

Chang, Richard

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 10:03 AM
To: Barnes, Robin
Cc: Bowlin, Elizabeth
Subject: RE: JOFOC Comments for SOARCA

In regards to the JOFOC...Jennifer made a lot of markups to that JOFOC, so I am hesitant to change it too much from what she wrote...

From: Barnes, Robin
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 9:59 AM
To: Chang, Richard
Cc: Bowlin, Elizabeth
Subject: RE: JOFOC Comments for SOARCA

I'm working on addressing the comments from Don regarding the JOFOC. I copy you on the correspondence when I send it to Don.

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 9:50 AM
To: Barnes, Robin
Cc: Bowlin, Elizabeth
Subject: RE: JOFOC Comments for SOARCA

It should be on the one that went up to you guys...I believe it said from now until October 2011.

From: Barnes, Robin
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 9:00 AM
To: Chang, Richard
Cc: Bowlin, Elizabeth
Subject: RE: JOFOC Comments for SOARCA

Richard –

Whats the period of performance for this order? On one JOFOC I see 11 staff months... on another I see 9 staff months.

R

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 7:54 AM
To: Barnes, Robin
Cc: Bowlin, Elizabeth
Subject: RE: JOFOC Comments for SOARCA

Robin:

In regards to item 1:

Assuming that someone is trained in PWR reactors, they would still need to become an expert in MELCOR (the code which models accident sequences). I would assume the minimal amount of training for that would be 3 months of MELCOR training. Once they have received the MELCOR training, they need to familiarize themselves with the current report, and be able to write to it, so that may take about two months. So I believe that it would take at least 5 months to get someone up to speed where they can contribute on SOARCA.

For item 2:

The current due date for this project is July 7, 2011. Staff is currently requesting an extension request (which has not yet been approved) for this project to conclude in December 2012. While it is expected that the analysis work will be concluded by May 2011, it is likely that there will be follow-meetings with the SOARCA Peer Review Committee, where Kenneth Wagner will be required to defend his work.

Is this what you needed?

Thanks,
Richard

From: Barnes, Robin
Sent: Thursday, February 03, 2011 3:55 PM
To: Chang, Richard
Cc: Bowlin, Elizabeth
Subject: JOFOC Comments for SOARCA

Richard:

The following comments came back from the Div of Contracts regarding the JOFOC and is requiring additional clarification:

- 1) In Paragraph 1 where it says "Training a new employee to complete this effort with require several months...", please give your best technical effort as to how long you think the learning would be for new personnel to take over and complete this effort from this point forward.
- 2) Paragraph 4 where it says "Time is of the essence because the Commission has established a due date of July 2011". Please elaborate a few more sentences on when we are expecting this project to conclude. Will it be July 2011 or October 2011? Are we requesting an extension from the Commission until October 2011. One of the reasons I explained that we needed Kenneth Wagner's expertise past the initial project end date was for him to address any "resolution of comments" or tie up any loose ends. We just need to "beef" it up a little bit since it has to be posted in FedBiz Opps and can be challenged by interested parties.

Please let me know if you have any questions about the JOFOC. Dan App is our Contract Specialist handling this acquisition.

Thanks!

*Robin T. Barnes
Management Analyst
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
Division of Program Management, Policy Development & Analysis
Procurement Oversight & Funds Control Team
Phone: 301-251-7401*

