

444 South 16<sup>th</sup> Street Mall Omaha, NE 68102-2247

LIC-12-0160 October 27, 2012

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Reference:

Docket No. 50-285

Subject:

Licensee Event Report 2012-004, Revision 2, for the Fort Calhoun

**Station** 

Please find attached Licensee Event Report 2012-004, Revision 2, dated October 27, 2012. This report is being submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

No commitments are being made in this letter.

If you should have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Louis P. Cortopassi

Vice President and CNO

LPC/rjr

Attachment

٥.

E. E. Collins, Jr., NRC Regional Administrator, Region IV

L. E. Wilkins, NRC Project Manager

J. C. Kirkland, NRC Senior Resident Inspector

**INPO Records Center** 

NRC FORM 366 (10-2010)		6 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						E	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 10/31/2013  Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are inco rporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA/Priv acy Section (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulator y							
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)								i i E c r	estimate to the FOIA/Priv acy Section (1-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulator y Commission, Washington, DC 205 55-0001, or b y internet e-mail to infocollects.resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sp onsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.							
1. FACILITY NAME Fort Calhoun Station							2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE 1 OF 4									
4. TITLE				Inadequ	uate An	alysis of	Drift Aff	ects Sa	fety F	Related Equi	ipmer	nt				
5. EVENT DATE 6. LER NUMBER 7. REPORT DA					ATE	8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED										
MONTH DAY YEAR		YEAR	SEQUENTI. NUMBER		MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME		Do			05000			
03	29	2012	2012	- 004	- 2	10	27	2012	FACIL	ITY NAME				DOCK	050	
9. OPER	ATING	MODE	11.	THIS REP	ORT IS	SUBMITTE	D PURSU	JANT TO	THE	REQUIREMEN	TS OF	10 CFR	§: (Check	all th	at ap	oly)
5			□ 20.2201(b)       □ 20.2203(a         □ 20.2201(d)       □ 20.2203(a         □ 20.2203(a)(1)       □ 20.2203(a         □ 20.2203(a)(2)(i)       □ 50.36(c)(1				0.2203(a) 0.2203(a) 0.36(c)(1)	(3)(ii) (4) (i)(A)	☐ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C) ☐ 50.73(a)(c) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) ☐ 50.73(a)(c) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) ☐ 50.73(a)(c) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(iii) ☐ 50.73(a)(c) ☐ 50.73(a)(c)(iii) ☐ 50.73(a)(c)(c)(iii) ☐ 50.73(a)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)(c)				a)(2)( a)(2)( a)(2)(	)(2)(viii)(A) )(2)(viii)(B) )(2)(ix)(A)		
10. POWER LEVEL    20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     20.2203(a)(2)(v)     20.2203(a)(2)(vi)					☐ 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A) ☐ 50.36(c)(2) ☐ 50.46(a)(3)(ii) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) ☑ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)			☐ 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) ☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)				☐ 50.73(a)(2)(x) ☐ 73.71(a)(4) ☐ 73.71(a)(5) ☐ OTHER  Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A			elow A	
FACILITY N	TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) Erick Matzke  12. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER  TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 402-533-6855															
	13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT															
CAUSE SYSTEM			COM	IPONENT	MANU FACTUR		ORTABLE O EPIX	CAU	USE SYSTEM COMPO		PONENT	ONENT MANU- FACTURE				
		4.4	CURRI F	MENTAL	SERORE	EXPECT				4						
			e 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)				<b>⊠</b> I	15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE			MONTH	DA	Υ	YEAR		
ABSTRA	CT (Lin	nit to 1400	spaces,	i.e., approx	imately 1	5 single-s <sub>l</sub>	paced type	written lii	nes)						1	
While investigating industry operating experience, it was determined that Fort Calhoun Station is subject to similar conditions where Static "0" Ring pressure switches with certain housing styles exhibit a setpoint shift when exposed to a change in temperature if the switch body is not vented. Fort Calhoun Station pressure switches that provide signals for high containment pressure to the reactor protection system and engineered safeguards actuation circuitry may have this configuration. The impact of the potential drift was evaluated and it was initially determined that neither reactor protection system nor the engineered safeguard circuitry may actuate at the required containment pressure of 5 psig. A subsequent evaluation of actual data concluded that safety analysis limits were not exceeded. However, two Technical Specification limits were not protected by the calibration procedure nominal trip setpoint when applying the additional uncertainty.																
impro were	The Apparent Cause was determined to be poor vendor documentation which led to Engineering personnel to improperly interpret and apply the information contained in the Static "O" Ring vendor manual. Corrective actions were initiated to remove the vent caps, revise the affected calculations to the temperature correction factor and drift. Additional actions to revise and re-perform surveillance testing were initiated.															

#### NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6	. LER NUMBER		3. PAGE			
Fort Callegue Station	05000285	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	·	OF	4	
Fort Calhoun Station		2012	- 004 -	2	2		4	

#### NARRATIVE

#### EVENT DESCRIPTION

While investigating industry operating experience, it was determined that Fort Calhoun Station (FCS) is subject to similar conditions. The operating experience documented a condition where Static "0" Ring (SOR) pressure switches with housing styles N6 or RT and conduit seal option JJ, exhibit a setpoint shift when exposed to a change in temperature if the switch body is not vented.

Engineering performed an equipment database search to determine where the switches in question were installed at FCS. Several switches that input into specific Reactor Protection System (RPS) and Engineered Safeguards Actuation Circuitry (ESF) loops were in the affected population. Specifically these pressure switches provide safety-related signals for high containment pressure to the RPS and ESF.

Field inspection revealed that the vent plugs were installed on the subject SOR switches and therefore the temperature effect is applicable. No other interim actions were required at the time of discovery as the affected switches are not required in the current plant mode.

The impact of the potential drift was evaluated and it was determined that neither RPS nor the engineered safeguard circuitry may actuate at the required containment pressure of 5 psig. A preliminary evaluation determined that the actuation may occur at a slightly higher value than the required pressure. A subsequent analysis of actual data concluded that safety analysis limits were not exceeded and that only two TS Limits were not protected by the calibration procedure nominal trip setpoint when applying the additional uncertainty.

On May 2, 2012, an eight (8)-hour report was made per 10 CFR 50.72(b)(3)(ii)(B) to the NRC Headquarters Operation Office (HOO) at 1802 CDT (Event Number (EN) 47892) as an unanalyzed condition, which was retracted on October 16, 2012. As a result of the analysis discussed above, this LER is amending the previous reporting criteria. This report is being made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). The reporting requirements of 10 CFF 50.73(a)(2)(v) and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) have been deleted.

#### CONCLUSION

The condition was initially determined to be reportable under 10 CFR50 72(b)(3)(ii)(B), Unanalyzed Condition, based on a conservative assumption that the error introduced violated not only the Technical Specification (TS) limits on Tables 2-1 and 2-11 (5.0 psig) but also the safety analysis limit of 5.4 psig, Updates Safety Analysis Repot (FSAR) Table 14.1-1. A subsequent evaluation of actual data concluded that safety analysis limits were not exceeded and that two TS Limits were not protected by the calibration procedure nominal trip setpoint when applying the additional uncertainty.

The cause was determined to be poor vendor documentation which led Engineering personnel to improperly interpret and apply the information contained in the SOR vendor manual.

#### CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

FCS is currently conducting a Causal Analysis as part of the Restart Checklist Item 3.d.1. The results of this analysis will be provided separately to the NRC as part of the Confirmatory Action Letter (CAL) closure process.

Corrective actions specific to this condition were initiated which include the following:

#### NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6	6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE			
Fort Callbour Station	05000005	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	•	OF	4
Fort Calhoun Station	05000285	2012	- 004 -	2	3		4

#### NARRATIVE

- 1. For SOR switches used in safety related applications for which Uncertainty Calculations exist,
  - a. Revise the associated calculations to include the temperature correction factor and drift as specified in the vendor manual,
  - b. Revise the associated surveillance tests based on the updated Uncertainty Calculations, and
  - c. Update the Equipment Database information as needed.
- 2. For SOR switches used in safety related applications for which Uncertainty Calculations do not exist and the evaluation was indeterminate, perform an analysis to evaluate the impact of temperature and drift on the setpoints and implement recommendations from the analysis.
- 3. For the non-safety related switches, revise the affected calculation or modify the switches to install breather drains, revise and perform the affected surveillance tests, and update the Equipment Database information as necessary.

#### SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

Both the actual nuclear safety impact and the potential nuclear safety impact for this event are low based on the following considerations.

The affected pressure switches provide safety related signals for high containment pressure to the reactor protection system (RPS) and engineered safeguards actuation system (ESFAS) circuitry. Specifically, the switches perform the following functions as specified in the FCS Technical Specifications:

 Technical Specification Table 2-11, RPS Limiting Safety System Settings, item 7, High Containment Pressure s 5 psig;

Reactor Trip

 Technical Specification Table 2-1, Engineered Safety Features System Initiation Instrument Setting Limits Item 1, High Containment Pressure s 5 psig;

Safety Injection, Containment Spray, Containment Isolation, Containment Air Cooler- DBA Mode, and Steam Generator Isolation

While the Technical Specification limit is 5 psig, a review of the FCS USAR shows that the setpoint value used in the accident analysis is 5.4 psig. This is shown in Table 14.1-1- Reactor Protective System Trips and Safety Injection for Safety Analyses Setpoints which identifies a Containment Pressure High Setpoint of 5.0 psig and a Safety Analysis Setpoint 5.4 psig. This specific actuation feature is credited only in USAR 14.6, "Containment Pressure Analysis", which credits the switches for initiation of Engineered Safety Features at a setpoint of 5.4 psig.

Further analysis was performed to determine the worst case installed setpoint value when the temperature effect is included. This was done by adding the temperature effect associated with a 30°F temperature shift to the worst case "as found" value from the historical calibration data and verifying that value is less than the value used in the accident analysis. From this it was determined that the worst case installed setpoint was less than the value of 5.4 psig used in the safety analysis. This demonstrates that while the trip setpoint used in the calibration procedure may not be adequate to protect the Technical Specification limits, the safety limit used in the accident analysis was not exceeded and therefore this condition did not impact Nuclear Safety.

#### NRC FORM 366A

(10-2010)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONTINUATION SHEET

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6	. LER NUMBER		3. PAGE			
Fort Callegue Station	05000385	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	4	OF	4	
Fort Calhoun Station	05000285	2012	- 004 -	2	4	OF	4	

### NARRATIVE

## SAFETY SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL FAILURE

This event does result in a safety system functional failure in accordance with NEI-99-02.

## PREVIOUS EVENTS

No events of a similar nature have been identified.