427.2 Types II and III Cranes	
Sheaves for Types II and III cranes shall be established in accordance	The aux hoist sheaves are in accordance with the provisions of
with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70.	Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
5428 Hooks	
5428.1 Single Failure-Proof Features (Type I Cranes)	
Type I cranes shall be provided with (a) hook(s) that either:  (a) provide(s) two load-attaching points designed such that each attaching point will be able to support a load of three times the critical load (static and dynamic) being handled without permanent deformation of the hook, other than localized strain concentration in areas for which additional material has been provided for wear, or  (b) provide(s) one load-attaching point designed such that it will be able to support a load of six times the critical load (static and dynamic) being handled without permanent deformation of the hook, other than localized strain concentration in areas for which additional material has been provided for wear.	(a) The main hoist hook is a sister hook design that provides two load-attaching points designed such that each attaching point can support a load of three times the critical load (static and dynamic) being handled without permanent deformation of the hook, other than localized strain concentration in areas for which additional material has been provided for wear. Ref. MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).  (b) The main hoist hook also has a pinhole, which is a single attachment point that is able to support a load of six times the critical load (static and dynamic) being handled without permanent deformation of the hook. Ref. MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
5428.2 Single Failure-Proof Features (Types II and III Cranes) Single failure-proof mechanical features are not required.	Single failure proof features are not provided for the aux hoist hook and are not required.
5428.3 Analytical Procedure for Curved Beams	
Hook stresses are calculated using the curved beam method	N/A - Straight beam method is used for the main hoist sister hook in
described by A.M. Wahl in The Journal of Applied Mechanics, pp. A-	accordance with Section 5477(b). The aux hoist hook is purchased from a
239 to A-242, September 1946. For hook configuration and	manufacturer and has a published load rating and safety factor
calculation procedures, see para. 5470.	appropriate for the load.
5429 Reeving Efficiency (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
The reeving efficiencies are based on the total number of ropes	Reeving efficiency is determined as required with additional input from
supporting one load block either double reeved or single reeved.	Specification CMAA 70. Section 5.2.9.1.1.1 provides a breakdown of
The values of the reeving efficiencies are determined from Eq. (12).	efficiencies based on the use of antifriction vs. sleeve bearings and oil

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
[Text and/or equations omitted]	lubrication for internal reductions vs. grease lubrication for external
,	reductions. Ref. MMH Document 36675-18 (Main Hoist Gearing Analysis).
5430 Trolley Drives	
(a) Type I Cranes. Trolley drives shall consist of one of the following arrangements, which are shown in Fig. 5430(a)-1. Each four-wheel trolley shall use a drive arrangement that provides drive to at least 50% of the wheels. Trolleys having more than four wheels shall have at least 25% of the wheels driven.  In trolley travel drives, single failure-proof features are generally not required. However, in those cases where a failure of a component could result in a facility unacceptable excursion, the design shall incorporate single failure-proof features to ensure that the trolley can be brought to a safe stop.  (1) A-1 Drive. The motor is located near the center of the trolley and is connected by means of a flexible coupling to a self-contained gear reduction unit also located at the center of the trolley, which shall be connected to the line shaft by solid or half-flexible couplings. The line shaft is in turn connected to the trolley wheel axles by means of floating shafts with half-flexible couplings.  (2) A-1A Drive. Same as A-1 drive, except the self-contained gear reduction unit is located closer to one of the trolley wheel axles.  (3) A-1B Drive. Same as A-1 drive, except the self-contained gear reduction unit is located outside the trolley frame close to one of the trolley wheel axles.	and are not required. (b) N/A - This is a Type I Crane
(4) A-2 Drive. The motor is connected by means of a flexible coupling to a self-contained gear reduction unit located at	
the center of the trolley. The trolley wheels shall be driven	
through gears which are either pressed or keyed to their	
axles or which are attached directly to the wheel. Floating	
shaft couplings shall be half-flexible type at wheel and	
reducer connections. If splicing of floating shafts is	

#### Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements** required, couplings shall be of the solid type. (5) A-3 Drive. The motor is located at the center of the trolley and is connected directly to the line shaft by halfflexible couplings. Self-contained gear reduction units located near each end of the trolley shall be connected to the trolley wheel axles by means of floating shafts with halfflexible couplings or directly with full-flexible couplings. (6) A-4 Drive. The motors are located near each end of the trolley without torque shafts. The motors shall be connected to self-contained gear reduction units by means of flexible couplings. The gear reduction units shall be connected to the trolley wheel axles by means of floating shafts with half-flexible couplings or directly coupled by means of full-flexible couplings. (7) A-5 Drive. The motor is located near the center of the trolley and is connected by means of a flexible coupling to a self-contained gear reduction unit located near the center of the trolley. This reduction unit shall be connected by sections of line shaft having solid or half-flexible couplings to self-contained gear reduction units located near each end of the trolley, and these in turn connect to trolley wheel axles by means of floating shafts with half-flexible couplings or directly by means of full-flexible couplings. (8) A-6 Drive. The motors are located near each end of the trolley and are connected with a torque shaft. On the drive end, the motors shall be connected to self-contained gear reduction units by means of flexible couplings. Gear reduction units are to be connected to trolley wheel axles by means of floating shafts with half-flexible couplings. High speed shafts between motors shall be connected by means of half-flexible couplings. All other couplings shall be of the

solid type.

(b) Types II and III Cranes. Arrangement of trolley drives is the same

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
as for Type I cranes.	·
5431 Motors — Trolley	
5431.1 Type I Cranes	
Each motor in a trolley drive arrangement (refer to para. 5430) shall connect directly or indirectly to two opposite wheels for traversing the trolley, or, if individually driven wheels are used, a motor shall be provided to drive opposite wheels. Motors are to be selected per para. 6400.	The trolley drive is an A-4 arrangement that includes individually driven wheels with motors driving opposite wheels. The motors are selected per para. 6400. Ref. MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly).
5431.2 Types II and III Cranes	·
Trolley drive motors shall be selected in accordance with para. 6400.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5432 Trolley Travel Gearing	,
5432.1 General — Type I Cranes	·
Trolley travel gearing shall be designed in accordance with para. 5413 except for the areas delineated in this Section. The actual horsepower imposed on the gearing shall be considered as the rated motor horsepower at its normal time rating as defined in Section 6000. If 60-minute series wound motors are used, then special consideration shall be given to the short time torque ratings of such motors.	The motor and gearbox are purchased as a combination unit and the gearbox meets or exceeds the applicable requirements of AGMA for the respective size, which complies with Section 5413. The actual horsepower imposed on the gearing is considered as the rated motor horsepower at its normal time rating (60 minute minimum) as defined in Section 6000. Ref. MMH Document 36675-06 (Electrical Calculations). 60-minute series wound motors are only applicable to DC motors. Since this is an AC motor, special considerations for short time torque ratings do not apply.
5432.2 Types II and III Cranes	
Gearing for trolley travels shall be established in accordance with the provisions of Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5433 Trolley Brakes	
5433.1 Type I Cranes	
(a) Service Brakes. A trolley drive system shall be provided with a service braking means which may be satisfied by the emergency brake, a separate control brake, or as part of the motor controls. Service brake requirements, brake sizes, and brake designs are specified in Section 6000.  (b) Emergency and Parking Brakes. Each primary trolley drive motor	(a) Dynamic braking through the variable frequency drive is provided for the trolley to satisfy the service brake requirement. Service brake requirements, brake sizes, and brake designs comply with Section 6000. (b) Friction-type brakes are provided for all trolley motors to satisfy the emergency and parking brake requirement. Brake sizing and design complies with Section 6000.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
shall be provided with an emergency and a parking brake. Brake sizing and design are specified in Section 6000.	
5433.2 Types II and III Cranes	
Trolley brakes shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5440 Bridge Drives	
(a) Type I Cranes. Bridge drives shall consist of one of the following arrangements, which are shown in Fig. 5440(a)-1. Each four-wheel bridge shall use a drive arrangement that has at least 50% of the wheels driven. Bridges having more than four wheels, such as eightwheel, twelve-wheel, or sixteen-wheel, shall have at least 25% of the wheels driven.  In bridge travel drives, single failure-proof features are generally not required. However, in those cases where a failure of a component could result in a facility unacceptable excursion, the design shall incorporate single failure-proof features to ensure that the bridge can be brought to a safe stop.  (1) A-1 Drive. The motor is located near the center of the bridge and is connected by means of a flexible coupling to a self-contained gear reduction unit also located at the center of the bridge, which shall be connected to the line shaft by solid or half-flexible couplings. The line shaft is in turn connected to the bridge wheel axles by means of floating shafts with half-flexible couplings [see (a)(7) below, Note].  (2) A-2 Drive. The motor is connected by means of a flexible coupling to a self-contained gear reduction unit located at the center of the bridge. The bridge wheels shall be driven through gears which either are press fitted or are attached directly to the wheel. Line shaft couplings at the center reducer shall be either solid or half-flexible. Line shaft couplings at the truck reduction pinion shall be of the half-flexible type. All other couplings shall be of the solid type [see (a)(7) below, Note].  (3) A-3 Drive. The motor is located at the center of the	(a) The bridge drive consists of the A-2 arrangement described in (2) and is approximately shown in Fig. 5440(a)-1. The eight-wheel bridge uses a drive arrangement that provides drive to at least 25% of the wheels. Ref. P&H Drawing 105A3002 (Bridge Layout).  Single failure proof features are not provided for the bridge drive system and are not required.  The motor is connected by means of a flexible coupling to a self-contained gear reduction unit located at the center of the bridge. The bridge wheels are driven through gears that are keyed and press fitted. Line shaft couplings at the center reducer are solid. The reduction pinion is pressed onto the end of the drive shaft, which is supported by a bearing and does not require a coupling. All other couplings are of the solid type.  (b) N/A - This is a Type I Crane

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
bridge and is connected directly to the line shaft by means	
of half-flexible couplings. Self-contained gear reduction	
units located near each end of the bridge shall be	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
connected to the bridge wheel axles by means of floating	
shafts with half-flexible couplings. All other couplings shall	
be of the solid type.	·
(4) A-4 Drive. The motors are located near each end of the	,
bridge without torque shafts. The motors shall be	·
connected to self-contained gear reduction units by means	
of flexible couplings. The gear reduction units shall be	
connected to the bridge wheel axles by means of floating	
shafts with half-flexible couplings or directly coupled by	
means of full-flexible couplings.	
(5) A-5 Drive. The motor is located near the center of the	
bridge and is connected by means of a flexible coupling to a	
self-contained gear reduction unit located near the center	
of the bridge. This reduction unit shall be connected by	
sections of line shaft having solid or half-flexible couplings	
to self-contained gear reduction units located near each	
end of the bridge, and these, in turn, shall be connected to	
bridge wheel axles by means of floating shafts with half-	·
flexible couplings [see (a)(7) below, Note].	
(6) A-6 Drive. The motors are located near each end of the	
bridge and are connected with a torque shaft. On the drive	
end, the motor shall be connected to a self-contained gear	
reduction unit by means of flexible couplings. Gear	
reduction units are to be connected to bridge wheel axles	
by means of floating shafts with half-flexible couplings. High	
speed shafts between motors shall be connected by half-	
flexible couplings. All other couplings shall be of the solid	
type.	
(7) Typical bridge drive arrangements for polar cranes are	
shown in Fig. 5440(a)-2. These drives use the A-4 drive	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB <i>Crane Trolley</i> Compliance
arrangement with the axis of bridge wheel rotation passing	
through the center of the crane runway diameter.	
(b) Types II and III Cranes. Arrangement of bridge drives is the same	·
as for Type I cranes.	·
5441 Motors — Bridge	·
5441.1 Type I Cranes	
Each bridge drive arrangement, as described in para. 5440 and	The bridge drive is an A-2 arrangement that uses a single motor. The
shown in Figs. 5440(a)-1 and 5440(a)-2, shall use one or more	motor is selected per para. 6400. Ref. P&H Drawing 105A3002 (Bridge
motors for traversing the bridge. Motor(s) shall be selected in	Layout)
accordance with para. 6400.	
5441.2 Types II and III Cranes	·
Bridge drive motors shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
70.	
5441.3 Ratings	
If 60-min series wound motors are used, then special consideration	N/A - 60-minute series wound motors are only applicable to DC motors.
shall be given to the short time torque ratings of such motors.	Since this is an AC motor, special considerations for short time torque
	ratings do not apply.
5442 Bridge Travel Gearing	
5442.1 Type I Cranes	
Bridge travel gearing shall be designed in accordance with para.	The existing bridge motor is replaced by a new bridge motor with the
5413, except for the areas delineated in this Section. The actual	same horsepower and dimensions. The actual horsepower imposed on
horsepower imposed on the gearing shall be considered as the	the gearing is considered the rated motor horsepower at its normal time
rated motor horsepower, at its normal time rating, unless 60-min	rating (60 minute minimum) as defined in Section 6000.
series wound motors are used (see para. 5441.3).	
	See Note 1.
5442.2 Types II and III Cranes	
Gearing for bridge travels shall be established in accordance with	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
the provisions of Specification CMAA 70.	
5443 Bridge Brakes	
5443.1 Type I Cranes	
(a) Service Brakes. A bridge drive system shall be provided with a	(a) Dynamic braking through the variable frequency drive is provided for
service braking means that may be satisfied by the parking brake, a	the bridge to satisfy the service brake requirement. Service brake
separate control brake, or as part of the motor controls. Service	requirements, brake sizes, and brake designs comply with Section 6000.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
brake requirements, brake sizes, and brake designs are specified under Section 6000.  (b) Emergency and Parking Brakes. Each primary bridge drive motor shall be provided with an emergency and a parking brake. Brake sizing and design are specified under Section 6000.	<b>(b)</b> Friction-type brakes are provided for all bridge motors to satisfy the emergency and parking brake requirement. Brake sizing and design complies with Section 6000.
5443.2 Types II and III Cranes	
Bridge brakes shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5450 General Mechanical Components 5451 Couplings (Types I, II, and III Cranes) 5451.1 General (a) Couplings connecting the motor(s) to the hoist and travel(s) shall be the flexible type. Grease lubricated couplings are preferred with gear types. (b) Cross-shaft couplings, other than the flexible type, shall be steel as specified by the coupling manufacturer. The coupling (other than flexible) may be compression, sleeve, or flange type. Couplings shall be provided at each end truck and each side of the motor gear reducer. Additional couplings may be installed as necessary.	Ref. MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly) and P&H Drawing 105A3002 (Bridge Layout) for the following items:  (a) Grease-lubricated flexible couplings are used between the motors and gear cases for the hoist, trolley drive, and bridge drive.  (b) Solid compression type couplings are used for the bridge drive shafts, including at each end truck and at each side of the motor gear reducer. There are no solid type couplings on the trolley.
<ul> <li>5451.2 Selection</li> <li>(a) Coupling selection shall be based on the manufacturer's rating and applicable service factors for crane motions compared to the applied torque on the coupling, giving consideration to the following: <ul> <li>(1) motor output</li> <li>(2) gear ratio</li> <li>(3) efficiency of system</li> <li>(4) wheel slippage with maximum operating wheel load (friction = μ = 0.2)</li> <li>(5) dynamic effects</li> <li>(6) brake torque</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	(a-b) Coupling selection for the trolley is based on the manufacturer's rating and applicable service factors for crane motions compared to the applied torque on the coupling with consideration for requirements listed in (a) as applicable.  See Note 1.
(b) In no case do all of the loading conditions occur simultaneously, and consideration should be given to the applicable conditions, such as minimum wheel slippage or motor output torque.	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
5452 Wheels — Bridge and Trolley	·
5452.1 General	
Unless other means of restricting lateral movement are provided, wheels shall be double flanged with treads accurately machined. Wheels may have either straight treads or tapered treads assembled with the large diameter toward the center of the span. Drive wheels shall be matched pairs within 0.001 in./in. of diameter, or a total of 0.010 in. on the diameter, whichever is smaller. When flangeless wheel and side roller assemblies are provided, they shall be of a type and design recommended by the crane manufacturer.	Double flanged wheels with straight machined treads are used for both the bridge and trolley per MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly) and P&H Drawing 100A4381-S9 (Truck Assembly). Trolley wheel tread diameter is machined within a minimum of 0.010 in. so that all wheels accurately match. Flangeless wheel and side roller assemblies are not used on this crane.  See Note 1.
5452.2 Material	
Wheels shall be rolled or forged from steel for Type I cranes. Types II and III cranes may have wheels cast of carbon or alloy steel. Wheel treads shall have a minimum surface hardness of 300 BHN.	The trolley wheels are forged from steel and the treads are hardened to 57-62 Rc (58 Rc equals approximately 615 BHN).
	See Note 1.
5452.3 Loading	· ·
Wheels shall be designed to carry the maximum wheel load under normal conditions. The allowable maximum wheel load is determined by dividing the allowable wheel load in Table 5452.3-1 by the appropriate speed factor of Table 5452.3-2. The allowable wheel load shown in Table 5452.3-1 is that load produced with trolley handling the rated load in the position to produce the maximum load and shall be used for determining wheel sizes. Impact loading due to handling rated load is not included in the allowable wheel loads.	Wheels are designed to carry the maximum wheel load under normal conditions per the requirements of this section. Maximum wheel loads are determined in MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations).
5452.4 Clearances	- (
(a) Bridge Clearances. Wheel treads shall be a minimum of 3/4 in.	Ref. P&H 100A4381-S9 (Truck Assembly), and MMH Drawing R94779
wider than the rail head for nontapered wheels.	(Trolley Assembly) for the following items:
<b>(b)</b> Trolley Clearances. Wheel treads shall be a minimum of 3/8 in. wider than the rail head for nontapered wheels.	(a) Bridge wheel treads are not tapered and are a minimum of 3/4 in. wider than the rail head.
(c) Tapered Wheel Clearances. Tapered tread wheels may have a	(b) Trolley wheel treads are not tapered and are a minimum of 3/8 in.
clearance over the rail head of 150% of the clearance provided for	wider than the rail head.
straight tread wheels, or as recommended by the crane	(c) N/A - Tapered wheels are not used for this crane.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
manufacturer.	(d) N/A - There are no special conditions for wheel clearances.
(d) Special Conditions for Wheel Clearances. Wheel tread clearances	·
may be greater than those specified in Fig. 5452.4-1, if determined	See Note 1.
necessary to meet runway expansion requirements caused by	
excessive temperature and pressure. Refer to Section 1000. For	
guidance on wheel width and height, refer to Table 5452.4-1.	
5452.5 Axle Fits	•
When rotating axles are used, wheels shall be mounted on the axle	Wheels are press fit onto axles with keys. Wheel hubs are thick enough
with a press fit alone or press fit and keys. All wheels shall have	to permit the use of keys.
sufficient hub thickness to permit the use of keys.	
5452.6 Overhung Wheels	
Overhung wheels shall not be used.	Overhung wheels are not used on this crane.
5453 Axles — Bridge and Trolley	
5453.1 General — Type I Cranes	
Axles may be either of the fixed or rotating type.	Ref. MMH Drawing R95253 (Trolley Wheel Assembly - Drive), P&H
(a) Load Combinations, Allowable Stresses, and Service Factors. The	Drawing 10F8569 (Trolley Wheel Idler Axle), P&H Drawing 10F7747F1
bridge and trolley axles shall be designed to resist the load	(Bridge Wheel Drive Axle), and P&H Drawing 10F7748 (Bridge Wheel Idler
combinations of Table 5453.1(a)-1 with corresponding values of	Axle) for the following items:
allowable stresses and service factors.	Rotating axles are used on the bridge and trolley wheels.
<b>(b)</b> Computation — Analysis. Analytical stress computations shall be	(a) Bridge and trolley axles are selected to resist the load combinations of
performed according to procedures in para. 5470.	Table 5453.1(a)-1 with corresponding values of allowable stresses and
	service factors.
	(b) Axle sizing is based on existing, proven designs for similar
	applications.
	·
5453.2 General — Type II Cranes	
Axles shall be designed according to Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5453.3 General — Type III Cranes	
Axles shall be designed according to Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5454 Drive Shafts — Bridge and Trolley	
5454.1 General — Type I Cranes	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
Drive shafting shall be designed for the rated load maximum wheel	N/A - Drive shafting is not used for the trolley since the trolley travel
load in combination with the required torque. The magnitude of the	drives slip directly onto the trolley wheel axles.
torque shall be based on the drive output torque, skid torque, or	·
braking torque, whichever is limiting.	See Note 1.
(a) Computation — Analysis. Analytical stress computations shall be	
performed according to procedures in para. 5470.	
(b) Service Factors. Service factors shall be applied according to	
para. 5320.	
(c) Torsional Deflection. The torsional deflection of the cross-shafts	
and floating shafts shall not exceed the values, shown in Table	
5454.1(c)-1. The types of drives referred to in this table are defined	
in para. 5440. The percent motor torque is the portion of the full-	
load torque of the drive motor(s) at its normal time rating for the	·
service involved, increased by any gear reductions between the	
motor and the shaft. If 60-min series wound motors are used, short	•
time rating torques should be considered. The allowable angular	
deflection is expressed in degrees per foot (deg/ft).	
5454.2 General — Types II and III Cranes	
Drive shafting shall be designed according to Specification CMAA	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
70.	
5455 Bearings	·
5455.1 Antifriction Bearings (Type I Cranes)	•
(a) The type, size, and mounting of bearings shall be determined by	Antifriction bearings are provided for the trolley wheels; main hoist gear
criteria outlined in this Section. Computations confirming the	cases, and main hoist hook. Ref. MMH Drawing R95253 (Trolley Wheel
adequacy of the bearing to meet the criteria shall be included as	Assembly - Drive), MMH Drawing R95005 (Main Hoist Bottom Block
part of the crane analysis.	Assembly), and MMH Drawing QR89592 (Main Hoist Gear Case
(b) Bearings with a calculable predicted life expectancy of a	Assembly).
minimum of 5000 hr shall be selected.	(a) Bearings are selected based on existing, proven designs that comply
(1) Bearing life expectancy shall be determined from the	with the requirements of this section. Computations confirming the
bearing manufacturer's published data or certified	adequacy of bearings are included in MMH Document 36675-23 (Main
extension of published data.	Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
(2) Bearing life expectancy shall be expressed as the	(b) Bearings are selected based on existing, proven designs with a
number of hours of operation in which 90% of the bearings	minimum life expectancy of 5000 hours in accordance with the

are expected to operate without failure.

- (3) Analytical procedures may be based on L10 or B10, as defined by the AFBMA.
- (4) Infrequent loads, such as impact or seismic, need not be considered in bearing life computation.
- (5) Bearing life computations shall be based on the full rated speed except as exempted herein.
  - (a) Bearing life computations may be based on less than full rated speed only if confirmed by the load spectrum, unless otherwise specified by the purchaser.
- (6) Bearing life computations shall be based on the following minimum percentages of maximum load and load combinations.
  - (a) Bridge drive bearings shall be computed using 75% of the maximum load, and shall be computed with no load acting against the wheel flange.
  - (b) Trolley drive and wheel bearings shall be computed using 65% of the maximum load, and shall be computed with no load acting against the wheel flange.
  - (c) Hoist bearings shall be computed using 65% of the full rated load.
- (c) Bearings shall be selected to withstand the maximum forces that may be imposed.
  - (1) Bearing capability shall be determined from the manufacturer's published data or certified extension of published data.
  - (2) The basic static capacity of the bearing shall not be exceeded by load combinations as outlined herein.
    - (a) All bearings shall be sized to resist the maximum operating force which can be imposed by the driving motors.

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

requirements of this section. **(c)** Bearings are selected to withstand the maximum forces imposed with respect to the manufacturer's published static capacities. Ref. MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).

- (d) Mounting fits and internal clearances are designed as recommended by the manufacturer.
- (e) Gear case bearings are bathed in oil for lubrication. Wheel bearings and the main hoist hook bearing are pre-lubricated with grease and have fittings for re-lubrication.
- (f) Gear case bearings are sealed within the gear case. Wheel bearings are contained inside a machined steel housing to exclude dust and minimize grease leakage.
- (g) Deflections in assemblies under load are minimized to prevent abnormal bearing loads. Deflection for major frame components is calculated in MMH Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis).
- (h) N/A Bearings are selected to comply with the manufacturer's published speed ratings.
- (i) The main hoist hook bearing uses non water-soluble grease and has an easily accessible grease fitting for re-lubrication.

See Note 1.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(b) Wheel bearings shall be designed to resist forces	
due to the maximum wheel load.	·
(3) Loads imposed by the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE)	·
shall not exceed 90% of the bearings' minimum fracture	
limit.	·
(d) Mounting fits and internal clearances shall be as recommended	
by the bearing manufacturer.	
(e) All bearings shall be provided with proper lubrication or means	,
of lubrication.	
(f) Bearing enclosures shall be designed as far as practical to	
exclude dirt and prevent leakage of oil or grease.	
(g) Assemblies shall be analyzed to confirm that deflection under	
load does not exceed that which the bearing can accommodate.	
(h) Special consideration shall be given to bearings which operate at	
speeds above or below the manufacturer's published data. Certified	
confirmation of the bearing's capacity beyond published rating	
must be obtained from the manufacturer.	
(i) For bearings of load blocks that are to be immersed:	
(1) lubricants of these bearings shall be compatible with the	
chemistry of the liquid (refer to Section 1000 for special	
environmental conditions);	,
(2) provisions shall be made for relubricating the bearings	
once the block has been removed from the liquid.	
5455.2 Antifriction Bearings (Types II and III Cranes)	
(a) Refer to Specification CMAA 70.	Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly) for the following items:
(b) For bearings of load blocks that are to be immersed:	(a) Antifriction bearings for the aux hoist are in accordance with
(1) lubricants of these bearings shall be compatible with the	Specification CMAA 70.
chemistry of the liquid (refer to section 1000 for special	(b) The aux hoist hook bearing uses non water-soluble grease and has an
environmental conditions);	easily accessible grease fitting for re-lubrication.
(2) provisions shall be made for relubricating the bearings	·
once the block has been removed from the liquid.	
5455.3 Sleeve Bearings (Type I Cranes)	
(a) Only bearings with published and/or certified properties shall be	Self-lubricating brass alloy sleeve bearings are provided for the bottom

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB <i>Crane Trolley</i> Compliance
used.  (b) The PV (pressure velocity) rating of the bearing shall not be exceeded for any combination of operating loads.  (c) Forces induced by the SSE shall not exceed 90% of the allowable compressive strength of the bearing.  (d) All bearings shall be provided with proper lubrication or means of lubrication.  (e) Mounting fits and clearances shall be as recommended by the bearing manufacturer.  (f) Bearing enclosures shall be designed as far as practical to exclude dirt and prevent leakage of oil or grease.	block sheaves per MMH Drawing R95005 (Main Hoist Bottom Block Assembly).  (a) Sleeve bearings with published and/or certified properties are used.  (b) Operating loads for sleeve bearings do not exceed PV ratings.  (c) Forces due to a rope break condition, which envelopes seismic loading, do not exceed 90% of the allowable compressive strength of the bearing. Ref. MMH Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).  (e) Mounting fits and clearances are designed based on the bearing manufacturer's recommended values and standard design practices.  (f) Sleeve bearings are self-lubricating, so oil and grease leakage is not applicable. Clearances for sleeve bearings are minimized to exclude dirt where practical.
5455.4 Sleeve Bearings (Types II and III Cranes) Refer to Specification CMAA 70. 5456 Fasteners — Mechanical Components 5456.1 Fastener Restraints	N/A - Sleeve bearings are not used on the aux hoist.
(a) Types I and II Cranes  (1) Fasteners shall not loosen under normal operating loads and vibration.  (2) Cranes which travel over the reactor pool or fuel pool shall use fasteners which do not depend upon lock washers unless they are so located as to be caught upon removal by drip pans or crane structure. For these cranes, when other than high strength bolts are used, preferred locking methods are thread-upsetting fasteners, plastic insert fasteners, tack welding, cementing, or lock wire. Highstrength bolts are considered restrained when torqued in accordance with the AISC method.  (b) Type III Cranes. Fasteners shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	Ref. Applicable assembly drawings, MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure), and MMH Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure) for the following items:  (a)  (1) Fasteners are provided with chemical locking compound, lock nuts, or lock wires and torqued to prevent loosening under normal operating loads and vibration. These items are specified for applicable fasteners on the respective assembly drawings.  (2) Lock washers are not used. Fasteners are provided with chemical locking compound, lock nuts, or lock wires and torqued. High strength bolts are torqued in accordance with AISC as required. These items are specified for applicable fasteners on the respective assembly drawings.  (b) N/A - This is a Type I Crane
	See Note 1.

#### 5456.2 Allowable Stresses

- (a) Types I and II Cranes. Maximum combined stresses induced in the fasteners by normal operating loads (but not including pretensioning loads) shall not exceed 20% of the ultimate strength of the fasteners. Limiting loads (such as seismic, stall torque, and load hang-up) shall induce combined stresses (not including pretensioning stresses) which do not exceed 90% of the yield strength of the fasteners.
- **(b)** Type III Cranes. Maximum combined stresses induced in the fasteners by normal operating loads (not including pretensioning loads) shall not exceed 20% of the ultimate strength of the fasteners.

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

(a) Maximum combined stresses induced in the fasteners by normal loads (but not including pretensioning loads) do not exceed 20% of the ultimate strength of the fasteners. Maximum combined stresses induced in the fasteners by limiting loads (such as seismic, stall torque, and load hangup, but not including pretensioning stresses) do not exceed 90% of the yield strength of the fasteners.

Stresses in bolts for the hoisting machinery and seismic restraints are analyzed in MMH Document 36675-14 (Seismic Analysis of Misc. Items). **(b)** N/A - This is a Type I Crane

See Note 1.

#### 5456.3 Mounting of Machinery

- (a) Types I and II Cranes
  - (1) Mounting surfaces for machinery (except for bridge) shall be machined for direct mounting or with allowance for shimming as dictated by the design.
  - (2) Single machinery elements such as motors and gear reducers shall not be mounted on multiple support structures which can deflect relative to each other unless the design specifically allows for this deformation.
  - (3) Machinery or machine parts whose alignment is important to its operation shall not depend on friction but shall use positive means such as dowel pins, shear bars, or fitted bolts to maintain alignment.
  - (4) Gear engagements shall be protected such that equipment deformation could not cause disengagement and drop the load.
  - (5) Machinery weights shall be increased by appropriate dynamic factors and analyzed by the static method to determine fastener mounting loads. Allowable stresses shall be in accordance with para. 5456.2.
- (b) Type III Cranes

- (a) Ref. MMH Drawing QR95370 (Trolley Frame Weldment) for the following items:
  - (1) Mounting surfaces on the trolley for machinery are machined with allowance for shimming.
  - (2) Supports for machinery elements are welded to the trolley frame and then machined together to create a single rigid support structure for all components.
  - (3) Trolley machinery does not depend on friction to maintain alignment, but instead uses different methods including jacking bolts and/or shear bars. Ref. MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly).
  - (4)Gear cases and the supporting structural framework are sufficiently rigid to prevent gear disengagement that would drop the load. This is verified by the rated load test performed per MMH Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure).
  - (5) Static analysis for fastener mounting loads, including the increases in machinery weight due to dynamic factors from the seismic analysis results, is provided in MMH Document 36675-14 (Seismic Analysis of Misc. Items). Allowable stresses are in accordance with Section 5456.2

- (1) Mounting surfaces for machinery (except for bridge cross-shafting) shall be machined for direct mounting or with allowable for shimming as dictated by the design.
- (2) Single machinery elements such as motors and gear reducers shall not be mounted on multiple support structures which can deflect relative to each other unless the design specifically allows for this deformation.
- (3) Machinery or machine parts whose alignment is important to its operation shall not depend on friction but shall use positive means such as dowel pins, shear bars, or fitted bolts to maintain alignment.
- (4) Gear engagements shall be protected such that equipment deformation could not cause disengagement and drop the load.

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

- (b) N/A This is a Type I Crane
- See Note 1.

# 5457 Gear Cases, Enclosures, and Guards 5457.1 Gear Cases (Type I Cranes)

- (a) All gears except final reduction gears shall be completely enclosed in gear cases. All gear cases shall be oil-tight and sealed with compound or gaskets.
- (b) Unless otherwise approved by the owner, the hoist motion gear case base shall be split in one plane through the bearing center lines above the oil level except in microdrives and worm drives.
- (c) Openings when provided shall be provided in the top section for the inspection of gearing at mesh lines. Covers for these inspection holes shall be sealed to prevent leakage.
- (d) Splash oil lubrication of bearings may be used unless otherwise specified.
- (e) Oil pumps shall be used if vertical gearing exceeds two reductions. The oil level on horizontally arranged gearing shall be high enough to immerse the bottom portion of at least two gears.
- (f) Solid oil seals should be selected to allow replacement with split seals, if possible.
- (g) Easily accessible drain plugs and breathers shall be provided.

Ref. MMH Drawing QR89592 (Main Hoist Gear Case Assembly) for the following items:

- (a) All gears for the main hoist and trolley travel drive except for the final reduction gears are completely enclosed in gear cases. All gear cases are oil-tight and sealed with compound or gaskets.
- **(b)** The main hoist gear cases are split in one horizontal plane through the bearing center line above the oil level.
- **(c)** Openings are provided in the top section of the main hoist gear cases for the inspection of gearing at mesh lines. Covers with seals are provided to prevent leakage. The trolley travel drive does not have inspection ports.
- (d) Splash oil lubrication of bearings is used for the main hoist and trolley travel drive gear cases.
- (e) Oil pumps are not used in the main hoist gear. Oil levels are high enough to immerse the bottom portion of at least two gears.
- (f) Solid oil seals are split to allow replacement, where possible.
- (g) Easily accessible drain plugs and breathers are provided for the main

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(h) All hoist gear cases shall be mounted on machined surfaces.	hoist and trolley travel drive gear cases.
(i) Gear cases shall be provided with lugs or other means of lifting.	(h) The main hoist gear cases are mounted on machined surfaces of the
(j) Means for checking oil level shall be provided.	trolley frame.
	(i) The main hoist and trolley travel drive gear cases are provided with
	lugs or other means of lifting.
	(j) An oil dip stick is provided for each gear case.
	See Note 1.
5457.2 Gear Cases (Types II and III Cranes)	
Gear cases shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	Gear cases for the aux hoist are in accordance with Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
5457.3 Enclosures for Gears (Type I Cranes)	
(a) All gears not enclosed in gear cases shall be provided with	Ref. MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly) for the following items:
guarded enclosures. This is primarily for the final gear reduction at	(a) All gears not enclosed in gear cases, including the gear reductions at
the hoist drum and travel motion drive wheels.	the hoist drum, are provided with guarded enclosures.
(b) All gear enclosures shall be designed to retain lubricant.	(b) Gear enclosures are provided with seals to retain lubricant.
(c) Openings shall be provided in the top section for the inspection	(c) Openings with seals and cover plates are provided in the top section to
of the gearing at the mesh lines. Covers for these inspection holes	inspect the gearing at the mesh lines.
shall be sealed to prevent leakage.	(d) Openings for shafts or other rotating parts are provided with seals to
(d) Openings for shafts or other rotating parts such as drums shall	retain the lubricant.
be provided with means to retain the lubricant.	(e) Gear enclosures are provided with lugs for lifting.
(e) Gear enclosures shall be provided with lugs or other means of	
lifting.	See Note 1.
5457.4 Enclosures for Gears (Types II and III Cranes)	
Gear enclosures shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5457.5 Guards for Moving Parts (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
(a) Exposed moving parts such as gears, set screws, projecting keys,	Ref. P&H Drawing 105A3002 (Bridge Layout) for the following items:
chains, chain sprockets, and reciprocating components, which may	(a) Guards for exposed moving parts are not provided on the trolley since
constitute a hazard, shall be guarded.	this is not a normally accessed location for personnel. Guards for bridge
(b) Guards shall be securely fastened.	components are reused.
(c) Each guard shall be capable of supporting the weight of a 200 lb	(b) All guards are securely fastened.
person without permanent deformation, unless the guard is located	(c) All guards that can be stepped on are fabricated from heavy gage steel
where it is impossible for a person to step on it.	and can handle the weight of a 200 lb person without permanent

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
	deformation.
5457.6 Guards for Hoisting Ropes (Types I, II, and III Cranes) (a) If hoisting ropes run near enough to other parts to make fouling or chafing possible under normal operating conditions, guards shall be installed to prevent this condition. (b) A guard shall be provided to prevent contact between bridge or runway conductors and hoisting ropes if they can come into contact under normal operating conditions.	(a) Guards are not provided since hoisting ropes do not run near enough to other parts to make fouling or chafing possible under normal operating conditions.  (b) A guard is provided on the bridge to prevent contact between the ropes, bottom block, and runway conductor bars.
5458 Bumpers and Stops 5458.1 Bridge Bumpers (Type I Cranes) (a) Bumpers shall be sized to limit impact and critical load excursions to facility acceptable magnitudes.	(a-d) The existing bridge bumpers and stops are reused. (e) N/A - This crane is not a polar crane.
(b) A crane shall be provided with bumpers. These bumpers shall have the following minimum characteristics:  (1) energy absorbing (or dissipating) capacity to stop the crane when traveling with power off in either direction at a speed of at least 40% rated load speed (refer to para. 5459 on limit switches for limiting speed upon bumper impact); (2) capable of stopping the crane (not including load block and lifted load unless guided vertically) at a rate of deceleration not to exceed an average of 3 ft/sec2 when traveling with power off in either direction at 20% of rated load speed; (3) mounted such that there is no direct shear on bolts upon impact.	See Note 1.
<ul> <li>(c) Bumpers shall be designed and installed to minimize parts falling from the crane in case of breakage or loosening of bolted connections.</li> <li>(d) When more than one crane is located and operated on the same runway, bumpers shall be provided on their adjacent ends to meet the requirements stated above.</li> <li>(e) Bumpers are not required on polar cranes unless limited rotation is desired.</li> </ul>	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
5458.2 Bridge Bumpers (Types II and III Cranes)	
Bridge bumpers shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5458.3 Trolley Bumpers (Type I Cranes)  (a) Bumpers shall be sized to limit impact and critical load excursions to facility acceptable magnitudes.  (b) A trolley shall be provided with bumpers. These bumpers shall have the following minimum characteristics:  (1) energy absorbing (or dissipating) capacity to stop the trolley when traveling with power off in either direction at a speed of at least 50% rated load speed (refer to para. 5459 on limit switches for limiting speed upon bumper impact);  (2) capable of stopping the trolley (not including load block and lifted load unless guided vertically) at a rate of deceleration not to exceed an average of 4.7 ft/sec² when traveling with power off in either direction at one-third of rated load speed;  (3) mounted such that there is no direct shear on bolts upon impact.  (c) Bumpers shall be designed and installed to minimize parts falling from the crane in case of breakage or loosening of bolted connections.  (d) When more than one trolley is located and operated on the same bridge, bumpers shall be provided on their adjacent ends to meet the requirements stated above.	Ref. MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly) for the following items:  (a) Trolley bumpers are sized to limit impact and critical load excursions to facility acceptable magnitudes.  (b) Trolley bumpers are provided that:  (1) have the energy absorbing capacity to stop the trolley when traveling with power off in either direction at 50% of the rated load speed.  (2) are capable of stopping the trolley at a rate of deceleration less than 4.7 ft/sec² when traveling with power off in either direction at one-third of rated load speed.  (3) are mounted such that there is no direct shear on bolts upon impact.  (c) Bumper support structures are welded directly to the trolley frame to eliminate the concerns of bolted connections. Bumpers are thru bolted to the supports with chemical locking means to minimize parts falling due to breakage or loosening.  (d) N/A - There is only one trolley on the runway.
5458.4 Trolley Bumpers (Types II and III Cranes)	
Trolley bumpers shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5458.5 Trolley Stops (Type I Cranes)  (a) Stops shall be provided at the limits of travel of the trolley.  (b) Stops shall be designed to withstand the forces applied to the	Ref. MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly) for the following items:  (a) Stops are provided to limit the trolley travel
bumpers as specified in para. 5458.  (c) If a stop engages the tread of the wheel, it shall not be of a	<b>(b)</b> Stops are designed to withstand the forces applied to the bumpers per para. 5458.
height less than the radius of the wheel. Stops engaging other parts of the crane are recommended.	(c) Stops do not engage the tread of the wheel, but instead engage a dedicated bumper that is attached to the trolley.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(d) Stops shall be mounted such that there is no direct shear on the bolts upon impact.	(d) Stops are directly welded on to eliminate a bolted connection
5458.6 Trolley Stops (Types II and III Cranes)	
Trolley stops shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type   Crane
5459 Limit Switches	
5459.1 Limit Switches (Type I Cranes)	
(a) Track type for bridge and trolley travel motion (see Section 6000 for application and function). (b) Geared type for upper and lower travel hoist motion (see Section 6000 for application and function). (c) Weight and paddle-operated type for upper travel hoist motion (see Section 6000 for application and function). (d) A trolley track-type limit switch or other device shall be provided and positioned to ensure that, under operating conditions, the trolley speed cannot exceed 50% of rated load speed on engaging the trolley stops and bumpers. (e) A bridge track-type limit switch or other device shall be provided and positioned to ensure that, under operating conditions, the bridge speed cannot exceed 40% of rated load speed on engaging	Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items: (a) Proximity and rocker arm type limit switches are provided for bridge and trolley travel motion. (b) Geared limit switches are provided on the main hoist for upper and lower hoisting limits. (c) A weighted limit switch is provided on the main hoist for upper travel hoist motion. (d) The limit switches for trolley travel motion control a relay that stops the trolley and sets the brakes so that the trolley does not contact the bumpers. (e) The limit switches for bridge travel motion control a relay that stops the bridge and sets the brakes so that the bridge does not contact the bumpers.
the bridge stops and bumpers.	
<b>5459.2 Limit Switches (Types II and III Cranes)</b> Limit switches shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	Limit switches for the aux hoist are in accordance with Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
<b>5459.3 Clearances</b> For determining clearances between the trolley structure and the load block in its high position, allowance shall be made for lift, trip, and drift as shown in Figs. 5459.3-1 and 5459.3-2.	Allowances are provided for the lift, trip and drift of the bottom block.  Available lift is detailed in MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly) and the trip and drift will be adjusted and verified per MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure).
5460 Lubrication	
5461 Type I Cranes	
(a) Sheave bearings shall be individually lubricated and accessible for lubrication from the trolley deck for the head block assembly and the operating floor for the load block assembly. Load blocks	(a) The main hoist sheave bearings are sleeve type with lubrication insert and do not require additional lubrication. The thrust bearing is provided with water-insoluble grease and is easily accessible for relubrication as

that are immersed in water shall have special provisions to prevent loss of lubricant to the water (refer to para. 1100).

- (b) Hoisting ropes, except for stainless steel ropes (consult manufacturer), shall be lubricated. When ropes are immersed in water, the lubricant type shall be selected to reduce the loss of lubricant to the water.
- (c) Lubricants for gears, bearings, and wire ropes shall resist effects of gamma or neutron radiation when such effects are present, or facilities shall be provided for changing of the lubricants.
- (d) If lubricants cannot be conveniently changed, but are subjected to neutron or gamma radiation, then lubricants shall be NLGI Grade 0 oil containing molybdenum disulfate or NLGI Grade 11/2 grease with sodium aluminate thickener. They shall be oxidation and rust inhibited with the exception of wire rope lubricants.
- (e) Provisions shall be made to prevent lubricants falling from the crane.
- (f) For all above paragraphs, refer to Section 1000.

#### 5462 Types II and III Cranes

Lubrication shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70, unless the crane is subjected to radiation. If Types II and III cranes are subjected to radiation, then the provisions of para. 5461 apply.

#### **5470 Analytical Procedures**

It is the purpose of para. 5470 to apply common language for the terms, symbols, data, and formulas that apply most frequently in the process of mechanical crane engineering. The effects of service and stress concentrations are treated separately from the allowable stresses to conform with actual service conditions and actual design geometry.

(a) The basic stress formulas have been listed to achieve uniformity in recording and combining of design stresses throughout the industry. Where applicable, formulas and symbols shall be used as defined in this Standard. All other formulas and symbols used in

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

required. Ref. MMH Drawing R95005 (Main Hoist Bottom Block Assembly). Ref. MMH Drawing QR94824 (Main Hoist Wire Rope Assembly).

- **(b)** The main hoist wire rope is provided with lubrication that is designed for immersion in water.
- **(c)** Lubricants for gears, bearings, and wire ropes are selected to be compatible with facilities that handle radioactive material.
- (d) N/A Lubricants can be conveniently changed per MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual).
- (e) Provisions to prevent lubricants falling from the crane include oil seals for lubricated parts and oil-tight drip pans under gearboxes. Ref. MMH Drawing R94779 (Trolley Assembly).
- (f) Lubricants are selected with consideration for the environmental conditions in Section 1000.

See Note 1.

The aux hoist components are provided with lubrication means in accordance with Specification CMAA 70. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).

- (a) Basic stress formulas and symbols are used as defined in this Standard as applicable. All other formulas and symbols used in design calculations conform as far as possible to the method outlined and shown. The given data is applied to low and medium carbon steel and to heat treated alloy steel.
- **(b)** Material strength properties are treated on the basis of ultimate strength where applicable.
- (c) The design criteria of this Standard are applied to the crane to prevent progressive fatigue failure.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
design calculations shall conform as far as possible to the method	
outlined and shown. The given data apply to low and medium	
carbon steel (usually used as hot rolled and normalized) and to heat	•
treated alloy steel (usually used as quenched and tempered).	
(b) Material strength properties have been treated on the basis of	
ultimate strength because it has a good relationship to the fatigue	
strength. No differentiation has been made between various	
materials because of the wide scatter of fatigue strength for each	· ·
individual heat or each finished component. Heat treated alloy bar	·
has, on the average, higher fatigue strength than medium carbon	
steel of the same ultimate tensile strength.	
(c) Progressive fatigue failure represents the most common mode	
of failure in crane machinery. The design criteria of this Standard	
are, therefore, directed mainly to the prevention of accumulative	
damage to the material of mechanical crane components.	·
5471 Stress Concentration Factors (Type I Cranes)	
(a) Stress concentration factors $K_{NB}$ , $K_{NS}$ , $K_{NT}$ for shafting in bending,	(a) Stress concentration factors are factored into the design of the crane
shear, and torsion may be obtained from Stress Concentration	components where applicable.
Factors by R. E. Peterson. These factors shall give consideration to	(b) Combinations of stress concentration factors are factored into the
the effects on the fatigue strength of fillet radii, as well as keyways,	design of the crane components where applicable.
combined with heavy press fits. Stress concentration factors for all	
other forms of notches (such as lubrication holes, threads, grooves)	
as well as other modes of stressing must also be considered and	
may be obtained from Stress Concentration Factors. <sup>1</sup>	
(b) A combination of stress concentration factors must take place	
when two or more stress concentrations superimpose in one	
location — for example, keyway and/or press fit extending in the	
critical region of a shaft fillet. The proper stress concentration	
factors $K_{NB}$ or $K_{NN}$ must be applied in calculating $\sigma_X$ or $\sigma_Y$ stresses,	
depending on whether $\sigma_X$ or $\sigma_Y$ are basically bending or tension—	
compression stresses. Stress concentration factors must be entered	
into calculation even if equal to 1.0.	
5472 Nomenclature	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
[Text and/or equations omitted]	Specified nomenclature is used for applicable design parameters.
The maximum working stresses in Class I crane machinery components shall not exceed the maximum allowable stresses $\sigma_{BA}$ , $\sigma_{NA}$ , $\sigma_{XA}$ , $\sigma_{YA}$ , $\tau_{TA}$ , or $\tau_{TA}$ unless otherwise specified by the Purchaser. The working stresses ( $\sigma_B$ , $\sigma_N$ , $\sigma_{EBN}$ , $\sigma_{EN}$ , $\sigma_{EN}$ , $\sigma_X$ , $\sigma_Y$ , $\sigma_{EXYT}$ , $\tau_X$ , $\tau_T$ , $\tau_{ET}$ , $\tau_{XY}$ and $\sigma_{EXYT}$ ) are uniaxial, biaxial, shear, combined, or equivalent stresses, which are induced in a mechanical component by the working (operational) loads. The maximum working loads shall include dead loads, maximum live loads, and acceleration and deceleration forces which result from normal operation of the crane. The maximum calculated working stresses shall include both service and stress concentration factors.	Maximum working stresses for machinery components do not exceed the maximum allowable stresses as specified. Maximum working loads include service and stress concentration factors, dead loads, maximum live loads, and acceleration and deceleration forces which result from normal operation of the crane.
The allowable Stresses The allowable stresses $\sigma_{BA}$ , $\sigma_{NA}$ , $\tau_A$ , and $\tau_{TA}$ , which shall be obtained from Figs. 5474-1 through 5474-3, vary with the minimum ultimate tensile strength $\sigma_{UT}$ of the material in use, as well as with the fluctuation ratios $R_B$ , $R_N$ , $R_S$ , $R_T$ of the working stresses. $\sigma_{XA}$ and $\sigma_{YA}$ shall be selected from Figs. 5474-1 or Fig. 5474-2, depending upon whether $\sigma_X$ or $\sigma_Y$ are basically bending or tension—compression stresses. $\tau_{TA}$ shall be selected directly from Fig. 5474-3.	Allowable stresses are selected from Figs. 5474-1 through 5474-3 as required with respect to the ultimate tensile strength and fluctuation ratios of the working stresses.
Service Factors  Service factors K <sub>SB</sub> , K <sub>SN</sub> , K <sub>SS</sub> , and K <sub>ST</sub> are to be based on para. 5320.  Not all components within a crane drive system are necessarily subjected to the same service. Service factors shall give consideration to the following:  (a) risk and consequences of potential failure;  (b) indeterminate load reactions (for example, trolley with rigid frame supported on four track wheels);  (c) unpredictability of operation conditions — for example, unexpected accidents within the building;  (d) dynamic effects — for example, impacts in hoist mechanisms and seismic effects.	Service factors are based on para. 5320 and give consideration to the following:  (a) risk and consequences of potential failure;  (b) indeterminate load reactions;  (c) unpredictability of operation;  (d) dynamic effects.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
5476 Basic Stress Equations	,
(a) Where applicable, Eqs. (13) through (27) must be used in	(a-f) The basic stress equations outlined in this para. are applied as
determining basic stresses in crane machinery components. For	applicable to the crane machinery components using the maximum
determining size of machinery components, maximum working	operational load combinations.
(operational) moments and shear loads, as well as critical section	
moduli, must be entered into these formulas.	
(b) Sign convention must be observed when entering $\sigma_x$ and $\sigma_y$ in	
Eqs. (25), (26), and (27). (Tension is positive, compression is	
negative.)	
(c) Only stresses which do occur simultaneously at the location	
where stress is being calculated should be combined.	
(d) In Eqs. (19) through (27), anisotropy and stress fluctuation have	
been given consideration in a simplified manner for easier use in	
the design engineering process.	·
(e) For sample calculation, refer to Nonmandatory Appendix B,	·
para. B5476.	
(f) The following are the basic stress equations:	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
5477 Analytical Method for Hook of Approximate Trapezoidal	
Shape (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
(a) Method of Analysis. The analytical method given in this section	(a) N/A - The aux hoist hook is purchased from a manufacturer and has a
is intended to apply to hooks with cross-sections having a shape as	published load rating and safety factor appropriate for the load.
shown by the full line of Fig. 5477-1, which does not deviate	(b) The method of analysis and design of the main hoist sister hook is
significantly from a trapezoidal form. This includes a large number	made using the straight beam configuration for the hook. Ref. MMH
of practical crane-hook sections, such as shown in Fig. 5477-2. This	Document 36675-23 (Main Hoist Reeving Stress Report).
method, while approximate, is much faster than the numerical	(c) References are consulted as necessary.
integration method, and, in the cases to which it has been applied,	
it has given close agreement with the latter method.	·
Essentially, the analytical method is based on the assumption of an	
equivalent trapezoidal section having an area equal to that of the	
actual section. The stress computed in this way is then corrected for	
the stress increase in the neutral section resulting from the fact that	
the fibers nearest the center of curvature are farther from the	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
neutral axis than in the case of the equivalent trapezoidal section. It	
is assumed that the resultant load on the hook passes through the	
center of curvature of the curved part and that the critical section is	
at 90 deg to the resultant load.	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	·
(b) The method of analysis and design of a sister hook shall be made	,
using the straight beam configuration for the hook.	
(1) Figure 5477-4 shows the general outline and a shade of	
a sister hook without a pin hole.	
(2) Figure 5477-5 shows the general outline and shape of a	
sister hook with a pin hole.	
(c) References for para. 5477	
(1) Strength of Materials, S. Timoshenko, Second	
Edition, Part 2, D. Van Nostrand Co., New	
York, NY, 1941, p. 65.	
(2) Stresses in Curved Bars, H. C. Perkins, Transactions	
of ASME, Vol. 53, 1931, p. 201.	
(3) Mathematical Methods in Engineering,	
Thomas von Karman and M. A. Biot, McGraw-Hill	
Book Co., 1940, p. 5.	
(4) Stress Concentration Factors, R. E. Peterson,	
John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1974.	
5480 Seismic Analysis	
5481 Type I Cranes	
(a) Analysis confirming that the critical load will not be dropped as a	Ref. MMH Document 36675-05 (Bridge Stress Calculations) and MMH
result of the forces generated by seismic events shall be performed	Document 36675-09 (Seismic Analysis) for the following items:
(see Section 4000).	(a) Analysis confirming that the critical load will not be dropped as a
(1) The analysis may be static if it includes loads equivalent	result of the forces generated by a seismic event is provided.
to those which would be imposed by the seismic event	(1) N/A - The analysis is performed dynamically.
specified.	(2) Loads due to vertical and horizontal motions act together and
(2) Loads due to vertical and horizontal motions shall act	are combined in accordance with para. 4100.
together and shall be combined in accordance with para.	(3) All elements which support the critical load are analyzed, not
4100.	including material fatiguing, stress concentration factors, and

#### **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements** Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance (3) All elements which support the critical load shall be infinite life criteria. analyzed as follows and should not consider material (a) The stress level at all critical points is determined. (b) The gross cross-section is used to determine the stress fatiguing, stress concentration factors, and infinite life levels. criteria. (a) The stress level at all critical points shall be (c) The maximum stress levels do not exceed 90% of the determined. material yield strength. (4) Computations are based on the bulk cross-section of the (b) The gross cross-section shall be used in determining the stress level. material and the seismic forces are combined per para. 5300. (c) The maximum stress level shall not exceed 90% (b) An analysis will be provided that confirms that those components of the yield strength of the material. which would damage safety related equipment if dropped will remain in place during the seismic event. Ref. MMH Document 36675-14 (Seismic (4) All computations are to be based on the bulk crosssection of the material without consideration to any Analysis of Misc. Items). (c) Components whose major resonant frequencies are greater than 30 fatiguing effects of stress risers, or to the endurance limits of the material. The seismic forces according to the rules in Hz are analyzed as a lumped mass. (1) Analysis determining the stress level of the mounts when para. 5300 are to be algebraically added to the forces and applying maximum dynamic forces to the center of gravity is torques under normal operation. (b) Analysis shall be performed to confirm that those components detailed in Ref. MMH Document 36675-14 (Seismic Analysis of which would damage safety related equipment if dropped will Misc. Items). remain in place during the seismic event. (2) Vertical and horizontal loads are combined in accordance with (c) Components whose major resonant frequency is greater than 30 para. 4100, as in (a)(2) above. Hz may be analyzed as a lumped mass. (d) Components whose major resonant frequency is less than 30 Hz are analyzed dynamically. (1) Analysis shall consist of the determination of the stress level of the mounts when applying maximum dynamic forces to the center of gravity of the item. (2) Loads shall be combined as in (a)(2) above. (d) Components whose major resonant frequency is less than 30 Hz shall be analyzed dynamically. 5482 Type II Cranes (a-c) N/A - This is a Type I Crane (a) Analysis shall be performed to confirm that those components which would damage safety related equipment if dropped will

remain in place during the seismic event.

(c) Analysis shall be as listed in para. 5481.

(b) Such components shall be delineated by the bidding documents.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
5483 Type III Cranes	
Seismic analysis is not required unless specified by the purchaser.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5500 MISCELLANEOUS	
5510 Pendant Hoist and Travel Drives (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
5511 Crane Pendant Mounting	
The crane purchaser shall prescribe whether pendant control	
stations, if furnished, are to be mounted from the trolley frame,	N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant <sup>c</sup>
fixed positions on the bridge, or a messenger trace on the bridge.	
The purchaser shall also prescribe whether the crane is to be	
pendant controlled from several elevations in the building.	
Whenever possible, the pendant should be suspended in a manner	
that minimizes undue strains on the electrical conductor cable. A	
chain or wire rope strain relief should be provided, unless the	
pendant is suspended directly from a motorized cable reel.	
5512 Messenger Track System	
(a) The track itself should consist of a commercially available profile	N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant
section, such as a rolled l-beam or an extrusion. A guide wire	
arrangement commonly known as a Tag-Line System shall be	·
unacceptable for Type I cranes.	
(b) Messenger trolleys shall be compatible with the track and shall	
be of sufficient load carrying capacity to suspend the combined	
weights of the pendant, cables, and accessories, as well as the pull	
which could be developed while maneuvering the control station.	
Messenger trolley rollers shall be mounted on sealed antifriction	
pearings and shall be provided with lubrication fittings unless	
pearings are lubricated for life. Individual messenger trolleys should	
be interconnected by strain relief chains or cables to reduce strains	
on the electrical control cables when traversing.	
5513 Motorized Traversing	
The crane purchaser shall prescribe whether pendant traversing is	N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant
required. If furnished, the traversing tractor shall be controlled from	
the pendant station. If cable reels are suspended from a messenger	
track, consideration should be given to a motorized traversing	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
system. Consideration should also be given to ensure that the pendant station is accessible to the operator after it has been lifted or lowered by a reel.	
5514 Vertical Travel of Control Pendant	
In cases where pendant crane control is required from several elevations, the purchaser shall specify such requirements. Methods of raising and lowering the pendant shall be determined and specified by the purchaser, depending on prevailing conditions. Commonly used and readily available lifting and lowering devices consist of spring-operated load balancing reels, or motorized cable reels. In cases where motorized cable reels are used, the pendant shall be suspended directly from the electrical cable, without a strain relief rope.	N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant
5515 Speeds	
For pendant hoist and travel speeds, refer to para. 5334.	N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant
<ul> <li>5520 Load Weighing Devices (Types I, II, and III Hoists)</li> <li>(a) Load weighing devices for a hoist unit shall be provided if requested by the purchaser.</li> <li>(b) Weighing accuracy, location and type of readout, and increments of the readout shall be specified by the purchaser.</li> <li>(c) Refer to Section 6000 for overload devices.</li> </ul>	(a) A load weighing device is provided for the main and aux hoists. (b) Requirements for the load weighing system are provided in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. (c) Overload devices are in accordance with Section 6000.
5530 Welded Construction 5531 Type I Cranes All welding design and procedures shall conform to the current issue of AWS D1.1. Where special steels or other materials are used, the manufacturer shall provide welding procedures.	Welding design and procedures for the trolley are specified in MMH Nuclear Operating Procedure #5.0.1, which complies with both AWS D14.1 and D1.1 where applicable. Procedures qualified under AWS D1.1 are acceptable under AWS D14.1 per Section 9.1.4. Procedures for special materials are also contained in this document.
5532 Types II and III Cranes	See Note 1.
Welding shall be in accordance with Specification CMAA 70.	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5540 Hydraulics (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(a) Hydraulic components and fluids shall be selected to withstand maximum facility lifetime radiation exposure, unless a detailed maintenance program shall be supplied. (b) Critical loads or facility equipment shall be protected from leakage. (c) Commercial industrial hydraulic components in the critical load	(a-c) N/A - Hydraulic components are not used on this crane
path shall be selected and rated to not exceed 20% of the average ultimate strength of the material.	
5550 Ordering Information	
Orders for cranes under this Standard shall include the following information.	The following items are detailed in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003: (a) Load spectrum information
(a) Load Spectrum Information. Refer to para.	(b) N/A - This is a Type I Crane
5111(a).	(c) Hoist speeds
(b) Seismic Consideration for Type III Cranes, If Required. Refer to	(d) Trolley speeds
para. 5310(b)(3).	(e) Bridge speeds
(c) Hoist Speeds. Refer to para. 5331.1(a).	(f) N/A - Powered hook rotation is not required
(d) Trolley Speeds. Refer to para. 5332.1(a).	(g) N/A - Bearing life computation requirements in addition to para.
(e) Bridge Speeds. Refer to para. 5333.1(a).	5455.1 are not specified
(f) Powered Hook Rotation. Refer to paras. 5335(a) and (b).	(h) N/A - This is a Type   Crane
(g) Bearing Life Computations. Refer to para. 5455.1(b)(5)(a).	(i) N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant
(h) Seismic Analysis for Type III Cranes, If Required. Refer to para.	(j) N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant
5483.	(k) N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant
(i) Crane Pendant Mounting. Refer to para. 5511.	(I) Loading weighing devices
(j) Motorized Traversing. Refer to para. 5513.	
(k) Vertical Travel of Control Pendant. Refer to para. 5514.	·
(I) Loading Weighing Devices. Refer to paras. 5520(a) and (b).	
6000 Electrical Components	
6100 GENERAL	
(a) The specification for each crane shall state which crane	The following items are detailed in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003:
classification applies (para. 1130). Types are summarized from para.	(a) A Type I Crane is specified
1150 as follows:	(b) The specification details all requirements for materials, coatings,
(1) Type I Cranes: single failure-proof features and seismic	radiation exposure, environmental conditions, and quality assurance.
considerations;	(c) Generally available equipment which conforms to industry standards,

- (2) Type II Cranes: seismic considerations only;
- (3) Type III Cranes: neither single failure-proof features nor seismic considerations.
- **(b)** The specifications for each crane shall include any special requirements for components in accordance with the following:
  - (1) limiting the use of aluminum, zinc, mercury, and other specified materials (para. 6130);
  - (2) painting (para. 6140);
  - (3) life at specified values of radiation exposure (para. 6150);
  - (4) environmental conditions (para. 6160);
  - (5) quality assurance (para. 6170).
- (c) Generally available equipment which conforms to industry standards, such as those of NEMA, shall be used unless special designs are necessary.
- (d) The electrical equipment is not required to qualify as IEEE 323 Class 1E.
- (e) The specification for each Type III crane shall state whether Section 6000 or CMAA 70 is to be invoked for electrical components.

#### 6110 Single Failure-Proof Features (Type I Cranes)

- (a) The electrical system shall be designed so that it is possible for the operator to stop and hold a critical load regardless of the failure of any single component used in normal operation.
- **(b)** There shall be means at the operator's location that will allow him to remove power from all drive motors and brakes by opening or de-energizing a power device that is not required to close and open during normal "run-stop" operations.
- (c) Any inadvertent short circuit or ground shall be considered a single component failure.
- (d) The avoidance of two-blocking shall be accomplished by the use of single failure-proof features and shall not rely on any action by the operator. The normal hoist limit switch shall be supplemented

## Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

such as those of NEMA, is used unless special designs are necessary. (d) Electrical equipment does not qualify as IEEE 323 Class 1E, and is not required to.

(e) N/A - This is a Type I Crane

Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items:

- (a) The electrical system is designed to be failsafe so that the load is automatically stopped and held in the event of a single component failure. The operator may also stop and hold the load manually at any time by activating the emergency stop.
- **(b)** A master control relay is provided that opens the power circuit to all motors and sets all brakes when the operator depresses the emergency stop on either the radio or cab controls. Under normal operations, the master control relay does not change state.
- (c) Any short circuit or ground causes a fault in the drive or circuit breaker and safely shuts down the system.
- (d) Each hoist is equipped with two independent upper limit switches that

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
by an independent final hoist limit switch operated by the load block to remove power from the hoist motor and brakes.	do not rely on action by the operator.  The first gear-type limit switch is supplemented by a second weigh- operated limit switch that removed hoist power and sets the brakes when contacted by the load block.
(a) Type I Cranes. The user shall provide the equipment that shall de-energize the crane power supply in the event of either a Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) or an Operational Basis Earthquake (OBE). The hoist brakes shall be capable of holding the credible load during an SSE or OBE event, as determined in accordance with para. 6422.1(b). All electrical equipment shall remain on the crane during these seismic events.  (b) Type II Cranes. Requirements are the same as for Type I cranes, except that the brakes need not be capable of holding the load during a seismic event.  (c) Type III Cranes. Seismic considerations are not required for Type III cranes.	(a) ZionSolutions is responsible for supplying an appropriate means to disconnect the power supply in case of a seismic event. ZionSolutions will install an automatic system to de-energize the crane power supply in the event of either a safe Shutdown or an Operational Basis Earthquake. The hoist brakes are capable of holding the credible load during a seismic event. New control cabinets, raceway, junction boxes, and conduit will be secured to withstand forces due to the specified seismic events.  (b) N/A - This is a Type I Crane  (c) N/A - This is a Type I Crane
Cranes)  (a) If the crane specifications require that the content of certain specified materials for use on a crane be kept at a minimum [para. 1145(a)], but it is not practical to eliminate these specified materials completely, the electrical supplier shall tabulate their weight or surface area or the content of an alloy under the following categories:  (1) exposed, as in the head of a master switch; (2) bare, within a ventilated enclosure, as in the shaft fan and rotor bars of a ventilated squirrel cage motor; (3) bare, within a nonventilated enclosure, as in a totally enclosed nonventilated squirrel cage motor; (4) covered, as in insulated windings within a nonventilated motor, lighting transformer, reactor, etc.  (b) Galvanized conduit may be used except when specifically	(a) N/A - There are no special requirements for limiting electrical materials per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.  (b) Galvanized conduit is used on the crane and is not specifically prohibited.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
prohibited by the crane specifications.	
6140 Painting (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
When the crane specifications include special painting requirements, the electrical items are exempt from the special painting requirements and shall be furnished with a standard industrial finish [see para. 3230(i)].	There are no special painting requirements per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. Electrical items are furnished with a standard industrial finish pe para. 3230. Ref. MMH Document 36675-02 (B22 Painting Specification).
6150 Radiation Exposure [Types I, II, and III Cranes (para. 1141)]	
(a) If the crane is in a location where radiation levels are likely to be a factor in the life of the electrical equipment, the maximum rate of radiation and the total accumulated exposure at the crane elevation shall be stated in the crane specifications.  (b) Insulation in rotating machines, brakes, and magnetic device coils may be required to meet an accumulated dosage of 10 <sup>7</sup> rad in 40 years. Components, such as regulator cards, that can be removed without disconnecting wiring may be required to meet an accumulated dosage of only 10 <sup>4</sup> rad, on the basis that such components can be removed and stored in a location where they will not be exposed to more than normal atmospheric radiation during the long time intervals in which the crane will not operate after the power plant has been placed in service. If the user prefers not to remove the components, it will be permissible to establish a routine maintenance procedure of installing new components after they have accumulated a total exposure of 10 <sup>4</sup> rad.	Ref. Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 for the following items:  (a) The total accumulated radiation exposure for the life of the crane is provided.  (b-c) N/A - The total accumulated radiation exposure for the life of the crane does not pose a risk for the electrical components.
(c) The electrical equipment supplier shall submit data demonstrating that the type of insulation used in the equipment being supplied meets the radiation requirements in the crane specifications.	
6160 Environmental Conditions (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
(a) The electrical equipment specifications shall state environmental conditions to which that equipment may be subjected, such as:  (1) high humidity or high or low temperatures during prolonged intervals when the crane is in storage or not in	(a) Environmental conditions that are applicable to all crane components including humidity, temperature, pressure, and chemical sprays, are provided in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
use;	
(2) outside service:	
(a) temporary — during construction only	
(b) continuous	·
(3) pressure (para. 1143):	
(a) maximum pressure	
(b) rate of change in pressure	
(4) spray [para. 1145(a)];	
(5) ambient temperature (para. 1142):	
(a) rated	
(b) short time exposure to temperatures outside	
rated ambient range	·
(6) humidity (para. 1144).	
6170 Quality Assurance (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
There shall be no Quality Assurance Program requirements for	There are no Quality Assurance Program requirements for activities
activities covered by Section 6000, except for those specifically	covered by Section 6000 detailed in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.
required in the electrical procurement documents (see para. 2100).	Quality Assurance for packaging, shipping, receiving, storage, and
	handling of components is provided in accordance with para. 2100.
6180 Duty Cycle or Duty Class (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
The specifications shall state the duty cycle requirements in	Electrical duty class is provided for each motion in Zion Specification SP-
accordance with para. 6418.2 or the electrical duty class that	ZS-FH-003 per Table 6472.3(b)-1. The crane is not being used for
applies to each motion as determined by Table 6472.3(b)-1. If the	construction or prolonged operation at reduced speed.
crane is to be used for construction purposes, the duty cycles or	
classes required for that service shall be specified. In addition, any	
requirements for prolonged operation at reduced speed shall be	
specified.	,
6200 WIRING MATERIALS AND METHODS (TYPES I, II, AND III	
CRANES)	
6210 General	
(a) Applicable Standards:	Ref. MMH Document 36675-06 (Electrical Calculations) and MMH
(1) National Electrical Code (NEC, Article 610, "Cranes and	Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items:
Hoists")	(a) Wiring materials and methods meet or exceed NEC Article 610, ASTM
(2) American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) B 8	B8, ASTM B174, and NEMA ICS-1.

and B 174

- (3) National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA), Pub. No. ICS 1
- (b) The provisions of this Section apply to interconnecting wiring both within and external to control panel enclosures. It does not apply to wiring which forms an integral part of equipment such as motors, individual control components for example, contactors, transformers, and relays and electronic control subassembles.
- (c) The complete raceway system including wire shall be assembled on the crane at the crane manufacturer's facility. Where disassembly is necessary for shipment, components shall be matchmarked for ease of field erection. Where any portion of a raceway run must be disconnected or dismantled to permit shipment, the wire shall not be pulled through that raceway during shop assembly. Wire not pulled shall be cut to approximate length and bound in coils marked for the circuit for which it applies.
- (d) The wiring system shall meet the applicable requirements of NEC, Article 610.
- (e) For Types I and II cranes, the raceway system shall be secured and braced to withstand forces due to specified seismic events.
- (f) For cranes located inside the containment, consideration shall be given to rapid pressure changes as required by the specification. Pressure relief openings in electrical enclosures shall be provided where necessary to equalize these pressures.

#### 6220 Materials

#### **6221 Conductors**

- (a) Individual conductors including those in multiconductor cables shall have a maximum operating temperature rating not less than 167°F.
- (b) Multiconductor cable shall be permitted in wiring the crane. Uses of the cable shall comply with the National Electrical Code. Multiconductor cable used in flexing service shall be Type SO, Type W, or a purchaser-approved alternative.

### Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

- (b) N/A Wiring materials and methods meet the requirements of (a) for all components.
- (c) The crane controls are pre-wired and will be tested at the factory per MMH Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure). The trolley and controls are shipped with as much of the wiring connected as possible to minimize start up or reconnection errors in the field. Wire that is integrated into existing raceway, junction boxes, or conduit is cut to approximate length and bound in coils marked for the circuit for which it applies.
- (d) The wiring system meets or exceeds the requirements of NEC Article 610.
- (e) New control cabinets, raceway, junction boxes, and conduit are secured to withstand forces due to the specified seismic events.
- (f) N/A This crane is not inside containment.

See Note 1.

Ref. MMH Document 36675-06 (Electrical Calculations) and MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items:

- (a) The standard wire used for electrical panelboards and in conduit is XHHW-2/SIS, which has a  $90^{\circ}$  C ( $194^{\circ}$  F) temperature rating.
- **(b)** Multiconductor cable used in the wiring of the crane meets or exceeds the requirements of NEC. Multiconductor cable used in flexing service is Type SO or Type W.

- (c) All control conductors and cables used with AC inverter type controls and having operating voltages less than 110 V shall be of a shielded type.
- (d) Minimum sizes of conductors shall be as follows:
  - (1) No. 14 AWG for power and lighting circuits
  - (2) No. 16 AWG for control circuits
  - (3) No. 18 AWG for electronic circuits
- (e) Conductors shall be annealed copper with minimum stranding as follows:
  - (1) ASTM B 8 Class B for nonflexing service
  - (2) ASTM B 174 Class K for flexing service
- (f) Color coding, if specified, shall be per NEMA Part ICS 1-112.64.

#### 6222 Raceways

- (a) Wiring external to control panel enclosures or assemblies of control panels with integral raceways shall be installed in rigid metal conduit except as otherwise permitted in this Section or as specifically approved by the purchaser.
- (b) Short lengths of open conductors shall be permitted at collectors and within enclosures or guards for resistors, reactors, and transformers.
- (c) Conduit smaller than 3/4 in. diameter trade size shall not be used.
- (d) An electrically continuous system, either liquid-tight or properly drained, shall be used. For a liquid-tight system, gaskets, bushings and seals shall be used where appropriate.
- (e) Flexible metal conduit may be used to enclose conductors to stationary or infrequently moved devices such as motors, brakes, master switches, and limit switches, or to equipment subject to vibration. The length of flexible conduit shall not exceed 3 ft.
- (f) Connections to moving parts (e.g., bridge to trolley, bridge or trolley to pendant push-button station) may be made by flexible cable not enclosed in conduit.
- (q) Conduit shall be rigidly attached to the crane by conduit

#### **Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance**

- (c) All control conductors and cables with operating voltages less than 110 V are shielded.
- (d) Minimum conductor sizes meet or exceed the requirements as specified.
- (e) Conductors are annealed copper with stranding in accordance with ASTM specifications for flexing and nonflexing service.
- (f) Color coding, where specified, is per NEMA Part ICS 1-112.64.

See Note 1.

- Ref. MMH Drawing R95797 (Conduit Layout) for the following items:
- (a) All wiring external to control panel enclosures are installed in rigid galvanized steel conduit.
- **(b)** Short lengths of open conductors are used as required at collectors and within enclosures or guards for resistors, reactors, and transformers.
- (c) Conduit smaller than 3/4 in. diameter trade size is not used.
- (d) Raceways, including pull boxes and wire troughs, are provided with drain holes or are liquid-tight with gaskets and seals.
- (e) Flexible metal conduit is used to enclose conductors to stationary or infrequently moved devices such as motors, brakes, master switches, and limit switches, or to equipment subject to vibration. Length of flexible conduit sections does not exceed 3 ft.
- (f) Connections to moving parts are made by flexible cable not enclosed in conduit.
- (g) Conduit is rigidly attached to the crane by conduit supports that are bolted or welded in accordance with para. 4230. Conduit is not directly welded.

See Note 1.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
supports. Welding of conduit shall not be permitted. Conduit supports may be welded to structural members; welding shall be in	
accordance with para. 4230.	
6230 Wiring Methods	
<ul><li>(a) All conductors shall be identified at each termination by marking with a number to correspond to the schematic diagram.</li><li>(b) Conductors shall be run from terminal to terminal without</li></ul>	Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic) and MMH Drawing 54214604 (Interconnection Wiring Diagram) for the following items:  (a) All conductors are identified at each termination with a number
splices except at devices with integral leads or within junction boxes	corresponding to the schematic diagram.
where connections shall be made with bolted ring-type pressure connectors.	(b) Conductors are run from terminal to terminal without splices except at devices with integral leads or within junction boxes where connections
(c) Pressure-type connectors shall be provided on all wires	are made with bolted ring-type pressure connectors.
connected to terminals not equipped with means for retaining conductor strands.	(c) Pressure-type connectors, such as ferrules, are provided on all wires connected to terminals not equipped with means for retaining conductor
(d) All external conductors for control circuits shall be routed	strands.
through terminal blocks with no more than two conductors	(d) All external conductors for control circuits are routed through
terminated at each connection point.	terminals blocks and no more than two conductors are terminated at
(e) Panel wiring shall be neatly routed and supported in a manner	each connection point.
that will not interfere with inspection and maintenance of devices.  (f) Control conductors external to AC inverter controls that connect	(e) All panel wiring is neatly routed and supported in a manner that does not interfere with inspection and maintenance of devices.
to components subject to detrimental effects, due to	(f) Control conductors that connect components sensitive to EMI are
electromagnetic interference induced in the conductor from other	shielded, routed separately from power and high energy sources, and are
conductors or electrical equipment, shall be of a design or installed	not spliced.
in such a manner that prevents such effects. Examples include the	
following:	See Note 1.
(1) Use individually shielded twisted pair conductors for	
tachometer or encoder connections.	
(2) Route such conductors through a separate conduit.	
(3) Refrain from splicing connections.	·
6300 PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS (TYPES I, II, AND III CRANES)	
6310 General	
(a) The rated load speeds recommended in paras. 5331, 5332, and	·
5333 are normal speeds based on the rated capacity of the crane.	(a) Rated load speeds for all motions are provided in Zion Specification
The characteristics of drive systems can vary widely with respect to	SP-ZS-FH-003. The variable frequency drives provide constant speed,

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
speeds at other than rated load and with respect to lowering speeds at any load. Drive systems shall be chosen to conform to any speed — load constraints stated in the specifications.  (b) If more than one control station is required — for example, cab control and radio remote control — performance criteria for each of the stations shall be specified.	regardless of load. <b>(b)</b> Performance criteria for the radio control and cab control are provided in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003
(a) Hoist design rated load speed and speed load characteristics shall be in accordance with para. 5331. The corresponding rated load lowering speed shall not exceed 125% of the hoisting speed.  (b) The maximum lowering speed with 125% capacity test load shall not exceed the maximum lowering speed with rated load by more than 10%.  (c) Auxiliary hoists on Type I cranes shall meet the requirements of single failure-proof design if they handle critical loads. If, through administrative control or other means, assurance is provided that no critical load will be handled by an auxiliary hoist, it shall meet the performance requirements of hoists for Type II cranes.  (d) The hoist drive characteristics shall be such that the peak acceleration and deceleration of the load does not exceed 5 ft/sec².  (e) On Type I crane hoists that handle critical loads, control with a high-speed, light-load feature shall be equipped with a means of locking out this feature when handling a critical load.  (f) The stopping distance for various hoist designs is variable. On Type I crane hoists that handle critical loads, the stopping distance shall not exceed 5 in. while lowering the maximum critical load at its maximum speed unless specified otherwise by the purchaser.	Ref. the variable frequency drive parameters specified in MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items:  (a) Hoist design rated load speed and speed load characteristics are in accordance with para. 5331. The corresponding rated load lowering speed does not exceed 125% of the hoisting speed.  (b) The variable frequency drives for the main and aux hoists limit hoisting speed to within ±10% of the rated load hoisting speed for all loads.  (c) The auxiliary hoist does not handle critical loads and is not single failure proof. The aux hoist meets the hoist requirements in the applicable sections for Type II cranes.  (d) Peak accelerations and decelerations for the main and aux hoists are set to not exceed 5 ft/sec².  (e) Speed-up controls are provided for the main hoist to allow 150% of the rated load hoisting speed when unloaded. The unloaded speed is 7.5 ft/min. The load weighing system detects when a load is on the hook and disables this feature.  (f) The stopping distance for the main hoist with dynamic braking does not exceed 5 in. while lowering the maximum critical load at its maximum speed.
6330 Bridge (a) The bridge design rated load speed and speed load characteristics shall be in accordance with para. 5333. (b) Bridge acceleration rates with rated loads should be limited to the values shown in Table 6472.2(b)-1. The operator should have	Ref. the variable frequency drive parameters specified in MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items:  (a) The bridge design rated load speed and speed load characteristics are in accordance with para. 5333.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
control of deceleration to minimize load swing and avoid wheel slip. In emergency situations such as emergency stop, overspeed, and limit trips, the deceleration rate may exceed the selected acceleration rate and normal deceleration rates.  (c) Type I crane bridge control with a high-speed, light-load feature shall be equipped with a means of locking out this feature when handling a critical load.	(b) Bridge acceleration rates with rated loads are limited to the values shown in Table 6472.2(b)-1. Deceleration rates are automatically controlled by the variable frequency drives to minimize load swing and avoid wheel slip. In emergency situations, the brakes set immediately and deceleration may exceed the selected acceleration rate and normal deceleration rates as permitted.  (c) N/A - A high-speed, light load feature is not provided for the bridge and is not required.
6340 Trolley	
(a) The trolley design rated load speed and speed load characteristics shall be in accordance with para. 5332.  (b) Trolley acceleration rates with rated load should be limited to the values shown in Table 6472.2(b)-1. The operator should have control of deceleration to minimize load swing or wheel slip. In emergency situations such as emergency stop, overspeed, and limit trips, the deceleration rate may exceed the selected acceleration rate and normal deceleration rates.  (c) Type I crane trolley control with a high-speed, light-load feature shall be equipped with a means of locking out this feature when handling a critical load.	Ref. the variable frequency drive parameters specified in MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items:  (a) The trolley design rated load speed and speed load characteristics are in accordance with para. 5332.  (b) Trolley acceleration rates with rated loads are limited to the values shown in Table 6472.2(b)-1. Deceleration rates are automatically controlled by the variable frequency drives to minimize load swing and avoid wheel slip. In emergency situations, the brakes set immediately and deceleration may exceed the selected acceleration rate and normal deceleration rates as permitted.  (c) N/A - A high-speed, light load feature is not provided for the trolley and is not required.
6400 COMPONENT SELECTION (TYPES I, II, AND III CRANES)	
6410 Controllers 6411 General	
6411.1 Applicable Standards	
Controllers shall conform to NEC, ASME B30.2, and NEMA Parts ICS 2-213, 3-442, 3-443, and 6-110.	Controllers conform to the requirements of NEC and ASME B30.2.  ICS 2-213 is superseded by ICS 9, Part 2, which is superseded by ICS 8, Part 11. ICS 8, Part 11 deals with resistors and rheostats. Dynamic braking resistors are tested by the manufacturer in accordance with NEMA ICS 8 Part 11, Section 9.3.
	ICS 3-442 is superseded by ICS 8, Part 1. ICS 8, Part 1 deals with general

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
	standards for crane controllers rated 600 volts AC/DC or less. All crane controllers conform to ICS 8, Part 1.
	ICS 3-443 is superseded by ICS 8, Parts 2 - 5. ICS 8, Part 2 deals with constant-voltage DC magnetic controllers for motors on cranes, which are not applicable. Part 3 deals with adjustable-voltage DC controllers for motors on cranes, which are not applicable. Part 4 deals with magnetic controllers for AC wound-rotor motors on cranes, which are not applicable. AC contactor ratings used on the crane conform to the requirements of Part 4. Part 5 deals with static controllers for AC wound-rotor motors on cranes, which are not applicable.  ICS 6-110 is superseded by ICS 6. ICS 6 deals with NEMA enclosure ratings (i.e. 12, 4, 4X, etc.). Required tests to validate NEMA ratings are conducted by the enclosure manufacturer.
	See Note 1.
6411.2 Voltage Variations At an ambient temperature between 32°F and 100°F, the controller shall be capable of operating at a deviation not more than 10% from rated nameplate value, except that for systems using semiconductor power converters, the deviation may be limited to not more than 10% above or 5% below rated name-plate value.	Variable frequency drives are capable of operating at a deviation of +/- 10% from the rated nameplate value per the vendor manuals provided in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual). Electrical components are designed for a maximum ambient temperature of 110° F per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003, which exceeds the specified requirements. Ref. MMH Document 36675-06 (Electrical Calculations).
6411.3 Ambient Temperature  Ambient temperature shall be above 32°F but shall not exceed 100°F. If the specifications state that the equipment is to be operated at ambient temperatures outside this range, the control manufacturer shall be consulted.	Ambient temperature is specified as between 40° F to 110° F per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. Controllers are selected to operate within the temperatures specified.
6411.4 Enclosure Requirements  The enclosures, if required, shall be in accordance with NEMA, Part ICS 6. Consideration shall be given to high humidity or washdown locations, pressure equalization requirements, and outdoor usage	The enclosures are NEMA Type 12, 4X, 3 or 3R in accordance with NEMA Part ICS 6, which complies with the requirements of this section.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
requirements when enclosures are selected.	See Note 1.
6411.5 Protection Against Condensation	·
Unless otherwise specified, enclosed control panels in high humidity	Space heaters are not provided for the control panels and are not
locations shall have space heaters to reduce the possibility of	specified per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.
condensation. If heaters are used, they should be energized when	
the crane is to be out of service for more than 8 hr.	
6411.6 Hoists	
(a) All hoists shall be provided with controlled lowering capable of	(a) All hoists use variable frequency drives and dynamic braking resistors
meeting the performance specifications in para. 6300.	to provide controlled lowering capabilities in accordance with para. 6300.
(b) Hoisting shall take place only when the master switch is in a	(b) Hoisting and lowering is performed manually and occurs only when
hoisting position. For all loads up to rated load, lowering shall take	the master control switch is moved to the corresponding position.
place only when the master switch is in a lowering position.	(c) The emergency stop is directly in front of the operator on both the
(c) For Type I hoists, the devices necessary to comply with the	radio and cab controls. Depressing the pushbutton is the only required
emergency stop requirements of para. 6110(b) shall be within reach	action to implement the emergency stop.
of the operator in any operating position. It shall not be necessary	(d) In the event of an emergency stop, the brakes are immediately set.
to complete a circuit in order to implement these emergency stop	(e) The hoist brakes use AC rectified DC shunt coils. Both AC and DC sides
provisions.	are opened when the brake is de-energized.
(d) In the event of an emergency stop or other emergency conditions, the two brakes required by para. 6422.1(a) shall be de-	(f) The radio and cab controls are provided with nameplates that indicate hoisting direction. The direction of travel indicated on the nameplates is
energized without intentional time delay.	verified in MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure).
(e) Both sides of shunt brake coil circuits on hoists shall be opened	Encoders are also provided for the hoist motors to provide directional
when the brake is de-energized.	feedback to the variable frequency drives.
(f) Type I hoists shall be provided with an indication at the	recuback to the variable frequency drives.
operator's control location to confirm the selected direction of load	,
movement. This indication shall be taken from the drive train	
motion and electrically separated from the control circuit.	
6412 Type Selection	
The type of control supplied shall result in operation complying with	Variable frequency drives are selected for the controls that comply with
the performance specifications in para. 6300, taking into	the performance specifications in para. 6300 and Zion Specification SP-ZS-
consideration any supplemental requirements stated in the crane	FH-003
specifications. Any of the following types of control that will meet	
those requirements shall be supplied.	
6413 Constant Potential DC	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
6413.1 Hoist	
(a) Series motors and series brake(s) shall be used. Control shall	(a-b) N/A - Constant potential DC hoist motors are not used on this crane
provide dynamic braking lowering and include a spring-closed off	
position dynamic braking contactor to provide self-excitation of the	
motor series field in the lowering direction.	·
(b) For Type I hoists, provision shall be made to comply with the	
maximum hoisting and lowering speed limitations when handling	
critical loads, as specified in paras. 5331 and 6320, with the	
overspeed protection specified in para. 6444. Control shall provide	
that the series brakes cannot be energized unless there is a path for	,
braking current in the motor armature. These provisions are to	
include the following.	
(1) A double set of conductors and collectors shall be	
provided in the part of the armature lowering circuit not	·
connected to the series field series brake circuit.	
(2) Any resistance in the armature circuit when lowering	
shall have a continuous rating equal to the motor rated	
current.	
(3) If the dynamic lowering contactor in the armature circuit	·
is not closed when the master switch is in any lowering	·
position, the spring-closed emergency dynamic braking	
contactor shall remain closed.	·
(4) Temperature-sensitive devices in the motor shall warn	
the operator when the temperature approaches a value	·
that could be injurious to the insulation.  6413.2 Travel	
	N/A Constant notantial DC traval motors are not used on this grane
The travel control shall be the reversing, contactor-resistor type	N/A - Constant potential DC travel motors are not used on this crane
with controlled plugging.	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
6414 Constant Potential AC	
6414.1 Hoist	
(a) Speed control may be achieved by the following:  (1) contactors and resistance in the secondary of the wound rotor motor;  (2) static power devices such as saturable reactors or thyristors in the secondary of the wound rotor motor;  (3) contactor(s), and resistance in the primary of a squirrel cage motor  (4) an electrical load brake; or  (5) a combination of these methods.  (b) Type I cranes shall be provided with the following:  (1) open and reverse phase protection;  (2) a circuit to ensure that power is applied to the hoist motor(s) before the brakes (para. 6422) are released;  (3) a circuit to de-energize the hoist motor and to set the brakes if the electrical load brake is not energized sufficiently to limit the speed when the control is in a position requiring electrical load brake torque;  (4) temperature-sensitive devices in motors and load brakes to warn the operator when the temperature of a motor or	(a-b) N/A - Constant potential AC hoist motors are not used on this crane
load brake approaches a value that could be injurious to the insulation or could interfere with meeting the performance specifications in para. 6300.	
6414.2 Travel	
<ul> <li>(a) Speed control may be achieved by the following:         <ul> <li>(1) contactors and resistance in the secondary of the wound rotor motor;</li> <li>(2) static power devices such as saturable reactors or</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	(a-b) N/A - Constant potential AC travel motors are not used on this crane
thyristors in the secondary of the wound rotor motor; (3) contactor(s) and resistance in the primary of a squirrel cage motor;	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(4) an electrical load brake; or	
(5) a combination of these methods.	
(b) Control shall include controlled plugging.	
6415 Adjustable Voltage DC	
(a) Control shall include a contactor that will disconnect power to	(a-d) N/A - Adjustable voltage DC motors are not used on this crane
any drive not in use.	
(b) Control shall include controlled electrical braking and may	
include a feature that will keep the electrical braking circuit	·
energized until the motor approaches zero speed when the	
operator wishes to stop.	
(c) A contactor shall be provided in the DC motor armature circuit if	·
a generator is the source of DC power, but the contactor can be in	
either the AC or DC power circuit if static power conversion is used.	,
(d) Motor field loss protection shall be provided.	
6415.1 Hoist	
(a) Hoists with static power supplies shall include means to	(a-b) N/A - Adjustable voltage DC hoist motors are not used on this crane
automatically remove power from the motor and to set the brake(s)	
if the drive does not develop braking torque as required when	·
lowering a load or when the operator attempts to reduce speed.	
(b) In addition to (a) above, hoists handling critical loads on Type I	
cranes shall be provided with the following:	
(1) provisions to maintain proper field excitation to comply	
with the speed limitation in para. 6300. Activation of this	
speed-limiting feature shall be the responsibility of the	
designated person responsible for moving critical loads [see	
para. 6320(e)].	
(2) a protective circuit to ensure current flow in motor	
armature circuit before brakes can be energized (current	
check circuit or torque proving circuit);	
(3) a temperature-sensitive device in the motor to warn the	
operator when temperature approaches a value that could	
be injurious;	
(4) temperature-sensitive devices in or near the resistors	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
that are required to absorb "pump back energy" to warn the operator when the resistors approach a value that could cause them to fail.	
6415.2 Travel	
(a) Field loss protection is not required on travel drives having motor field reversing and designed to permit coasting. (b) When two or more motors connected in parallel are used, provision shall be made at the control panel to permit isolating any motor and to continue operation with the remaining motor(s) with normal protection features, if agreed to by the user, crane builder,	(a-b) N/A - Adjustable voltage DC travel motors are not used on this crane
and electrical equipment supplier(s).	_
6416 Adjustable Voltage AC	
(a) Speed control may be achieved by static power devices such as saturable reactors or thyristors in the primary circuit.	(a-b) N/A - Adjustable voltage AC motors are not used on this crane
(b) Control shall include controlled electrical braking and may include a feature that will keep the electrical braking circuit energized until the motor approaches zero speed when the operator wishes to stop.	
6416.1 Hoist	,
(a) The secondary may have a fixed impedance, although a means may be provided to increase the resistance in the secondary of the wound rotor motor for operation at reduced speeds for prolonged periods or if frequent deceleration is anticipated.	(a-c) N/A - Adjustable voltage AC hoist motors are not used on this crane
(b) An electrical load brake may be used with the above. (c) Type I cranes shall have protection as required in para. 6414.	
6416.2 Travel	
(a) The secondary may have a fixed impedance, although a means may be provided to increase the resistance in the secondary to	(a-b) N/A - Adjustable voltage AC travel motors are not used on this crane
permit prolonged operation at reduced speed or to reduce motor heating when plugging.	
(b) When two or more motors are used, provision shall be made at the control panel to permit isolating any motor and to continue operation with the remaining motor(s) with normal protection	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
features if agreed to by the purchaser, crane builder, and electrical equipment supplier(s).	
6417 AC Variable Frequency  (a) Control shall consist of a variable frequency drive (VFD) with a full load ampere (FLA) rating equal to, or greater than, the FLA of the corresponding motor(s).  (b) Control shall include, as a minimum, the following protective features:  (1) output phase loss, (2) under voltage, (3) over voltage, (4) motor thermal overload, and (5) VFD overheat.  (c) Control shall provide a control braking means using dynamic braking or line regeneration.  (d) Control shall have a minimum of 150% overload capability for 1 min.  (e) The cable power supply and electronic equipment shall be protected from detrimental effects due to harmonic and EMI/RFI emissions produced by inverters.	Ref. vendor manuals provided in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual) for the following items:  (a) Variable frequency drives have a full load ampere rating equal to, or greater than, the FLA of the corresponding motors. Ref. MMH Document MMH Document 36675-06 (Electrical Calculations).  (b) Variable frequency drives have protective features including output phase loss, under voltage, over voltage, motor thermal overload, and VFD overheat.  (c) Variable frequency drives provide dynamic control braking.  (d) Variable frequency drives have a minimum of 150% overload capability for 1 min.  (e) Standard practices and designs, including the use of line reactors, isolation transformers, and shielded cables, are used to prevent detrimental effects due to harmonic and EMI/RFI emissions produced by inverters. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
<ul> <li>6417.1 Hoist</li> <li>(a) The VFD control shall incorporate a speed feedback device to sense loss of speed control during any motor operating condition. Hoists with mechanical load brakes are exempt from this requirement.</li> <li>(b) Control dynamic braking shall be sized for a minimum of 150% of motor full load torque, but shall not, under any circumstances, be less than the torque (or corresponding current) limit setting of the VFD in the hoisting direction.</li> <li>(c) Control shall sense sufficient motor torque (or corresponding current) before releasing holding brake(s) (i.e., torque proving). Hoists with mechanical load brakes are exempt from this requirement.</li> </ul>	Ref. the variable frequency drive manuals in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual) for the following items:  (a) Encoders are provided for the main and aux hoist motors and provide speed feedback to the variable frequency drives.  (b) External resistor banks used for hoist dynamic braking are sized in MMH Document 36675-06 (Electrical Calculations) to acquire the necessary motor power to resist 150% of motor full load torque. This torque level is not less than the torque limit setting of the variable frequency drive.  (c) Variable frequency drives used for the hoists have a torque-proving circuit that prevents the release of the holding brakes until sufficient motor torque is generated.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
<ul> <li>(d) In lieu of the design tolerance para. 5331.1(b), control shall maintain speed control under all motor operating conditions to within ±5% of the commanded speed.</li> <li>(e) If specified by the owner, control shall be capable of operating at higher than base speed as a function of load (constant horsepower operation) for loads less than 100% rated load.</li> <li>(f) Type I cranes shall have controls with the following capabilities:         <ul> <li>(1) A warning device shall be provided to warn the operator of a pending motor overheat condition.</li> <li>(2) A warning device shall be provided to warn the operator</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	(d) Variable frequency drives used for the hoists maintain speed control under all motor operation conditions within 5% of the commanded speed.  (e) Speed-up controls are provided for both hoists per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 to allow 150% of the rated load hoisting speed when unloaded.  (f) Warning devices are not provided for the motor or dynamic braking resistors since overheating faults will automatically shut down power to the system and set the brakes. Operator input is not required.
that the dynamic braking resistors have overheated.  6418 Sizing Procedure  Control ratings shall be in accordance with NEMA Standards with the following qualifications.	Control ratings are in accordance with NEMA Standards with the following qualifications.
6418.1 Hoists That Handle Critical Loads on Type I Cranes As a minimum, contactor, resistor, thyristor, and reactor ratings shall have a continuous rating equal to the greater of the steady state currents in those devices when hoisting or lowering rated load at full speed. Accelerating resistors, if used, shall be NEMA Class 90 (see NEMA, Part ICS 2-213).  Mechanical load brakes shall not be used as the control braking means.	Contactor, resistor, and reactor ratings have a continuous rating equal to the greater of the steady state currents in those devices when hoisting or lowering rated load at full speed. Accelerating resistors are not used for this crane. Mechanical load brakes are not used as the control braking means.
6418.2 Types I, II, and III Cranes  The crane specifications shall state all required repetitive duty cycle operations or prolonged operations in terms of load, distance, speed, time, and frequency of repetition. All the control components (including the control braking means) shall be checked by the supplier to ensure that they are adequate for that specification.	Operational and duty cycle information is provided in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. Control components are checked to ensure that they comply with the requirements of the specification. Ref. vendor manuals provided in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual).
6420 Friction Brakes 6421 General (Types I, II, and III Cranes) This Section covers the requirements for friction-type brakes for purposes of holding, emergency, parking, and service brakes.	Friction-type brakes provided for the crane comply with the requirements of this section.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
6421.1 Applicable Standards  The brake selections shall be in accordance with the definitions and brake requirements of ASME B30.2, with further specific requirements as covered by this Section and para. 6100.	Brake selections comply with the requirements of ASME B30.2, this Section, and para. 6100.
6421.2 Brake Operation  The brakes shall have a thermal capacity for the frequency and duration of the specified operations to prevent over-heating of the brake wheel, disks, brake linings, and other parts. Brake manual release mechanisms shall be furnished to permit drive movement during power outages, and shall be of the manual-release-self-reset type, operative only when held manually in the release position.	Brakes have sufficient thermal capacity for the frequency and duration of the specified operations to prevent overheating of the brake wheel, disks, brake linings, and other parts. Since friction brakes are only used for holding brakes, heat generation is minimal in these devices. Brakes are provided with manual-release-self-reset mechanisms to permit movement during power outages. Ref. MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual).
(a) The electrical operating and excitation system shall have a thermal rating for the frequency and duration of the specified operations, and the thermal time rating shall equal or exceed the corresponding drive motor time rating.  (b) Brakes with DC shunt coils shall release at 80% and operate without overheating at 110% of the rated excitation system voltage. Whenever DC shunt coils are used on hoist brakes, the combination of the brake coil and excitation system shall result in a quick response of brake release and set.  (c) Brakes with AC coils shall release at 85% and operate without overheating at 110% of rated excitation system voltage.	Ref. the vendor manuals in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual) for the following items:  (a) The electrical operating and excitation system are designed to have thermal ratings that meet or exceed the corresponding drive motor time ratings.  (b) The hoist brakes use AC rectified DC shunt coils that release at 80% and can operate without overheating up to 110% of the rated excitation system voltage for a short period of time. The combination of the brake coil and excitation system results in a quick response of brake release and set.  (c) The trolley and bridge travel brakes use AC coils that release at 85% and can operate without overheating up to 110% of the rated excitation system voltage for a short period of time.
6421.4 Brake Lining, Friction Material Brake lining material shall permit brakes to maintain adequate torque for the specified environmental conditions and at the lining temperatures resulting from the frequency and duration of the specified operations. Manual or automatic means shall be provided to adjust the brake operating mechanism to compensate for the effect of lining wear.	Brake lining material allows the brakes to maintain adequate torque for the specified environmental conditions and at the lining temperatures resulting from the frequency and duration of the specified operations. The brakes automatically provide for brake lining wear.

#### **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements** Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance 6422 Hoist Brakes (Types I, II, and III Cranes) 6422.1 Hoists That Handle Critical Loads on Type I Cranes (a) Two shoe-type holding brakes are provided for the main hoist that are (a) A minimum of two holding brakes shall be provided. These brakes shall comply with AISE TR No. 11 or have an alternative each sized to hold 150% of the full rated load hoisting torque. Ref. MMH design specifically approved by the Purchaser. Each holding brake Document 36675-18 (Main Hoist Gearing Analysis). The main hoist shoe shall have a torque rating not less than 125% of the full brakes comply with AISE TR-11. (rated) load hoisting torque at the point of brake application. (b) The main hoist brakes are sized to hold the credible critical load during (b) Determination shall be made that if the holding brakes are a seismic event as specified. Ref. MMH Document 36675-18 (Main Hoist mounted and adjusted, and linings run in properly for the torque Gearing Analysis). settings required in (a) above, the brake system shall be capable of (c) An eddy current brake (magentorque) is provided on the main hoist for emergency lowering of the critical load at a constant speed. The shoestopping and holding the credible critical load during an SSE or OBE event. The values used for this determination shall be based on the type holding brakes must be manually released to lower the load and can maximum acceleration forces at the brake(s) computed for the be reset as required to hold the load. Lowering speed is determined by crane SSE or OBE specifications, and the total torque required on using a hand held tachometer. Depending on the height that the load the brake system to hold the credible critical load. must be manually lowered, intermittent lowering may be required to (c) Provision shall be made for emergency lowering of the critical allow the magnetorque to cool. Ref. MMH Document 36675-20 load by an alternative means of operation of the holding brakes. (Operation & Maintenance Manual) for emergency lowering procedures. The alternative release mechanisms shall permit control of the (d) N/A - The total accumulated radiation exposure for the life of the braking torque and shall also provide the ability to restore the crane does not pose a risk for the brake lining material. "brake set" condition promptly, thereby allowing the operators of the alternative release mechanisms to control the lowering speed. A device for indicating lowering speed shall be located at the emergency release station. Intermittent lowering shall be allowed to provide time for cooling the brake mechanism to obtain adequate heat dissipation and to prevent reduction in braking torque that can occur as the result of excessive heat. (d) The detrimental effects of radiation exposure on the brake linings shall be determined and a routine replacement procedure established so as to maintain an adequate torque. 6422.2 Hoists on Types II and III Cranes and Hoists That Do Not Handle Critical Loads on Type I Cranes At least one holding brake shall be provided. Each brake shall have (a-c) The aux hoist is provided with one holding brake on the gear case not less than the following percentage of the rated load hoisting and one holding brake on the hoist motor, in addition to the dynamic

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
torque at the point where the brake(s) is applied:  (a) 125% when used with a control braking means other than mechanical;  (b) 100% when used with a mechanical control braking means;  (c) 100% if two holding brakes are provided.	control braking provided by the variable frequency drive. Each holding brake is sized to a minimum of 100% rated load hoisting torque at the point of application. Ref. MMH Drawing R94965 (Aux Hoist Assembly).
6423 Trolley and Bridge Brakes (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	·
6423.1 Application	
(a) All travel drives shall have service braking means. (b) When a friction brake is used for service braking, the brake torque shall be sufficient to stop the drive within a distance in feet equal to 10% of the rated load speed in feet per minute when traveling at full speed with rated load. (c) Emergency brakes shall be of the friction-type that will set automatically upon power failure and shall be capable of stopping the drive within the distance specified in (b) above.  (1) For Type I Cranes. Emergency and parking brakes shall be provided for the travel drives. Parking brakes shall be automatically applied and shall be provided with time delay relays, if necessary, to eliminate interference with service brake operation.	<ul> <li>(a) Service braking is provided for all trolley and bridge travel motors.</li> <li>(b) N/A - Dynamic control brakes are used instead of friction brakes for service braking.</li> <li>(c) Friction-type emergency brakes are provided for all trolley and bridge travel motors that set automatically upon power failure and are capable of stopping within the distance specified in (b).</li> <li>(d) N/A - Separate brakes are provided for service and emergency braking.</li> </ul>
(2) For Types II and III Cranes. Emergency brakes shall be provided when required by the specification.  (d) Any combination of service, emergency, and parking functions may be performed by a single friction brake, provided the emergency and parking functions can be obtained without having power available.	
6423.2 Trolley Brake Sizing Procedures	
(a) On cab-operated cranes, trolley service braking shall be provided as required by para. 6423.1(a) with sufficient torque to satisfy the deceleration requirements of para. 6423.1(b).  (b) On floor-, remote-, or pulpit-operated cranes, trolley emergency brakes with torque rating to satisfy the deceleration requirements of para. 6423.1(b) shall be provided.	(a) Trolley travel service brakes are provided as required by para. 6423.1(a) with sufficient torque to satisfy the deceleration requirements of para. 6423.1(b).  (b) Trolley travel emergency brakes are provided with sufficient torque to satisfy the deceleration requirements of para. 6423.1(b).

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
6423.3 Bridge Brake Sizing Procedures	
(a) On cab-operated cranes with cab on bridges, bridge service braking shall be provided with sufficient torque to satisfy the deceleration requirements of para. 6423.1(b).	(a) Bridge travel service brakes are provided as required by para. 6423.1(a) with sufficient torque to satisfy the deceleration requirements of para. 6423.1(b).
(b) On cab-operated cranes with cab on trolley, bridge emergency	(b) N/A - There is no cab on the trolley.
brake(s) with torque rating to satisfy the deceleration requirements	(c) Bridge travel emergency brakes are provided with sufficient torque to
of para. 6423.1(b) shall be provided in addition to bridge service	satisfy the deceleration requirements of para. 6423.1(b).
braking.	
(c) On floor-, remote-, or pulpit-operated cranes, bridge emergency	
brake(s) shall be provided with torque rating to satisfy the	
deceleration requirements of para. 6423.1(b).	
6430 Disconnecting Means	
6431 General (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	The crane disconnecting devices are selected and installed as required by
6431.1 Applicable Standards	NEC Article 610; ASME B30.2, Section 2-1.10.5; and NEMA ICS 8, Parts 1-5.
All crane disconnecting devices shall be selected and installed as	NEMA ICS 3-442 is superseded by ICS 8, Part 1 and ICS 3-443 is
required by NEC Article 610; ASME B30.2, Section 2-1.10.5; and	superseded by ICS 8, Parts 2-5.
NEMA Parts ICS 3-442 and 3-443.	·
6432 Main Disconnects	
6432.1 Runway Disconnects (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
A circuit breaker or motor circuit switch selected in accordance with	A circuit breaker is provided in the leads to the runway conductors in
NEC 610-31 shall be provided in the leads to the runway	accordance with NEC 610-31. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical
conductors.	Schematic).
6432.2 Crane Disconnect (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
All cranes shall have a main line disconnect in accordance with NEC	The crane main line disconnect is in accordance with NEC 610-32 and NEC
610-32, and shall be rated in accordance with NEC 610-33 plus any	610-14(E), which envelopes NEC 610-33. The disconnect is enclosed as
additional continuous load. This disconnect shall be enclosed as	required by environmental conditions. Over current protection is
required by environmental conditions. Unless overcurrent	incorporated into the main line disconnect. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399
protection is provided by other means, it shall be incorporated in	(Electrical Schematic).
this main line disconnect and the user shall specify available rms	
symmetrical short circuit current.	
6432.3 Motor Power Circuit Disconnecting Device (Type I Cranes)	·
A device shall be furnished to open the power circuit to all crane	
drive motors. This device shall be capable of being opened from all	A master control relay is provided that opens the power circuit to all

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
operator stations. The device shall open automatically upon failure and shall be unable to reclose until a reset function is performed.	motors and sets all brakes when the operator depresses the emergency stop on either the radio or cab controls. The master control relay opens
The minimum size of this device shall be not less than that required	automatically upon failure and cannot be reset until the emergency stop
by NEC 610-33. The opening of this device shall cause the holding	is manually repositioned. The master control relay is sized per NEC 610-
and emergency brakes to set.	14(E), which envelopes NEC 610-33. Ref. MMH Document 36675-06 (Electrical Calculations).
6432.4 Motor Power Circuit Disconnecting Device (Types II and III	
Cranes)	
Unless a device (para. 6432.3) is supplied, the crane disconnect	N/A - This is a Type I Crane
(para. 6432.2) must be accessible for opening by the operator and	
must be connected in a way that the functional protection required	·
by para. 6432.3 is provided.	
6432.5 Motion Power Disconnecting Devices (Type I Cranes)	
Control shall include a separate disconnecting means for each crane	Controls include a separate disconnecting means for each crane motion
motion.	as shown in MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
6433 Auxiliary Disconnects (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
The crane manufacturer shall provide disconnecting means in the	Circuit breakers are provided as required by NEC to protect and
form of fused safety switches or circuit breakers as required by NEC	disconnect all auxiliary equipment, including:
to protect and disconnect all auxiliary equipment supplied by the	(a) lighting,
manufacturer or specified by the purchaser. Auxiliary equipment	(b) signal systems,
may include:	(c) heating/ventilating/air conditioning,
(a) lighting,	(d) convenience outlet, and
(b) signal systems,	(e) special devices when applicable.
(c) heating/ventilating/air conditioning,	Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
(d) convenience outlet, and	
(e) special devices when applicable.	·
Ground fault circuit interrupters, if required for convenience	·
outlets, shall be a part of the user's specifications.	•
6440 Limit Switches	
6441 General (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
A limit switch is defined as a switch that is operated by some part or	Limit switches are provided for the following:
motion of a power driven machine or equipment to alter the	(a) hoist overtravel,

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
electric circuit associated with the machine or equipment. This	(b) hoist overspeed,
Section includes the following limit switch requirements for nuclear	(c) hoist overload,
power plant cranes:	(d) hoist drum rope mis-spooling, and
(a) hoist overtravel,	(e) bridge and trolley overtravel limits.
(b) hoist overspeed,	Limit switch requirements are detailed in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.
(c) hoist overload,	Phase reversal protection is provided for the crane. Ref. MMH Drawing
(d) hoist drum rope mis-spooling, and	R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
(e) bridge and trolley overtravel limits.	
Limit switch requirements, if any in addition to the above, shall be	
incorporated in the specifications. AC cranes shall have phase	
reversal protection.	·
6442 High Limits	
6442.1 Type I Cranes	
Hoists that handle critical loads shall include two separate overhoist	A geared limit switch and a weighted limit switch are provided as two
limit switch systems as required in paras. 6442.2 and 6442.3.	separate upper limits for the main hoist. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399
	(Electrical Schematic).
6442.2 First High Limit (Type I Cranes)	
The first upper hoisting limit shall be a control circuit device such as	The first upper hoisting limit switch for the main hoist is a geared-type
a geared-type, weight-operated, or paddle-operated switch.	that relies on a relay set to remove power from the raise control circuit
Actuation of this switch shall result in the removal of power from	and sets the hoist brakes when tripped. This switch does not affect the
the motor and setting the hoist brakes. The operator may lower or	lowering control circuit. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical
back out of this tripped switch without further assistance.	Schematic).
6442.3 Final Overtravel High Limit (Type I Cranes)	
Hoists that handle critical loads shall include, in addition to the first	The second upper hoisting limit switch for the main hoist is a weight-
upper limit switch as specified in para. 6442.2, a final power circuit	operated type that physically removes power to the drive contactor and
hoisting limit switch. Actuation of this switch shall remove power	sets the hoist brakes when tripped. Actuation of this limit switch
from the hoist motor directly without relying on the sequencing of	prevents further hoisting or lowering. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399
any devices and shall set the hoist brakes. Actuation of this limit	(Electrical Schematic).
switch shall prevent further hoisting or lowering.	
6442.4 High Limits (Hoists on Types II and III Cranes and Hoists	
That Do Not Handle Critical Loads on Type I Cranes)	
One high limit switch shall be provided.	The aux hoist is provided with two limit switches. Ref. MMH Drawing
	R95399 (Electrical Schematic).

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
6443 Hoist Low Limits (Type I Cranes)	
Hoists that handle critical loads shall include two separate low	A geared limit switch and the load weighing system are provided as two
limits, as required in paras. 6443.1 and 6443.2.	separate low limits for the main hoist. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
6443.1 First Low Limit (Type I Cranes)	
Each hoist that handles critical loads shall include an overtravel low	The first lower hoisting limit switch for the main hoist is a geared-type
limit switch. This switch may be of the control circuit type.	that removes power from the lowering control circuit and sets the hoist
Actuation of this switch shall stop the lowering motion and set the	brakes when tripped. This switch does not affect the raising control
hoist brakes. The operation of this switch shall not prevent hoisting.	circuit. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
6443.2 Final Overtravel Low Limit (Type I Cranes)	
Hoists that handle critical loads shall include, in addition to a first	The second lower hoisting limit switch for the main hoist is integrated
low limit as specified in para. 6443.1, a final lowering limit switch of	into the load weighing system and removes power from the lowering
the control circuit type that shall be mechanically and electrically	control circuit and sets the hoist brakes when an underweight/slack rope
independent of the first low limit. Operation of this limit switch	condition is met. This system is mechanically and electrically
shall de-energize a power device other than the device operated by	independent from the first limit switch. Actuation of this limit switch
the first low limit to interrupt all power to the hoist motor and the	prevents further hoisting or lowering. The controls are reset by entering a
hoist brakes. Actuation of this limit switch shall prevent further	back out mode in which hoisting is permitted, but lowering is still
lowering or hoisting. When this occurs, a person knowledgeable in	prevented. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
the hoist control system shall determine and correct the cause of	
tripping of the final low limit switch. That person shall direct the	,
raising out of the final low limit after establishing a back out mode	
which shall prevent further lowering. The first low limit shall be	
tested for proper operation before making any additional lifts.	
6443.3 Low Limits (Hoists on Types II and III Cranes and Hoists	
That Do Not Handle Critical Loads on Type I Cranes)	7 13***
A low limit shall be furnished:	(a-b) The aux hoist is provided with two limit switches identical to the
(a) as recommended by ASME B30.2, 2-1.10.5(e), when specified in	main hoist switches described in paras. 6443.1 and 6443.2, which exceeds
the crane specifications, or	the requirements of this section. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical
(b) when required by ASME B30.2, 2-1.11.3(c)(1).	Schematic).
6444 Hoist Overspeed Limits (Type I Cranes)	Def MANAU Descript POE200 (Floateries   Calcardada) for the Calcardada
(a) Hoists that handle critical loads shall include an overspeed limit	Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic) for the following items:
switch. When handling a critical load, hook speeds over 115% of the	(a) An overspeed switch is provided for the main hoist. Hook speeds over
design rated load lowering speed for any critical load shall trip this	115% of the design rated load speed for any load will trip this switch and

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
switch, causing all holding brakes to set without intentional time delay. Operation of this switch may also initiate any control braking means normally used for stopping of the load. It shall be necessary to center the master switch and to manually reset the overspeed limit switch (or the overspeed circuit) before operation can be resumed.  (b) On drives which provide high-speed, lightload features, provisions shall be made to permit override of this overspeed limit switch when handling noncritical loads.  (c) When specified, clutched-in-slow-speed hoist drives may include a bidirectional overspeed switch to shut down hoisting or lowering	immediately set all brakes. The operator must center the master switch and depress the start/reset pushbutton to resume operation.  (b) The overspeed limit switch is disabled whenever there is no load on the hoist.  (c) N/A - A clutch is not used for this crane.
if drive speed exceeds 115% of the rated full load slow lowering speed.	
6445 Hoist Load Limits	
6445.1 Overloading (Types I, II, and III Cranes) Overloading, including load hang-up, of hoists that handle critical loads on Type I cranes shall be detected by means of a load sensing system, or the equivalent, in accordance with para. 6466. The high-load limit shall be set to prevent sustained lifting of more than rated load, but to permit lowering. Specifications shall designate any load limit requirements for Types II and III cranes.	A load weighing system is provided for the main and aux hoists in accordance with para. 6466 and Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 to detect overloading. The high-load limit prevents lifting more than the rated load, but allows lowering. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
6445.2 Unbalanced Load Limits (Type I Cranes)  Dual reeved hoists that handle critical loads on Type I cranes shall include a device to detect excessive movement of the equalizer mechanism. Tripping of this device shall initiate a flashing warning light visible to the crane operator and shall shut down the hoisting motion. Means shall be provided to allow the use of hoist under administrative control. Reeving shall then be corrected before returning hoist to additional service.	The main hoist equalizer system includes separate load cells to detect the load on each rope. Excessive movement of the equalizer presents different loads to each load cell, causing an unbalanced load fault that disables the hoisting circuits. A bypass switch is provided to allow use of the hoist under administrative control. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
6446 Hoist Drum Rope Mis-Spooling Limits 6446.1 Hoist Drum Rope Mis-Spooling Limits (Type I Cranes) Hoists that handle critical loads shall include a hoist drum rope mis- spooling limit switch to detect improper threading of hoist rope in	Two rope mis-spooling limit switches are provided for the main hoist drum to detect improper threading of rope in the drum grooves. The limit

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
hoist drum grooves.  Actuation of this switch shall result in removal of power from the hoist motor and setting the hoist holding brakes.  Actuation of this limit device shall prevent further hoisting or lowering until a key-operated bypass is used to enable lowering out of the mis-spooled condition, with further hoisting prevented until the mis-spooled condition is corrected. The limit shall be tested for proper operation before making any additional lifts.	switches disable the hoisting circuits and set the holding brakes when tripped. A bypass switch is used to enable lowering out of the misspooled condition, and further hoisting is prevented until the misspooled condition is corrected. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
6446.2 Hoist Drum Rope Level Wind Limits (Types II and III Cranes) Hoist drum rope misspooling limits shall be furnished in accordance with 6446.1 when so specified in the crane specifications.	N/A - Mis-spooling limit switches are not provided for the aux hoist and are not required.
6447 Bridge and Trolley Overtravel Limits (Types I, II, and III Cranes) Bridge and trolley overtravel limits shall be furnished when specified. Refer to paras. 5131(b) and 5459.1(d) and (e).	Bridge and trolley over travel (end of travel) limits are furnished. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
6448 Restricted Handling Path (Type I Cranes) On some Type I cranes, it may be essential that the hook follow a restricted critical load handling path. The requirements for such paths vary widely with individual nuclear plant designs. The crane specifications shall designate the required accuracy, positions where redundancy is required, and any test and signal system required.	N/A - Restricted critical load handling paths are not required per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. However the main hook travel over the spent fuel area (exclusive of the Cask Load Pit) will be restricted and will require by-pass in accordance with ZS operational requirements.
6450 Master Switches, Pushbuttons, and Radio Controls (Types I, II, and III Cranes) 6451 General 6451.1 Applicable Standards	
All such devices shall comply with ASME B30.2.  6452 Contact Ratings	All applicable devices comply with ASME B30.2

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
Contacts in master switches, pushbuttons, and radio control interface panels should be heavy duty rated per NEMA ICS 2-125. See Table 1 or 2, for appropriate application. Multispeed pendant pushbuttons shall be rated per NEMA A150 or N300.	ICS 2-125 is superseded by ICS 5, Part 1. ICS 5, Part 1 deals with general standards for control-circuit and pilot devices. Contacts in the master switches, pushbuttons, and radio control interface panels conform to these standards and are tested accordingly by the manufacturer.  Multispeed pendant pushbuttons are rated per NEMA A150. Ref. vendor manuals in MMH Document 36675-20 (Operation & Maintenance Manual).
6453 Voltage Ratings The voltages in pushbuttons, master switches, and similar control circuit devices shall not exceed 150 V AC or 300 V DC.	Pushbuttons, master switches, and similar control circuit devices are designed to operate at 120 V AC. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
6454 Radio Controls	
If radio control of cranes in the containment area has been provided for construction operation, that equipment shall be removed before the crane is certified for service in the operating plant unless the effect of radio transmission on reactor plant instrumentation has been analyzed.	N/A - This crane is not used for construction
6460 Auxiliary Equipment (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
6461 General  Auxiliary electrical equipment shall be provided as specified. All necessary mounting hardware, wiring, disconnecting means, and associated control means shall be included. For Types I and II cranes, all auxiliary equipment shall be mounted and secured so as not to become dislodged or to fall from the crane during a seismic event.	Auxiliary electrical equipment is provided as specified. All necessary mounting hardware, wiring, disconnecting means, and associated control means is included. All auxiliary equipment is mounted and secured so as not to become dislodged or to fall from the crane during a seismic event. Ref. MMH Document 36675-14 (Seismic Analysis of Misc. Items).
6462 Light Fixtures	
Light fixtures shall be as specified in the crane specifications.	Existing crane lighting is reused per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003
<b>6463 Signal Systems</b> Signal systems shall be as specified in the crane specifications.	Signal systems are designed in accordance with Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003
6464 Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning	
Heating, ventilating, and air conditioning shall be as specified in the crane specifications.	There are no requirements for heating, ventilation, and air conditioning per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
<b>6465 Convenience Outlets</b> Convenience outlets shall be as specified in the crane specifications.	Existing convenience outlets on the crane are reused per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.
6466 Load Sensing Devices Load sensing devices shall be as specified in the crane specifications. When load sensing devices provide control functions such as load limiting, as covered in para. 6445.1, they shall be powered from the control circuit of the associated drive.	A load weighing system is provided for the main and aux hoists per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 and is powered from the control circuit of the associated drive. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
6467 Power for Auxiliary Equipment  Except as stated in para. 6466 or unless otherwise specified, power for all auxiliary equipment shall be from a separate protected branch circuit(s) connected ahead of the main drive motor disconnecting means, so that power is available to auxiliary equipment when the main drives are shut down.  6468 Wiring for Auxiliary Equipment  All wiring for auxiliary equipment shall be in accordance with NEC.  All equipment shall be grounded.	A utility circuit is provided for the crane with a separate disconnect means upstream of the main drives so that power is available to auxiliary equipment when the main drives are shut down. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).  All wiring for auxiliary equipment is in accordance with NEC. All equipment is grounded. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic).
6470 Motors (Types I, II, and III Cranes) 6471 General (a) Direct Current Motors. DC motors shall be in accordance with either NEMA MG-1 or AISE TR No. 1. (b) Alternating Current Motors  (1) Definite Purpose Inverter-Fed Motors. AC squirrel cage motors applied to variable frequency drives (VFDs) shall be specifically designed for inverter duty and shall conform to NEMA MG-1, Part 31, or other standard as approved by the owner.  (2) Definite Purpose Wound Rotor Induction Motors. AC wound rotor motors shall conform to NEMA MG-1, Part 18.501 through 18.520.  (3) Other AC Motors. All other AC motors not already described shall conform to NEMA MG-1.	(a) N/A - DC motors are not used on this crane (b)  (1) AC squirrel cage motors used on this crane are specifically designed for inverter duty and conform to NEMA MG-1, Part 31. (2) N/A - AC wound rotors are not used on this crane. (3) N/A - AC squirrel cage motors are used on this crane. (c) All motors have enclosures and time ratings as required for the duty and environmental conditions per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(c) All AC or DC motors shall have enclosures and time ratings as	
required for the duty and environmental conditions.	
6472 Motor Size Selection, AC or DC	
(a) The motor size selection is determined by the duty class or duty	(a) Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 provides the most severe duty
cycle for each motion, not the Type I, II, or III crane classification.	requirements, which are used to design the motors and controls.
Because of the large variety of crane drives available and the	(b) Motors are designed with class H insulation and for operation in
difference in the effects of those drives on the thermal adequacy of	ambient temperatures of 110° F per Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.
the motors under consideration, any attempt to develop a	
procedure for selecting motor ratings becomes quite involved.	
Whenever possible, the specifications should indicate the most	
severe repetitive duty (or duties) that each motor will be required	•
to meet, especially including intervals of slow speed operation, if	
any. The supplier shall be responsible for selecting ratings that will	
meet the specified duty with the type of control specified. In the	
absence of duty cycle requirements, the specifications shall clearly	
identify the duty class to be used for each motion in the procedure	
described herein. The rating of auxiliary devices (such as mechanical	
or electrical load brakes) must also be selected to meet the	·
specified duty or duty class.	,
(b) For ambient temperatures above 100°F, the motor design	
(frame size, insulation class, enclosure, and ventilation) shall be	
selected to compensate for the increased ambient so the total	
insulation temperature will not exceed the value allowed by NEMA	
for the selected insulation class. For example, in a 140°F ambient, a	
motor with Class F insulation rated at Class B rise might be selected.	
6472.1 Hoists	
The hoist motor shall be so selected that its nameplate rating will	Hoist motors are sized as specified. Ref. MMH Document 36675-18 (Main
not be less than that given by the following formula:	Hoist Gearing Analysis).
$hp = K_S WV/33,000E$	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	
6472.2 Bridge and Trolley	
(a) The force required to drive the bridge or trolley consists of that	(a-f) The trolley motors are sized to meet the requirements of this
necessary to overcome rolling friction, and that necessary to	section, including all referenced equations and tables, with respect to the

## NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements

# accelerate the crane. The rolling friction is proportional to the total weight of the crane and is assumed to be constant at all speeds. Unless otherwise specified, a friction factor per Table 6472.2(a)-1 shall be used for anti-friction bearing cranes. Mechanical efficiencies are included in these factors.

- (b) Unless otherwise specified, the acceleration rate with rated load for either AC or adjustable voltage (AV) drives is to be selected from the slow values shown in Table 6472.2(b)-1.
- (c) The size of the bridge and trolley motor shall not be less than the computed from Eq. (2):

[Text and/or equations omitted]

(d) After selecting an approximate motor by Eq. (2), obtain data on the  $Wk^2$  of the motor, brake wheel, couplings, and pinion. The sum of these values is the rotating  $Wk_R^2$ . Calculate the equivalent  $Wk^2$  of the load by the following equation.

[Text and/or equations omitted]

- (e) If the motor is being selected for a duty class rather than a specified duty cycle, the motor rating should not be less than [Text and/or equations omitted]
- (f) The speed ratio for bridge and trolley drives will be determined as shown in para. 6473, computing the free-running hp from Eq. (5): [Text and/or equations omitted]
- (g) Polar Cranes: horsepower for bridge drives [Text and/or equations omitted]

#### 6472.3 Service Factors

- (a) As stated in para. 6472(a), the most severe requirements should be stated in the specifications whenever possible so the supplier can check the specific motor and control required.
- (b) If the crane specifications do not indicate a specific duty but state the duty class for each motion, the  $K_S$  values for Eqs. (1), (2), and (4) are listed in Table 6472.3(b)-1. There is no guarantee that these values will result in the optimum motor selection, but they do indicate relative ratings.

## Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

speeds defined in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. The new bridge motors are sized to meet the performance requirements of the existing motors that are being replaced.

(g) N/A - This is not a polar crane.

(a) Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003 provides the most severe duty requirements, which are used to design the motors and controls.
 (b) N/A - A specific duty is specified.

# **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements**

## 6472.4 Calculation of Motor Heating

(a) When definite operating requirements have been specified, the time, motor torque, and average motor speed can be calculated for each step of acceleration, running, and deceleration. The procedure for checking the thermal adequacy of the motor will vary, depending on the type of motor and motor enclosure. For totally enclosed series wound AISE TR No. 1 DC mill motors used for constant-potential DC control at 230 V, published curves may permit determining whether or not the allowable percent time-on exceeds the actual percent time-on. If the same type of motor is used at more than 230 V, the motor manufacturer shall be consulted to evaluate the effect of the increased core losses and friction and windage losses.

(b) On adjustable voltage DC drives, self- and forced-ventilated shunt motors can be checked by comparing the calculated rms current and average speed against curves of allowable rms current versus average rpm for the motors being checked. In totally enclosed motors, the losses (armature, field, core, brush, friction, windage, and stray load) shall be summarized to see if the total is below the dissipating capability of the selected motor operating over the repetitive cycle. Similarly, in AC motors, losses are divided into fixed and variable. As an approximation, the variable losses can be considered to be proportional to secondary current squared. Also, for a given value of secondary resistance, the secondary current can be calculated by

### [Text and/or equations omitted]

all on a per unit basis. (If the calculated per unit *I* is less than the corresponding per unit *T*, use the per unit *T* value. Also, in order to take into consideration the primary copper losses at very low values of torque, the value of per unit *I* shall not be less than 0.4.)
(c) The AC motor thermal evaluation could be performed as follows. Establish a duty cycle with the time and torque for each step calculated. Convert torque to per unit current using Eq. (11) or the

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

(a-c) The motor manufacturer (Name) verifies the thermal adequacy of each motor and determines the appropriate size based on the required application as part of his design documentation.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
torque-current speed characteristics of the type of control to be	
used. Add the square of the per unit current x time (in seconds) x	
per unit variable losses to the operating time (in seconds) x per unit	
fixed losses. If that total is less than the sum of the seconds x the	· ·
dissipation factors for each step in the cycle, the motor has	·
adequate thermal capacity. The variable losses, fixed losses, and	
dissipation factors are to be obtained from the selected motor	
manufacturer, or the cycle summary shall be submitted to the	
manufacturer. See para. B6472.4 for an example of AC motor	
heating calculation.	•
6472.5 Duty in Excess of Class 4	
(a) Above 50% time-on or more than 45 cycles per hour, the	(a-b) N/A - Duty in excess of class 4 is not specified.
required duty cycle capability shall be stated by the specification	
writer, who should consider the possible advantages of self-	
ventilated, forced-ventilated, or air-over-frame motor construction,	
depending upon the atmospheric conditions at each installation.	
The acceptable type(s) shall be indicated in the specifications.	
(b) If prolonged (over 30 sec) or repetitive operation at reduced	· ·
speed is required, it shall be specified. Any reduced speed	
operations which fall below 5% speed for prolonged periods or	
which are repetitive shall not be evaluated by the procedures in	
para. 6472.4 without consulting the electrical manufacturer.	
(c) Because variations in motors and controls can be appreciable,	
ratings selected by any duty cycle calculations shall be checked by	
the electrical manufacturer after an order has been placed.	
6473 Drive Speed Ratios	
Drive speed ratios shall be determined as follows:	(a) N/A - 230 V DC series motors are not used on this crane.
[Text and/or equations omitted]	(b) N/A - AC wound rotor motors are not used on this crane.
(a) For 230 V DC series motors, the manufacturer's characteristic	(c) N/A - DC adjustable voltage shunt motors are not used on this crane.
curves for 230 V shall be used. At a constant-potential voltage other	(d) Characteristic curves for AC squirrel cage motors provided by the
than 230 V, obtain an equivalent 230 V hp by multiplying the free-	motor manufacturer are used.
running hp by 230 divided by the applied voltage. From the curves,	
use this equivalent hp to obtain the motor speed at 230 V. Calculate	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
the approximate $N_f$ by multiplying the rpm so obtained by the	
applied voltage divided by 230.	·
(b) For AC wound rotor motors, the typical characteristic curves for	<i>i</i>
wound rotor motors in Fig. 6473(b)-1 shall be used, taking into	
consideration the total secondary resistance at full speed. The	
curves are based on motors providing 3% slip at rated torque with	
rings shorted and with rated voltage applied to the primary,	
[Text and/or equations omitted]	·
At the calculated per unit hp, read per unit torque from appropriate	
hp-resistance curve and then read per unit synchronous speed at	· ·
that torque on the speed curve for the same resistance. The dash	
line is an example at 0.75 per unit hp and 20% total resistance,	
resulting in approximately 0.88 per unit torque and 0.82 per unit	
· synchronous speed.	,
(c) For DC adjustable voltage shunt motors, obtain manufacturer's	
rated speed for armature voltage and field strength used.	•
(d) For AC squirrel cage motors, use the motor's specific	•
characteristic curves, to be supplied by the motor manufacturer.	
6480 Conductor Systems (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
6481 General	
(a) Conductor types and sizes shall be in accordance with NEC,	(a) Conductor types and sizes are in accordance with NEC, taking into
taking into consideration the voltage drop limitations affecting the	consideration the voltage drop limitations affecting the allowable voltage
allowable voltage variations at the controller specified in para.	variations at the controller specified in para. 6411.2. Ref. MMH Document
6411.2.	36675-06 (Electrical Calculations).
<b>(b)</b> If insulated, the insulation shall be rated for the radiation dose	(b) N/A - The total accumulated radiation exposure for the life of the
specified, if any.	crane does not pose a risk for conductor insulation.
(c) Each multiconductor control cable shall include spare	(c) Each multiconductor control cable is sized to have at least 10%
conductors. The quantity of spares shall be approximately 10% of	additional spare conductors with a minimum of two extra conductors.
the total, but not less than two and not more than five being	(d) Bending radii for the bridge conductor cables and cable support
required.	system are not less than the minimum manufacturer recommendations.
(d) For repeated flexing service, the bending radius for the cable	The bridge conductor system uses a rigid cable carrier that minimizes
and the cable support system shall be not less than the minimum	stress in the cables during movement.
recommended for the cable by its manufacturer. Means shall be	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
provided for supporting, extending, and retracting the cable to allow movement without exceeding the stress limit in the cable as stated by the cable manufacturer.	
6482 Conductor System Categories Conductor systems shall be considered in the following three general categories. 6482.1 Runway Systems Conduct power from the building supply to the crane.	Conductor systems are categorized as either runway systems, bridge systems, or auxiliary systems.  Components that conduct power from the building supply to the crane
6482.2 Bridge Systems  Conduct power and control between the bridge and trolley portions of the crane.	are categorized as runway systems.  Components that conduct power and control between the bridge and trolley portions of the crane are categorized as bridge systems.
6482.3 Auxiliary Systems Such as pendant pushbutton, communication, remote control, and instrumentation cables.	Components for pendant pushbuttons, communications, remote control, and instrumentation are categorized as auxiliary systems.
(a) When AC variable frequency controls are used, the runway and bridge conductor systems shall include a grounding conductor.  (b) The following three general types of conductor systems shall be considered to meet the needs of the three categories in para. 6482.  (1) Contact Conductor. These systems may consist of either a rigid bar or taut wire with a sliding or rolling collector. To ensure continuous contact on Type I, II, or III systems that use AC variable frequency drives or DC motor drives, there shall be at least two spring-loaded contact shoes per phase on main line systems in the primary circuit of AC motors and in any DC motor armature circuit that does not supply current to a series brake. Adequate expansion means shall be incorporated to allow for building expansions and contractions as specified. Where low-contact resistance is required for low current or low-voltage pilot devices, such as tachometer generators, a combination of conductor and collector materials shall be suitable for that usage.	(a) The runway and bridge conductor systems include grounding conductors. Ref. MMH Drawing R95399 (Electrical Schematic). (b)  (1) Rigid conductor bars are provided for the main line runway system and include two spring-loaded contact shoes per phase.  Low contact resistance is not required for the main line. (2) N/A - Brush-type cable reels are not used for this crane. (3) A cable carrier with flexible continuous conductors is used for the bridge system.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(2) Brush-Type Cable Reel. These systems consist of a cable,	
which is payed out off of a reel, and uses a slip-ring and	
brush arrangement to maintain electrical contact. Where	·
low-contact resistance is required for low-current or low-	
voltage pilot devices, such as tachometer generators, a	
combination of slip-ring and brush materials shall be	·
suitable for that usage.	
(3) Flexible Continuous Conductor. These systems consist of	
a continuous flexible cable, either flat or round, that is	
suspended in a festooned arrangement from a trolley and	
track system or in a cable carrier.	
6500 ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT TESTING REQUIREMENTS (TYPES I,	
II, AND III CRANES)	
All electrical equipment shall be tested in accordance with Section	Electrical equipment is tested in accordance with Section 7000 per MMH
7000.	Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure) and MMH
,	Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure).
7000 Inspection and Testing	
7100 TESTS AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	, in the second
The following list identifies the specific tests and acceptance criteria	Ref. MMH Document 36675-11 (Critical List) for testing requirements of
for the inspections and tests specified by Tables 7200-1 and 7200-2.	individual components as specified by Table 7200-1. Testing
(a) Drop weight test per ASTM E 208 or Charpy impact test per	requirements are also specified on applicable component drawings.
ASTM A 370. The owner or the owner's designated representative	Testing results are documented in MMH Document 36675-17 (QA
shall establish the acceptance criteria unless stated otherwise in	Document Binder)
this Standard.	(a) Charpy impact testing is performed per ASTM A370. Acceptance
(b) 100% radiographic test (RT) or ultrasonic test (UT) of buttwelds	criteria complies with Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003.
in accordance with AWS D1.1. Acceptance criteria shall be in	(b) Radiographic or ultransonic testing of buttwelds and acceptance
accordance with AWS D1.1.	criteria are in accordance with AWS D14.1. Procedures qualified under
(c) 10% magnetic particle test (MT) or dye penetrant test (PT) of the	AWS D1.1 are acceptable under AWS D14.1 per Section 9.1.4.
linear feet of each weld that exceeds 10 in. in length unless stated	(c) Magnetic particle or dye penetrant testing of welds and acceptance
otherwise in this Standard. Technique and acceptance criteria shall	criteria are in accordance with AWS D14.1. Procedures qualified under
be in accordance with AWS D1.1.	AWS D1.1 are acceptable under AWS D14.1 per Section 9.1.4.
(d) UT Volumetric Test	(d)
(1) UT volumetric tests are in accordance with ASTM A	(1) UT volumetric testing and acceptance criteria for plate

## **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements**

435/A 435M-90 for plate material. Acceptance criteria for plate material shall be in accordance with ASTM A 435/A 435M-90.

(2) UT volumetric tests are in accordance with ASTM E 114 and ASTM A 388/A 388M-01 for wrought or forged material after forging and before machining.

(a) Acceptance criteria for forged material shall be in accordance with the following requirements:

(1) Straight Beam. A forging or bar shall be unacceptable if the results of straight beam examinations show one or more reflectors that produce indications accompanied by a complete loss of back reflection not associated with or attributable to geometric configurations. Complete loss of back reflection is assumed when the back reflection falls below 5% of full calibration screen height.

(2) Angle Beam Rule. A forging or bar shall be unacceptable if the results of angle beam examinations show one or more reflectors that produce indications exceeding the amplitude reference line from the appropriate calibration notches.

(b) Acceptance criteria for the tensioned area of Type I crane hooks shall be in accordance with the following:

(1) Discontinuity indications in excess of the response from a 5/64 in. diameter flat-bottomed hole at the estimated discontinuity depth shall not be acceptable.

(2) Discontinuity indications in excess of the response from a 5/64 in. diameter flat- bottomed hole at the estimated discontinuity depth shall not

# Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

material are in accordance with ASTM A435/A435M-90. (2) UT volumetric testing of wrought or forged material is in accordance with ASTM E114 and A338/A338M-01. Acceptance

accordance with ASTM E114 and A338/A338M-01. Acceptance criteria is as specified.

(e) Proof load testing of hooks and acceptance criteria are in accordance with ASME B30.10

(f) Wire rope breaking strength testing is performed on a sample of the furnished rope by the manufacturer. The breaking strength meets or exceeds the manufacturer's published data.

(g) Magnetic particle or dye penetrant testing is in accordance with ASTM A275/A275M, E709, and/or E165. Acceptance criteria is as specified.

See Note 1.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
have their indicated centers closer than 1 in.	
(3) Elongated (stringer) type defects in excess of 1	
in. in length shall not be acceptable if at any point	
along the length the discontinuity indication is equal to or greater than the response from a 5/64	
in. diameter flat-bottomed hole.	·
(c) Acceptance criteria for material without parallel surfaces	,
(such as sheave pins and shafts) shall be in accordance with	
(d)(2)(b) above.	·
(e) Proof load test of hooks including dimensional inspection as	,
described in ASME B30.10. Acceptance criteria shall be in	
accordance with ASME B30.10.	
(f) Wire rope breaking strength test. Breaking strength shall meet or	
exceed published breaking strength in accordance with Federal	
Specification RR-W-410 or wire rope manufacturer's published data.	
The sample used for the test shall be taken from the wire rope	
furnished.	
(g) MT or PT	
(1) MT or PT shall be performed in accordance with ASTM A	·
275/A 275M, E 709, and/or E 165.	
(2) Nonrepairable transverse indications shall be in	
accordance with the following:	
(a) Indications with any dimension of 1/16 in. are	
unacceptable on material under 2 in. thick; on material 2 in. and over, indications with any	
dimension over 1/8 in. are unacceptable.	
(b) Four or more indications of any size separated	
by less than 1/16 in. edge-to-edge are unacceptable	
on material under 2 in. thick; on material 2 in. and	
over, less than 1/8 in. edge-to-edge are	·
unacceptable.	·
(c) Ten or more indications of any size in any 6 in.2	
determined with the major dimension taken in the	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
most unfavorable location relative to the	
indications but not exceeding 6 in. in length, are	,
unacceptable.	
(d) Indications may be explored to determine if they	·
are the result of material discontinuities, material	
properties or part geometry. Only indications	
resulting from material discontinuities shall be	
considered unacceptable; however, all indications	
identified that exceed the criteria in (a) through (c)	
above shall be recorded in the test report.	
(3) Nonrepairable transverse indication shall be	
unacceptable within the tensioned sections of the hook.	
Repairs by welding on the hook shall not be acceptable.	
7200 MANUFACTURING	
Inspections and testing of Types I and II cranes shall conform to this	Inspection and testing of the crane conforms to this Standard.
Standard. Assurance of implementation of the requirements of this	Implementation of the requirements of this Subsection is in accordance
Subsection for Types I and II cranes shall be in accordance with	with Section 2000
Section 2000. The manufacturer's standard inspection and testing	
program shall apply to Type III cranes if not otherwise specified in	
this Standard or contract document.	
7210 Receipt and In-Process Inspection and Testing Requirements	
(a) Receipt inspection and testing shall be performed for those	•
items listed in Table 7200-1 for Type I cranes and Table 7200-2 for	(a) MMH Document 36675-11 (Critical List) details the inspection and
Type II cranes. In-process inspection and testing shall be performed	testing required per Table 7200-1. Results are included in MMH
in accordance with Table 7200-1 for Type I cranes and Table 7200-2	Document 36675-17 (QA Document Binder).
for Type II cranes. (See para. 7100 for definitions of inspections and	(b) Documentation required by Table 7200-1 is listed in MMH Document
tests required by tables.)	36675-11 (Critical List). These items are reviewed and accepted prior to
(b) Documentation required by Table 7200-1 or Table 7200-2 shall	assembly. Results are included in MMH Document 36675-17 (QA
be reviewed and accepted by the crane manufacturer prior to the	Document Binder).
assembly of any item listed in these tables.	(c) All structural welds are visually inspected per AWS D14.1. Procedures
(c) All structural welds shall be visually inspected over their entire	qualified under AWS D1.1 are acceptable under AWS D14.1 per Section
lengths for any type crane. Acceptance criteria of welds and repair	9.1.4. Results are included in MMH Document 36675-17 (QA Document
shall be in accordance with AWS D1.1.	Binder).

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB <i>Crane Trolley</i> Compliance
	See Note 1.
<b>7220 Electrical Documentation</b> On Type I cranes, reports of standard NEMA tests shall be furnished by the electrical equipment manufacturer for hoist and travel motors and brakes.	NEMA MG-1 motor reports for all hoist and travel motors, including integrated brakes, are provided in MMH Document 36675-17 (QA Document Binder)
7230 Assembly Inspection Requirements  The crane shall be sufficiently assembled to ensure that parts are properly fitted. Permanent wiring, other than that normally done at field erection, shall be complete. Control panels and rigid conduit shall be installed. It is not required to reeve the drum and blocks, to attach the cab, or to erect on gantry legs.  Inspections of the work shall be performed by the crane manufacturer. The owner or the owner's designee may verify that crane components are being installed, assembled, or connected in compliance with the latest appropriate drawings, codes, standards, and procedures.	The trolley is completely assembled and wired and will be tested per MMH Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure). All control panels and rigid conduit for the trolley are installed. Items that interface with the bridge and building are assembled, wired, and tested to the extent possible prior to installation on site. Inspections are performed as required.
T240 Electrical Inspection Requirements Inspections shall be performed at the crane manufacturer's plant to verify the following:  (a) terminal connections for tightness; (b) panels and resistors are properly placed; (c) required fuses are installed; (d) panels, switches, resistors, and other parts and materials are in accordance with job drawings and are properly identified; (e) raceways are properly installed, and race-ways to be removed for shipment are to be properly fitted for field installation; (f) no interferences involving electrical items exist when trolley moves through its full range; (g) electrical items do not protrude beyond the confines of the crane as established by the job drawings; (h) electrical items requiring routine maintenance are accessible; (i) no wiring is touching resistor heating parts;	Inspections will be performed at the factory and on site per MMH Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure) and MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure) to verify the following:  (a) terminal connections are tight; (b) panels and resistors are properly placed; (c) required fuses are installed; (d) panels, switches, resistors, and other parts and materials are in accordance with job drawings and are properly identified; (e) raceways are properly installed, and race-ways to be removed for shipment are properly fitted for field installation; (f) no interferences involving electrical items exist when trolley moves through its full range; (g) electrical items do not protrude beyond the confines of the crane as established by the job drawings;

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(j) portions of conductor systems which are designed to move in	(h) electrical items requiring routine maintenance are accessible;
order to accommodate crane motion move freely;	(i) no wiring is touching resistor heating parts;
(k) ancillary electrical items are properly installed;	(j) portions of conductor systems which are designed to move in order to
(1) pendant cable strain relief is properly installed on pendant push-	accommodate crane motion move freely;
button station-operated cranes;	(k) ancillary electrical items are properly installed;
(m) overload relay current sensing elements are in accordance with	(I) N/A - Radio controls are specified instead of a pendant
job drawings;	(m) overload relay current sensing elements are in accordance with job
(n) motor connections are properly made;	drawings;
(o) contactors and electromechanical relays whose armatures are	(n) motor connections are properly made;
accessible operate freely by hand;	(o) contactors and electromechanical relays whose armatures are
(p) electrical enclosures are correct NEMA type and panel doors	accessible operate freely by hand;
operate properly;	(p) electrical enclosures are correct NEMA type and panel doors operate
(q) brushes are properly seated;	properly;
(r) electrical holding brakes are adjusted to correct torque settings;	(q) brushes are properly seated;
and	(r) electrical holding brakes are adjusted to correct torque settings; and
(s) conductors are identified at each termination by being marked	(s) conductors are identified at each termination by being marked to
to correspond to the schematic diagram.	correspond to the schematic diagram.
7250 Shop No-Load Test	
A shop no-load test shall be performed at the crane manufacturer's	A shop no-load test will be performed for the trolley at the
facility. Procedure(s) shall be prepared and used by the crane	manufacturer's facility under the direction of the manufacturer. Testing is
manufacturer in conducting the shop no-load test.	specified in MMH Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test
If subsequent manufacturing or associated activities affect the	Procedure) and will not be performed until manufacturing of all items
validity of this test or portions thereof, the appropriate portion of	that affect the validity of the test are completed. Nonconformances
the test shall be repeated.	found during the shop no-load test are treated as required by this
The crane manufacturer's personnel shall direct the test following	Standard.
the appropriate procedure(s).	
Nonconformances found during the shop no-load test shall be	
treated as required by this Standard.	
7251 Prerequisites	
Prior to conducting the shop no-load test, the crane or applicable	Prior to conducting the shop no-load test, the trolley is assembled and
portions to be tested shall be assembled and wired subject to the	wired and will be subject to the following. Ref. MMH Document 36675-07
following.	(Factory Acceptance Test Procedure).
(a) The crane or its applicable portions need not be completely	(a) The trolley is completely assembled, wired, and coated with primer as

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
assembled, wired, or painted at time of testing if subsequent work will not influence or alter the results of the test.  (b) Temporary electrical connections for test purposes are acceptable for normally installed field wiring. Where conductor bar systems are used, only enough of the conductor bar lengths need be installed to set collector shoes and check trolley operations.  (c) When testing the operation of mechanical portions of the crane, the use of a temporary controller is acceptable.  (d) When testing electrical portions of the crane, the crane will be tested with the actual crane controls unless specifically excepted by the purchaser.	a minimum for the shop no-load test.  (b) Temporary electrical connections for items that interface with the crane will be used to test the system.  (c) N/A - A temporary controller is not used.  (d) When testing electrical portions of the crane, the crane will be tested with the actual crane controls
7252 Mechanical Requirements As a minimum, the following mechanical functions shall be verified: (a) traverse of the trolley frame with wheel assemblies and other trolley-to-bridge interface items for tracking and clearances on the bridge (powered operation is preferable if conditions permit); (b) powered operation of bridge and trolley drive and hoist units; (c) operation of miscellaneous mechanical components such as brake and rail clamps in accordance with design criteria.	The following mechanical functions will be verified during in MMH Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure) and MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure).  (a) traverse of the trolley frame with wheel assemblies and other trolley-to-bridge interface items for tracking and clearances on the bridge;  (b) powered operation of bridge and trolley drive and hoist units;  (c) operation of miscellaneous mechanical components including the brakes
7253 Electrical Requirements A test of the crane electrical system shall be made to verify proper operation of the controls. For radio controlled cranes, the transmitter-receiver system need not be used for this test. An alternate means of operation of the receiver driven relay panel is acceptable.	The functionality of all electrical crane controls will be tested in MMH Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure) and MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure).
<b>7260 Preparation for Shipment Requirements</b> As a minimum, the preparation for shipment of Types, I, II, and III cranes shall meet the requirements of Section 8000. Coatings shall be inspected in accordance with para. 70.	The preparation for shipment of the crane will meet the requirements of Section 8000. Coatings are inspected in accordance with para. 7700.
<b>7270 Final Verification of Document Requirements</b> The owner or the owner's authorized representative shall verify the documentation which has been compiled by the manufacturer as	The owner or owner's authorized representative will verify the documentation compiled by the manufacturer as required by para. A-

required by para. A-7613.	7613, that will be contained in MMH Document 36675-17 (QA Document Binder).
7300 RECEIPT AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS FOR STORAGE FACILITY AND/OR SITE Assurance of implementation of the requirements of this Subsection for Types I and II cranes shall be in accordance with Section 2000.	There is no storage of equipment at Zion Station. Equipment is shipped directly from the factory to the site for immediate installation following delivery and receipt inspection. ZionSolutions will execute the receipt requirements of this section in accordance with the Section 2000 requirements.
<b>7310 Receiving Inspection</b> This Subsection defines requirements for the receipt inspection of cranes to be fulfilled by the organization responsible for performing the handling, storage, and reshipment of the equipment. These requirements outline the criteria involved in the inspection to verify that the crane components have been received in accordance with contractual requirements. The receipt inspection verifies that the quality of the crane has not been reduced due to corrosion, contamination, deterioration, or physical damage resulting from its being shipped.	Storage and reshipment are N/A. ZionSolutions is the organization responsible for performing the handling of the equipment at the site and is therefore responsible for the receiving inspection at the site. Criteria will be established as described in the sections below to verify that the crane components have been received in accordance with contractual requirements and that the quality of the crane has not been reduced due to corrosion, contamination, deterioration, or physical damage resulting from its being shipped.
<b>7311 Requirements</b> The following minimum requirements for receipt inspection apply to Types I, II, and III cranes.	ZionSolutions will prepare requirements for receipt inspections as described in the following sections.
7311.1 Receiving Inspection Plan A Receiving Inspection Plan shall be prepared by the owner or owner's designated representative. The plan shall provide instructions for performing receiving inspection covering the following activities:  (a) documentation,  (b) visual inspection,  (c) marking and tagging,  (d) testing, and	<ul> <li>(a-e) ZionSolutions will prepare a Receiving Inspection Plan which will provide receiving instructions for the following activities:</li> <li>(a) documentation,</li> <li>(b) visual inspection,</li> <li>(c) marking and tagging,</li> <li>(d) testing, and</li> <li>(e) preparation for storage</li> </ul>

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(e) preparation for storage.	
7311.2 Receiving Inspection Plan Implementation	
Receiving inspection shall be initiated upon notification that the	ZionSolutions receiving inspection will be initiated once the crane has
crane has arrived at the designated area. This inspection shall be	arrived at the designated area at the Zion Station site and the inspection
carried out in accordance with the Receiving Inspection Plan.	performed in accordance with the Receiving Inspection Plan
7312 Conformance to Documentation	
A review shall be made to ensure that a correlation exists between	The Receiving Inspection Plan will include criteria to review and ensure
the item received and its supporting documentation. The review	that a correlation exists between the item received and its supporting
shall include a comparison of the release for shipment documents,	documentation and will include a comparison of the release for shipment
equipment identification numbers, purchase order document	documents, equipment identification numbers, purchase order documen
numbers, and other item identification.	numbers, and other item identification
7313 Visual Examination	·
7313.1 Preliminary Inspection	
A preliminary inspection shall be performed prior to unloading to	(a-e) The Receiving Inspection Plan and associated inspection criteria to
identify damage that may have occurred. Observations for unusual	perform a preliminary inspection prior to unloading to identify damage
conditions shall include:	that may have occurred. Unusual conditions that will be included in the
(a) Fire. Charred paper, wood, or paint, indicating exposure to fire	inspection criteria for checking include:
or high temperature.	(a) Fire
(b) Excessive Exposure. Weather-beaten, frayed, rusted, or stained	(b) Excessive Exposure
containers indicating prolonged exposure during transit.	(c) Environmental Damage
(c) Environmental Damage. Water or oil marks, damp conditions,	(d) Tie Down Failure
dirty areas, or salt film (indicating exposure to seawater or winter	(e) Rough Handling
road salt chemicals).	
(d) Tie Down Failure. Shifted, broken, loose, or twisted shipping ties,	
and worn material under ties.	
(e) Rough Handling. Splintered, torn, or crushed containers	
indicating improper handling; review of impact recording	
instrument readings, when required.	
7313.2 Item Inspection	ZionSolutions will perform item inspection at the designated receiving
Item inspection shall be performed at the designated receiving	area. The Receiving Inspection Plan will ensure that the following criteria
area. Unless the package marking prohibits unpacking, the contents	are met: Unless the package marking prohibits unpacking, the contents
of all shipments shall be visually inspected to ascertain compliance	of all shipments shall be visually inspected to ascertain compliance with
with specified packing and shipping requirements. Items packaged	specified packing and shipping requirements. Items packaged in separate

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
in separate, moisture-proof, transparent bags shall be visually	moisture-proof, transparent bags shall be visually inspected without
inspected without unpacking. Inspections shall be performed in a	unpacking. Inspections shall be performed in a manner to avoid
manner to avoid contamination of the item during inspection.	contamination of the item during inspection.
7314 Marking and Tagging	
Items shall be inspected to verify that the markings and tags are	ZionSolutions will prepare a Receipt Inspection Plan and associated
affixed in accordance with Section 8000 and the purchase order	criteria to inspect items to verify that the markings and tags are affixed in
documents. The Receiving Inspection Plan shall identify these	accordance with Section 8000 and the purchase order documents.
marking and tagging requirements.	
7315 Testing	
In those cases where the purchase order documents and para. 7100	
require testing during receiving inspection, the Receiving Inspection	Subsequent to receipt inspection, the equipment will be tested in
Plan shall delineate the test requirements and provide	accordance with MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test
documentation instructions.	Procedure) prior to being released for use.
7316 Preparation for Storage	
When the receiving inspection of an item has been completed, the	
item should be in satisfactory condition for storage. Assurance	N/A There is no storage of equipment. Equipment is shipped directly
should be made that pipe caps or covers removed for receiving	from the factory to the site for immediate installation following delivery
inspection are replaced, machined surfaces are protected, and	and receipt inspection.
crated items have been recrated in accordance with original	
purchase order requirements and para. 7260, governing	
preparation for shipment and storage.	
7320 Handling Inspection	
This Subsection defines requirements for the handling inspection of	
cranes to be fulfilled by the organization responsible for performing	Subsequent to receipt inspection, the equipment will be tested in
the handling, storage, and reshipment of the equipment. These	accordance with MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test
requirements outline the criteria involved in the inspection to verify	Procedure) prior to being released for use. This testing will ensure no
that the crane components have been handled in an acceptable	deleterious effects from site handling.
manner. The handling inspection verifies that the quality of the	
crane has not been reduced due to unacceptable methods and	
procedures for handling the crane.	
7321 Requirements	
An inspection program shall be established by the owner or the	
owner's designated representative for equipment and rigging in	ZionSolutions will prepare an inspection program for equipment and

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
accordance with Section 8000.	rigging in accordance with Section 8000.
7330 Storage Inspection	
Prior to the commencement of storage activities, the owner or the owner's designated representative shall establish and maintain a storage inspection program consistent with the requirements of this Standard. The program shall specify the inspection surveillance intervals for these requirements.	N/A There is no storage of equipment. Equipment is shipped directly from the factory to the site for immediate installation following delivery and receipt inspection.
7331 Requirements	
Inspections and examinations shall be performed on a planned and systematic basis consistent with the requirements of Types I, II, and III cranes to verify that the integrity of the stored item and its protective cover, as provided for in para. 7260, are being maintained. Verification during the inspection activity shall be in accordance with Section 8000.	N/A There is no storage of equipment. Equipment is shipped directly from the factory to the site for immediate installation following delivery and receipt inspection.
7340 Preparation for Reshipment	
This Subsection defines the requirements for preparation for reshipment of cranes to be fulfilled by the owner or the owner's authorized representative.	N/A There is no reshipment of equipment. Equipment is shipped directly from the factory to the site for immediate installation following delivery and receipt inspection.
7341 Requirements	
The following minimum requirements for reshipment apply to Types I, II, and III cranes. Measures shall be established and documented to ensure that the equipment has been maintained and preserved in accordance with established instructions, procedures, or drawings to prevent damage, deterioration, and loss as per paras. 7320 and 7330.  Prior to reshipment, the requirements of para. 7260 shall be verified or reestablished. The owner may waive specific	N/A There is no reshipment of equipment. Equipment is shipped directly from the factory to the site for immediate installation following delivery and receipt inspection.
requirements if waiving them is not adverse to quality, and provided the minimum requirements of para. 7310 are met. The documentation generated as requirements of paras. 7310 through 7340 shall be completed prior to reshipment and retained as specified in para. A-7620.	
7400 SITE	·

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
Assurance of implementation of the requirements of this Section for Types I and II cranes shall be in accordance with Section 2000.	Implementation of the requirements of this Section follows the applicable quality assurance programs specified in Section 2000.
7410 Installation 7411 Preinstallation Verification 7411.1 General Prior to the actual installation of Types I, II, and III cranes, there are certain preliminary inspections, checks, and similar activities that shall be completed to verify that the crane and the installation area conform to specified requirements, and that the necessary resources are available to assure that the quality of the crane will be maintained as the installation proceeds. The quality requirements and quality assurance actions that are necessary during installation shall be planned and reviewed so that they are understood by the responsible individuals.	Preliminary walk downs that include inspections, checks and other similar activities are performed on the crane to verify that the crane and the installation area conform to specified requirements, and that the necessary resources are available to assure that the quality of the crane will be maintained as the installation proceeds.  The crane will be installed in accordance with MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure). This procedure will include the quality requirements and quality assurance actions that are necessary during installation, and the procedure will be reviewed with the customer prior to installation so the requirements are understood by the responsible
7411.2 Identification (for Type I Cranes) Checks shall be made to verify that the identity of received equipment has been maintained and is in accordance with the latest approved-for-construction drawings, equipment lists, specifications, and established procedures. If these checks disclose apparent loss of identification, the identity shall be reaffirmed prior to release for installation. Checks shall be made to verify that a control system for maintaining identification of items throughout installation has been established. Provisions shall be made for an alternative system for equipment identification to drawings, specification, or records when identification or markings must be destroyed, hidden, or removed from an item.	The crane will be installed in accordance with MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure). This procedure will include the necessary verification of component identification and marking.
<b>7411.3 Processes and Procedures (Types I and II Cranes)</b> Consistent with the construction activities schedule, inspections or checks shall be performed to verify that procedures are ready when	Inspections or checks are performed to verify that procedures are ready when needed during the installation of the crane components. These

### **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements**

needed for use in the installation of the crane components. These inspections or checks shall include the verification of the following items.

- (a) Approved procedures, drawings, manuals, or other work instructions are provided to the installer at the construction site.
- **(b)** Special instructions and checklists as required are available at the installation area or attached to the item.
- **(c)** Approved procedures and instructions for special processes such as coating, welding, and nondestructive examination are available at the site.
- (d) Where applicable, personnel, procedures, and instructions shall have been qualified through the preparation of workmanship standards, samples, or mockups that simulate actual job conditions.
- (e) Installation preparations have been completed, including such tasks as removal of packaging, conditioning, cleaning, and preliminary positioning.
- (f) Jigs, fixtures, and equipment for special processes, if required, are available at the site and conform to specified requirements.
- (g) Equipment for handling and placement of items is available at the site and is adequate to perform the work in accordance with specified requirements.
- **(h)** Warnings and safety notices, appropriate to the activity, are posted.

# 7411.4 Physical Condition and Record Review (Types I, II, and III Cranes)

Inspections or checks, as appropriate, shall be performed to verify that the crane items are in accordance with the specified requirements and that quality has been maintained. These inspections or checks shall include the following verifications.

- (a) Protective measures and physical integrity during storage have been maintained in conformance with paras. 7330, 7430, and Section 8000.
- (b) Nonconformances have been satisfactorily disposed of or

### Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

inspections or checks include the following items.

- (a) Only approved procedures, drawings, manuals, or other work instructions are used for installation and testing.
- (b) Special instructions and checklists are provided where required.
- **(c)** Approved procedures and instructions for any special processes such as coating, welding, and nondestructive examination are available at the site.
- (d) All personnel are qualified to perform their assigned tasks. Installation procedures are reviewed and approved by the owner.
- (e) Installation preparations are completed prior to install.
- (f) Special equipment for installation is tested prior to being used.
- (g) Material handling equipment is available at the site and is appropriate for the intended use.
- (h) Warnings and safety notices applicable to the installation will be specified in MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure). Warnings and safety notices applicable to the facility are the responsibility of the owner.

Inspections or checks, as appropriate, will be performed by the owner and manufacturer before and after installation to verify that the crane items are in accordance with the specified requirements and that quality has been maintained. Ref. MMH Document 36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure), MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure), MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure), and MMH Document 36675-17 (QA Document Binder). These inspections or checks include the following verifications.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
controlled.	(a) N/A - There is no storage of equipment. Equipment is shipped directly
(c) Items have been cleaned in accordance with specified	from the factory to the site for immediate installation.
requirements.	(b) Nonconformances are satisfactorily disposed of or controlled.
	(c) Items are cleaned in accordance with specified requirements.
7411.5 Site Conditions (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	,
Inspections or checks, as appropriate, shall be performed to verify	Inspections or checks, as appropriate, are performed during the crane
that conditions of the installation area conform to specified	walk down and during installation per MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane
requirements and precautions have been taken to prevent	Installation Procedure) to verify that conditions of the installation area
conditions that will adversely affect the quality of the item during	conform to specified requirements, and precautions are taken to preven
installation. These inspection checks shall verify the following.	conditions that will adversely affect the quality of the item during
(a) Protection from adjacent construction activities is being	installation. These inspection checks verify the following.
provided, including implementation of appropriate exclusion and	(a) N/A - There are no construction activities adjacent to the installation
area cleanness requirements.	area
(b) Protection from inclement weather and other ambient	(b) Protection from inclement weather and other ambient conditions
conditions adverse to quality is being provided.	adverse to quality is being provided.
(c) Materials that may be deleterious to the crane items being	(c) Materials that may be deleterious to the crane items being installed
installed are controlled.	are controlled.
(d) Installation of the crane will not adversely affect the subsequent	(d) Installation of the crane does not adversely affect the subsequent
installation of other equipment, and repair or rework on any	installation of other equipment, and repair or rework on any
nonconforming items can be performed satisfactorily.	nonconforming items can be performed satisfactorily.
(e) Permanent crane runway (or approved temporary) supports and	(e) Permanent crane runway supports and mountings that will properly
mountings that will properly interface with the crane have been	interface with the crane are installed.
installed.	(f) Servicing or maintenance activity related to installation has been
(f) Servicing or maintenance activity related to installation has been	performed.
performed.	
7412 Control During Installation	
For Types I and II cranes, checking, inspection, examination, or	Checking, inspection, examination, or testing activities will be performed
testing activities shall be performed during the installation of crane	during the crane installation to ensure that the crane is assembled in
components to ensure that the crane is being assembled in	accordance with prescribed procedures. These activities are performed
accordance with prescribed procedures. These activities shall be	a systematic manner to ensure surveillance throughout the installation
performed in a systematic manner to ensure surveillance	process. MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure)
throughout the installation process. A procedure shall be provided	provides the coordination and sequencing of these activities at
	1

for the coordination and sequencing of these activities at

established inspection points during successive stages of installation.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB <i>Crane Trolley</i> Compliance
established inspection points in successive stages of installation.	Engineering and design changes are documented and any new revisions
A method shall be implemented to ensure that engineering and	of applicable documents are officially transmitted to the owner.
design changes are documented and controlled during installation.	
7413 Process and Procedure Control	
For Types I and II cranes, checks shall be made to verify that a system of controls has been established and is being maintained at the construction site to assure the following.  (a) The applicable revisions of approved procedures, drawings, and instructions are being followed.  (b) Qualified and approved processes, materials, tools, and other equipment are being used by qualified personnel.  (c) The status of installation, inspections, examinations, or tests is clearly indicated or identified in inspection records.  (d) The installation, inspection, and testing sequence are being maintained.  (e) Identification, appropriate segregation, and disposition of nonconforming items are being controlled.	The manufacturer and owner jointly provide quality checks to ensure that installation and start up on site are performed per appropriate procedures for the items listed. Ref. MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure) and MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure  (a) The applicable revisions of approved procedures, drawings, and instructions are controlled separately by both the manufacturer and owner. All new document revisions are officially transmitted to the owner.  (b) Qualified and approved processes, materials, tools, and other equipment are being used by qualified personnel.  (c) The status of installation, inspections, examinations, or tests is clearly indicated or identified in inspection records.
(f) Inspection and test reports are current, accurate, and complete.	(d) The installation, inspection, and testing sequence are maintained. (e) Identification, appropriate segregation, and disposition of non-conforming items are controlled. (f) Inspection and test reports are current, accurate, and complete.
7414 Examination	
Nondestructive examinations, when required, shall be performed in accordance with para. 7100.	Nondestructive examinations, when required, will be specified on applicable drawings, in MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure) or in MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure), and will be performed in accordance with para. 7100.
7415 Inspection (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
Inspections of the work areas and the work in progress shall be	MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure) provides
performed to verify that crane components are being located,	assurance that crane components will be located, installed, assembled, o
installed, assembled, or connected in compliance with the latest	connected in compliance with the latest approved for construction
approved for construction drawings, manufacturer's instructions, codes, installation instructions, and procedures. Inspections	drawings, instructions, codes, installation instructions, and procedures.
performed shall include as appropriate the following:	Inspections performed include the following as applicable:

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(a) identification;	(a) identification based on MMH drawing numbers
(b) location and orientation of components;	(b) location and orientation of components
(c) leveling and alignment;	(c) leveling and alignment
(d) clearances and tolerances;	(d) clearances and tolerances
(e) tightness of connections and fasteners;	(e) tightness of connections and fasteners
(f) fluid levels and pressures;	(f) fluid levels are proper
(g) cleanness;	(g) cleanness
(h) welding operations including materials and process controls;	(h) welding is performed per proper procedures
and	(i) N/A - The facility is already constructed
(i) adequacy of housekeeping, barriers, and protective equipment	
to ensure that items will not be damaged or contaminated as a	
result of adjacent construction activities.	·
7416 Assembled Inspection (Types I, II, and III Cranes)	
Checks shall be performed to verify that all components have been	The installed system is carefully checked to verify that all components
correctly installed. If construction or associated activities affect the	have been correctly installed. Checkout procedures to verify correctness
results of these checks, the checks shall be repeated if necessary to	of installation and ability to function will be provided in MMH Document
assure that the quality has not been adversely affected.	36675-07 (Factory Acceptance Test Procedure), MMH Document 36675-
Checkout procedures to verify correctness of installation and ability	13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure), MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane
to function shall include the following mechanical elements:	Installation Procedure), and in applicable assembly drawings.
(a) proper positioning of mating parts, such as couplings;	
(b) completion of proper greasing or lubrication;	These procedures include:
(c) priming, venting, and filling of casings, reservoirs, etc.;	(a) proper positioning of mating parts, such as couplings;
(d) proper installation of seismic anchors and restraints;	(b) completion of proper greasing or lubrication;
(e) reeving to conform to manufacturer's instructions;	(c) priming, venting, and filling of casings, reservoirs, etc.;
(f) recording camber of girders with trolley(s) at midpoint of span;	(d) proper installation of seismic anchors and restraints;
(g) control of special bolting method;	(e) reeving to conform to manufacturer's instructions;
(h) inspection of electrical connections for good contact and	(f) recording camber of girders with trolley(s) at midpoint of span;
conformance with wiring diagram; and	(g) control of special bolting method;
(i) inspection of bridge conductor-collector system for proper	(h) inspection of electrical connections for good contact and conformance
alignment.	with wiring diagram; and
	(i) inspection of bridge conductor-collector system for proper alignment.
7420 Preoperational Testing and Inspection	
This Subsection defines requirements for pre-op testing and	This crane is not used for construction. Pre-operational testing will be

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
inspection to ensure that the equipment will perform as required for handling of items during construction. A pre-op testing and inspection program shall be established to demonstrate that the crane will perform satisfactorily in service. The preop testing shall be performed in accordance with written test procedures which incorporate the requirements and acceptance criteria contained in applicable documents, which include applicable manufacturer recommendations. The owner or the owner's designated representatives shall conduct and be responsible for the pre-op tests called for in these procedures, shall furnish all facilities necessary for the performance of such tests, and shall ensure that proper communications are established for control of testing. Pre-op testing and inspection requirements discussed in this Subsection shall be applicable to Types I, II, and III cranes; these testing requirements shall be completed after the equipment has been installed and prior to construction-operational use of the crane.	performed per MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure).
7421 No-Load Test A no-load test will be performed on cranes, after the power supply has been verified to be in conformance with the crane specifications, to verify the following:  (a) motor rotation is correct;  (b) lubrication and cooling systems are in service;  (c) limit switches, interlocks, and stops are properly adjusted and set;  (d) instrumentation is calibrated and in service as required; and  (e) controls are adjusted properly for all drives for hoist, trolley, and bridge through the speed ranges.	No-Load testing will be performed per MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure) to ensure:  (a) motor rotation is correct  (b) all applicable components are lubricated  (c) limit switches are properly operating  (d) instrumentation is calibrated and in service as required  (e) controls are adjusted properly for all hoist, trolley, and bridge drives
7421.1 Additional Requirements At the same time the no-load testing is being performed, the following information shall be recorded or observed:  (a) Electrical for (Full-Speed Conditions)  (1) motor volts	The following items will be recorded or observed per MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure):  (a) Electrical for (Full-Speed Conditions)  (1) motor volts

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(2) motor amps	(2) motor amps
(3) motor rpm	(3) motor rpm
(b) Mechanical	(b) Mechanical
(1) noise level	(1) noise level
(2) oil leaks	(2) oil leaks
(3) excessive vibration	(3) excessive vibration
(4) complete check of crane to certified clearance drawing	(4) complete check of crane to certified clearance drawing
(5) gear alignment and engagement	(5) gear alignment and engagement
(c) Structural	(c) Structural
(1) overall clearances	(1) overall clearances
(2) trolley end approaches	(2) trolley end approaches
7422 Full-Load Test	
The crane shall be statically loaded at mid-span to a maximum of	MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure) details the
100% of hoist manufacturer's rating, and the deflection of the	static loading of the crane at mid-span to a maximum of 100% of the
bridge at its center shall be measured and recorded. With this load,	rated load, and recording the deflection of the bridge at its center. With
the crane shall be operated through all drives for hoist, trolley, and	this load, the crane will be operated through all drives for hoist, trolley,
bridge, and through all speed ranges to demonstrate speed controls	and bridge, and through all speed ranges to demonstrate speed controls
and proper function of limit switches, locking, and safety devices.	and proper function of limit switches, locking, and safety devices. This
For Type I cranes, the manual critical load lowering device(s) shall	procedure also includes testing the manual critical load lowering devices
be tested. For Type I cranes, each holding brake shall be tested	and individually testing the holding brakes to verify that each will stop
individually to verify that it will stop and hold the test load.	and hold the test load.
7423 Rated Load Test	
After the no-load test and full-load tests are completed, and prior to	Ref. MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure) will
use of the crane to handle loads, the crane shall be rated load	control testing of the for the following items:
tested.	(a) The trolley is load tested to 125% during the factory acceptance test.
(a) The crane shall receive a rated load test of 125% (+5%, -0%) of	The crane will be load tested to 125% (+5%, -0%) of the rated load to
the manufacturer's rated load.	establish a minimum operating temperature in accordance with NUREG-
(b) The rated load test shall consist of the following operations as a	0554 guidance.
minimum requirement.	(b) The load test consists of the following operations:
(1) Lift the test load a distance to ensure that the load is	(1) Lifting the test load a distance to ensure that the load is
supported by the crane and held by the hoist brakes.	supported by the crane and held by the hoist brakes.
(2) Transport the test load by means of the trolley from one	(2) Transporting the test load by means of the trolley from one

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
end of the crane bridge to the other. The trolley shall approach the limits of travel as close as is practical in the event use area restrictions are imposed.  (3) Transport the test load by means of the bridge for the full length of the runway in one direction with the trolley as close to the extreme right-hand end of the crane as is practical, and in the other direction with the trolley as close to the extreme left end of the crane as is practical.  (4) Lower the test load, stop, and hold the load with the brakes.  (5) Verify that the nameplate reflects load rating per (a) above.	end of the crane bridge to the other, approaching the limits of travel as close as is practical.  (3) Transporting the test load by means of the bridge for the full length of the runway in one direction with the trolley as close to the extreme right-hand end of the crane as is practical, and in the other direction with the trolley as close to the extreme left end of the crane as is practical.  (4) Lowering the test load, stopping, and holding the load with the brakes.  (5) Verifying that the nameplate reflects the load rating per (a) above.
<b>7424 Certification</b> A written report confirming that the crane has successfully passed the rated load test load rating of the crane shall be furnished. This report shall be signed by representatives of all parties participating in the test.	MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure) details the load testing procedures. This document will be signed by representatives of all parties participating in the test upon successful completion.
7430 Cranes Used for Construction (Types I, II, and III Cranes)  Temporary use of cranes to which this Standard applies that are to become part of the completed project may be desirable. However, authorization for such usage shall be as provided for in the contract or by written approval from the responsible organization. Such use shall not subject the crane to conditions for which it was not designed. The temporary use authorization shall include:  (a) conditions of use;  (b) maintenance requirements;  (c) inspections and test as required to maintain operability and quality during periods of temporary use of the crane; and  (d) requirements for maintaining operating and maintenance logs.  When temporary use is completed, conditions of temporary use shall be evaluated to verify that the crane continues to satisfy the specified requirements for its permanent intended use.  7500 QUALIFICATION FOR PERMANENT PLANT SERVICE	(a-d) N/A - This crane is not used for construction

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
Assurance of implementation of the requirements of this Subsection for Types I and II cranes shall be in accordance with Section 2000.	N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after construction use.
7510 Construction Use Record Review	
7511 Requirements	
Measures shall be established and implemented for the reviewing of construction use records for Types I, II, and III cranes. As a minimum, the following construction use records shall be reviewed by qualified personnel:  (a) maintenance log and (b) operating log.	(a-b) N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after construction use.
7512 Documentation	·
Documentation to substantiate that construction use record review has been completed as required by para. 7511 shall be validated. This documentation shall be maintained in accordance with para. A-7630. These records shall be considered valid only if stamped, initialed, signed, or otherwise authenticated and dated by authorized personnel.	N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after construction use.
7520 Inspection Prior to Performance Testing	
This Subsection is intended to outline the inspection requirements necessary to qualify Types I, II, and III cranes for permanent plant service after construction use.	N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after construction use.
7521 Requirements	
Systems and procedures shall be established by the owner or the owner's designee to ensure that the inspection requirements as delineated within this Section are accomplished and documented by qualified personnel. These requirements are minimums and may be added to after review of construction use records if applicable. Discrepancies shall be corrected and reinspected in accordance with this Standard.	N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after construction use.
7521.1 General	
(a) Prior to making mechanical and electrical inspections, the construction use logs shall be reviewed as required by para. 7510 of	(a-b) N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
this Standard. Special attention shall be given to inspection of problem areas as noted in construction use logs.  (b) Cranes shall be checked for cleanness. Dirt and foreign material shall be removed prior to inspection.	construction use.
7521.2 Mechanical Inspection  (a) Inspection covers shall be cleaned and opened, and exposed components shall be inspected for physical damage.  (b) Oil in reservoir shall be visually inspected for cleanness, filling to proper level, and foreign material.  (c) Gears shall be rotated so that all teeth on all gears can be inspected for pitting, featheredges at the tips of teeth, and misalignment.  (d) Bolts shall be inspected for tightness.  (e) Couplings shall be inspected for tight bolts, elongation of bolt holes, and tightness of keys in keyways.  (f) Bridge and trolley drive and idler wheels shall be inspected for excessive flanging and flat spots. See Fig. 7521.2(f)-1.  (g) External welds listed in Tables 7200-1 and 7200-2 shall be visually examined.  (h) All structural members shall be visually inspected by a qualified person for damage resulting from abuse or neglect.  (i) Verify camber and compare with recording made per para.  7416(f).  (j) Sheaves shall be inspected for wear and defects that could damage wire rope.  (k) Bumpers and stops shall be verified as intact and operable.  (l) Bearing housings shall be inspected for integrity, lubrication, and cleanness.  (m) Trolley rail clips and trolley rails shall be inspected for tightness, excessive wear, and alignment.  (n) Cab glass shall be inspected and replaced as necessary.  (o) Wire rope shall be inspected for broken wire, strands, twists, kinks, or signs of wear.	(a-u) N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after construction use.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
(p) Capacity signs shall be inspected for visibility from the operating	
floor.	·
(q) Hoist drums shall be inspected for wear and defects that could	
damage wire rope. If groove root diameter is worn in excess of one-	
fourth the rope diameter, drum shall be replaced.	
(r) Hook shall be inspected in accordance with ASME B30.10. Hook	
dimensions shall be validated. A record of this validation shall be	
maintained in accordance with para. A-7630.	
(s) Top nut on hook shank shall be verified to be secure and not	
turned on shank.	
(t) Hook shall be inspected to see that it swivels easily and that	
thrust bearing is lubricated and in good condition.	
(u) All hydraulic and pneumatic systems shall be inspected for leaks	
and damage.	
7521.3 Electrical Inspection (Visual) While Crane Is Immobile	
A qualified electrician shall be assigned to the electrical inspection.	(a-c) N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does
All electrical power to the crane is to be locked out and under the	not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after
control of the inspector.	construction use.
(a) Motors	
(1) Inspect all brushes for wear, even contact, and damage.	
(2) Inspect springs for tension on brushes.	
(3) Inspect slip rings for pitting and wear.	
(4) Inspect wires and terminals for tightness.	
(5) Inspect insulation on wires for cracks or brittleness.	
(6) Verify that motor bearings are properly lubricated.	
(b) Other Electrical Components	
(1) Inspect connections for tightness.	
(2) Inspect collector system for physical damage.	· ·
(3) Inspect insulators for cracks.	
(4) Inspect contactor and relay contacts forwear, pitting,	
and burning (does not apply to sealed relay contacts).	
(5) Verify that timers are functioning and properly set.	
(6) Verify that all panel doors shut properly and door seals	

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance
are intact. (7) Inspect resistors for burned-out sections, breaks, or cracks in insulation. (8) Inspect disconnect switches and overload devices. (9) Inspect master switch(es) or pendant pushbutton station contacts for operation, tight connections, and wear or	
pitting (unless contacts are sealed). (10) Inspect limit switches for operation, tight connections, and wear or pitting (unless contacts are sealed). (11) Inspect electrical enclosures for cleanness. (c) Verify the integrity of electrical enclosures and conduit systems.	
<b>7530 Testing Requirements</b> The crane shall be tested in accordance with para. 7420.	N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after construction use.
7540 Modification and Changes  Modifications and changes in design shall be reviewed and approved by the owner or owner's designated representative.  These changes in design shall be inspected and tested in accordance with this Standard.	N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after construction use.
7550 Recertification 7551 Crane Not Used for Construction When the crane has not been used for construction and has been certified in accordance with para. 7420, it requires no recertification.	The crane is not used for construction and is certified in accordance with para. 7420. No recertification is required.
7552 Crane Used for Construction When used for construction, the crane, including any components installed subsequent to construction usage, shall be recertified by a qualified individual in accordance with paras. 7420 and 7500. The record of successful completion of these tests shall be signed by all participating parties.	N/A - This crane is not used for construction and, therefore, does not require additional qualification for permanent plant service after construction use.
7600 DOCUMENTATION  The owner shall define, in its purchase documents, the requirements for the collection, storage, and maintenance of	The requirements for the collection, storage, and maintenance of documentation applicable to procurement, design, manufacture, shipment, receipt, storage, installation, and startup of cranes covered by

### **NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements**

documentation applicable to procurement, design, manufacture, shipment, receipt, storage, installation, and startup of cranes covered by this Standard. Guidance for determining documentation requirements to be specified in the owner's purchase documents is provided in Nonmandatory Appendix A.

As a minimum, design and manufacturing documentation to be specified in the owner's purchase documents for all cranes shall include assembly and outline drawings; electrical schematics and wiring diagrams; acceptance test plans and procedures; software test plans for controls; operating instructions; maintenance instructions; and software programs. Installation documentation to be specified in the owner's purchase documents for all cranes shall include records of high strength bolt torquing, data sheets or logs on equipment installation inspection and alignment, lubrication records, documentation of testing performed after installation and prior to acceptance, results of end-to-end electrical tests, final system adjustment data, acceptance test procedures and results, and load tests. Additionally, for Type I and II cranes, system calculations and load summary reports shall be included. The owner's quality assurance program shall define which of these quality assurance documents are permanent records. Assurance of the implementation of the quality assurance documentation requirements contained in the owner's purchase documents for Type I and II cranes shall be in accordance with Section 2000.

### Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance

this Standard are detailed in Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003. This specification includes requirements for assembly and outline drawings; electrical schematics and wiring diagrams; acceptance test plans and procedures; software test plans for controls; operating instructions; maintenance instructions; and software programs. Installation documentation will be provided as required in MMH Document 36675-15 (Crane Installation Procedure), and MMH Document 36675-13 (Site Acceptance Test Procedure) details the results of end-to-end electrical tests, final system adjustment data, acceptance test results, and load tests. Various calculations and load summary reports for the electrical and mechanical crane systems are listed in the references section.

ZionSolutions will define the permanent quality assurance records in accordance with its Quality Assurance Program

## 8000 Packaging, Shipping, Receiving, Storage, and Handling 8100 GENERAL

The packaging, shipping, receiving, storage, and handling of Types I and II nuclear facility cranes shall be governed by the applicable Sections of ASME NQA-1, Part II, Subpart 2.2 and the modifications of this Section, as listed in Table 8000-1, or shall meet the quality assurance requirements specified by the owner. Type III cranes shall meet requirements of procurement documents.

Receiving, storage, and handling functions, per Table 8000-1, are

The crane is packaged in accordance with ASME NQA-1, Part II, Subpart 2.2 and the modifications listed in Table 8000-1, or meets the quality assurance requirements specified by the owner. Any special instructions are transmitted to the owner prior to arrival. MMH Document MOP 18.1 (Preservation and Packaging) is the local control document.

NOG-1 Single Failure Proof Requirements	Zion Station FHB Crane Trolley Compliance				
normally applicable to organizations other than crane manufacturers who perform under the requirements of this Standard.					
9000 Planned Engineering Lifts					
[Text and/or equations omitted]	N/A - There are no planned engineering lifts that exceed the rated load of				
	the crane.				

Note 1. Compliance with the requirements of NOG-1, 2004 is only provided for the new trolley and associated upgrades in this document. Bridge structural stress evaluation was conservatively performed in accordance with NOG-1 and is addressed where applicable. All other components of the crane are addressed in the NUREG-0554 Compliance Matrix, which demonstrates the crane system as single failure proof by evaluation to the requirements of NUREG 0554.

### Attachment 6

NUREG 0554 Compliance Matrix

# Safety Analysis Report (NUREG 0554 Compliance Matrix)

For

Single Failure Proof Trolley Zion Fuel Handling Crane

Crane Serial Number: CN-36675

#### PURPOSE OF SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is to verify the design of the new Zion Fuel Handling Building (FHB) Crane meets the requirements of NUREG 0554. This report demonstrates the compliance of the crane design to NUREG 0554.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The FHB crane is one of the first tools used in getting the fuel to the pad. The crane handles each cask and accurately positions it underwater in the cask loading area next to the spent fuel racks where it is filled with spent fuel. Once each cask is filled, the crane is used to perform a number of steps to assemble the cask, and then lifts and transports each cask to the cask preparation area where it is drained, backfilled with inert gas, and prepared for transport to the ISFSI. These moves of cask components and the assembled cask require numerous heavy loads that are transported near the spent fuel racks or open canister. Therefore, the spent fuel loading process needs to meet the guidance of NUREG 0612.

NUREG 0612 provided guidance for users to define the requirements of the overhead crane, specifically the Single Failure Proof Criteria, but implemented standards that are approximately 30 years old. NUREG 0612 provided one path for the user to make the crane a Single Failure Proof device per the requirements of NUREG 0554. NUREG 0554 provided the user direction, but was very qualitative in nature, leaving design areas open to interpretation. Two major steps which occurred to help eliminate this ambiguity included the issuance of ASME NOG-1 2004 and the endorsement of NOG-1-2004 by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2005-25, Supplement 1, "Clarification of NRC Guidelines for Control of Heavy Loads,. For plants with existing cranes, this provided a quantifiable and detailed direction for the implementation of Single Failure Proof Criteria plus a path to use their original design basis to meet the regulator's requirements. The most common solution is to replace the trolley with a NOG-1-2004 compliant assembly, and then qualify the bridge to NUREG 0554. The plant can then bundle the entire crane into a NUREG-0554 compliant assembly to enable them to proceed with Spent Fuel Cask handling.

#### **REFERENCES**

Fuel Handling Building Overhead Bridge Crane Upgrade Services, Specification SP-ZS-FH-003, Rev. 01

NUREG 0612, "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants", published by Nuclear Regulatory Commission, July 1980

ASME NOG-1-2004, "Rules for Construction of Overhead and Gantry Cranes (Top Running Bridge, Multiple Girder," published by The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Issued May 16, 2005.

NRC Regulatory Issue Summary 2005–25, Supplement 1, Clarification of NRC Guidelines for Control of Heavy Loads, published by Nuclear Regulatory Commission, May 29, 2007.

MMH Calculation #36675-23, ZionSolutions Fuel Handling Building Crane Reeving Stress Calculation.

CMAA-70-2004, Specifications for Top Running Bridge and Gantry Type Multiple Girder Electric Overheat Traveling Cranes

MMH Procedure #36675-07, Factory Acceptance Test Procedure

MMH Procedure #36675-08, Main Hook and Nut Test Procedure

MMH Calculation #36675-10, Trolley Stress Critical Weld Stress Calculation

MMH Calculation #36675-05, Zion Bridge Structural Calculations

MMH Calculation #36675-09, Trolley Structural Analysis

MMH Procedure #36675-24, Bridge Inspection Procedure

MMH Document #36675-11, Critical List

MMH Procedure #36675-12, Main Hoist Wire Rope Test Procedure

MMH Procedure #36675-13, Site Acceptance Test

MMH Procedure #36675-16, Magnetorque Emergency Lowering Test Procedure

MMH Drawing R95399 Electrical Schematic

MMH Drawing 54214604 Interconnect Diagram (Electrical)

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	TIOW It is tested
1.0	INTRODUCTION A general requirement for design and operation of light-water reactors is that fuel storage and handling systems be designed to ensure adequate safety under normal and accident conditions. Overhead cranes are used to lift and transfer heavy component parts such as spent fuel casks and reactor vessel heads. When a load being handled by a crane can be a direct or indirect cause of release of radioactivity, the load is called a critical load.	ZionSolutions single failure proof crane system utilize specially designed equipment that ensures safe and user friendly operation, including the handling of critical loads in nuclear applications. Special design considerations as outlined in NUREG 0554 are incorporated into the design of the Zion Fuel Handling Building single failure proof crane system to insure maximum safety, durability, and trouble free service when handling nuclear materials, including critical loads.	None	None	None
	NRC has licensed reactors on the basis that the safe handling of critical loads can be accomplished by adding safety features to the handling equipment, by adding special features to the structures and areas over which the critical load is carried, or by a combination of the two. When reliance for the safe handling of critical loads is placed on the crane system itself, the system should be designed so that a single failure will not result in the loss of the capability of the system to safely retain the load. This report identifies features of the design, fabrication, installation, inspection, testing, and operation of single-failure-proof overhead crane handling systems that are used for handling critical loads. These features are limited to the hoisting system	ZionSolutions single failure proof crane system utilizes various safety features to handle critical loads in nuclear power generation facilities, and are designed to ensure that a single failure of the crane system will not result in the loss of the capability of the system to safely retain a load. This is achieved through safety enhancements, safety margins, conservative design and redundancies. Controls procedures and processes are utilized during all phases of design, fabrication, installation, inspection, testing, and operation of the single-failure-proof crane system to ensure safe handling of critical loads. ZionSolutions single failure proof crane system complies with all applicable aspects of NUREG 0554 including all referenced codes and standards	None	None	None

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	
	and to braking systems for trolley and bridge. Other load-bearing items such as girders should be conservatively designed but need not be considered single failure proof.	as clarified in this document.			
	The general value of existing standards is recognized in this report, and reliance is placed on quality levels indicated in CMAA Specification #70 and in ANSI B30.2.0-1967 as supplemented by the recommendations in the following sections of this report.	ZionSolutions single failure proof crane system complies with the general standards and quality levels contained in CMAA #70 and ANSI B30.2.0-1967. Other supplemental requirements and enhancements are further outlined in this report.  The crane bridge was originally built and tested to EOCI-61 and ANSI B30.2.0-1967. CMAA-70 superseded EOCI-61.  The structural design of the new trolley was performed per NOG-1-2004 and is the new Code of record for the trolley. No structural modifications were made to the bridge and therefore no deviation to the original Code of Record has occurred.	None	None	None
	The typical plant layout for pressurized water reactors (PWR's) is such that two different cranes may be required to handle critical loads. One of these cranes is located in the spent fuel storage and transfer area where the largest critical load would be a spent fuel shipping cask. The other crane is	The scope of this work is limited to the Fuel Handling building crane at Zion. There is one crane, which is shared between the two units and traverses the length of the building and over the spent fuel pools on a common runway.	None	None	None

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	,
	located inside the containment structure				
	over the reactor vessel where it is used to				
	lift the reactor vessel head during refueling				
	periods; this crane is called a polar crane				
	because of the circular track for the bridge				
	structure.				
*	to the other hands on the constant of the				
	In the plant layout for the majority of the				
	boiling water reactors (BWR's) designed				
	and built, a single crane handles critical loads near the reactor vessel and at the				
	spent fuel storage area. However, for	,			
	recent BWR plant designs (BWR Mark 6), two cranes could be needed to handle				
	critical loads.				
	Construction and Operating Periods	The scope of this modification and upgrade			
	Construction and Operating Feriods	are only for operational performance. Issues			
	When an overhead crane handling system	dealing with new construction are not			
	will be used during the plant construction	applicable.			
	phase prior to its intended service in the	application.		,	
	operating plant, separate performance	Allowable design stress limits (range) for the			
	specifications may be needed to reflect the	crane are based on, and are in compliance			
2.1	duty cycles and loading requirements for	with NOG-1-2004 and therefore encompass			
	each service. At the end of the construction	Table 3.3.3.1.3-1 of CMAA Specification #70,	None	None	None
	period, changes to the crane system may	including the appropriate duty cycle. These			
	be required to reflect the specifications for	stress levels are documented in MMH			
	the permanent operating plant condition.	Calculations #36675-23 Reeving Stress			
	For example, if the specification for the size	Report, 36675-09 Seismic Analysis, 36675-05			
	of the hoist drive motor differs sufficiently	Bridge calculation, and 36675-10 Trolley			
	for the two applications, the motor and the	Weld Calculation. The sum of the			

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
# -	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	now it is tested
	affected control equipment would have to	simultaneously applied loads (static and			·
	be replaced or changed for the operating	dynamic) is evaluated to ensure that they do		,	
	plant phase. Features and functions needed	not result in stress levels causing permanent			
	for the cranes during the plant construction	deformation, other than localized strain			
}	period are not considered in this report	concentration, in any part of the handling			
	except where the use of the crane during	system. The control system is a Variable			
	construction may influence its design and	Frequency Control system and therefore			
	operation for the permanent plant	reverse plugging and dynamic impact due to			
	operation.	jogging are not applicable.			
	If the load lifts during construction are	The structural design of the new trolley was			
	heavier than those for plant operation, the	performed per NOG-1-2004 and is the new		,	
	performance specifications should include	Code of record for the trolley. No structural			
	design criteria for a permanent crane for	modifications were made to the bridge and			
	construction as well as for operation.	therefore no deviation to the original Code of	•		
		Record has occurred.			
	The allowable design stress limits for the				
	crane intended for plant operation should				
	be those indicated in Table 3.3.3.1.3-1 of				
	CMAA Specification #70 and reflecting the	·			
	appropriate duty cycle in CMAA				
	Specification #70. The sum total of	·			
	simultaneously applied loads (static and				
	dynamic) should not result in stress levels				
	causing permanent deformation, other				
	than localized strain concentration, in any				
	part of the handling system during either				
	the construction or the operating phase.				
	The effects of cyclic loading induced by		,		
	jogging or plugging <sup>3</sup> an uncompensated				

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	110W It 13 tested
	hoist control system should be included in	<i>'</i>			
	the design specification.	,			
	Maximum Critical Load				
2.2	A single-failure-proof crane should be designed to handle the maximum critical load (MCL) that will be imposed. However, a slightly higher design load should be selected for component parts that are subject to degradation due to wear and exposure. This will provide a margin in the crane's load-handling ability before it drops below its MCL capacity. An increase of approximately 15% of the design load for these component parts would be a reasonable margin. The MCL rating should be clearly marked on the crane.	The Maximum critical load (MCL) and the Design Rated Load (DRL) are both 125 tons per the design specification (3.1.3{1}) Since they are the same, only the MCL will be displayed.  The crane components have been evaluated for the applicability of the 15% degradation factor and results of the evaluation are identified on Attachment 1.	None	None	None
	Certain single-failure-proof cranes may be required to handle occasional non-critical loads of magnitude greater than the MCL during plant maintenance periods. For such cases, the maximum non-critical load will be the design rated load (DRL). The design of certain components may be decided to a greater extent by the MCL rating even though standard commercial practice may be used for the DRL rating. The DRL rating should be marked on the crane separately from the MCL marking.	Since the fleet angles for the wire rope are limited, the equipment is indoors, the rope is lubricated, the rope is inspected to a defined criteria per ASME B30.2, and the limited use the crane will see at rated load, a 5% wear factor was used in their sizing (see Attachment 1).			

Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
#	Operating Environment	For the Zionsolutions ruer building Crane	Exceptions	resteu	
2.3	The operating environment, including maximum and minimum pressure, maximum rate of pressure increase, temperature, humidity, and emergency corrosive or hazardous conditions, should be specified for the crane and lifting fixtures.	The indoor environmental conditions for the Fuel Handling Building Crane are stated in the Design Technical specification. These parameters have been used in the design of the crane.	None	None	None
	For cranes inside the containment structure, the closed box sections of the crane structure should be vented to avoid	This crane is not in containment and therefore this item is not applicable.			
	collapse during containment pressurization.  Drainage should be provided to avoid standing water in the crane structure.	Note that the only box section would be the trolley end trucks and they are vented to the atmosphere the Fuel Handling Building.	None	None	None
	Material Properties	The structural components of the trolley are made of A572 Grade 50, which conforms to			
2.4	Cranes are generally fabricated from structural shapes and plate rolled from carbon steel (no alloying elements except for 1% manganese in heavier section) or low-alloy steel (less than 5% total alloy content). Some of these steel parts exceed 12 mm (1/2 in) in thickness and may have brittle-fracture tendencies when exposed to lower operating temperatures so that testing of the material toughness becomes necessary. When low-alloy steels are used, weld metal toughness is of greater concern than the base metal.	the requirement of carbon steel.  Designated structural materials of thickness 2½ in. to >5/8in. shall be impact tested by the Charpy V-notch test in accordance with Section ND-2300 of the ASME Code or the drop-weight test in accordance with Section NC-2300 of the Code. Materials of thickness greater than ½ in. shall be impact tested by the drop-weight test in accordance with Section NC-2300 of the ASME Code. The test requirements are documented on the Critical List, #36675-11, plus the drawings that show	None	Those pieces of structural steel designated as critical per the Critical List and the weldment drawings.	These will be tested per ASME Boiler Code and NOG-1.

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	
		the critical items have the required test			
		requirements & inspections clearly			
		delineated in the lower right hand corner. All			
		test results are fully documented and			
		provided in the QA documentation package.			
	However, it may be impractical to perform				
	toughness tests for cranes that have pro-				•
	gressed too far in the manufacturing				
	sequence or for cranes already built and			•	
	operating. Such cranes should therefore be				
	tested by subjecting the crane to a test lift	·			
	at the lowest anticipated operating				
	temperature. It is desirable to include the	The trolley will be a new fabrication and			
	crane manufacturer in the planning of the	conform to the impact testing as defined			•
	test.	above. A minimum operating temperature of			
		40 degrees F was specified for the new			
	Minimum operating temperatures should	trolley.			
	be specified in order to reduce the		Nama	Nama	None
	possibility of brittle fracture of the ferritic	Since toughness test data is not available for	None	None	None
	load-carrying members of the crane. In	the existing bridge, a cold proof load test will	•		,
,	order to ensure resistance to brittle	be performed to establish the minimum	•		
	fracture, materials for structural members	operating temperature for the crane as			
	essential to structural integrity should be	discussed below.			,
	tested in accordance with the following				
	impact test requirements. Either drop	:			
	weight test per ASTM E-208 or Charpy tests				
	per ASTM A-370 may be used for impact				
	testing The minimum operating				
	temperature based on the drop weight test				
	should be obtained by following				

Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
	procedures in paragraph NC-2300 of				
	Section III of the ASME Code. The minimum				
•	operating temperature based on the	·			
	Charpy V-notch impact test should be	,			
	obtained by following the procedures in				
	paragraph ND-2300 of Section III of the	•			
	ASME Code. Alternative methods of				
1	fracture analysis that achieve an equivalent				
ļ	margin of safety against fracture may be	·	, i		
	used if they include toughness				
	measurements on each heat of steel used	•			, , ,
	in structural members essential to			,	
	structural integrity. In addition, the fracture	,		·	
	analysis that provides the basis for setting				
	minimum operating temperatures should				
	include consideration of stress levels;	·			
	quality control; the mechanical checking,				
	testing, and preventive maintenance				
	program; and the temperatures at which				
	the DRL test is run relative to operating	•			
	temperature.				
	These toughness recommendations were	Designated trolley structural materials of	,		
	developed at a time-when typical material	thickness >5/8in. shall be impact tested by			
	section thickness for crane girders was a	the Charpy V-notch test in accordance with		Those pieces of	
	maximum of 51 mm (2 in). However, later	Section ND-2300 of the ASME Code or the		structural steel	These will be tested
	information indicates that material	drop-weight test in accordance with Section		designated as	per ASME Boiler Code
	thicknesses of 102 mm (4 in) or more may	NC-2300 of the Code. Materials of thickness	None	critical per the	and the
	be needed for some applications. The rules	greater than 2½ in. shall be impact tested by		Critical List and	NOG-1.
	for ASME Code Class 3 charpy testing do	the drop-weight test in accordance with		the weldment	NOG-1.
	not make any adjustments for thicknesses	Section NC-2300 of the ASME Code.		drawings.	

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	greater than 64 mm (2 1/2 in), and for this reason it is felt that the NC-2300 and ND-2300 requirements give equivalent requirements only for the smaller thicknesses. For thicknesses over 64 mm (2 1/2 in), it is recommended that the NC-2300 requirements be used exclusively.	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	
	As an alternative to the above recommendations, the crane and lifting fixtures for cranes already fabricated or operating may be subjected to a cold proof test consisting of a single dummy load test as follows: Metal temperature of the structural members essential to the structural integrity of the crane handling system should be at or below the minimum operating temperature. The corresponding dummy load should be equal to 1.25 times the MCL. If the desired minimum operating temperature cannot be achieved during the test, the minimum operating temperature should be that of the test until the crane is retested at a lower temperature. The cold proof test should be followed by a nondestructive examination of welds whose failure could result in the drop of a critical load. The nondestructive examination of critical areas should be repeated at 4-year intervals or less.	This requirement is being addressed for the bridge by performing a cold proof load test after installation. The trolley has been fabricated in accordance with the toughness testing requirements stated above and will be load tested at the factory. If the minimum operating temperature of 40 degrees F is not achieved in the structural steel of the bridge during the cold proof load test, the component temperatures recorded during the test will become the limiting temperature for those components. An inspection of the bridge girders and end trucks will be performed after the cold proof load test.  MMH Procedure #36675-24, Bridge Inspection Procedure provides detailed instructions on how to perform the inspection and what welds to visually inspect.  ZionSolutions will implement future inspections per their site operating instructions for NDE of the critical areas at < 4	None	Load test the bridge will be performed	A load test procedure will be prepared to load test the crane at low temperature.  Bridge inspection after the load test will be performed per P&H Procedure 3675-24.

Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
		year intervals			
	Cranes and lifting fixtures made of low-alloy steel such as ASTM A514 should be subjected to the cold proof test in any case.	The following materials are not used in the construction of ZionSolutions single failure proof crane system:			·
	Cast iron should not be used for load-bearing components such as rope drums. Cast iron may be used for items such as electric motor frames and brake drums.	<ul> <li>Low-alloy steels such as ASTM A514 are not used.</li> <li>Cast iron is not used for load-bearing components</li> </ul>	None	None	None
2.5	Overhead cranes may be operating at the time that an earthquake occurs. Therefore, the cranes should be designed to retain control of and hold the load, and the bridge and trolley should be designed to remain in place on their respective runways with their wheels prevented from leaving the tracks during a seismic event. If a seismic event comparable to a safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) occurs, the bridge should remain on the runway with brakes applied, and the trolley should remain on the crane girders with brakes applied.  The crane should be designed and constructed in accordance with regulatory	ZionSolutions single failure proof crane systems are designed to retain control of, and hold a critical load during a seismic event. The bridge and trolley wheels are held captive to their respective runways with heavily constructed seismic restraints that are designed to prevent the wheels from leaving the runway. A detailed 3D ANSYS shell model of the trolley is developed in addition with a 3D model of the existing bridge. Crane rail level response spectra which is generated from a coupled crane building analysis is used and response spectrum analysis is performed to calculate the dynamic response of the trolley and bridge to show that they complied with NOG-1 and NUREG 0554. (P&H Documents #36675-09 and 5.)	None	None	None

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
# -	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	now it is tested
	position 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.29,	The seismic design criterion for the crane			·
	"Seismic Design Classification." The MCL	system is position 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.29,			
	plus operational and seismically induced	"Seismic Design Classification." Seismic		.*	
	pendulum and swinging load effects on the	analysis of the crane system was in			
	crane should be considered in the design of	accordance with NOG-1-2004. The MCL plus			•
	the trolley, and they should be added to	operational and seismically induced			
	the trolley weight for the design of the	pendulum and swinging load effects on the			,
	bridge.	crane are taken into consideration. During			
		the horizontal direction seismic event, the			
		natural frequencies of the ropes are much	·		
		smaller than the natural frequencies of the			
·		crane. As a result, the motion of the crane		·	
	·	with a smaller period does not amplify the			•
		motion of the rated load and thus the	•		
	·	pendulum effect is insignificant. This		,	
		condition is also seen in Table 4135.7-1 of			
		NOG-1 as: "Increase in the load due to			
		pendulum effect need not be considered due			
		to the relatively small displacements of the			
		load."			
	Lamellar Tearing	ZionSolutions single failure proof trolley is			,
		designed to eliminate the potential for			
	Bridge and trolley structures are generally	problems that could occur with weld joints			A Certified Weld
	fabricated by welding structural shapes	between rolled structural members, such as		Critical welds as	Inspector following the
2.6	together. Problems have been experienced	subsurface lamellar tearing, resulting in		designated on	requirements of NOG-1
2.0	with weld joints between rolled structural	reduced strength of the material. All weld	None	the Critical List	perform weld
	members. Specifically, subsurface lamellar	joints are carefully analyzed and designed to	None	and the	inspections. These are
	tearing has occurred at the weld joints	limit the possibility of this occurrence.		individual	documented in the
	during fabrication, and the			drawings.	Quality Documentation
	through-thickness strength of the material	To insure weld adequacy, all welds are			Package.

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	now it is tested
,	has thus been reduced. When weld joints	visually examined, and all weld joints whose			
	are carefully designed and fabricated,	failure could result in the drop of a critical			
	lamellar tearing is not expected to occur,	load are nondestructively examined. Any			
	but for certain weld joints it may be	weld joint subject to lamellar tearing is	•		
	necessary to examine the joint by	nondestructively tested by ultrasonic means			
	radiography or ultrasonic inspection, as	to ensure the absence of lamellar tearing in			
	appropriate, to ensure the absence of	the base metal and the soundness of the			
	lamellar tearing in the base metal and the	weld metal. In addition, weldments where			
	soundness of the weld metal.	laminar tearing can occur are stress relieved			
		after welding. The trolley will be stress	·		
	All weld joints whose failure could result in	relieved.			
	the drop of a critical load should be				,
	nondestructively examined. If any of these	For the new trolley, the weld inspection			
	weld joint geometries would be susceptible	requirements are specified in the Critical List			
	to lamellar tearing, the base metal at the	(Document #36675-11.) They are governed			
	joints should be nondestructively	by the vendor's (MMH's) approved welding			ı.
	examined.	and inspection procedures. The visual weld			
		inspection of the bridge was governed by the			
		Bridge Inspection Procedure (Document			
		#36675-24) and performed by the MMH			
		inspection team.			
	Structural Fatigue	The crane is designated as a Class A crane per			
		CMAA-70. The trolley weld calculation (MMH			
	Since each crane loading cycle will produce	Calc #36675-10) takes into account this Class,			
	cyclic stress, it may be necessary to	but applies a more conservative allowable to			·
2.7	investigate the potential for failure of the	ensure that the actual usage of the crane is			
	metal due to fatigue. If a crane will be used	enveloped for fatigue.	None	None	None
	during the construction period, it will				· ·
	experience additional cyclic loading, and	For mechanical components, the crane was			
	these loads should be added to the	designed to NOG-1 and therefore fatigue has			

Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
	expected cyclic loading for the permanent plant operation when performing the fatigue evaluation.	been incorporated where required. One example includes the strength and durability calculations for the gearing.			
	A fatigue analysis should be considered for the critical load-bearing structures and components of the crane handling system. The cumulative fatigue usage factors should reflect effects of the cyclic loading from both the construction and operating periods.				
2.8	Welding Procedures  Problems with welding of low-alloy steels can occur if the base metal temperature is not properly controlled during welding and the post weld heat treatment.  Preheat temperatures and post weld heat-treatment (stress relief) temperatures for all weldments should be specified in the weld procedure. Welds described in the recommendations of Section 2.6 should be post weld heat treated in accordance with Sub-article 3.9 of AWS D1.1, "Structural Welding Code."	Low alloy steels have not been used for the ZionSolutions crane as indicated in Paragraph 2.4. All welding on the ZionSolutions single failure proof crane system is completed by AWS certified welders, in compliance with pre-certified weld procedures that conform to AWS D1.1 "Structural Welding Code." To control the possibility of welding problems, preheat temperatures and post weld heat-treatment (stress relief) temperatures for all welds is controlled by the use of weld procedures that define preheat and post weld heat-treatment temperatures. Welds described in the recommendations of Section 2.6 of NUREG 0554 are post weld heat treated in accordance with Sub-article 3.9 of AWS D1.1 for the trolley and similar AWS standards for the bridge. (Zion Specification SP-ZS-FH-003)	None	None	None

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Liceńsee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	HOW It is tested
3.0	SAFETY FEATURES General  Numerous applications have been reviewed by the NRC staff, and the need for inclusion of certain safety features and the magnitudes of specific operational limits to provide, adequate safety have been determined.  A crane handling system includes all the structural, mechanical, and electrical components that are needed to lift and transfer a load from one location to another. Primary or principal load-bearing components, equipment, and subsystems such as the driving equipment, drum, rope reeving system, hooks, blocks, control	ZionSolutions single failure proof crane system employ safety features to maximize crane safety of all items including structural, mechanical, and electrical components that are needed to lift, hold and transfer a load, including critical nuclear loads. Primary or principal load-bearing components, equipment, and subsystems such as the Hoist drive equipment, drums, rope reeving systems, hooks, blocks, control systems, and braking systems are analyzed and provisions are included in the ZionSolutions single failure proof design to ensure maximum safety such as redundancy, conservative design, enhanced safety margins and other special design considerations. Primary or principal parts are designed to insure that a single failure does not result in the loss of the capability of the system to retain the load.	None	None	None
	systems, and braking systems should receive special attention.	Key safety features are further outlined in this report.			
3.2	Auxiliary Systems  All auxiliary hoisting systems of the main crane handling system that are employed to lift or assist in handling critical loads should be single failure proof.	On this crane, the Auxiliary hoist will not handle critical loads and is a standard commercial hoist.	None	None	None
	Auxiliary systems or dual components should be provided for the main hoisting				

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	now it is tested
÷	mechanism so that, in case of subsystem or component failure, the load will be retained and held in a stable or immobile safe position.	ZionSolutions single failure proof crane			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3.3	It is important to prevent the release of radioactivity in case of failure, malfunction, or loss of load. It may be necessary to include special features and provisions to preclude system incidents that would result in release of radioactivity.  The automatic controls and limiting devices should be designed so that, when disorders due to inadvertent operator action, component malfunction, or disarrangement of subsystem control functions occur singly or in combination during the load handling, and assuming no components have failed in any subsystems, these disorders will not prevent the handling system from stopping and holding the load. An emergency stop button should be added at the control station to stop all motion.	system is designed to provide safe operation, and prevent the release of radioactivity in case of failure, malfunction, or loss of power. Special features are included in the equipment design to preclude system incidents that would result in release of radioactivity. This is shown in the MMH drawings R95399 (Schematic) and 54214604 (Interconnect.)  The first part of the control system is based on the hoisting system and direct inputs to a relay based control system. In the first layer of safety, the system does not depend on a PLC, scanning rates, or programming. All load cells and limit switches are "hard-wired" to activate safety systems. Some of the special controls include:  Load Cells — located in series with each wire rope, they are used in a number of safety functions including broken rope detection, over load, load hang-up and "two-blocking." Up limit switches — Stops bottom blocks up travel to prevent "two-blocking."	None	The following items are tested:  Load cell  Weighted Limit switch  Geared upper/lower limit switch  Over-speed switch  Variable Frequency Drives  Mis-spooling detection	All of these items will be checked in the Factory Acceptance Procedure, 36675-07.

NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	How it is tested
	Geared Limit switch – Stops bottom block			•
	from traveling too far in the up or down			
	direction.			
	·		•	
•	1			
•	·			
	<u> </u>			
	1 '			
	1			
	the wire rope on the drum.			
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
•	1			
	,		-	
	· ·			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
•				
·.				
				,
	the run command is removed.			
	Travel Limit - this function automatically			·
•	-			
	,			
	· -			
·				
	•	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS  For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane  Geared Limit switch – Stops bottom block from traveling too far in the up or down	Geared Limit switch – Stops bottom block from traveling too far in the up or down direction.  Over speed switch – ensures that the load is always in control or the brakes are applied. Special Ergonomic controls per CMAA 70 and B30.2 to minimize operator errors.  Phase reversal relay – to monitor incoming power and protect in case of a change. Mis-spooling switches - detects overwrap of the wire rope on the drum.  In addition, the Smartorque Drive™ has many safety features that provide additional layers of safety: Quick Stop Function - provides an automatic Alternate Deceleration at Stop Command. This feature differs from the normal deceleration time and is applied only when the run command is removed.  Travel Limit - this function automatically slows and stops the hoist when it reaches the travel limits. Load Check – this function is a load-limiting feature that ensures the programmed load limit of the hoist is not exceeded. It prevents the lifting (and potential loss) of a load that is	GRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS  Geared Limit switch — Stops bottom block from traveling too far in the up or down direction.  Over speed switch — ensures that the load is always in control or the brakes are applied. Special Ergonomic controls per CMAA 70 and B30.2 to minimize operator errors. Phase reversal relay — to monitor incoming power and protect in case of a change. Mis-spooling switches - detects overwrap of the wire rope on the drum.  In addition, the Smartorque Drive™ has many safety features that provide additional layers of safety: Quick Stop Function - provides an automatic Alternate Deceleration at Stop Command. This feature differs from the normal deceleration time and is applied only when the run command is removed.  Travel Limit - this function automatically slows and stops the hoist when it reaches the travel limits. Load Check — this function is a load-limiting feature that ensures the programmed load limit of the hoist is not exceeded. It prevents the lifting (and potential loss) of a load that is

Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
		overload condition, it prevents any further raising. However, the load can be lowered at a specified speed.			
		Torque Limit – function dynamically controls			
		the torque output of the motor at all times. The Torque Limit Function limits the amount			
		of motor torque on all four quadrants of vector control operation.			
		No-Load Brake Hoist mode provides a special start/stop sequence designed specifically to prevent the movement of the load when initially starting, specifically an overhanging heavy load. Using a series of timers and counters that monitor parameter feedback, the drive begins by building up torque in the motor to a predefined level prior to releasing the brakes.			
		Weight Calculation - weight measurement calculation is based on motor torque at a constant speed.			
		Slack Cable Detection – this condition is detected when the torque output is			
		drastically reduced and has dipped below a			
		set point.			
		Maintenance Timer - a maintenance feature			
	·	Maintenance Timer - a maintenance feature that will alert an operator, for example, when			

Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
		the bearings need to be greased based on total running time.	•		
		Emergency Stop buttons – Emergency stop buttons are included on each operators control station.			
		The drive also has many functions to detect problems within the drive and provide a safe method to control the load and inform the operator of the condition.  Motor Overload Under Voltage Level Reference Detection Torque Detection Hardware Protection Motor Overheating Phase Loss	·		
3.4	Emergency Repairs  A crane that has been immobilized because of malfunction or failure of controls or components while holding a critical load should be able to hold the load or set the load down while repairs or adjustments are made. This can be accomplished by inclusion of features that will permit manual operation of the hoisting system and the bridge and trolley transfer mechanisms by means of appropriate	The ZionSolutions single failure proof crane system is designed to significantly reduce the possibility of the crane becoming immobilized because of malfunction or failure of controls or components while holding a critical load. Nevertheless, provisions are included to allow the crane to hold the load or set the load down, in a controlled manner, while repairs and/or adjustments are made during the unlikely occurrence of such an immobilization.	None	The emergency lowering of the 100% load using the Magnetorque.  Will test the emergency movement of the bridge and trolley during the Site	The Magnetorque will be checked in the Factory Acceptance Procedure, 36675-07.  Test will be performed per MMH document #36675-13

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	now it is tested
	emergency devices.	The hoisting system includes an emergency		Acceptance	
		lowering system that allows the load to be		Test.	
	Means should be provided for using the	safely lowered with, or without, power. The		٠	
	devices required in repairing, adjusting, or	emergency lowering system uses an eddy	· .		
	replacing the failed component(s) or	current brake to provide emergency lowering			
	subsystem(s) when failure of an active	in a controlled and safe manner. The eddy			
	component or subsystem has occurred and	current brake develops a regenerative		ř	•
	the load is supported and retained in the	electrical field during the emergency lowering			
	safe (temporary) position with the handling	process to safely control the lowering speed			
1	system immobile. As an alternative to	of the hoist. Detailed instructions describing			
	repairing the crane in place, means may be	the complete process of safe emergency			•
	provided for safely transferring the	lowering are located on the hoist near the			
Ì	immobilized hoisting system with its load to	emergency lowering system. In addition, if			
	a safe lay down area that has been	there was a problem with the eddy current			
	designed to accept the load while the	brake, there are additional procedures that			
	repairs are being made.	are used to lower the load using just the shoe			
		brakes.			•
	The design of the crane and its operating				
	area should include provisions that will not	The crane bridge and trolley are provided			
	impair the safe operation or safe shutdown	with means to provide emergency transport			
	of the reactor or cause un-acceptable	by using a mechanical driving mechanism.			•
	release of radioactivity when corrective	Both the bridge and trolley can be manually			•
	repairs, replacements, and adjustments are	moved by spinning the motor shaft or pulling			
	being made to place the crane handling	with a come-a-long. To facilitate the use of			·
	system back into service after component	the emergency hoist lowering system, or			,
}	failure(s).	bridge and trolley emergency transport			
		system, operators' platforms and/or			
		personnel safety tie offs are located at each			
		device. Detailed instructions describing the			
	_	emergency bridge & trolley transport process			

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	now it is tested
		are located directly on the crane in close	-		
		proximity to each emergency input drive	· .		
	·	shaft.			
	Reeving System	The ZionSolutions single failure proof hoist			
		reeving system includes special provision to			
	Component parts of the vertical hoisting	ensure maximum safety and reliability. To			
	mechanism are important. Specifically, the	reduce the possibility of accelerated wire			
	rope reeving system deserves special	rope wear, the load-carrying rope system is			
	consideration during design of the system.	carefully designed to assure proper wire rope			•
	The load-carrying rope will suffer	selection, proper wire rope alignment,			
	accelerated wear if it rubs excessively on	conservative sheave pitch diameters, and			
	the sides of the grooves in the drum and	conservative fleet angles at all times. The		•	· .
	sheaves because of improper alignment or	wire rope construction is carefully analyzed		•	
	large fleet angles between the grooves. The	for each specific application (Reeving Stress			Mis-reeving detection
	load-carrying rope will furthermore suffer	Report, MMH #36675-23), in order to provide			system will be tested
	excessive loading if it is partly held by	the most suitable wire rope. The reeving		Mis-reeving	per the Factory
4.1	friction on the groove wall and then	system design carefully evaluates the rope	None	detection	Acceptance Procedure
	suddenly released to enter the bottom of	alignment on the wire rope drum to insure		system	– P&H document
	the groove. The rope can be protected by	that the wire rope properly tracks to the			#36675-07
*	the selection of conservative fleet angles.	correct position of each drum groove. Correct			π30073-07
	Ropes may also suffer damage due to	wire rope alignment is achieved by using	•		
	excessive strain developed if the rope	conservative sheave pitch diameters,		,	
	construction and the pitch diameter of the	conservative fleet angles, proper alignment			·
	sheaves are not properly selected. Fatigue	of all components, and operational testing to			
11	stress in ropes can be minimized when the	insure proper wire rope tracking using the			1
	pitch diameter of the sheaves is selected	requirements of B30.2 and NOG-1. Site			
	large enough to produce only nominal				
	stress levels. The pitch diameter of the	maintenance procedures are also provided to			
	sheaves should be larger for ropes moving	insure that operations personnel understand			
	at the highest velocity near the drum and	all aspects of the reeving system including			

Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
	can be smaller for sheaves used as equalizers where the rope is stationary. Protection against excessive wire rope wear and fatigue damage can be ensured through scheduled inspection and maintenance.	inspection and maintenance intervals using the guidance of the Wire Rope Manufacturers Guidelines.  Misreeving protection is also provided with a sensing system that disables power to the hoist if a misreeved condition is detected (such as an over wrap on the drum). This is shown in the MMH Drawing R95399 (Schematic).			
	Design of the rope reeving system(s) should be dual with each system providing separately the load balance on the head and load blocks through configuration of ropes and rope equalizer(s). Selection of the hoisting rope or running rope should include consideration of the size, construction, lay, and means or type of lubrication, if required, to maintain efficient working of the individual wire strands when each section of rope passes over the individual sheaves during the hoisting operation. The effects of impact loadings, acceleration, and emergency stops should be included in selection of rope reeving systems. The maximum load (including static and inertia forces) on each individual wire rope in the dual reeving system with the MCL attached should not exceed 10% of the manufacturer's	The ZionSolutions single failure proof reeving system utilizes a single drum, dual load path wire rope reeving system to achieve reeving redundancy. Each load path is independent and separately balanced to insure smooth and balanced load transfer in the unlikely event of a wire rope failure. The wire rope used on the trolley is special Python Super 8-CD EEIPS, 1-5/8" diameter rope with a breaking strength of better than 192.6 tons. There are four separate ropes; 2 right lay and 2 left lay. The maximum load (plus a factor for degradation and inertia) on each individual wire rope in the dual reeving system with the MCL attached does not exceed 10% of the manufacturer's published breaking strength (Reeving Stress Report, MMH #36675-23).	None	Rope breaking strength tested	The Python rope breaking strength will be tested during the rope test at the rope vendor and documented in procedure 36675-12, which is the Quality documentation package for the trolley modification. The rope vendor is a qualified nuclear supplier per the crane vendor's (P&H's)10 CFR 50 App. B program.

Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
	published breaking strength.				
	The ratio of wire rope yield strength to ultimate strength may vary sufficiently for different production runs to influence the wire rope rating in such a manner that the initial safety margin selected would be too small to prevent the critical load from straining the wire rope material beyond the yield point under abnormal conditions. It would, therefore, be prudent to consider the wire rope yield strength as well as the ultimate strength when specifying wire rope in order to ensure the desired margin on rope strength.	It is recognized that the ratio of wire rope yield strength to ultimate strength may vary sufficiently for different production runs to influence the wire rope rating in such a manner that the initial safety margin selected would be too small to prevent the critical load from straining the wire rope material beyond the yield point under abnormal conditions. To preclude the possibility of this occurrence, each lay of wire rope is proof load tested to determine actual properties and to ensure that the desired margin on rope strength are sufficient. The rope vendor is required to document that the cables provided for the crane is from the same reel as the proof test. In addition, each rope assembly with the fitting attached is also tested. The rope drawing specifies the required tests (MMH #QR94824 and all test results are fully documented per MMH Main Hoist Wire Rope Test Procedure (#36675-12.)	None	Rope breaking strength tested	The Python rope breaking strength is tested during the rope test at the rope vendor and documented in procedure 36675-12, which is the Quality documentation package for the trolley modification.
•	The maximum fleet angle from drum to	The ZionSolutions single failure proof wire			
	lead sheave in the load block or between	rope reeving system uses conservative fleet	·		
	individual sheaves should not exceed 0.061	angles. The maximum fleet angle from the	None	None	None
	rad (3-1/2°) at any one point during	drum to trunnions in the upper block does	None	None	None
	hoisting except that for the last 1 m (3 ft) of	not exceed 3.5° except at the last 1 meter			
	maximum lift elevation the fleet angle may	where it approaches 4°. Reverse bends are			
	increase slightly. The use of reverse bends	not present in the reeving system.	L .		

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	now it is tested
	for running wire ropes should be limited,				
	and the use of larger sheaves should be	The ZionSolutions equalizer system uses an			
	considered for those applications where a	equalizer beam design. The dual rope reeving		,	
	disproportionate reduction in wire rope	system uses four (4) individual attaching			
	fatigue life would be expected from the use	points as a means for balancing or			
	of standard sheave diameters for reverse	distributing the load between the two			
	bends.	operating rope reeving systems of the	•		
ł		redundant reeving system. Each independent			
	The equalizer for stretch and load on the	reeving system is designed to hold the critical			
	rope reeving system may be of either beam	load and transfer the critical load without	•		
	or sheave type or combinations thereof. A	excessive shock in the unlikely occurrence of			
	dual rope reeving system with individual	the failure of one of the other rope systems.	,		
	attaching points and means for balancing	Safe load transfer during a wire rope failure is			
	or distributing the load between the two	achieved since there are two independent			
	operating rope reeving systems will permit	rope systems per side of the beam, resulting			
	either rope system to hold the critical load	in virtually no hook movement during the			
	and transfer the critical load without	unlikely occurrence of wire rope transfer,	,		
	excessive shock in case of failure of the	should one of the wire rope systems fail.			
	other rope system.	Hook movement is documented in P&H			
		Document 36675-23.			
	The pitch diameter of running sheaves and	The pitch diameter of running sheaves and			,
	drums should be selected in accordance	drums for ZionSolutions single failure proof			,
	with the recommendations of CMAA	crane system is selected in accordance with			
	Specification #70. The dual reeving system	the recommendations of CMAA Specification			
	may be a single rope from each end of a	#70 and the Python rope manufacturer's			
	drum terminating at one of the blocks or	recommendations. The pitch diameter is 42	None	None	None
	equalizer with provisions for equalizing	inches for the drum and 36 inches for the		None	None
	beam-type load and rope stretch, with each	sheaves in the bottom block which is more			·
	rope designed for the total load.	conservative than CMAA-70. The			
	Alternatively, a 2-rope system may be used	ZionSolutions dual reeving system uses four			

Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
n	from each drum or separate drums using a sheave equalizer or beam equalizer or any other combination that provides two separate and complete reeving systems.	ropes with independent (redundant) wire rope load paths. The wire ropes are equalized in an upper block equalizer assembly that is designed to allow safe load transfer, with virtually no hook movement, during the unlikely occurrence of a failure of one of the four wire rope systems.	Exceptions	resteu	
	Drum Support				
4.2	Proper support of the rope drums is necessary to ensure that they would be prevented from falling or disengaging from their braking and control system.  The load hoisting drum on the trolley should be provided with structural and mechanical safety devices to limit the drop of the drum and thereby prevent it from disengaging from its holding brake system if the drum shaft or bearings were to fail or fracture.	ZionSolutions's single failure proof crane system uses captive drum support systems to ensure that the drum is prevented from falling or disengaging from their braking and control system. The drum support system includes a heavily constructed, close fitting cradle that safely limits drop of the drum and thereby prevent it from disengaging from its holding brake system during the unlikely occurrence of a drum shaft or bearing failure or fracture.	None	None	None
4.3	Head and Load Blocks  The head and load blocks should be designed to maintain a vertical load balance about the center of lift from load block through head block and have a reeving system of dual design.	The fuel handling cranes' head and load block reeving systems use a symmetrical, dual load path (redundant), designed to maintain vertical load balance about the center of lift from load block through head block. A dual load path redundant reeving system is utilized.	None	Hook Travel is vertical	Will be tested per the Site Acceptance Test (SAT) MMH document #36675-13

NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
	•	} I		How it is tested
permanent deformation of any part of the load-block assembly-other than localized strain concentration in areas for which additional material has been provided for wear.	point.  It is important to note that there are some issues when comparing NOG-1, NUREG 0612 and 0554. Therefore, we will be using a safety factor for those items on the hook that are based on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on Attachment 2. It is important to note that issues exist when comparing NOG-1, NUREG 0612 and NUREG 0554. Therefore, a safety factor is provided for those items that are based on a single			
	The load-block assembly should be provided with two load-attaching points (hooks or other means) so designed that each attaching point will be able to support a load of three times the load (static and dynamic) being handled without permanent deformation of any part of the load-block assembly-other than localized strain concentration in areas for which additional material has been provided for	The load-block assembly should be provided with two load-attaching points (hooks or other means) so designed that each attaching point will be able to support a load of three times the load (static and dynamic) being handled without permanent deformation of any part of the load-block assembly-other than localized strain concentration in areas for which additional material has been provided for wear.  The load-block assembly should be provided with two load-attaching points (hooks or other means) so designed that each attaching point will be able to support a load of three times the load (static and dynamic) being handled without permanent deformation of any part of the load-block assembly-other than localized strain concentration in areas for which additional material has been provided for wear.  Cand NOG-1 since it is a single attachment point.  It is important to note that there are some issues when comparing NOG-1, NUREG 0612 and 0554. Therefore, we will be using a safety factor for those items on the hook that are based on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on Attachment 2. It is important to note that issues exist when comparing NOG-1, NUREG 0612 and NUREG 0554. Therefore, a safety factor is provided	The load-block assembly utilizes (2) two load attached points and is comprised of a sister hook with a 2 <sup>nd</sup> center, pin hole, load attached points. The load blocks including the individual sister hook prongs are conservatively designed to support a load greater than three times the MCL (static and dynamic) being handled without permanent deformation of any part of the load-block assembly, other than localized strain concentration in areas for which additional material has been provided for wear.  It is important to note that there are some issues when comparing NOG-1, NUREG 0612 and 0554. Therefore, we will be using a safety factor for those items on the hook that are based on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on Attachment 2. It is important to note that issues exist when comparing NOG-1, NUREG 0612 and NUREG 0554. Therefore, a safety factor is provided for those items that are based on a single	The load-block assembly utilizes (2) two load attached points and is comprised of a sister hook with a 2 <sup>nd</sup> center, pin hole, load attached points and is comprised of a sister hook with a 2 <sup>nd</sup> center, pin hole, load attached points and is comprised of a sister hook with a 2 <sup>nd</sup> center, pin hole, load attached points and is comprised of a sister hook with a 2 <sup>nd</sup> center, pin hole, load attached points and is comprised of a sister hook with a 2 <sup>nd</sup> center, pin hole, load attached points and is comprised of a sister hook prongs are conservatively designed to support a load of the load-block assembly, other than localized strain concentration in areas for which additional material has been provided for wear. The hook pinhole has double the above safety factor in accordance with NUREG-0612 App. C and NOG-1 since it is a single attachment point.  It is important to note that there are some issues when comparing NOG-1, NUREG 0612 and 0554. Therefore, we will be using a safety factor or a single failure mode such as the hook throat on Attachment 2. It is important to note that issues exist when comparing NOG-1, NUREG 0612 and NUREG 0554. Therefore, a safety factor is provided for those items on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a single failure mode such as the hook throat on a sin

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	riow it is tested
		Attachment 2 to this matrix factor of 1.30 was conservatively applied to safety factors for both ultimate and yield strengths to account for dynamic load and degradation.  The individual component parts of the vertical hoisting system components, including the head block, rope reeving system, load block, and dual load-attaching device, are designed to support a static load greater than 200% of the MCL. A 200% static-type load test is performed on each load-attaching hook assembly and measurements of the geometric configuration of the hooks are made before and after load testing per B30.10. After completion of load testing, nondestructive examination of the hook is completed, consisting of volumetric and surface examinations to verify the soundness of fabrication and ensure the integrity of the			The main hoist hook and nut assembly will be tested to 200% coupled with multiple NDE tests. This is documented in MMH procedure #36675-08 with associated documentation in the Quality Documentation
	integrity of the hooks. The load blocks should be nondestructively examined by surface and volumetric techniques. The results of examinations should be documented and recorded.	hooks. The results of all testing are documented. The hook geometry is also checked after load testing to ensure that hook deformation has not occurred. All test results are fully documented per B30.10. Note that the hook and nut (assembly) are tested together to the 200%. This will be documented in Hook and Nut Test, MMH procedure #36675-08.			package for the trolley modification.

Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
4.4	Hoisting Speed  Maximum hoisting speed for the critical load should be limited to that given in the "slow" column of Figure 70-6 of CMAA Specification #70.	ZionSolutions's single failure proof crane system utilizes a maximum hoisting speed for critical load handling that is limited to the speed shown in Table 4-1 of the Design Technical specification. These limits are 5 FPM for the main hoist with a load and 7.5 FPM without a load (express speed) and are within the requirements of Figure 70-6 of CMAA-70-1975.	None	Main Hoist speed checks	The Main Hoist speed will be checked in the Site Acceptance Test, #36675-13.
	Selection of hoisting speed is influenced by such items as reaction time for corrective action for the hoisting movement and the potential behavior of a failed rope. To prevent or limit damaging effects that may result from dangerous rope spin-off in case of a rope break, the hoisting speed should be limited. The rope traveling speed at the drum is higher than at other points in the reeving system, and the potential for damage due to rope failing and interference with other parts of the system should be considered. Conservative industry practice limits the rope line speed to 1/4 m/s (50 fpm) at the drum.	Since the ZionSolutions reeving system is a two part system (for each of the 4 individual ropes) the rope traveling speed is only double the hook speed, or 10 fpm. This is well under the requirement of 50 fpm.	None	Main Hoist speed checks	The Main Hoist speed will be checked in the Site Acceptance Test, #36675-13.

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	TIOW IC 15 CESTED
	Design Against Two-Blocking	ZionSolutions single failure proof crane system provides two-block protection by various redundant methods. Three (3)		Testing of the:  1. Geared upper limit switch	Two-block prevention will be tested on the
4.5	A potential failure of a hoist travel-limit switch could result in a "two-block" incident and in the cutting or crushing of the wire rope. In order to protect the wire rope, the reeving system should be designed to prevent the cutting or crushing of the wire rope if a two-blocking incident were to occur.	separate and independent methods of protection are provided, including a control circuit upper limit switch, a weight operated upper limit switch, and an overload limit switch. In addition, the trolley frame and bottom block are designed to prevent the rope from being crushed (and thus weakened) between the two structures.	None	Weighted operated Limit switch     Overload (load cell) limit switch.	system at slow and normal speeds as per the Factory Acceptance Procedure – MMH document #36675-07. The test consists of checking the limit switches.
	The mechanical and structural components of the complete hoisting system should have the required strength to resist failure if the hoisting system should "two-block" or if "load hang-up" should occur during hoisting. The designer should provide means within the reeving system located on the head or on the load-block combinations to absorb or control the kinetic energy of rotating machinery during the incident of two-blocking. As an alternative, the protective control system to prevent the hoisting system from two-blocking should include, as a minimum, two independent travel-limit devices of different designs and activated by separate mechanical means. These devices should de-energize the hoist drive motor and the main power supply. The protective control	The two block protection system exceeds the protection requirements of NUREG 0554 by providing three (3) distinctly different levels of two-block protection. The two block protection system consists of the following levels of protection.  Control Circuit Upper Limit Switch (1 <sup>st</sup> level of defense)  The control circuit upper limit switch is the 1 <sup>st</sup> level of defense. This system uses a rotary control circuit limit switch that is calibrated to disable control circuitry to the hoist motor at a pre-set upper and lower elevation. This system is completely independent of all other two-block protection systems.  Weight Operated Upper Limit Switch (2 <sup>nd</sup> level of defense)	None	Testing of the: 1. Geared upper limit switch 2. Weighted Operated Limit switch 3. Overload (load cell) limit switch.	Two-blocking will be tested on the system at slow and normal speeds as per the Site Acceptance Procedure – MMH document #36675-13. The test consists of checking the limit switches.

Section	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF	Compliance Criteria	Licensee	Items to be	How it is tested
#	CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Exceptions	Tested	HOW It is tested
	system for load hang-up, a part of the	The weight operated upper limit switch is the			,
	overload protection system, should consist	2 <sup>nd</sup> level of defense. This system uses a hook			
	of load cell systems in the drive train or	block actuated weight operated limit switch			
	motor-current-sensing devices or	that is calibrated to disable power to the			
	mechanical load-limiting devices. The	hoist motor at a preset upper elevation that			
	location of mechanical holding brakes and	is higher than the control circuit limit switch		,	,
	their controls should provide positive,	elevation. This system is completely			
	reliable, and capable means to stop and	independent of all other two-block			
	hold the hoisting drum(s) for the conditions	protection systems			
	described in the design specification and in	·			
,	this recommendation. This should include	Overload (Load Cell) Limit System (3 <sup>rd</sup> level			
	capability to withstand the maximum	of defense)			
	torque of the driving motor if a malfunction	Four (4) individual load cells are mounted in			
	occurs and power to the driving motor	series with each of the four individual wire			
	cannot be shut off. The auxiliary hoist, if	ropes to monitor lifting conditions, and			,
	supplied, should be equipped with two	assure that the crane is operating within the			1
	independent travel-limit switches to	operating limits of the MCL. The overload			
	prevent two-blocking.	system is present to detect an overload			
		condition at a preset value of the MCL. When			
		an overload condition is detected the load	. •		
	·	cell sends a signal to the hoist motor control			,
		circuit and immediately disables hoisting. This			
		system provides two block and load hang-up			
	,	protection. Note: P&H recommends the pre-			
		set value be as low as possible, within the		•	·
	·	band of 105% to 120%, but high enough to			
	·	prevent inadvertent trips due to dynamic			
		effects.			
4.6	Lifting Devices				



Section #	NUREG 0554 SINGLE-FAILURE-PROOF CRANES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	Compliance Criteria For the ZionSolutions Fuel Building Crane	Licensee Exceptions	Items to be Tested	How it is tested
	Lifting devices that are attached to the load block such as lifting beams, yokes, ladle or trunnion-type hooks, slings, toggles, and clevises should be conservatively designed with a dual or auxiliary device or combinations thereof. Each device should be designed or selected to support a load of three times the load (static and dynamic) being handled without permanent deformation.	Lifting devices used on the ZionSolutions single failure proof crane system that attach to the load block are site specific and will be in accordance with NUREG-0612 and the ZionSolutions Heavy Loads Program.	None	None	None
4.7	Wire Rope Protection  Sideloads would be generated to the reeving system if hoisting were done at angles departing from a normal vertical lift and resulting damage could be incurred in the form of excessive wear on sheaves and wire rope. A potential would also exist for the wire rope to be cut by jumping its groove barrier on the drum. If sideloads cannot be avoided, the reeving system should be equipped with a guard that would keep the wire rope properly located in the grooves on the drum.	ZionSolution's single failure proof crane application is carefully analyzed to evaluate the possibility of sideload lifting scenarios. If sideloading occurs, the design of the rope attachment points prevents mis-alignment since the torque arm limits movement.  Since side loading can lead to the wire rope double wrapping on the drum, misreeving protection is provided with a sensing system that disables power to the hoist if a misreeved condition is detected. This system is in lieu of guards and is superior since it minimizes wear on the wire rope. Coupled with this protection, rope inspections per ASME B30.2 would be performed in the event of a misreeving to verify no rope damaged had occurred.	None	Mis-reeving detection system	The mis-reeving detection system will be tested in the Factory Acceptance Procedure – MMH document #36675-07

The 75 drawings specifically referenced Attachment 7 contents have been processed into ADAMS.

These drawings can be accessed within the ADAMS package or by performing a search on the Document/Report Number.

D01 - D75X

## Attachment 7

Fuel Handling Building and Auxiliary Buildings Drawings Associated with the Single Failure Proof Crane Upgrade

## Fuel Handling Building and Auxiliary Building Plant Structural Drawings

- B-107, Rev. K, Fuel Handling Bldg. Foundation Plan El. 617'-0" West Area
- B-108, Rev. P, Fuel Handling Bldg. Foundation Plan El. 617'-0" East Area
- B-109, Rev. J, Fuel Handling Bldg. Foundation Plan El. 602'-0" West Area
- B-113, Rev. E, Fuel Handling Bldg. Foundation Plan El. 602'-0" East Area
- B-114, Rev. AD, Fuel Handling Building Foundation Plan Elevation 592'-0" West Area
- B-115, Rev. N, Fuel Handling Building Foundation Plan Elevation 592'-0" East Are A
- B-116, Rev. D, Fuel Handling Building Foundation Plan
- B-117, Rev. G, Fuel Handling Building Foundation Car Shed Plan
- B-118, Rev. L, Fuel Handling Bldg. Foundation Section A-A
- B-119, Rev. R, Fuel Handling Bldg. Foundation Section B-B
- B-120, Rev. N, Fuel Handling Building Foundation-Section C-C
- B-121, Rev. H, Fuel Handling Bldg. Foundation Section D-D
- B-122, Rev. E, Fuel Handling Building Foundation-Section E-E
- B-123, Rev. M, Fuel Handling Bldg. Foundation Section F-F
- B-124, Rev. P, Fuel Handling Bldg. Foundation Section G-G
- B-125, Rev. K, Fuel Handling Building Foundation-Section H-H
- B-126, Rev. M, Fuel Handling Building Fdn. Sections & Details Sheet 1
- B-151, Rev. AB, Auxiliary Bldg. Foundation Plan El. 542'-0" N.W. Area
- B-283, Rev. N, Turbine Building & Service Building Column Schedule
- B-284, Rev. F, Auxiliary Bldg. & Refueling Bldg. Column Schedule
- B-287, Ref. E, Turbine Bldg. & Auxiliary Bldg. Column Details
- B-291, Rev. H, Column Bracing Rows 15, 5, P, V; W, Y and 38
- B-294, Rev. G, Column Bracing Rows 17 & 23
- B-295, Rev. G, Column Bracing Sections & Details
- B-335, Rev. J. Turbine Building Floor Framing Sections & Details Sheet 1
- B-365, Rev. Y, Auxiliary Building Framing Plan El. 617'-0" Southwest Area
- B-366, Rev. Z, Auxiliary Building Framing Plan El. 617'-0" Northwest Area
- B-375 Rev H Auxiliary Building Framing Plan El 642'-0" Southwest Area
- B-376, Rev. D, Auxiliary Building Framing Plan El. 642'-0" Northwest Area
- B-381, Rev. C, Auxiliary Building Roof Framing Plan El. 668'-0" S.W. Area
- B-382, Rev. B, Auxiliary Building Roof Framing Plan El. 668'-0" N.W. Area
- B-391, Rev. A, Fuel Handling Bldg. Transfer Canal Winch Details
- B-392, Rev. B, Car Shed Roof Framing Plan El. 618'-6"
- B-401, Rev. C, Fuel Handling And Aux. Bldg. Girder Schedule
- B-402, Rev. H, Fuel Handling Building Crane Girder and Rail Plan
- B-403, Rev. F, Fuel Handling Building Crane Girder Sections & Details
- B-404, Rev. G, Fuel Handling Building Roof Framing Plan West Area
- B-405, Rev. L, Fuel Handling Building Roof Framing Plan East Area
- B-406, Rev. C, Fuel Handling Transfer Canal Gate Sect. & Dets.
- B-420, Rev A Fuel Handling Building Wall Plan El 617'-0" West Area
- B-421, Rev. A, Fuel Handling Building Wall Plan El. 617'-0" East Area
- B-422, Rev. B, Car Shed Roof & Wall Plan El. 618'-6"
- B-556, Rev. C, Typical Masonry Wall Details
- B-634, Rev. N, Auxiliary Building Roof Sections & Details
- B-654, Rev. G, Fuel Handling Bldg. Ground Fl. Plan El. 592'-0"
- B-655, Rev. E, Fuel Handling Bldg. Ground Fl. Sections & Details
- B-657, Rev. X, Fuel Handling Bldg. Operating Fl. Plan El. 617'-0"

- B-658, Rev. J, Fuel Handling Bldg. Removable Wall Modification
- B-659, Rev. E, Fuel Handling Bldg. Equipment Removal Crosstown Platform
- B-660, Rev. D, Fuel Handling Bldg. Roof Plan
- B-661, Rev. C, Fuel Handling Building Equipment Removal Crosstown Cart
- B-662, Rev. A, Fuel Handling Bldg. Stair No. F-1
- B-717, Rev. M, Pipe Chase Framing Plans El. 574'-4 3/4", 598'-3 1/4", & El. 607'-0"
- B-718, Rev. L, Pipe Chase Framing Plans El. 574'-4 3/4", 598'-3 1/4", & El. 607'-0"
- B-719, Rev. J, Pipe Chase Framing Sections & Details
- B-721, Rev. L, Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 592'-0" S.W. Area
- B-722, Rev. J, Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 592'-0" N.W. Area
- B-723, Rev. PP, Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 592'-0" S.E. Area
- B-724, Rev. AG, Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 592'-0" N.E. Area
- B-725, Rev. J. Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 601'-3"
- B-726, Rev. LN, Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 617'-0" S.W. Area
- B-727, Rev. JP, Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 617'-0" N.W. Area
- B-731, Rev. CE, Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 642'-0" S.W. Area
- B-732, Rev. U, Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 642'-0" N.W. Area
- B-733, Rev. MM, Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 642'-0" S.E. Area
- B-734, Rev. YB, Auxiliary Building Wall Plan El. 642'-0" N.E. Area
- B-740, Rev. F, Auxiliary Building Sections & Details Sheet 1
- B-743, Rev. G, Auxiliary Building Sections & Details Sheet 4
- B-744, Rev. K, Auxiliary Building Sections & Details Sheet 5
- B-747, Rev. V, Auxiliary Building Sections & Details, Sheet 8
- B-751, Rev. J, Auxiliary Building Sections & Details, Sheet 12
- B-753, Rev. J. Auxiliary Building Sections & Details, Sheet 12
- B-754, Rev. J. Auxiliary Building Sections & Details, Sheet 15
- B-811, Rev. C, Floor Loading Diagram Aux. Bldg. El's. 542', 560', 579' & 592'
- B-812, Rev. A, Floor Loading Diagram Aux. Bldg. El. 601'-3", 617'-0", 630'-0", 642'
- B-819, Rev. A, Floor Loading Diagram Diesel Generator & Fuel Hdlg. Bldg.