NRC FORM 591M PART 1 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOGATIC	IN INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE						
Cargill, Inc.			Region III					
2306 Rochester A	Avenue		U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
Kansas City, MO 64120			2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352					
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2012-001			Lisic, 1D 00032-4332					
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER	R(S) 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION		N			
030-30134		24-25817-01	10/22/2012					
LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:								
✓ 1. Based on	the inspection findings, no violations w	vere identified.						
2. Previous	2. Previous violation(s) closed.							
non-repet	3. The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.							
	Non-cited violation(s) were discuss	sed involving the follo	wing requirement(s):					
cited in ac with 10 Cl	s inspection, certain of your activities, accordance with NRC Enforcement Polic FR 19.11. s and Corrective Actions)							
Statement of Corrective Actions I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.								
TITLE	PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE		DATE			
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE	·		_					
NRC INSPECTOR	Bill C. Lin		toill-		19/22/1			
BRANCH CHIEF	T. Bloomer		Robert D. Datton, A.	100	10/30/12			

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (07-2012) Docket File Information								
10 CFR 2.201								
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INS	PECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL O	FFICE				
Cargill, Inc.			Region III					
2306 Rochester Aven	ue		U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
Kansas City, MO 641	20	·	2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210					
			Lisle, IL 605	532-4352				
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2(012-001		ī					
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(5)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION				
030-30134		24-25817-01		10/22/2012				
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURE	S USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS	SAREAS					
87124		3.01-3.07	3.01-3.07					
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION								
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAC	Т	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER				
3120	5	Ralph Volk, RSC)	(816) 245-0533				
✓ Main Office Ins	spection	Next Inspection	Date:	10/2017				
Field Office Ins	enection							
				_				
Temporary Jol	Site Inspection							
PROGRAM SCOPE								
This was a routine inspection of a fixed gauge licensee located in Kansas City, Missouri. The licensee possessed two fixed gauges used in their soybean extraction and bio-diesel production processes. The licensee was not authorized to perform service or remove the gauges; these services were performed by the manufacturer.								
Performance Observations								
The inspection consisted of a tour of the facility and interviews of staff members. Each staff member appeared knowledgeable in the safe use of the devices. The inspector reviewed the licensee's records associated with leak tests, shutter checks, inventories, training, annual audits, and gauge tag out procedures. The inspector also observed that all postings were legible. The inspector was unable to perform an independent radiation survey because the licensee's safety protocol did not allow electronic/battery-operated equipment in their process facility.								
No violations of NRC requirements were identified for this inspection.								
,								
ł								