

Chang, Richard

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 7:50 AM
To: Santiago, Patricia
Cc: Tinkler, Charles; Schaperow, Jason; Ghosh, Tina
Subject: RE: two SOARCA items

Pat,

Based on the messages I have received from Jennifer/Kathy- here is what they want the next Peer Review Meeting to look like:

1. It is expected that the Peer Review Meeting in June will be the final Peer Review Meeting for the Baseline Analysis. I highlighted the fact that since the ISLOCA analysis is new, the Peer Reviewers may have comments on that. Kathy told me that we will cross that bridge when it comes...but as for right now, it is expected to be the final Peer Review Meeting.

- We can try to introduce the Peer Review Committee to the new ISLOCA analysis by giving them Appendix B as it is complete (part of the approved plan) and holding a teleconference with them, so they aren't surprised by it.

2. The Peer Review Committee can provide comments whatever comments they want (being independent); however, we will request their final letter reports after the final Peer Review Meeting.

Thanks,
Richard

-----Original Message-----

From: Santiago, Patricia
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2011 6:43 PM
To: Chang, Richard; Tinkler, Charles; Schaperow, Jason; Ghosh, Tina
Subject: RE: two SOARCA items

The next peer review meeting was to simply discuss how their comments were addressed plus discuss the ISLOCA as that may be new to them correct? We need to make sure the meeting isn't for another round of comments although they can probably submit more during the public comment period. thanks _____

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2011 1:14 PM
To: Santiago, Patricia; Tinkler, Charles; Schaperow, Jason; Ghosh, Tina
Subject: FW: two SOARCA items

All,

I have just gone through Karen Vierow's slides. I plan on giving her a call on Monday to try to answer a few questions of hers. I will be cognizant of the fact that the Peer Review Committee can say whatever they want, and I will make sure to respect that.

1. She mentions that the Peer Review Committee has not had a chance to review the final reports. I am planning on calling her to let her know our new strategy: which is sending the Peer Review Committee the reports as they are finished and holding a final peer review

meeting. I will preface the fact that this strategy is based on us being granted our extension request.

2. I will answer her question about the Peer Review Committee documents by letter her know that they have not been released publicly, and the plan would be to do that after the final peer review meeting (i.e., final document publication)

3. I have no issues with her key findings, but I wonder if her bullet:

"Address two operating plants (Surry and Peach Bottom) in an integrated, consistent manner for important (high risk) sequences (e.g., SBO, ISLOCA)"

tells the public things we haven't said before...SBO and ISLOCA

Please let me know your thoughts.

Thanks,
Richard

From: McClellan, Yvonne [mailto:ymcclel@sandia.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2011 12:25 PM
To: Chang, Richard
Subject: FW: two SOARCA items

Hi Richard,
Have a few fires to put out this morning will call you as soon as they are out.
I have attached Karen's slides with my comment.

YMac

From: Karen Vierow [mailto:vierow@ne.tamu.edu]
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 4:50 PM
To: McClellan, Yvonne
Subject: FW: two SOARCA items

resend of my earlier email.

--
Prof. Karen Vierow
Associate Professor
Department of Nuclear Engineering
Texas A&M University
129 Zachry Engineering Center, 3133 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3133 USA
Tel.: 979-458-0600
Fax.: 979-845-6443
vierow@ne.tamu.edu<mailto:vierow@ne.tamu.edu>
<http://nhts.tamu.edu/>

From: Karen Vierow
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 9:37 AM
To: McClellan, Yvonne; jcyanch@jcyanch.com
Subject: RE: two SOARCA items
Hi Yvonne and Jacquelyn,

Please find attached my draft of the SOARCA presentation materials.

Some notes:

- I see on the RIC schedule that there is a session devoted to SOARCA. The other presentation titles and speakers are not posted, so I assume that our presentation should focus only on the peer review. I have a SOARCA overview presentation given last June at the MIT summer school that provides a SOARCA project overview. Would any of it would be useful here?
- Within the slides, you will see some questions. In particular, may we reveal a summary of the peer review results? What of our review documents have been publicly released?
- I followed most of the guidelines emailed by Richard Chang on Dec. 21. I did not use the NRC template. Since the peer review is an independent effort, having the NRC logo on our slides seems contradictory. Certainly the TAMU logo needs to go, but I am not sure what to replace it with.
- How long is the presentation time? Online presentations from the 2010 RIC have about 15 slides.

I am discussing with one of the reviewers about a chair fill-in for the time that I am out.

Please let me know what else you would like from me,

Karen

--
Dr. Karen Vierow
Associate Professor
Department of Nuclear Engineering
Texas A&M University
129 Zachry Engineering Center, 3133 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3133 USA
Tel.: 979-458-0600
Fax.: 979-845-6443
vierow@ne.tamu.edu
<http://nhts.tamu.edu>

From: McClellan, Yvonne [mailto:ymcclel@sandia.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2011 11:51 AM
To: Karen Vierow
Subject: RE: two SOARCA items

Hi Karen,

Thanks for the quick response. I will inform Richard that the draft is coming. [I'm sure he will be happy to hear it.] We are still waiting on other drafts as well.

I have taken over the duties for SOARCA. I do try to respond as quickly as possible. I also continue to keep Shawn in the loop [he is a great source of information].

Best regards,
Yvonne

From: Karen Vierow [mailto:vierow@ne.tamu.edu]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2011 9:28 AM

To: McClellan, Yvonne
Subject: RE: two SOARCA items

Hi Yvonne,

I will get on both action items, the draft of the presentation and a fill-in for the chair role. Let me get you a draft early next week. I am feeling well into the 7th month but am tied up through the weekend.

Thank you for the feedback on my suggestions. I hadn't received any until now.

I will keep you informed on the progress of both items. Please update me on the communication protocol. Shall I include Shawn on emails, or have you taken on his SOARCA duties?

Thank you,

Karen

--

Prof. Karen Vierow
Associate Professor
Department of Nuclear Engineering
Texas A&M University

129 Zachry Engineering Center, 3133 TAMU College Station, TX 77843-3133 USA

Tel.: 979-458-0600

Fax.: 979-845-6443

vierow@ne.tamu.edu<mailto:vierow@ne.tamu.edu>

<http://nhts.tamu.edu/>

From: McClellan, Yvonne [ymcclel@sandia.gov]

Sent: Friday, January 21, 2011 9:51 AM

To: Karen Vierow

Subject: RE: two SOARCA items

Hi Karen,

It was my understanding that the Shawn and NRC were wishing to have Jacquelyn take your place as the peer review committee chair while you are unavailable.

Richard Chang has asked me for a draft of your presentation. Could you please send me a copy as soon as possible? He requested this information a few weeks ago.

Hope you are feeling wonderful and ready for the big event.

Thanks,
Yvonne