

From: Vincent Gaddy
To: R4ALLEGATION
Date: Mon, Oct 16, 2006 6:38 AM
Subject: Callaway Concern Closure

see attached

9/11

nl

MEMORANDUM TO: Harry Freeman, Senior Allegation Coordinator
FROM: Vince Gaddy, Chief, Operations Branch
SUBJECT: Callaway Plant
Allegation RIV-2006-A-0033
Results of Concern Review and Inspection

The Operations Branch reviewed Concern 4 associated with the above allegation. The alleged's concern and the results of the Operations Branch review of the concern are discussed below.

Concern 4. The operations crew in which the alleged inattentive (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(C) resided and other levels of licensee management may have been covering for "carrying the (b)(7)(C) for licensed operator requalification.

The Operations Branch reviewed and inspected this concern from March 21 through July 13, 2006 during onsite and in-office reviews of the 2005 written and operating biennial requalification examinations. In accordance with 10 CFR 55.59 (a)(4), the requalification program is required to include comprehensive biennial written requalification examinations and annual operating tests to identify licensed operator knowledge and ability weaknesses for which retraining is needed. Elements of this review also included discussions with applicable licensee personnel.

During the review of the 2005 biennial requalification examination related issues, the inspection concluded that, although there were some biennial written examination quality issues the overall examination was acceptable and that the annual operating test was developed, administered, and graded in accordance with NUREG-1021, "Operator Licensing Examinations for Power Reactors," Revision 9. Of particular note, was that the 2005 annual operating test was found to be both equitable and consistent as required by 10 CFR 55.49, "Integrity of Examinations and Tests," and that effective remedial training for those operators who failed their first annual operating test was conducted prior to returning them to shift duties. Based on the results of the inspection, the inspectors did not identify any improper action in the licensee's requalification program. No evidence to substantiate this concern was identified.