

**Chang, Richard**

---

**From:** Chang, Richard  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2011 1:28 PM  
**To:** Santiago, Patricia; Tinkler, Charles  
**Subject:** RE: RES review of the RI EP scenarios doc

Pat,

I strongly feel that if we can put this work off until Appendix B and the main report is done, the SOARCA team will be better off... Can we push it to after April/may?

**From:** Santiago, Patricia  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2011 11:36 AM  
**To:** Tinkler, Charles  
**Cc:** Chang, Richard  
**Subject:** FW: RES review of the RI EP scenarios doc

Charlie

Is this time period ok with our SOARCA schedule. I ask since I thought we talked about mid-end of February to spare 3 days for this support to Randy et al.

Thanks

**From:** Jones, Joe A [<mailto:jojones@sandia.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2011 11:06 AM  
**To:** Sullivan, Randy  
**Cc:** Tinkler, Charles; Santiago, Patricia; Kahler, Robert; Lachance, Jeffrey Lynn  
**Subject:** RE: RES review of the RI EP scenarios doc

Randy,

I have discussed this with Jeff and we are available 3/1, 2 or 3. I would prefer March 2 or 3, but could work with any of the 3 days.

Joe

**From:** Sullivan, Randy [<mailto:Randy.Sullivan@nrc.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2011 7:16 AM  
**To:** Jones, Joe A  
**Cc:** Tinkler, Charles; Santiago, Patricia; Kahler, Robert; Lachance, Jeffrey Lynn  
**Subject:** RES review of the RI EP scenarios doc

It looks like we will get Charlie Tinkler to review the scenarios doc, maybe next week. I prefer his review to that of "pure" PRA types due to the broader application we are planning. He is also intimately familiar with the analysis techniques we are proposing to use once the scenarios are selected.

I am out 2/12-26 on AL and business (IAEA!) so I will not be available until 2/28. It would be good for you to see Charlie's comments perhaps the week of 2/21?

Is it possible to schedule a meeting here for 3/1, 2 or 3? Do you have any other business here? We should combine travel expenses with other projects if possible, although the meeting with Charlie is important. We could use telecom, although face to face is better.

Information in this record was deleted

in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions (b)(6)

66

FOIA- 2011-0083

DD/19

Now all this is predicated on Charlie being able to review the doc. He is not the master of his schedule and could be pulled off... So before you make reservations, please touch base with him to ensure he can do the review and schedule the meeting effectively.

(P.S. I am back at IAEA 3/5-12 and AL to 3/16).

Thanks

Randolph Sullivan, CHP

**From:** Lachance, Jeffrey Lynn [<mailto:jlacha@sandia.gov>]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 28, 2011 3:48 PM  
**To:** Jones, Joe A  
**Cc:** Sullivan, Randy  
**Subject:** Reviewers

Joe and Randy

I have been thinking about who would be good reviewers for the accident scenario selection report and it seems logical that the people involved in the sequence selection process for SOARCA would be good candidates. As I recall that would be people in Gary Demoss' branch (e.g., Chris Hunter and Marty Stutzke). I understand that Gary's branch is supporting Randy in other projects so it seems that this would lead to synergism. I suggest that Randy and Gary should talk.

I talked to Don Helton (he is in Kevin Coyne's branch) and he said he could probably review it also.

*Jeff LaChance*

*Distinguished Member of the Technical Staff  
Risk and Reliability, Dept. 6321  
Sandia National Laboratories  
P.O. Box 5800 MS 0748  
Albuquerque NM, 87185-0748  
email: [jlacha@sandia.gov](mailto:jlacha@sandia.gov)  
phone: (505) 844-9173  
fax: (505) 844-2829*

(b)(6)

EX 6