

## PMComanchePeakPEm Resource

---

**From:** ComanchePeakCOL Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 16, 2012 11:01 AM  
**To:** PMComanchePeakPEm Resource  
**Subject:** FW: C. Peak RAI Supplemental responses for RAI 6348 and 6358 - call with Luminant

---

**From:** Monarque, Stephen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 02, 2012 3:49 PM  
**To:** ComanchePeakCOL Resource  
**Subject:** RE: C. Peak RAI Supplemental responses for RAI 6348 and 6358 - call with Luminant

---

**From:** Wheeler, Larry  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 02, 2012 11:57 AM  
**To:** Monarque, Stephen  
**Cc:** Kallan, Paul; Lee, Samuel; McKenna, Eileen; Eagle, Eugene  
**Subject:** C. Peak RAI Supplemental responses for RAI 6348 and 6358 - call with Luminant

Steve:

Talking point for Thursday. You can send this info to Luminant so they are aware of the staff's issues.

RAI 6348:

Q09.02.01-9

- For the deleted the level switches in the UHS vertical header (COL 9.2(32), the FSAR markup did not remove all the places that the level switches was indicated, for example the UHS figure 9.2.5-1R, page 9.2-25 that describes the COL and location of the discussion related to COL 9.2(32), and page 9.2-21. There may be more- this is all I could find.
- The deleted level switches conflicts with the DCD – COL 9.2(32). They may have to describe in the COL FSAR why they do not have a void detection system, reference COL 9.2(32). Just stating in a RAI response is unacceptable since this is a COL action item. Should MHI delete the COL? Is NA3 doing the same?
- The staff's concern is while two divisions of ESW are in standby ( weeks at a time) and if there is a active start signal, will a water hammer event occur that will result in ESW damage. Will a riser water level detect a water draindown event via leak past any closed MOV/check valve. If no riser I&C is installed, will testing for the absence of water hammer be added to Chapter 14 besides testing during load sequences (DCD 14.2.12.1.34).

Q09.02.01-11

No issues

RAI 6358

Q09.02.05-18 (still under review by the HVAC branch)

- Suggest FSAR markup (pg 9.2-14) include that the HVAC intake for the UHS pump house is on the south wall of the building.

- The FSAR markup (pg 9.2-14) related to 36 ft vertical should be 19 ft?

Q09.02.05-22

- C. Peak states that the original response remains correct, however, Q09.02.01-9 deleted the vertical riser I&C (see above).

Q02.02.05-25

No issues

**Hearing Identifier:** ComanchePeak\_COL\_Public  
**Email Number:** 1748

**Mail Envelope Properties** (0AA17736E4C4154CA37233EEBFC8DEB2011DFF221B8A)

**Subject:** FW: C. Peak RAI Supplemental responses for RAI 6348 and 6358 - call with Luminant  
**Sent Date:** 10/16/2012 11:01:09 AM  
**Received Date:** 10/16/2012 11:01:10 AM  
**From:** ComanchePeakCOL Resource

**Created By:** ComanchePeakCOL.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"PMComanchePeakPEM Resource" <PMComanchePeakPEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	2353	10/16/2012 11:01:10 AM

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**