EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	C. Osterholtz Facility:	ANO-2	Date	of Written Exam: 8/24/2012
	Start of Op Test:	8/27/2012		End of Op Test: 9/7/2012
Written Exar	n Developed By: NRC / Facility	Operating Test	Develo	ped By: NRC / Facility
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes
2/27/2012	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	2/21/12	6	
4/23/2012	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	2/21/12	(De	
4/23/2012	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	2/21/12	0	
4/23/2012	Corporate Notification Letter sent	2/23/12	CEO	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE
5/28/2012	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	NA	NA	ES-201 Att-3
6/11/2012	Integrated exam outlines due	6/2/12	ON	
6/18/2012	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	07/9/12	G	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC
6/18/2012	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	每/13/12	(CO)	
7/9/2012	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	7/13/12	Cas	
7/23/2012	Peer review of written exam complete	7/27/12	Co	Document review on ES-401-9
7/23/2012	Preliminary license applications due	8/3/12	Co	NRC Forms 398/396
7/30/2012	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	8/16/12	Ces	
7/30/2012	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	7/27/12	Č	
7/30/2012	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	7/27/12	رسو	
7/30/2012	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	8/16/12	Can	
8/13/2012	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	8/16/12	(20)	ES-201-4 prepared by LA
8/20/2012	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	8/16/12	0	
8/20/2012	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	8/14/12	<i>©</i>	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)
8/20/2012	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	8/21/12	Ced	
8/20/2012	Exam material to exam team	8/1/12	Col	
8/27/2012	Administer Operating Test	8/27/12	(a)	
8/31/2012	Facility post-exam documentation due	9/24/12	Cie	
8/31/2012	NRC written exam grading completed	9/24/12	Co	ES-403-1 to BC
9/14/2012	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	9/24/12	Cred	
9/7/2012	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	9/24/12	de	Signed ES 303's to BC
9/14/2012	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	9/24/12	Ciw	
9/21/2012	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	9/26/12	Coo	
9/21/2012	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	9/24/12	Car	
9/21/2012	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	9/26/12	Cas	print Report-21
10/5/2012	Examination Report Issued	10/10/12	Co	produced by CE
10/12/2012	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	10/10/12	(A)	SUNSI checklist to LA
10/19/2012	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	9/24/12	Co	
	Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, Supp	1, Forms ES-201-1	and ES-5	01-1

Facilit	y: Arka	insas Nuclear One Unit 2 Date of Examination: 8/24	1/2012			
Item	Item Task Description					
,,,,,,,		а	b*	c#		
1. W	а.	Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	限	Ron	(Sin)	
R I	b.	Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	N	Retur	刨	
T T	C.	Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	10-	Ron	Co	
E N	d.	Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	NE.	Pan	ه	
2. S	a.	Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	20	Ræn	Ćw	
MULAT	b.	Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	æ	Ria	Cud	
O R	C.	To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	æ	Rom	da	
3. W / T	a.	Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form	æ	Ra	da	
	b.	Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	ze	Rtu	(A)	
	C.	Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Ze	Rtu	(2)	
4.	а.	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	jus	Rem	(
G E	b.	Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	J.E	Ren	0	
N E	C.	Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	RF-	Rkin	(2)	
R	d.	Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	ME	Row	(00)	
A L	e.	Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	MP-	Run	Coo	
	f.	Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	NE	lem	(S	
b. Fa	RC Chi RC Sur	Printed Name / Signature Michael W Foster / /Zac Lacy Reviewer (*) Randal Martin ief Examiner (#) MARK WARE Printed Name / Signature Michael W Foster / /Zac Lacy Mark Ware # Independent NRC Reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.	7/19,		-	

Facil	ity: Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 2 Date of Exam:		."	E	xam Leve	el: RO	⊠ SR	⊃ ⊠
* .		1	Initial					
	Item Description	а	b*	c*				
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and appl	licable to the	facility.			NR.	PK-	
2.	NRC K/As are referenced for all questions.						_	1
	b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available		HE	lear	Con			
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section	n D.2.d of Es	5-401			pre-	PKY	CO
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic (If mowere repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exam, consu						1.0	Ca
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exa as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appet the audit exam was systematically and randomly deve the audit exam was completed before the license example the examinations were developed independently; or X the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or other (explain)	144	Row	du				
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent	Bank	Mod	fied	New			
	from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	10.7%/ 4%	20 20		69.3%/ 76%	NF	RK	Cas
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO	Memor	у		C/A			
	exam are written at the comprehension /analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected KAs support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.							Co
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.			,		WZ.	Recu	cಾ
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to whic deviations are justified					VE	PKu	රන
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidel	ines in ES A	pendi	εВ.		M²	Ron	(C>0
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet	tiple choice i	tems;			j.it	PK	كعك
	Prints	ed Name / Si	gnature	3			D	ate
		2					7-9	5-12
a. Aut	\mathcal{O}'	70%						2-17
b. Fac	cility Reviewer (*) Randal Martin	100	0 P	0 1	<i></i>		<u></u> ,	7/12
c. NR	C Chief Examiner (#)		<u> </u>		\		4	77
d. NR	C Regional Supervisor MARK HARR	1411	1_	AND SOCIAL CRISTIANS		***************************************	_#	47/12
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicate # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c";							

Facil	ity: Arkansas Nuclear On	ie	Date of Exami	nation: 8-27-12	Operating Tes	t Numb	per:201	12-1
		4	S				Initial	s
		1. 0	General Criteria			а	b*	с#
а.	The operating test of sampling requirements	conforms with the ents (e.g., 10 CFR	previously approv 55.45, operationa	ed outline; changes a Il importance, safety fu	re consistent with unction distribution).	te	- 331	Co
b.	There is no day-to-o	day repetition betv ition.	veen this and othe	er operating tests to be	e administered	te	لع	Ć-s
C.	The operating test s	hall not duplicate it	ems from the appli	cants' audit test(s). (se	e Section D.1.a.)	Ze	-55	حث
d.	Overlap with the wr acceptable limits.	itten examination	and between diffe	rent parts of the opera	ating test is within	ze	31	()
е.	It appears that the de			en competent and les	s-than-competent	æ	-Si	Ca
		2. Wall	k-Through Criteri	a				
а.	 reasonable an designation if of operationally in detailed of system respectively. system respectively. statemen criteria fo dentification. 	d tools, including a d validated time lindemed to be time mortant specific pexpected actions we esponse and other its describing important successful comp	associated proced mits (average time e-critical by the fac performance criter with exact criteria a r examiner cues ortant observations pletion of the task s and their associa	e allowed for completic cility licensee ia that include: and nomenclature is to be made by the ap ated performance star	oplicant	20 %	\$1	0
b.	outlines (Forms ES-	301-1 and 2) have istribution, bank us	not caused the tes	stems and administrati t to deviate from any of the last 2 NRC exami	f the acceptance	0	3	4>
		3. Si	mulator Criteria					
The a	associated simulator oper ES-301-4 and a copy is a	ating tests (scenar attached.	rio sets) have bee	n reviewed in accorda	nce with	æ	47	\bigcirc
			Printed Name	e / Signature		Da	ate	
a	Author	Zac L.	Acy/Tue	7	8-1	5-12	2	
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	CLAY SI	4PSON / 8	Intri Sinstin	5-16			
	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Clyde C	Osterhol/2	1/cc Oth	01-	0/12	~	
	NRC Supervisor	Clyde O.	sterholte ,	(CC Ortelo	£ 8/	20/1		
NOTI		ature is not applica		eloped tests. c": chief examiner con	ocurrence required			

Facil	ty: Arkansas Nuclear One Date of Exam: 08-27-12 Scenario Numbers:	1/2/3/4 Operati	ng Test	No.: 20	12-1			
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials				
			а	b*	c#			
1.	ze	Sy.	Co					
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.							
3.	te	Z	Cit					
4.	æ	3×	(D)					
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		ze	تلوك	Co			
6.	ze	3	යා					
7.	æ	Z	Ċa					
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.							
9.	ZL	54	Co					
10.	to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios. 10. Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.							
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Forr (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	n ES-301 <i>-</i> 6	ZL	-51	Cai			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transic specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	ents and events	ze	Si	Co			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each creations	ew position.	Te	4	C			
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes						
1.	Total malfunctions (5–8)	6/7/6/6	ze	azi	Cas			
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2/2/2/1	te	ليه	(E)			
3.	Abnormal events (2–4)	2/2/3/3	te	575	(C)			
4.	Major transients (1–2)	2/2/1/2	te	الاس	(Lo			
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2/1/1/1	ze	ayl	@			
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1/0/0/0	ZL	921	Co			
7.	Critical tasks (2–3)	3/2/3/3	a	1	02			

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 2Date of Exam: 8/24/2012Exam	n Lev	rel: RO		sro 🗵					
			Initials						
Item Description		а	b	С					
Clean answer sheets copied before grading		NE	and	Co					
Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented		NA	MA	G					
Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)		R	95	Ca					
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 8 as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	80,	1/12	Cayl	6>					
 All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified 	,	AU	NA	0,5					
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants		MZ	32/	25					
Printed Name/Signature			С	ate					
a. Grader Michael Foster Mic	<u>_</u>			1/2012 -7-(2- 1/2012 25/12- 125/12					
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.									

Page 1 of 4 09/25/2012 Report 21

17:2

17:20:38

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 04/01/2011 To 10/31/2012

Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Region: 4

09/04/2012	08/27/2012	07/30/2012	06/01/2012	04/01/2012	Exam Week
Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / TAC #: X02492	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / TAC #: X02492	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / TAC #: X02492	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / TAC #: X02499	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / TAC #: X02492	Exam Week Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #
RO - 7 SROU - 2	RO - 7 SROU - 2	RO - 7 SROU - 2		RO - 7 SROU - 2	#Ca
SROI - 2	SROI - 2	SROI - 2		SROI - 2	# Candidates
Doc	Admin	Prep	Prep	Prep	Туре
п п п	TI TI	TI TI	TI Z TI	FI FI	Exam Author
OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	uthor Chief Examiner
BUCHANAN, THERESA D. DRAKE, JAMES F. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.	BUCHANAN, THERESA D. DRAKE, JAMES F. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.	BUCHANAN, THERESA D. DRAKE, JAMES F. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.	FARINA, THOMAS J. HEDGER, SEAN D. LARSON, BRIAN T. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	BUCHANAN, THERESA D. DRAKE, JAMES F. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.	Examiners Assigned

Sites: ANO Orgs: 4620 Exam Author: ALL

. Pre-Examination

understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the may have been compromised these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6-27-12 as of the date

Post-Examination

during the week(s) of 1-27-172. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not below and authorized by the NRC instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered

1 MICHAGE W FOSTER 2 Devid 2 LACY 3 Denver R Walker J. 4 Derrell W Bries 5 Randal Martin 6 John W Cont. 7 David Davis 8 BRENT EFTRD 9 ROSSERT J BAYER 10 Lacy Schacht 12 LODD FESKIVE 13 CLAY SIMPSON 14 Timpthy Hewrit 15 Tracus Learner	PRINTED NAME
OPS INST. / EXAM LEAD OPS INST. / EXAM SEVELOPAR Sim Support Sim Support Sim Support Ops Try Suport Pas Suport Reactor Operator / Validator SRO / Validator SRO / Validator SRO / Validator SRO / Validator PAS ENGINEER PRO Validator RO / Validator RO / Validator RO / Validator	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY
Resident State of the State of	SIGNATURE (1)
3/20/12 3/20/12 4/19/12 4-26-12 5-30-12 651 5-30-12 651	DATE
MANNER DESTA	SIGNATURE (2)
8-31-12 9-1	DATE NOTE

Pre-Examination

may have been compromised understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of & 27-12 as of the date

Post-Examination

below and authorized by the NRC during the week(s) of 8-27-12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered

NOTES:	15.	14.	3.	12	10.	9.	8.	7	б.	5. James C. Litter	4. BriAN 1 Hurley	3. Timothy L. Arnold	2. Dusting MILL	1. Jason E. Baker	PRINTED NAME
										Asst. 015 Mail	SR Staff Inst /Simulally suggest	Training Soft	EXAM UALIDATOR	Exam validator	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY
										Jane () Jane ()	The state of the s	12 Charolista !!	My Min ron	Mall 20582	SIGNATURE (1)
							***************************************			8/24/12 (8/23/12	8/23/12	7/30	7/30	DATE
							Verbel krammer (* deurske mer en folgen en e			Kimill Kell	25/1/1/1/1/	$TSA_{1}Q$	Maybell with	h all	SIGNATURE (2)
								mann of the contract of the co		W31/12	(311)2	2(1/1)2	3/1/2	931/15	DATE NOTE