## Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Indian Point Nuclear Generating

Units 1 and 2

Docket Number: 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR

ASLBP Number: 07-858-03-LR-BD01

Location: (teleconference)

Date: Monday, September 24, 2012

Work Order No.: NRC-1902 Pages 1193-1251

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1193
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL
5	+ + + +
6	x
7	In the Matter of: : Docket Nos.
8	ENTERGY NUCLEAR : 50-247-LR
9	OPERATIONS, INC. : 50-286-LR
10	(Indian Point Nuclear : ASLBP No.
11	Generating Units 2 and 3) : 07-858-03-LR-BD01
12	x
13	Monday, September 24 2012
14	
15	Teleconference
16	
17	
18	BEFORE:
19	LAWRENCE G. McDADE, Chair
20	RICHARD E. WARDWELL, Administrative Judge
21	MICHAEL F. KENNEDY, Administrative Judge
22	
23	
24	
25	

			1194
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	On Behal	lf of Entergy Nuclear Operations,	<pre>Inc.:</pre>
3	P2	AUL M. BESSETTE, ESQ.	
4	K	ATHRYN M. SUTTON, ESQ.	
5	of: Mo	organ, Lewis & Bockius LLP	
6	1:	111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	
7	Wa	ashington, DC 20004	
8	(:	202) 739-5796	
9	(2	202) 739-3001 (FAX)	
10			
11	w:	ILLIAM B. GLEW, JR.	
12	of: En	ntergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.	
13	44	40 Hamilton Avenue	
14	WI	hite Plains, NY 10601	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	1195
1	On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:
2	SHERWIN E. TURK, ESQ.
3	BETH N. MIZUNO, ESQ.
4	DAVID E. ROTH, ESQ.
5	BRIAN HARRIS, ESQ.
6	ANITA GHOSH, ESQ.
7	JOSEPH LINDELL, ESQ.
8	MICHAEL WENTZEL
9	of: Office of the General Counsel
10	Mail Stop - O-15 D21
11	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
12	Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
13	(301) 415-3722
14	
15	On Behalf of Riverkeeper
16	DEBORAH BRANCATO, ESQ.
17	PHILLIP MUSEGAAS, ESQ.
18	of: Riverkeeper, Inc.
19	20 Secor Road
20	Ossining, NY 10562
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	1196
1	On Behalf of Clearwater
2	RICHARD WEBSTER, ESQ
3	MANNA JO GREENE, ENVIRONMENTAL DIRECTOR
4	KARLA RAIMUNDI
5	Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.
6	724 Wolcott Ave.
7	Beacon, NY 12508
8	
9	On Behalf of the State of Connecticut
10	ROBERT D. SNOOK, ESQ.
11	Assistant Attorney General
12	of: Office of the Attorney General
13	State of Connecticut
14	55 Elm Street
15	P.O. Box 120
16	Hartford, CT 06141-0120
17	
18	On Behalf of the State of New York
19	JANICE A. DEAN, ESQ.
20	LISA BURIANEK, ESQ.
21	Assistant Attorney General
22	of: Office of the Attorney General
23	of the State of New York
24	120 Broadway, 26th Floor
25	New York, NY 10271

	1198
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(11:09:43 a.m.)
3	CHAIR McDADE: This is Lawrence McDade.
4	We are here in the matter of Entergy Nuclear
5	Operations, Inc., Nuclear Point for Indian Point
6	Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3, a proceeding
7	relating to the relicensing. It is ASLBP number 07-
8	858-03.
9	What I wanted to do first of all is have
10	the parties identify themselves for the record. New
11	York State?
12	MS. DEAN: Yes, Your Honor. It's Janice
13	Dean and Lisa Burianek from Albany.
14	CHAIR McDADE: From Riverkeeper?
15	MS. BRANCATO: Good morning, Your Honor.
16	This is Deborah Brancato. I'm here with Philip
17	Musegaas from Riverkeeper.
18	CHAIR McDADE: And from Clearwater?
19	MS. GREENE: This is Manna Jo Greene, and
20	also on the call are Richard Webster and Karla
21	Raimundi from Clearwater.
22	CHAIR McDADE: Thank you. For Entergy?
23	MR. BESSETTE: This is Paul Bessette.
24	With me is Kathryn Sutton and Bill Glew.
25	CHAIR McDADE: For the NRC Staff?

1	MR. TURK: Good morning, Your Honors.
2	Sherwin Turk. With me are Brian Harris, Anita Ghosh,
3	Beth Mizuno, Joseph Lindell, David Roth, and Michael
4	Wentzel.
5	CHAIR McDADE: From the State of
6	Connecticut?
7	MR. SNOOK: This is Robert Snook.
8	CHAIR McDADE: From the Village of
9	Cortlandt?
10	MS. TREANOR: From the Town of Cortlandt,
11	this is Victoria Treanor. With me on the line is
12	Adam Stolorow.
13	CHAIR McDADE: Okay. Thank you. Is there
14	anyone yet on the line from Buchanan? Apparently
15	not. From Westchester County? Apparently not. And
16	from New York City? Apparently not.
17	What I want to do is go through some
18	administrative matters to make sure as we get ready
19	for the hearing we're all basically singing off the
20	same sheet of music, and that there are no
21	administrative matters that need to be addressed
22	that have not been.
23	First of all, we understand there are
24	several motions in limine pending. We will rule on
25	those motions in limine either at or before the

hearing. With regard to one of the motions, Ms. 1 Dean, I had a question. 2 You sent a letter dated August 6th. In 3 4 that it talked about filing revisions to Contention 5 New York State 5, following resolution of the NRC Staff's motion in limine. And at that time the State 6 7 will file a revised exhibit list addressing these changes to New York 8, and changes if any to New 8 9 York 5. What's the status of that? 10 MS. DEAN: Well, we are waiting for the Board to resolve those. We have no changes other 11 than those which might be necessitated by an adverse 12 finding on the motions in limine, Your Honor. 13 14 CHAIR McDADE: Okay. What we are going to 15 do is ask the parties to comment on the exhibit 16 list. What we are going to do and anticipate doing 17 is admitting all of the exhibits at the beginning of the hearing on day one, noting any exceptions and 18 19 noting those exceptions on the record, and then binding the exhibit list into the transcript. 20 To that end we would ask that no later 21

than close of business on Monday any of the parties who desire file clerical objections. We're not talking about substantive objections here, but just any errors that you believe might be misleading, to

22

23

24

give you some examples. For example, Riverkeeper 8.

It is listed on the exhibit list, but when you look at the exhibit itself it's listed -- the stamp on the top is Riverkeeper 1. Now, likewise, Riverkeeper 76 is stamped, or actually is 74 and is stamped as 76. Riverkeeper 90 is incorrectly described. It talks about being data from the second quarter as opposed to the third quarter.

New York has a description in New York

411. It references on the exhibit list that it's Dr.

Shepherd who is the relevant witness when actually

it's Dr. Pakhani. And I'm probably mispronouncing

his name. But, anyway, those are the kinds of

things, and those are just some examples.

Again, what we intend to do, I don't think there's any confusion. When you look at the list and you look at the exhibits what is there is relatively clear. But what we intend to do is just simply admit the exhibits off the list at the beginning of the hearing. So, if any of the parties or interested government entities has an objection to that or to the descriptions of the exhibit in the exhibit list not matching the exhibit you have until close of business Monday to revise that.

Likewise, with regard to pending motions

1 that are out there. For example, we have not resolved the issue with regard to New York 12. New 2 3 York 12 has three attachments to it. New York should 4 mark those attachments as exhibits and put them at 5 the end of the revised exhibit list. Likewise, Clearwater 60 is still an issue to be resolved, 6 7 whether that is going to be excluded or not. So, we should have exhibit lists with 8 9 of the possible exhibits on them. And then at the beginning of the hearing on the 15<sup>th</sup> if there 10 are exhibits that we are going to exclude we will 11 note them on the record with the transcript. Any 12 questions with regard to that? 13 14 MR. TURK: Your Honor, Sherwin Turk. You said that by the close of business Monday we're to 15 file any clerical objections. Was that Monday, 16 October 15<sup>th</sup>? 17 CHAIR McDADE: No, that's a week from 18 19 today. 20 Okay. The next thing I wanted to mention to the parties and to all of the participants is 21 seating is going to be limited. We were able to 22 secure the largest place available, but it certainly 23 24 is smaller than we would have hoped. So, let me explain some of the realities given the venue that 25

we're going to be in.

As it's currently situated, and the room is not going to begin being set up until Sunday, the 14<sup>th</sup>, so we all are going to have to maintain a degree of flexibility in this as the schematics actually work out on the ground.

We anticipate for all of the parties that there will be an 8-foot table at counsel table, and for each of the Government entities a 6-foot table. We hope but we are not certain given the space available that you would be able to pull up other chairs behind the tables so that more than three or four counsel would be able to be right in the well at the counsel table, but that's something we are going to have to adjust to as we get there. But again, given the space, given the number of participants in this particular proceeding, as it is currently situated we anticipate that the parties will each have an 8-foot table and the Government entities who are not parties will have a 6-foot table.

On each of these tables there will be a monitor so that as the exhibits are shown you will be able to follow on that monitor. There will also be two microphones on each one of the counsel table.

As far as other electricity, we are hopeful that we would be able to have power strips at least on every other table, but if you are going to bring your laptops with you, understand that we can't guarantee that power is going to be available, so you should make sure that those laptops are fully charged before you go in at the beginning of the morning.

We also anticipate having a couple of large screens so that as exhibits are shown, made reference to, they will come up on the monitor on your desk. They will also be shown to any of the individuals who are in the room out in the seating.

Now, we asked for lists of people who would be attending, and we anticipated that there were going to be basically two groups, one that would have preferred entry and the other that would be sort of in the general population with people who had a professional interest in the proceeding having priority over people who were not actively involved in the proceeding. Some of the lists seem somewhat unwieldy, and given the limited size of the facility simply can't be accommodated.

Obviously, any of the counsel who are currently on the service list and were on the list

that you provided last week will be in the priority area. Not all of them, perhaps, for some of you will be able to be sitting directly at counsel table. We are going to set aside a certain number of seats, and at this point anticipate probably about 10 which would include seating for any counsel on the service list who we can't fit at the counsel table, witnesses who are not testifying on that particular contention, and then any other individuals that you would want to have present who don't fit in either of those first two categories, counsel on the service list, witnesses not testifying on that particular contention.

We would ask that you give us a list by close of business Monday in an order of preference so that we will be able in the event that those seats are not taken, we'll be able to move other people into them. And depending on the number of people you have, whether or not we will be able to expand the number of reserved seats beyond 10.

With regard to the interested Government entities, we do not anticipate at this point having seating specifically available for you. If there are witnesses that you would intend or want to call you need to inform us of that immediately, and by

1 immediately certainly no later than close of 2 business next Monday. 3 What we would anticipate doing for the 4 interested Government entities is setting aside a 5 limited number of seating for elected representatives and their staffs. And we will 6 provide a phone number, a contact person with the 7 Legislative Affairs person at the Nuclear 8 9 Regulatory Commission so that if there are elected representatives and their staffs who wish to take 10 advantage of that set aside seating, they should 11 inform us through the Congressional Legislative 12 Liaison as soon as possible. 13 14 Next, with regard to --MR. BESSETTE: Judge McDade, this is Paul 15 Bessette. Do you mind if I ask a quick few 16 17 clarifying questions? CHAIR McDADE: Sure. 18 19 MR. BESSETTE: With regard to the list of priority, the 10 extra seats, I'm assuming that's 10 20 extra seats per party. Is that correct? 21 CHAIR McDADE: What we would anticipate 22 is that the parties to the litigation, Entergy and 23 24 the NRC Staff, New York, Riverkeeper, and Clearwater. And we are not going to control that at 25

1	all. It's basically up to you to designate who you
2	want. As I said, I mean, on that you may have
3	counsel on the service list, or counsel who have not
4	aren't going to fit up at the counsel table. As I
5	said, we're going to make whatever accommodations we
6	can within the constraints of the size of the
7	facility and the Fire Marshal, so people have access
8	and egress. But it would basically be, as I said,
9	witnesses not testifying on that particular
10	contention, and then anybody else that you would
11	designate.
12	MR. BESSETTE: And would it be
13	appropriate for us to, for instance, include a
14	separate list for each contention, because we will
15	have people rotating in and out depending on the
16	topic of the day.
17	CHAIR McDADE: Yes.
18	MR. BESSETTE: All right. Thank you, Your
19	Honor.
20	MS. DEAN: Judge McDade, this is Janice
21	Dean just following up on something you just said.
22	The State Service List is not necessarily indicative
23	of the parties who will be appearing at the hearing.
24	We do have I can think of one attorney on the
25	service list who will not likely appear at the

hearing and probably two attorneys not currently on
the service list who may appear. Would you like us
to conform our service list with the list that we'll
be sending you by close of business on Monday with
new Notices of Appearance, et cetera?

with one caveat. Remember, seating is going to be very limited. When you look at an 8-foot table there's, I think, room for three people comfortably depending on how much elbow room people need. They may be able to put more in there. But on the 8-foot tables you know you can get three people, on the 6-foot tables you know you can get two. We may be able to get more people there, and also people behind not directly at the table. But, again, that's going to depend on the spacing of these tables when we actually get there on the ground.

So, as far as New York is concerned, New York can specify who it wishes to be represented by, but recognize that there is a numerical limit on this, so if a number of new counsel are going to be coming in at the last minute, they may not be able to be accommodated.

MS. DEAN: Right. Just to clarify, we like Entergy will have attorneys rotating in and out

day by day on different contentions, so we won't be necessarily adding, rather more a rotation. And do you know, will there be seats behind counsel's table for audience members?

CHAIR McDADE: We are going to have at this point --

(Telephone rings.)

CHAIR McDADE: There will be significant seating for audience members, individuals who are not parties or participants in this particular litigation. What we would ask you to do and advise your supporters is to tell them that seating is going to be limited. And based on prior experience, it generally seems that the first day is the day when it is most likely to be crowded. By the fifth or sixth day it seems unlikely that it will be crowded. So, we anticipate that individuals who are on your list who are participants in the litigation, we will give access on the first day to them at 11:00 to get in and get set up, but on other days where we're going to be starting at 9:00, give them access at 8:00. But the public would be given access at 12:30 on the first day, and 8:30 on subsequent days. But there will be seating, we are trying to get as many seats into the room, and it's sort of a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

balancing act. The more room we give counsel, the less room we give to witness -- to spectators. But we are trying to accommodate both. And, again, by having some seating set aside for individuals affiliated with the parties, we're also going to have set aside for press, we're also going to have set aside for elected representatives and their staffs.

We are hopeful that that will leave in excess of 100 seats for the public. We will try to get as many as we can, but we would appreciate if, number one, to those of you to tell your supporters that the seating is limited so that we don't have a significant number of disappointed people.

Also -- and, again, if they wish to participate or wish to observe that probably after the first day there will be more room available as there will be fewer people who are seeking to secure the limited number of seats that are available.

We would also appreciate it if you would inform your advisors, and this will go in a press release as we get closer to the proceeding that as far as your supporters go, that they are not going to be able to bring signs or placards into the facility. And, also, that they will have to go

1	through security so that they should not, if at all
2	possible, carry bags into the facility because it
3	will delay their getting in because it will be
4	necessary for bags to be checked by the security
5	which will be provided by the local police
6	department there.
7	MR. TURK: Your Honor, Sherwin Turk.
8	Clarification, please?
9	CHAIR McDADE: Mr. Turk.
LO	MR. TURK: You asked for this list again
11	by COB Monday. I take it that would be October 1 <sup>st</sup> ,
L2	the same date that we make the other filing?
L3	CHAIR McDADE: Correct.
L4	MR. TURK: Okay. And also, I had
L5	misunderstood. I think what you're asking us is for
L6	the names of 10 people who would want to be at the
L7	counsel table.
L8	CHAIR McDADE: No.
L9	MR. TURK: Or are you asking about other
20	members of the staff or other parties who would sit
21	in the audience close by in case they are needed?
22	CHAIR McDADE: We are trying to get
23	accommodate as many people who are participants in
24	this proceeding as possible. As I indicated, that
25	for the NRC staff you will have a counsel table that
l	I

will be 8-feet long. We anticipate that you will be able to get at least three chairs up at that counsel table. If at all possible and we could get more chairs in given how this actually works out on the ground when we get the room set up, we will try to accommodate that.

To the degree that there are counsel of record who can't fit at the counsel table, they can sit in this reserved seating where each of the parties, including the NRC Staff, will have a limited number of reserved seats. At this point we for planning purposes figure 10. If things work out on the ground differently than we anticipate, that number could be changed. But after counsel on the service list, you can then choose who else, each one of the participants can choose who else they're going to make available those seats to. It can be witnesses not testifying on that particular contention. It can be other individuals associated with your organization, whether it be a project manager, whether it be a management official, whether it be another attorney. What we're telling you is the space is limited. We're setting aside those additional seats, and you all will have to decide your own priorities as to who is going to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

fill those.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we would ask for a list with order of preference. And, again, as was noted by New York and Entergy, that can be a dynamic list as certain people may be interested or important to be present for certain contentions but not others. So, what we are looking for is a list in order of preference from you all. And, again, we understand that can change, but what we just want to make sure is that when we get close to this after those set aside seats, anybody else is going to be out with the general public and vying for the same number of limited seats that are available for the general public. Again, probably by the fourth day of the proceeding, you will be able to very comfortable out in the spectator area, but we anticipate on perhaps the first day or two the spectator area might be full, and the importance of those set aside seats will be more significant.

MR. WEBSTER: Judge McDade, Richard
Webster here. I have a couple of questions. One is,
so for the -- I understand these 10 seats are for
the non-testifying witnesses. Do the testifying
witnesses sit at counsel table or will they have a
separate area?

CHAIR McDADE: They will be sitting in a witness area.

MR. WEBSTER: Okay. And, secondly, will WiFi be available in the room?

CHAIR McDADE: The answer is I don't know. That is something we would suggest that you contact the hotel on specifically with regard to the availability of internet access or parking, that you need to check with the hotel. Their number is (914)631-5700, again (914) 631-5700.

What is going to be available at the counsel table, as I said, feel free to bring your laptops in and if you have the exhibits loaded on those you will be able to call them up. We will have on counsel table, and that includes the table for each of the interested Government entities, as well, in addition to two microphones, we will have a monitor so that if one of the judges or one of the counsel makes reference to an exhibit, our IT person will pull up that exhibit. If we want to ask Mr. Pekan about New York 411, we will reference that and our IT person will call up Exhibit New York 411. That will be on the monitor on counsel table. It will also be on the screens available to the public. If you wish to have access through your laptop, as I

said, we are hopeful that we will have power strips available for everybody to plug in, but we can't guarantee that at this point, so we urge everybody to have their laptop fully charged before you come in.

MS. DEAN: Judge McDade, this is Janice

Dean. That might answer my question. I did have a

question, if everyone -- if anyone was looking at

using Live Note or a similar transcript streaming

program it sounds like that may not be possible here

given the power uncertainties.

CHAIR McDADE: The answer is, Ms. Dean, I wouldn't count on it. We are going to have -- we will be bringing with us power strips so that they will be available at counsel table, but the -- you know, I guess given the fact that this involves a power plant, the availability of how much electricity actually can come into the room is something that at this point in time we don't know, so I wouldn't count on it.

Now, you raised the issue, Ms. Dean, of transcripts. We are going to get transcripts but not on a daily copy. We are just ordering a seven-day turnaround on the transcripts. And that those transcripts would then be entered in the record and

would be available on -- through the NRC. But if anybody wishes to get a quicker turnaround on the transcript you need to make the arrangements directly with the court reporter. That was sort of a convoluted answer to a direct question, but did it answer the direct question, Ms. Dean?

MS. DEAN: It did, indeed. Thank you so much.

CHAIR McDADE: Okay. And I think I mentioned with regard to the security that although the room will be locked and there will be security, because we're concerned with the audio visual equipment that we're going to be bringing up, any documents or equipment that you all have you can feel free to leave it in the locked hearing room overnight, but it is your responsibility. We can't be responsible for it. If you want to take it out, that's fine. And we will give you, as I said, a reasonable period of time. You should be able to get in there by 8:00 on all of the mornings with the exception of the first morning which would be 11:00 in order to set up. So, on the first morning you'd have two hours before the 1:00 hearing, and the other is 8:00 for the regular hearings.

We should also note that over the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

weekend you will not be able to leave your equipment in the room. We do not have the room over the weekend. The hotel will be making other use of that room on Saturday, so you need to plan on taking whatever you bring with you out of the hearing room at the conclusion of the hearing when we break for the weekend.

Most days we anticipate we will break about 6:00 p.m., although there's not anything magic associated with that particular time. If we are getting close to the end of an issue and we can resolve it and allow the witnesses to go, we might run a bit longer. If we finish up on a particular issue a little bit before 6:00, we're not going to drag in people just for a few minutes of testimony. So, that's sort of a guideline.

With regard to lunch, we will be breaking and you can anticipate, obviously, the first day we're not going to break for lunch given the fact that we're not starting until 1:00, but on the other days we will be taking at least an hour for lunch. Once we get up there and have a better feel for what the surrounding area is and the availability of food for people to come in and go out, that may be adjusted, but you could at least

1 anticipate that we would be breaking for at least an 2 hour for lunch. 3 Again, with regard to the internet 4 access and parking, that's something that you really 5 need to take up with the hotel, and I had previously given you their phone number. 6 7 At this point in time as we go through 8 it, for New York, Ms. Dean, do you have any other 9 questions? 10 MS. DEAN: I do have a few, Your Honor, if you don't mind. The State has obviously not 11 participated in one of these before. Could you tell 12 me, and I recognize some other parties may know 13 14 this, when the witnesses are testifying, or when 15 you're questioning the witnesses will you take questions in order by party or will all the 16 17 witnesses for all the parties appear at the same time with questions kind of piecemeal among all of 18 19 them? 20 CHAIR McDADE: Yes. We will not necessarily be going in order of just simply, for 21 example, on a New York Contention all of New York's 22 witnesses up front. It may well be that there will 23 24 be something that a New York witness says that

clarifies in our mind what their position is that we

1 would then want to turn to either staff or an 2 Entergy witness and have that commented on while it 3 is still fresh in everybody's minds, rather than 4 going through all of New York. And on each one of 5 these contentions, at least as we see it, there are many issues involved in each one of the contentions. 6 7 And probably we're going to go through and satisfy 8 ourselves with regard to certain issues, and it may 9 require us to go from, for example, a New York 10 witness, to an Entergy witness, to a staff witness, and then back to a New York witness. So, that we 11 anticipate that all of the witnesses on a particular 12 contention will be sworn at the same time, and that 13 14 they will be in the witness, I wouldn't use the term "witness box," but at the witness tables, and we 15 will then be asking the questions of them. 16 17 The witness tables, just like counsel tables, will also have monitors so that they will be 18 19 able to see the exhibits that we are referring to, and microphones so that we, you, and the audience 20 will be able to hear them. 21 MS. DEAN: Okay, thank you. And two 22 questions regarding exhibits. If there are 23 24 documents, as there have been a number of moving

parts here, if there are exhibits which are --

documents which are exchanged between now and October, will the Board allow parties to introduce those. And, likewise, will the Board entertain new exhibits at the hearing itself?

CHAIR McDADE: The answer to the first is I don't know. It will depend on the nature of the argument that you make for the relevance and why we should consider the document. As far as exhibits that come up during the course of the hearing, if based on the questions that are asked you believe you, New York, or any of the other participants believe that there is a document that would clarify matters after the Board has completed its questioning of a witness, we would give an opportunity for you to proffer any additional exhibits that you would have.

Obviously, those can't be premarked the way all of the exhibits to date have been premarked, because at this point you simply don't know what is going to be brought up by the testimony and what is going to become relevant. But, yes, there will be a procedure for receiving and admitting additional exhibits if we believe they're relevant.

MS. DEAN: Okay, thank you. And in terms of the Board's questioning of the witnesses, will

1 ||

the Board wish for the witnesses to have their testimony and reply testimony in front of them?

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR McDADE: That's entirely up to them. If they want to bring it, it might be helpful for them. If they have particular things they want to put notes on it, that's totally up to them. If we have a specific question from our standpoint about a witness' testimony, it will be possible for us to, for example, call up New York Exhibit 6, the testimony of, go specifically to a particular page in that, and ask the witness about it. But if the witness would feel more comfortable bringing their own testimony with them or any notes that's going to be fine. These individuals are testifying as expert witnesses, and we are going to allow them ready access to whatever documentation they feel would be helpful to them in answering our questions in a somewhat dynamic environment.

MS. DEAN: Okay, thank you. And three quick questions, and then I'm all set. In terms of logistics, the State is preparing exhibits in hard copy per the order from the Board, and we just wanted to clarify that the Board is looking only for the exhibits for the October contentions at this point?

1	CHAIR McDADE: Yes.
2	MS. DEAN: Okay. And
3	CHAIR McDADE: Well, the October, the 10
4	Contentions listed on the order.
5	MS. DEAN: Right, i.e., not the December
6	ones. So, not 26 and 38 at this point.
7	CHAIR McDADE: Right, not 26 and 38.
8	MS. DEAN: Okay.
9	CHAIR McDADE: But as I said, it may well
10	be, as we get to it some of these contentions are
11	listed as the initial 10 may not wind up being heard
12	in October, and may flop into December. But what
13	we're talking about is those first 10 contentions.
14	MS. DEAN: Right. Okay.
15	MR. BESSETTE: Your Honor, this is Paul
16	Bessette. I didn't understand that last colloquy
17	with regard to hard copies, et cetera.
18	MS. DEAN: We have prepared, and I
19	believe the Board's scheduling order calls for us to
20	prepare or bring hard copies of all the exhibits. Am
21	I correct about that?
22	MR. BESSETTE: There is an order that
23	requires the parties to have a set of paper copies
24	of their exhibits available in case there's a
25	problem with the electronics.

1	MS. DEAN: Okay, right. And that was
2	so, I was just clarifying, Paul, that we were only
3	looking for these 10 contentions, and not all of
4	them.
5	MR. BESSETTE: Right.
6	MS. DEAN: And that's mainly just so
7	we didn't end up there and find ourselves short of
8	something that somebody decided to refer to. So, it
9	sounds like we only have to have the documents
10	available for these contentions and not our full
11	list. Does that make sense?
12	CHAIR McDADE: Well, I don't know whether
13	it makes sense or not, but that's what the Board
14	intended.
15	MS. DEAN: Okay.
16	MR. TURK: Your Honor, I think the
17	confusion is that Ms. Dean referred to Contentions
18	38 and 26. Those are not scheduled whether for
19	December or any time. There are no hearing dates
20	scheduled for those yet.
21	MS. DEAN: That was my mistake, Sherwin.
22	CHAIR McDADE: They're not on Track One.
23	MS. DEAN: Right, sorry. I meant the
24	December contentions, whichever would be heard then.
25	CHAIR McDADE: All we're talking about

right now are those 10 contentions that are listed. 1 And, again, we do not anticipate receiving the paper 2 copies of the exhibits. Those are intended only as a 3 4 backup heaven forbid that something goes wrong 5 electronically, which we do not anticipate. We think we've got enough redundancy built into this, but in 6 7 the event that something does go wrong, we don't 8 want to have the whole process grind to a stop. 9 MS. DEAN: Yes, thank you. That was my 10 thought, as well. And then regarding the Board's recent decision on cross-examination, will there be 11 a few moments for the State to consult with its 12 experts at the conclusion of the Board's 13 14 questioning? 15 CHAIR McDADE: I'm sure by the time the 16 Board finishes it's questioning we're all going to need a break. 17 MS. DEAN: Okay. And then my final 18 19 question, and I appreciate your time, are we looking at a bifurcated or trifurcated post hearing briefing 20 schedule matching up with the hearing, or looking at 21 one unified date later in 2013? 22 CHAIR McDADE: We have not decided that, 23 24 and I think to a very large degree it's going to look at the schedule. We understand the difficulty 25

of trying to do several things at once, and whether it makes sense to have the submission of proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law based on contentions that have been heard is sort of a function of how things are running at the time.

Would anticipate that that's what we would do, is to have Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law on those first 10 contentions come in rather than waiting until the end. As we schedule the hearing on the other contentions, if it is clear that the Board is doing to be busy reading testimony and studying those other contentions, we're not going to be forcing people, and you are preparing for those other hearing dates, we don't want you to submit -- to go through Herculean efforts to submit Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law if the Board isn't going to be able to address them and sit down and study them.

At the same time, we don't want to be left with a period where we're sort of looking for things to do with regard to it. We've heard the contentions, we've reviewed the transcripts, we're waiting for your Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law with a hearing date for the other contentions far down the road.

1 So, let just say, I would anticipate that we probably will require the Findings of Fact 2 3 and Conclusions of Law on Contentions 1 through --4 well, the first 10 contentions before we go to 5 hearing on the others, but that's going to be a function of when we are able to schedule the 6 7 remainder of the hearings. 8 MS. DEAN: Okay, thank you. That 9 concludes the State's questions. I appreciate your 10 time. Thank you. CHAIR McDADE: From Riverkeeper, any 11 other questions? 12 MS. BRANCATO: Yes, Your Honor, this is 13 14 Deborah Brancato. We understand from your September 14<sup>th</sup> order on administrative matters that the Board 15 has requested a short presentation from Entergy's 16 witness, Dr. Horowitz, in relation to Contention RK-17 TP-2 related to flow accelerated corrosion. We 18 19 wanted to respectfully inquire whether the Board would be amenable to providing Riverkeeper's expert 20 witness, Dr. Hopenfeld, with a similar amount of 21 time to give a very brief presentation in order to 22 provide his perspective on CHECWORKS and how it's 23 used at Indian Point. We do believe that such an 24 opportunity would meaningfully add to the record for 25

RK-TP-2, so we wanted to inquire about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR McDADE: Okay. I think that was anticipated in order, that the other parties would have the opportunity to briefly comment on that description. And the only way that you would comment to put it on the record would be through a witness, so the amount of time is going to be a function of we don't want to just sort of start with square one, and the idea is not to just simply repeat the prefiled testimony. But the Board thought that it would be helpful to get on the record a very brief overview of how that system was designed, how it's supposed to work. And you would, of course, be given a brief opportunity to have your expert explain and highlight for the Board before we begin our questions a different perspective.

MS. BRANCATO: Okay. And that kind of leads to a second set of questions I had. We were curious about how you envision our ability to respond, so just for additional sake of clarity, would you not be anticipating allowing the parties to respond in writing, or it would be an oral response only?

CHAIR McDADE: We would anticipate an oral response that he will be there, your witness

will be there. I think your witnesses have an opportunity to hear at whether it be Vermont Yankee or elsewhere, a description of the system, and we would anticipate immediately after the initial presentation that he would then be given a reasonable period of time again to give any different perspective he has about the design or operation of the system.

And, again, this doesn't replace his

And, again, this doesn't replace his testimony, and we will be asking him questions, just as we will be asking Entergy's witness questions during -- that's the whole raison d'etre for the hearing.

MS. BRANCATO: Right. And I also wanted to inquire, to the extent there are any presentation materials similar to what the Board referred to in its September 14<sup>th</sup> order from the Vermont Yankee proceedings, are those materials that could be provided before the commencement of the hearing on the 15<sup>th</sup>?

CHAIR McDADE: Well if, for example,

Entergy is going to make reference to documents,

those documents should be marked as an exhibit and

furnished to the parties as well as the Board. And,

likewise, if Dr. Hopenfeld has specific, a specific

2.0

document that he would want to make reference to 1 2 during the course of explaining his perspective, 3 Riverkeeper should mark that as an exhibit and 4 furnish that to the other parties and the Board. 5 MS. BRANCATO: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. Those are all the questions that Riverkeeper 6 had. Thank you, appreciate your time. 7 8 CHAIR McDADE: For Clearwater? 9 MR. WEBSTER: Yes, Your Honor, Richard 10 Webster here. Our main question relates to a Spanish-speaking witness, Dolores Delgado, and 11 whether -- what's the best logistical way of getting 12 her testimony and questioning done given that she 13 14 has a relatively poor command of English. And, 15 therefore, we would prefer that she is questioned in 16 Spanish. CHAIR McDADE: Yes. I believe that --17 well, I don't know that she'll be questioned in 18 19 Spanish given the fluency of the members of the Board, but as we indicated, I think when that issue 20 was originally raised, the Board will arrange for a 21 certified court interpreter so that just as in any 22 other court proceeding, we will be asking any 23 24 questions in English, the translator will translate

those into Spanish for your witness, and then repeat

1 back the answers in English for the Board and for the record. 2 MR. WEBSTER: Thank you very much. 3 4 CHAIR McDADE: That's a responsibility of 5 the Board to provide a translator, and we've only been advised of the one witness. And we also 6 anticipate that that contention, given the schedule, 7 8 is going to come on toward the end of the 9 proceeding, so we're not going to have the 10 translator there every day, but will arrange to have a translator there when we do reach that contention. 11 MR. WEBSTER: Okay. And that actually 12 brings me to my second question, which is I think 13 14 there was a procedure for -- we're going to have two 15 contentions on deck at each time. So, I'm assuming the Board will -- will the parties just appraise 16 17 themselves of what progress we're making each day? CHAIR McDADE: I think it's going to be 18 19 very difficult to give you a very clear quide right now as to what contention we're going to be hearing 20 on any particular day. I think the first day is a 21 half day, the second day is a full day. We are 22 hopeful of getting through those first two 23 24 contentions on that Monday and Tuesday. After that,

it's just really a function of how long the

questioning takes. It may well be that after a few
questions everything becomes clear in the mind of
the Board, and we will be able to move very quickly,
or it may take us a while to make sure that we fully
understand the positions of the parties and the
issues. But, as I said, at this point we would
anticipate on that first Monday afternoon and
Tuesday, we're hopeful of getting through the first
two contentions. And what we would do at the end of
each hearing day is advise the parties where we
think we are, where we're hopeful of getting to the
following day, so that you could make sure that you
have the appropriate witnesses available. It won't
be necessary for, for example, a witness that isn't
going to be heard until the following Monday to be
there for every day of the hearing unless they
wanted to be. So, hopefully, we can give people an
appropriate level of advance warning, and again
would anticipate at the end of each session before
we break for the evening to sort of discuss where we
are, how much ground we've covered, and what we
anticipate covering the following day, or days.
MR. WEBSTER: All right. Thank you,
Judge.
MR. TURK: Your Honor, this is Sherwin

Turk. May I follow-up on that question for a moment?
As the Board knows, the Staff has 18 witnesses
scheduled for Track One contentions. That's we
also have six different attorneys, each of whom was
assigned to one, two, or three contentions. Together
we have something like 26 or 27 people who will be
sitting waiting for their turn to be called. It
imposes a great burden on each of these individuals,
and is costly to the government, as well, for each
of those people to have to be sitting around waiting
for their turn, not knowing even if they'll be
called that week, rather than the following the
week, or not until a week in December. So, I was
really hoping that it might be possible now for the
Board to say okay, in week one we will cover, or we
hope to cover, for instance, these four contentions.
And one contention may be a shoulder contention
where we may not reach it that week, we may not
start it until the following week, but that's what
we plan for the first week. That would help
tremendously with everyone's schedule, and with the
cost, which as you can imagine for 27 people, each
of them being paid for an entire day's work and
being paid to travel and stay at a hotel for an
indefinite period of time is very onerous. So, I

would hope that the Board might be able to look at the schedule and give us a little clearer indication per week of which contentions are likely to be heard that week.

CHAIR McDADE: Unfortunately, Mr. Turk, we're not. I think the detail that I just gave is about all the detail we can give at this particular point in time. Starting off on Monday and Tuesday, we're hopeful to get through the first two contentions. It may well be once we start asking questions that the answers are very clarifying in nature, and we will be able to go through our questioning of the witnesses very rapidly. It may well be as we get into those questions that things become in the short term less clear, so it isn't really possible at this point to -- you know, clairvoyance is not one of the attributes that we possess.

I anticipate, as I said, on Monday and Tuesday we will be getting hopefully through those first two contentions on the list. We had made representation to New York that Dr. Shepherd, who is testifying on the third and fourth contentions would not be needed until Wednesday. I think you can reasonably anticipate, and Clearwater can reasonably

anticipate that the Environmental Justice contention is not going to be heard the first week because it's the last contention on the list.

But at this point in time, what we are hopeful of doing is moving ahead with all deliberate speed and at the end of each day addressing where we are, how far we've proceeded, and what we don't want to do, given the fact that everybody is out of town, that we have the hearing facility for a limited window, is to have a contention that we hear on Wednesday morning, have everything clarified for the Board, and we wind up finishing up with that contention at 11:00 in the morning, and not have the witnesses for the next contention available until the following day. But I think we will have a better idea, I think that the staff can reasonably anticipate that their Environmental Justice contention is not going to be heard the first week. But what we are going to do is to take one after the other seriatim starting at 9:00 in the morning, taking a reasonable lunch break, reasonable breaks during the day, and ending on or about 6:00 each night. The last thing we're going to do before we break is to discuss where we are, and the scheduling.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, as I said, if you have witnesses or attorneys who are going to handle Environmental Justice, if they choose to show up on the 15<sup>th</sup> or the 16<sup>th</sup>, that's certainly -- you can choose for that to be the case, but you can reasonably anticipate that they're not going to be called. Other than that, I don't think I can give any further guidance. Anything further, Mr. Turk?

MR. TURK: Yes, Your Honor. This is going to a different issue, but it ties into the discussion we just had. Your Honor, the Board's order of last Friday declaring that New York will have the right to cross-examine, frankly, caught us by surprise. And it leads to considerable confusion on my part as to what to expect in the upcoming hearing. And if I may address that for a moment?

I had the personal experience of being involved in several proceedings prior to the adoption of the current Subpart L in which extensive cross-examination was conducted by Interveners, and in particular the Attorneys General of states who participated in those hearings. For instance, I was involved in the hearings on the Seabrook Operating License Application, in which the Commonwealth of Massachusetts participated. I was involved in the

Shoreham briefly, in which the State of New York and Suffolk County were involved. I was involved extensively in the Private Fuel Storage proceeding in which the State of Utah was involved as an Intervener. Cross-examination went weeks, in fact it went months.

The Board's order inviting New York

State to conduct cross-examination leaves me totally

confused as to what to expect for this proceeding. I

understand that --

CHAIR McDADE: Mr. Turk, let me cut it off at this point. It won't go weeks, it won't go months. This is somewhat different. It is a Subpart L proceeding. The Board is going to be the generator of questions. It's not that the parties are going to put on the case and the other parties are going to cross-examine. The Board's going to be asking questions.

I could say that I am hopeful that the Board will be so thorough in asking its questions that none of the parties will feel any need or desire to ask any additional questions because everything will have been covered. On the off chance that counsel for New York, or Riverkeeper, or Clearwater, or Entergy, or the NRC Staff, or anyone

else feels that the Board has missed something given the highly technical nature of the contentions, they will be given a reasonable opportunity to interrogate the witnesses with the understanding that repetitive cross-examination, repetitive examination will not be allowed.

MR. TURK: Is it possible for the Board to say that there will be some time limit imposed on each party who may wish to conduct cross-examination, such as 30 minutes, or 60 minutes per contention, so that we know going in what the limits are?

CHAIR McDADE: No. It is going to be a function if -- and at this point the only party that submitted a request to do examination is New York, nobody else has. Entergy hasn't, the NRC Staff hasn't, Clearwater hasn't, Riverkeeper hasn't. At this point, the only one who has made the request and filed the motion is New York.

And as far as the length of time that it takes, if New York begins and all the questions they have have already been asked and answered by the Board, and it's just simply a regurgitation of what has already been asked, the examination will last only a matter of moments.

On the other hand, if New York is able to identify areas that the Board missed and we find the questions that they ask and the answers that they are receiving expand our knowledge and understanding, are not repetitive, then we will allow it to continue. And we're not going to limit it to a specific five minute, ten minute, twenty minute. Based on my experience in litigation, when judges tend to do that, the witnesses sometimes tend to go into Dean Smith's old four corners defense, and people don't get very responsive answers.

As I said, if the questions that are being asked by counsel for New York are repetitive, we are going to cut it off. If they are not relevant to the issues as we understand them, we are going to cut it off. On the other hand, if the Board feels that we are learning something, then we will go ahead and allow, again, a reasonable interrogation of the witness. But that is not an open-ended, that isn't ask anything you want if you're curious. And, I guess, the only other description is wondering how it is going to work, is you'll see how it works starting on the 15<sup>th</sup>.

MR. TURK: Your Honor, I would note that none of the parties have asked for cross-examination

1 for the purpose of developing the record, as the Board's order indicates. New York asked for it 2 3 simply as a statutory right in its view. MS. DEAN: Your Honor, this is Janice 4 5 Dean from the State. I was --CHAIR McDADE: Ms. Dean, I don't need to 6 7 hear from you on this. I think the Board's order was 8 clear. If, Ms. Dean, you have a different 9 understanding of what is being allowed of New York other than what I just described, if you could state 10 that briefly. 11 MS. DEAN: No, the State's understanding 12 is just as Your Honor just described. 13 14 CHAIR McDADE: Thank you. 15 MR. TURK: Your Honor, one other point on this. What -- in normal circumstances when cross-16 17 examination is permitted, the party whose witness was examined has the right to conduct redirect 18 19 examination. Will you afford that right to people if we deem it necessary at the time? 20 CHAIR McDADE: If you -- if the party 21 making the request makes a sufficiently compelling 22 request. I mean, it's impossible for me to say right 23 24 now, Mr. Turk, because as I said, it may well be that New York's cross-examination either is so 25

limited because they're satisfied the Board covered everything, that there's no need or benefit to be derived from anything further. On the other hand, in the event New York brings something forward in their examination of a witness that in order for the Board to be satisfied that it fully understands the issue, it doesn't make sense for us if you have a witness available who can explain it, as opposed to it lasting months before we get further pleadings down the road.

Now, anticipate that that opportunity may be available, but at this point in time -- and, again, if that were made available it would have the same caveat as the cross-examination. It wouldn't be okay, let's start over again with the direct testimony. It would be answering specific things that were brought out by the parties.

Now, we have not yet decided, and something that the Board -- well, let me -- probably I should discuss this with the other Board members. And we will give you further guidance on this later, but in my mind, I had anticipated giving parties a reasonable opportunity after the Board had completed its questioning, if there were certain matters that you believe were confused to make a case for

eliciting additional testimony. But it wouldn't be open-ended, it would be for a particular point. We would like to address X based on what the Board has asked, and the question is whether it would make more sense to do it that way or to have a written submission after the hearing when you had a chance to look at it. We will get that -- cross that bridge when we get there.

Does Entergy have anything further?

MR. BESSETTE: Yes, Your Honor, just a

couple of things. With regard, you said no other

party requested opportunity for cross-examination,

and I -- the motion New York filed, and I don't want

to reargue any part of it, was not under Subpart L,

and we had no consultation for any motion under

Subpart L, so we had no notice that there was a

motion for cross-examination being filed any party

under the Board's guidance.

In addition, in our answer to New York's motion we requested reciprocal rights for cross-examination should the Board be granted, so I'm a bit confused on the Board's statement that no other party has requested it.

CHAIR McDADE: No other party requested cross-examination. We viewed the motion filed by New

York, plus the questions that were submitted in 1 camera by New York as constituting a valid cross-2 3 examination plan. 4 As I indicated, what I envision and will 5 discuss this further with my colleagues, is that after the Board has had the opportunity to ask 6 7 questions, and we've satisfied ourselves that we 8 have plowed the ground that we believe needed to --9 (Cough.) 10 CHAIR McDADE: -- that you would then have an opportunity to request without having to 11 file a written motion either to supplement the 12 record then and there through oral testimony or 13 14 supplement the record thereafter in writing. 15 MR. BESSETTE: But, Your Honor, that does 16 not -- and I'm sorry to be a bit confused, but are 17 you saying we do not have the same equal rights as New York State on cross-examination? 18 19 CHAIR McDADE: What I'm saying is New York filed a motion that we granted. And what I 20 anticipate is that after the Board has finished its 21 questions of a witness, before everybody moves on to 22 the next, we would ask, in this case Entergy has an 23 24 interest in every one of the contentions, as does

the NRC Staff, whether or not they had a desire to

supplement the record. And then we could discuss the areas that you would like to supplement the record in, and whether or not that could be done most efficiently by having a witness answer some questions that you would put to him, or for you to do that in writing after you've had a chance to fully read the transcript. Again, with the idea being that if questions were allowed they would not be repetitive, and wouldn't be just going over the same ground as the Board. But, in any event, that's something we will give you -- exactly how we're going to handle that, the Board will discuss among ourselves and advise you. But I think that gives you some guidance of what to be prepared for.

MR. BESSETTE: And we appreciate that,

Your Honor, and I don't mean to be cagey, but we are

continuing to review with the client our -- the

Board's order and with regard to our legal rights

with regard to the proceedings as outlined for the

Board, which we candidly believe is somewhat

inequitable. But we'll move on to other issues.

With regard to the flow accelerated corrosion presentation, we have to alert the Board that Dr. Horowitz over the last year has been suffering a medical condition wherein he tires

1 easily and has difficulty speaking for long periods of time. So, we're going to be asking our other flow 2 3 accelerated corrosion expert, Rob Aleksick, to be 4 assisting Dr. Horowitz in that presentation just to 5 accommodate his medical needs. And we're assuming that would not be a problem with the Board? 6 7 CHAIR McDADE: I do not anticipate it. 8 Again, I don't anticipate that Dr. Horowitz' 9 presentation is going to be all that long. But if 10 during the course of the questioning of Dr. Horowitz he needs a break or someone needs to fill in for 11 him, certainly, we will accommodate to the degree 12 practicable his physical and medical condition. 13 14 You know, we do want to hear from Dr. 15 Horowitz because he has a sort of pivotal role in 16 this, so to the degree -- I don't know whether the other substitute witness would be able to answer the 17 kinds of questions that we would have for Dr. 18 19 Horowitz but we will just have to deal with that at the time. If he needs a break but we feel we need 20 the answers from him, we will allow him to take a 21 break and perhaps can move on to another witness 22 while he takes a break. 23 24 MR. BESSETTE: And we appreciate that, Your Honor. We just wanted to alert you so that we 25

1 didn't have to raise any issues in front of a large audience. 2 3 CHAIR McDADE: Let me ask before we go 4 on, I anticipate that this status conference will 5 only last another few minutes. If it's going to last a whole lot longer, then we might want to consider 6 7 taking a break for a few minutes. But we've gone 8 through with most of the parties here, Entergy, Mr. 9 Bessette, do you have a whole lot more or are you almost done? And, again, if you have a whole lot 10 more that's fine. I don't want to cut you off, but I 11 want to know whether or not we should take a 12 break, or just continue on and get this done. 13 MR. BESSETTE: Your Honor, I only have a 14 15 few other points. I can't speak for the other 16 parties. I'll just march through. 17 With regard to Your Honor's notion, I mean statement with regard to entering new exhibits 18 19 on the record, we have a list of probably 10 exhibits or documents that were issued since our 20 testimony. Would it be easier for the Board if we 21 submitted those in advance? 22 CHAIR McDADE: Yes. 23 24 MR. BESSETTE: Okay, we'll do so, and 25 we'll just submit them to the Board as proposed

1	exhibits.
2	Also, is this hearing going to be
3	webcast?
4	CHAIR McDADE: No.
5	MR. BESSETTE: Okay. And I know this is
6	somewhat water under the bridge, but with regard to
7	Riverkeeper's, I mean Clearwater's Spanish-speaking
8	witness
9	CHAIR McDADE: By the way, just as an
10	aside, I mean, that's something that we have looked
11	into, and as it turned out both because of cost
12	issues and others, it's not going to be practicable.
13	And at this point we've been told
14	(Audio difficulty.)
15	MR. BESSETTE: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	And, again, with regard to Riverkeeper's Spanish-
17	speaking witness, we just kind of want to again
18	provide our objection that we believe the entire
19	testimony relates to Emergency Planning Adequacy.
20	And to the extent we could simplify this hearing, we
21	again offer that for the Board's consideration.
22	MR. WEBSTER: Your Honor, Mr. Bessette
23	has made that motion, it's been denied, so I really
24	think it's inappropriate for him to make it twice.
25	CHAIR McDADE: And just as an aside, I

1	mean, as we go through the various witnesses, there
2	are some that we will have more questions for than
3	others, there are some people who have been listed
4	as witnesses that we aren't going to have any
5	questions of, or very few questions. But what we
6	have said is that for a witness to testify who does
7	need an interpreter, it will be the burden on the
8	Board to make sure that a qualified certified
9	interpreter is available to answer. And, again, the
10	witnesses aren't giving a free form expose. They're
11	answering specific questions from the Board, so that
12	any particular witness' testimony may be very brief.
13	Anything further, Mr. Bessette?
14	MR. BESSETTE: Yes, Your Honor. Just
15	going back to cross-examination, would the Board
16	is the Board saying any other party who requests
17	that would have to file its own motion?
18	CHAIR McDADE: I'm suggesting that it
19	would be done orally, that you wouldn't have to go
20	through the burden of filing something that we would
21	then have to read, that we would just simply do it
22	on the record there orally.
23	MR. BESSETTE: Thank you, Your Honor. We
24	have no further questions or comments.
25	MS. TREANOR: Your Honor, this is
	I and the second

1 Victoria Treanor from the Town or Cortlandt. I just had two very quick questions. 2 3 CHAIR McDADE: Yes? 4 MS. TREANOR: First being, would the 5 Board be able to provide the contact information of the court reporter who will be recording the 6 7 proceedings so we can get in touch with them with 8 regard to daily transcripts? 9 Second question which is somewhat 10 related is the Town may not be able to attend on all days of the proceeding, and I was wondering if the 11 Board would consider emailing a summary of -- it's 12 oral summary which it provides at the end of the 13 14 evening to the participants so that we may have some 15 sort of notice of what's on deck for the next day. CHAIR McDADE: I don't think that would 16 17 be a problem, that we would be able to send a brief email to any of the participants who aren't present 18 19 of who we anticipate calling as witnesses, what contentions we're going to cover. 20 With regard to the court reporter, you 21 should already have that information from the 22 transcripts that have been furnished to you earlier. 23 24 It's Neal Gross and Company is the court reporter,

and their contact information is on the transcripts.

1	They're also on the NRC website.
2	MS. TREANOR: Thank you very much, Your
3	Honor.
4	CHAIR McDADE: Okay. Anything further?
5	MR. TURK: Yes, Your Honor, for the
6	Staff. Coming back to the cross-examination order
7	from the Board.
8	CHAIR McDADE: Okay. Before we get
9	started on this, Mr. Turk, how long do you
10	anticipate, whether or not we should take like a 5-
11	minute break in place before we get started with
12	this? If you have just one question that's going to
13	take 30 seconds
14	MR. TURK: I have three my speaking
15	time will be about a minute and a half.
16	CHAIR McDADE: Let's go, let's get it
17	done.
18	MR. TURK: Okay. The Board has previously
19	asked the parties whether we want daily copies or
20	not, indicated that the copies would be made
21	available on an eight to ten day basis. If we're
22	going to have cross-examination, we may need to look
23	at a daily transcript. Is it possible that a daily
24	copy will be available?
25	CHAIR McDADE: From the Board's

standpoint, we did not believe the additional expense to get a daily copy was warranted. That said, if anybody else wants a daily copy and the expense associated with it, that's not the Board's concern. We're ordering and paying for the seven-day turnaround, but that does not preclude anybody else, and I know the Staff as far as your arrangements of how you go about ordering transcripts, if the Staff feels that they want -- believes that it's in the Government's interest to spend the money for daily transcript, the Staff can initiate that. Likewise, any of the other parties. We're just saying from the standpoint of what the Board has initiated, and what we thought was prudent for what was needed and financially, that's what we arranged.

MR. TURK: Second, Your Honor, on the question of redirect and, indeed, rebuttal, oral testimony usually produces statements that aren't as crisp or as clear as we would have liked to have presented them. It happens from time to time that we need to make corrections. It also happens that another witness may say something on the stand that we may feel a need to rebut that information. Will you make an opportunity available to parties to do that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	CHAIR McDADE: We would make the
2	opportunity available for you to request that
3	opportunity. And depending on the nature of the case
4	that you make for it again, what it's not going
5	to be is just an opportunity to regurgitate what's
6	already come in, but if you make a case for
7	clarifying we will certainly entertain that.
8	Anything else, Mr. Turk?
9	MR. TURK: No, Your Honor.
10	CHAIR McDADE: Anything from any of the
11	other participants?
12	MS. DEAN: No, Your Honor, thank you.
13	CHAIR McDADE: Okay. That being the case,
14	this status conference is closed. We will hear from
15	you all in writing by a week from today, and we will
16	see you all two weeks from today. Thank you.
17	(Whereupon, the proceedings went off the
18	record at 12:23:15 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	adjust 1203:15	answering 1221:17	appropriate	1207:24 1212:22
<b>ability</b> 1227:19	adjusted 1217:25	1240:16 1247:11	1207:13 1231:13	attorneys 1208:1
able 1202:22	administrative	answers 1230:1	1231:18	1208:25 1232:4
1203:11,13,24	1193:20,21	1233:11 1238:3	area 1205:2	1235:2,21
1204:2 1205:3,16	1199:18,21	1238:11 1244:21	1213:16,17,25	attributes 1233:17
1205:17,19	1226:15	anticipate 1200:16	1214:2 1217:23	<b>audience</b> 1209:4,9
1208:11,13,22	<b>admit</b> 1201:19	1203:7,18 1204:9	areas 1238:2	1211:21 1219:20
1210:24 1212:2	admitting 1200:17	1205:5,22 1206:3	1243:2	1245:2
1213:15 1214:14	1220:22	1206:22 1209:17	argument 1220:7	<b>audio</b> 1216:12
1216:19 1217:1	<b>adoption</b> 1235:19	1212:1,13	<b>arrange</b> 1229:21	1246:14
1219:19,21	<b>advance</b> 1231:18	1213:16 1217:8	1230:10	<b>August</b> 1200:3
1225:17 1226:6	1245:22	1217:18 1218:1	arranged 1250:15	availability 1214:8
1231:3 1233:1,12	advantage 1206:11	1219:12 1224:2,5	arrangements	1215:17 1217:24
1238:1 1244:17	adverse 1200:12	1225:6 1226:1	1216:3 1250:7	available 1202:23
1248:5,10,17	advise 1209:11	1227:24 1228:4	aside 1205:4	1203:11 1204:5
accelerated	1231:10 1243:13	1230:7 1231:7,19	1206:4,11 1210:4	1205:23 1210:17
1226:18 1243:22	advised 1230:6	1231:22 1233:19	1210:6,7 1212:23	1210:19 1212:17
1244:3	advisors 1210:21	1233:25 1234:1	1213:10,18	1213:13 1214:4
access 1207:7	<b>Affairs</b> 1206:8	1234:17 1235:6	1246:10,25	1214:11,24
1209:19,22,22	affiliated 1210:5	1240:11 1242:21	asked 1204:14	1215:2,15 1216:1
1214:8,25 1218:4	<b>afford</b> 1239:19	1244:7,8 1245:4	1211:10 1220:10	1222:24 1223:10
1214.8,23 1218.4	afternoon 1231:7	1248:19 1249:10	1237:22,24	1231:13 1234:14
accommodate	ahead 1234:5	anticipated	1238:13,25	1240:8,12,13
1210:3 1211:23	1238:18	1204:15 1227:3	1239:2 1241:4	1247:9 1249:21
	<b>Albany</b> 1198:13	1240:22	1249:19	1249:24 1250:24
1212:6 1244:5,12	Aleksick 1244:3	anticipating	asking 1211:15,19	1251:2
accommodated	alert 1243:23	1227:21	1219:16 1228:10	Ave 1196:6
1204:23 1208:23	1244:25	anybody 1207:10	1228:11 1229:23	<b>Avenue</b> 1194:6,13
accommodations	allow 1217:12	1213:11 1216:2	1233:10 1236:17	1197:5
1207:5	1220:2 1221:15	1250:3,6	1236:20 1244:2	<b>a.m</b> 1198:2
act 1210:1	1238:6,18	anyway 1201:13	<b>ASLBP</b> 1193:10	<b>u.iii</b> 1170.2
actively 1204:20	1244:21	Apparently	1198:7	В
Adam 1197:3	allowed 1237:6	1199:14,15,16	assigned 1232:5	<b>B</b> 1194:11
1199:12	1239:9 1243:8	appear 1207:25	Assistant 1196:11	back 1219:11
add 1226:25	allowing 1227:21	1208:2 1218:17	1196:21	1230:1 1247:15
adding 1209:2	amenable 1226:20	Appearance	assisting 1244:4	1249:6
<b>addition</b> 1214:17	<b>AMERICA</b> 1193:1	1208:5	associated 1212:19	<b>backup</b> 1224:4
1241:19	amount 1226:21	APPEARANCES	1217:10 1250:4	bags 1211:2,4
additional 1212:24	1227:7	1194:1		balancing 1210:1
1220:15,22			assuming 1206:20	based 1209:13
1227:20 1236:22	<b>Anita</b> 1195:6	appearing 1207:23	1230:15 1244:5 <b>ATOMIC</b> 1193:4	1220:10 1225:3
1241:1 1250:1	1199:2	Application		1238:8 1241:3
<b>address</b> 1225:18	answer 1208:6	1235:24	attachments	basically 1199:19
1235:16 1241:3	1214:5 1215:7,12	appraise 1230:16	1202:3,4	1204:16 1207:1,8
addressed 1199:21	1216:5,6 1220:5	appreciate 1210:11	attend 1248:10	basis 1249:21
addressing 1200:7	1241:19 1243:4	1210:20 1224:19	attending 1204:15	<b>Beacon</b> 1196:7
1234:6	1244:17 1247:9	1226:9 1229:7	attorney 1196:11	<b>beginning</b> 1200:17
			1 104 10 01 00	
<b>Adequacy</b> 1246:19	<b>answered</b> 1237:22	1243:15 1244:24	1196:12,21,22	beginning 1200.17

1201 20 1202 10	1000 1 1004 10	1226 1 1220 11	1205 4 1212 4 6	1.1.1.1014.0
1201:20 1202:10	1233:1 1234:12	1236:1 1239:11	1205:4 1213:4,6	check 1214:9
1204:7	1236:14,20	<b>bring</b> 1204:4	1219:8 1240:24	checked 1211:4
begins 1237:21	1237:1,7,23	1210:24 1214:12	certainly 1202:23	CHECWORKS
<b>Behalf</b> 1194:2	1238:2,16 1240:1	1217:5 1221:4	1206:1 1235:4	1226:23
1195:1,15 1196:1	1240:5,19,20,23	1222:20	1244:12 1251:7	<b>choose</b> 1212:15,16
1196:9,18 1197:1	1241:3,21 1242:6	<b>bringing</b> 1215:14	certified 1229:22	1235:3,4
believe 1200:25	1242:21 1243:10	1216:13 1221:12	1247:8	circumstances
1220:10,12,23	1243:12,20,23	<b>brings</b> 1230:13	cetera 1208:5	1239:16
1222:19 1226:24	1244:6 1245:21	1240:4	1222:17	<b>City</b> 1199:16
1229:17 1240:25	1245:25 1247:8	<b>Broadway</b> 1196:24	Chair 1193:19	clairvoyance
1242:8 1243:20	1247:11,15,16	brought 1220:20	1198:3,14,18,22	1233:17
1246:18 1250:1	1248:5,12 1249:7	1240:17	1198:25 1199:5,8	Clarification
believes 1250:9	1249:18 1250:13	<b>Buchanan</b> 1199:14	1199:13 1200:14	1211:8
benefit 1240:2	Board's 1220:25	<b>built</b> 1224:6	1202:18 1206:18	clarified 1234:11
Bessette 1194:3	1222:19 1224:10	<b>burden</b> 1232:8	1206:22 1207:17	clarifies 1218:25
1198:23,23	1224:13 1235:11	1247:7,20	1208:6 1209:5,8	clarify 1208:24
1206:15,16,19	1236:7,17 1239:2	<b>Burianek</b> 1196:20	1211:9,13,18,22	1220:12 1221:23
1207:12,18	1239:7 1241:18	1198:13	1214:1,5 1215:12	clarifying 1206:17
1222:15,16,22	1241:22 1243:18	business 1200:22	1216:9 1218:20	1223:2 1233:11
1223:5 1241:10	1246:21 1249:25	1201:24 1202:15	1220:5 1221:3	1251:7
1242:15 1243:15	1250:4	1205:15 1206:2	1222:1,3,7,9	<b>clarity</b> 1227:20
1244:24 1245:9	Bockius 1194:5	1208:4	1223:12,22,25	clear 1201:18
1245:14,24	box 1196:15	<b>busy</b> 1225:11	1224:15,23	1225:11 1230:19
1246:5,15,22	1219:15		1226:11 1227:2	1231:2 1233:15
1247:13,14,23	Brancato 1195:16		1227:24 1228:21	1239:8 1250:19
best 1229:12	1198:15,16	C 1198:1	1229:8,17 1230:4	clearer 1233:2
<b>Beth</b> 1195:3 1199:3	1226:13,14	cagey 1243:16	1230:18 1233:5	Clearwater 1196:1
better 1217:22	1227:17 1228:14	call 1198:20	1236:11 1237:13	1196:5 1198:18
1234:15	1229:5	1205:24 1214:14	1239:6,14,21	1198:21 1202:6
beyond 1205:20	break 1217:6,8,19	1214:22 1221:9	1241:24 1242:10	1206:25 1229:8
bifurcated 1224:20	1224:17 1231:20	called 1232:7,12	1242:19 1244:7	1233:25 1236:25
Bill 1198:24	1234:21,24	1235:6	1245:3,23 1246:4	1237:17
<b>binding</b> 1200:20	1244:11,20,22,23	calling 1248:19	1246:9,25	Clearwater's
bit 1217:13,14	1245:7,13	calls 1222:19	1247:18 1248:3	1246:7
1241:22 1242:16	1249:11	camera 1242:2	1248:16 1249:4,8	clerical 1200:23
<b>Board</b> 1193:4	<b>breaking</b> 1217:18	candidly 1243:20 carry 1211:2	1249:16,25	1202:16
1200:11 1220:2,3	1218:1	carry 1211.2 case 1211:21	1251:1,10,13	client 1243:17
1220:13 1221:1	breaks 1234:21	1222:24 1235:5	chairs 1203:12	close 1200:22
1221:22,23	<b>Brian</b> 1195:5	1236:16 1240:25	1212:2,4	1201:24 1202:15
1223:13 1224:16	1199:2	1242:23 1251:3,6	chance 1236:23	1205:15 1206:1
1225:11,17	<b>bridge</b> 1241:7	1242:23 1231:3,6	1241:6 1243:6	1208:4 1211:21
1226:15,19	1246:6	categories 1205:11	change 1213:9	1213:10 1217:11
1227:10,15	brief 1226:22	categories 1203.11 caught 1235:13	changed 1212:14	closed 1251:14
1228:16,24	1227:11,14	caught 1233.13	changes 1200:8,8	closer 1210:22
1229:4,20,21	1247:12 1248:17	1240:14	1200:11	COB 1211:11
1230:1,5,16	<b>briefing</b> 1224:20	certain 1203:10	<b>charged</b> 1204:7	colleagues 1242:5
1231:3 1232:2,15	briefly 1227:4	Certain 1203.10	1215:4	colloquy 1222:16
	l	l	l	1

come 1204:11	1242:16	<b>copies</b> 1222:17,20	covering 1231:22	1213:14,17
1215:4,18	confusion 1201:16	1222:23 1224:3	<b>crisp</b> 1250:19	1217:19 1230:10
1217:24 1220:9	1223:17 1235:14	1249:19,20	<b>cross</b> 1237:9	1230:17,21,21,22
1225:9 1230:8	Congressional	<b>copy</b> 1215:23	1239:16 1241:7	1230:22,22
1251:6	1206:12	1221:22 1249:24	1241:20 1242:2	1231:10,12,16,22
comfortable	Connecticut	1250:2,3	cross-examination	1234:6,15,22
1213:15 1221:12	1196:9,13 1199:6	<b>corners</b> 1238:10	1224:11 1235:20	1248:15 1249:21
comfortably	consider 1220:8	<b>correct</b> 1206:21	1236:5,8 1237:5	days 1209:20,24
1208:9	1245:6 1248:12	1211:13 1222:21	1238:25 1239:25	1217:8,21
<b>coming</b> 1208:22	considerable	corrections	1240:14 1241:12	1231:22 1248:11
1249:6	1235:14	1250:21	1241:17,25	day's 1232:23
<b>command</b> 1229:14	consideration	corrosion 1226:18	1242:18 1247:15	<b>DC</b> 1194:7
commencement	1246:21	1243:23 1244:3	1249:6,22	<b>deal</b> 1244:19
1228:19	constituting 1242:2	Cortlandt 1197:1	cross-examine	<b>Dean</b> 1196:19
<b>comment</b> 1200:15	constraints 1207:6	1199:9,10 1248:1	1235:13 1236:17	1198:12,13
1227:4,5	<b>consult</b> 1224:12	cost 1232:22	<b>crowded</b> 1209:15	1200:2,10
commented 1219:2	consultation	1246:11	1209:17	1207:20,21
comments 1247:24	1241:15	<b>costly</b> 1232:9	<b>CT</b> 1196:16	1208:24 1215:6,7
Commission	contact 1206:7	Cough 1242:9	<b>curious</b> 1227:19	1215:12,21
1193:2 1195:1,11	1214:7 1248:5,25	counsel 1195:9	1238:20	1216:6,7 1218:8
1206:9	contention 1200:4	1203:8,13,14,25	<b>current</b> 1235:19	1218:10 1219:22
Commonwealth	1205:9,13	1204:24 1205:3,6	currently 1203:2	1220:24 1221:19
1235:24	1207:10,14	1205:7,11 1207:3	1203:18 1204:25	1222:2,5,8,14,18
<b>Company</b> 1248:24	1212:19 1218:22	1207:3,4 1208:21	1208:1	1223:1,6,15,17,21
compelling	1219:13 1226:17	1210:1 1211:17	<b>cut</b> 1236:11	1223:23 1224:9
1239:22	1230:7,11,20	1211:25 1212:2,7	1238:14,16	1224:18 1226:8
completed 1220:13	1232:17,17	1212:8,14	1245:11	1238:10 1239:4,5
1240:23	1234:1,3,10,13,14	1213:24 1214:12	D	1239:6,8,12
concern 1250:5	1234:18 1237:11	1214:15,19,23		1251:12
concerned 1208:18	contentions 1209:1	1215:15 1219:17	<b>D</b> 1196:10 1198:1	<b>Deborah</b> 1195:16
1216:12	1213:6 1219:5,6	1236:24 1238:13	daily 1215:23	1198:16 1226:14
concludes 1226:9	1221:24 1222:4	counsel's 1209:3	1248:8 1249:19	December 1222:5
conclusion 1217:6	1222:10,13	<b>count</b> 1215:13,20	1249:23,23	1222:12 1223:19
1224:13	1223:3,10,17,24	<b>County</b> 1199:15	1250:2,3,10	1223:24 1232:13
Conclusions	1224:1 1225:4,8	1236:2	data 1201:7	<b>decide</b> 1212:25
1225:3,8,16,23	1225:10,12,22,24	<b>couple</b> 1204:9	date 1211:12	decided 1223:8
1226:3	1226:3,4 1230:15	1213:21 1241:11	1220:18 1224:22	1224:23 1240:18
condition 1243:25	1230:24 1231:9	course 1220:9	1225:24	decision 1224:11
1244:13	1232:3,5,16	1227:13 1229:2	dated 1200:3	deck 1230:15
<b>conduct</b> 1236:8	1233:3,10,21,23	1244:10	dates 1223:19	1248:15
1237:9 1239:18	1237:2 1242:24	court 1216:4	1225:14 <b>Di</b> -11105:4	declaring 1235:12
conducted 1235:20	1248:20	1229:22,23	David 1195:4	deem 1239:20
conference 1245:4	continue 1238:6	1248:6,21,24	1199:3	<b>defense</b> 1238:10
1251:14	1245:13	cover 1232:15,16	day 1200:18	degree 1203:5
<b>conform</b> 1208:3	continuing 1243:17	1248:20	1207:16 1209:1,1	1212:7 1224:24
confused 1236:9	<b>control</b> 1206:25	<b>covered</b> 1231:21	1209:14,14,16,19	1244:12,16
1240:25 1241:22	convoluted 1216:5	1236:23 1240:1	1209:23 1210:17	<b>delay</b> 1211:3

<b>Delgado</b> 1229:11	document 1220:8	<b>email</b> 1248:18	event 1205:16	1238:4
deliberate 1234:5	1220:12 1229:1	emailing 1248:12	1224:7 1240:4	expect 1235:15
denied 1246:23	documentation	Emergency	1243:10	1236:9
department 1211:6	1221:16	1246:19	everybody 1215:2	expense 1250:2,4
depend 1208:16	documents 1216:14	English 1229:14,24	1215:3 1234:8	experience 1209:13
1220:6	1219:24 1220:1	1230:1	1242:22	1235:17 1238:8
<b>depending</b> 1205:18	1213.24 1220.1	entered 1215:25	everybody's 1219:3	expert 1221:14
1207:15 1208:10	1228:23 1245:20	<b>Entergy</b> 1193:8	everyone's 1232:21	1226:20 1227:14
1251:3	doing 1200:16	1194:2,12 1198:4	exactly 1243:11	1244:3
derived 1240:3	1206:3 1225:11	1194.2,12 1198.4	exactly 1243.11 examination	experts 1224:13
described 1201:6	1234:5	1208:25 1213:4	1237:6,10,15,24	explain 1202:25
1239:10,13	<b>Dolores</b> 1229:11	1208.23 1213.4	1237.0,10,13,24	1227:14 1240:8
<b>description</b> 1201:9	Dr 1201:10,12	1219.2,10	1240:5 1241:21	explaining 1229:2
1227:5 1228:3	1226:17,21	1237:16 1241:9	1240:3 1241:21	expose 1247:10
1238:21	1228:25 1233:22	1242:23 1245:8	examined 1239:18	extensive 1235:19
descriptions	1243:24 1244:4,8	Entergy's 1226:16	example 1201:1	extensively 1236:3
1201:22	1243.24 1244.4,8	1228:11	1202:1 1218:22	extensively 1230.3 extent 1228:15
design 1228:7	drag 1217:15	entering 1245:18	1202.1 1218.22	1246:20
designate 1207:1	dynamic 1213:4	entering 1243.18 entertain 1220:3	1219.9 1221.9	extra 1206:20,21
1207:11	1221:18	1251:7	examples 1201:1	<b>extra</b> 1200.20,21
designed 1227:12	d'etre 1228:12	entire 1232:23	1201:14	$oldsymbol{F}$
desire 1200:23	<b>D.C</b> 1195:12	1246:18	exception 1216:21	F 1193:21
1236:22 1242:25	<b>D21</b> 1195:10	entirely 1221:3	exception 1210.21 exceptions 1200:18	facility 1204:22
desk 1204:12	<b>D21</b> 1193.10	entities 1201:21	1200:19	1207:7 1210:25
detail 1233:6,7	$\overline{\mathbf{E}}$	1203:9,20	excess 1210:10	1211:2 1234:9
developing 1239:1	E 1193:20 1195:2,4	1205:9,20	exchanged 1220:1	fact 1215:16
different 1209:1	1198:1,1	1203.22 1200.4	exclude 1202:11	1217:20 1225:3,7
1227:16 1228:7	earlier 1248:23	entry 1204:17	excluded 1202:7	1225:16,23
1232:4 1235:10	easier 1245:21	environment	exhibit 1200:7,15	1226:2 1234:8
1236:13 1239:8	easily 1244:1	1221:18	1200:20 1201:2,3	1236:5
differently 1212:13	efficiently 1243:4	<b>Environmental</b>	1200:20 1201:2,3	far 1204:1 1208:18
difficult 1230:19	efforts 1225:16	1196:3 1234:1,17	1201:10,22,23,23	1210:23 1220:8
difficulty 1224:25	egress 1207:8	1235:2	1202.3,8 1214.19	1225:25 1234:7
1244:1 1246:14	eight 1249:21	<b>envision</b> 1227:19	1221:9 1228:23	1237:20 1250:7
direct 1216:5,6	either 1199:25	1242:4	1221.9 1220.23	<b>FAX</b> 1194:9
1240:15	1205:10 1219:1	equal 1242:17	exhibits 1200:17	feel 1214:12
directly 1205:3	1239:25 1242:12	equipment 1216:13	1201:17,19	1216:15 1217:23
1208:15 1216:4	elbow 1208:10	1216:14 1217:1	1201:17,17	1221:12,16
DIRECTOR	<b>elected</b> 1206:5,9	errors 1200:25	1202:4,9,11	1236:21 1244:20
1196:3	1210:7	<b>ESQ</b> 1194:3,4	1203.23 1204.10	1250:23
disappointed	electricity 1204:1	1195:2,3,4,5,6,7	1214.13 1217.17	feels 1237:1
1210:14	1215:18	1195:2,5,4,5,6,7	1217.23,23	1238:16 1250:9
discuss 1231:20	electronically	1196:2,10,19,20	1220:4,8,10,18,23	fewer 1210:18
1234:24 1240:20	1224:5	1190:2,10,19,20	1221:21,24	<b>fifth</b> 1209:15
1242:5 1243:1,12	electronics 1222:25	et 1208:5 1222:17	1224:3 1245:18	figure 1212:12
discussion 1235:11	eliciting 1241:1	evening 1231:20	1245:20 1246:1	file 1200:7,23
<b>Docket</b> 1193:7	<b>Elm</b> 1196:14	1248:14	expand 1205:20	1202:16 1242:12
2 301100 1173.7		1210.11	21.puilu 1203.20	

1247:17	1234:15	getting 1211:3	1209:5,13,21	guarantee 1204:5
<b>filed</b> 1237:19	follow-up 1232:1	1217:11 1229:12	1210:5,6,23	1215:3
1241:13,17,25	food 1217:24	1230:23 1231:8	1210.5,0,25	guess 1215:16
1242:20	foot 1208:13	1230:23 1231:0	1212:17,23	1238:21
filing 1200:4	forbid 1224:4	Ghosh 1195:6	1215:11 1214:11	guidance 1235:8
1211:12 1247:20	forcing 1225:13	1199:2	1215:13,22	1240:21 1241:18
fill 1213:1 1244:11	form 1247:10	give 1201:1	1217:19 1218:21	1243:14
final 1224:18	forward 1240:4	1205:14 1209:19	1217:17 1218:21	guide 1230:19
financially 1250:15	four 1203:13	1209:21 1210:1,2	1220:21 1221:13	guide 1230.17 guideline 1217:16
find 1223:7 1238:2	1232:16 1238:10	1216:18 1220:14	1220:21 1221:13	guidenne 1217.10
finding 1200:13	fourth 1213:14	1226:22 1228:6	1224:24 1225:13	H
Findings 1225:3,7	1233:23	1230:19 1231:17	1224.24 1225.13	half 1230:22
1225:16,23	frankly 1235:13	1230:19 1231:17	1227:7 1228:22	1249:15
1225:10,23	free 1214:12	1240:21 1243:11	1230:8,9,14,18,20	<b>Hamilton</b> 1194:13
fine 1216:18	1216:15 1247:10	given 1202:25	1230:8,9,14,18,20	hand 1238:1,16
1221:14 1245:11	fresh 1219:3	1203:10,16,16	1231.13 1234.2	1240:3
finish 1217:13	Friday 1235:12	1203:10,16,16	1234:18,19,23	handle 1235:2
finished 1242:21	front 1218:23	1204:22 1209:22	1235:2,0,9	1243:12
finishes 1224:16	1221:2 1245:1	1212:4 1213:11	1230:14,13,16,17	happens 1250:20
finishing 1234:12	Fuel 1236:3	1213.10 1217.19	1237.11,13	1250:21
Fire 1207:7	full 1213:18		, , ,	hard 1221:21
first 1198:9	1223:10 1230:22	1228:5 1229:13 1229:19 1230:7	1243:9,12 1244:2 1244:9 1245:5	1222:17,20
1199:23 1205:11				Harris 1195:5
	fully 1204:6 1215:4	1234:8 1237:1,3	1246:2,12 1247:4	1199:2
1209:14,19,23	1231:4 1240:6 1243:7	gives 1243:13	1247:15 1248:20	<b>Hartford</b> 1196:16
1210:17 1213:17	= ' '	<b>giving</b> 1240:22 1247:10	1249:12,22	hear 1219:21
1216:21,22	function 1225:5	* * · · · ·	1251:4	1228:2 1234:10
1217:19 1220:5	1226:6 1227:7	Glew 1194:11	Good 1198:15	1239:7 1244:14
1222:13 1225:8	1230:25 1237:14	1198:24	1199:1	1251:14
1226:4 1230:21	furnish 1229:4	<b>go</b> 1199:17 1204:7	<b>government</b> 1201:21 1203:9	heard 1222:11
1230:23 1231:7,8	<b>furnished</b> 1228:24 1248:23	1210:21,23,25	1201:21 1203:9	1223:24 1225:4
1232:20 1233:9		1217:12,24		1225:21 1231:15
1233:21 1234:2	further 1235:8,8	1218:7 1219:7,9	1206:4 1214:16	1233:3 1234:2,18
1234:18 1248:4	1240:3,9,21	1221:10 1224:7	1232:9	hearing 1199:19
fit 1205:7,10	1241:9 1242:5	1225:15 1226:4	Government's 1250:10	1200:1,18
1207:4 1212:8	1247:13,24 1249:4	1233:12 1236:12		1201:20 1202:10
five 1238:7	1249.4	1236:12 1238:10	granted 1241:21 1242:20	1207:23 1208:1
flexibility 1203:5 Floor 1196:24	G	1238:17 1245:3 1247:1,19	· -	1216:15,23
	<b>G</b> 1193:19 1198:1	,	great 1232:8	1217:5,6 1220:4,9
flop 1222:12	general 1195:9	1249:16 1250:8	Greene 1196:3	1223:19 1224:20
flow 1226:18	1196:11,12,21,22	goes 1224:4 going 1200:14,16	1198:19,19	1224:21 1225:10
1243:22 1244:2	1204:18 1213:12	0 0	grind 1224:8	1225:14,24
fluency 1229:19 follow 1203:24	1213:13 1235:21	1202:7,11,22	Gross 1248:24	1226:5 1228:13
	generally 1209:14	1203:1,3,4,15	ground 1203:6	1228:19 1230:20
<b>following</b> 1200:5	Generating	1204:3,5,16	1208:17 1212:5	1231:10,16
1207:21 1231:12	1193:11 1198:6	1205:4 1206:25	1212:13 1231:21	1231:10,10
1231:15,22	generator 1236:14	1207:4,5 1208:7	1242:8 1243:10	1234.9 1233.10
1232:12,19	Scherator 1230.14	1208:15,21	<b>groups</b> 1204:16	1271.01270.2,20
	l	l	l	I

	 		<u> </u>	1
hearings 1216:24	hour 1217:21	1250:23	<b>Janice</b> 1196:19	1224:24 1245:1
1226:7 1235:22	1218:2	initial 1222:11	1198:12 1207:20	largest 1202:23
1235:23	hours 1216:23	1228:4	1215:6 1239:4	<b>lasting</b> 1240:9
<b>heaven</b> 1224:4	<b>Hudson</b> 1196:5	<b>initiate</b> 1250:11	<b>Jo</b> 1196:3 1198:19	<b>Law</b> 1225:3,8,17,24
help 1232:20		initiated 1250:13	<b>Joseph</b> 1195:7	1226:3
<b>helpful</b> 1221:4,17	I	inquire 1226:19	1199:3	<b>Lawrence</b> 1193:19
1227:11	<b>idea</b> 1227:9	1227:1 1228:15	<b>JR</b> 1194:11	1198:3
Herculean 1225:15	1234:16 1243:7	<b>instance</b> 1207:13	<b>Judge</b> 1193:20,21	leads 1227:18
highlight 1227:15	<b>identify</b> 1198:10	1232:16 1235:22	1206:15 1207:20	1235:14
<b>highly</b> 1237:2	1238:2	<b>intend</b> 1201:15,18	1213:20 1215:6	<b>learning</b> 1238:17
<b>Honor</b> 1198:12,15	<b>imagine</b> 1232:22	1205:24	1231:24	<b>leave</b> 1210:9
1200:13 1202:14	immediately	<b>intended</b> 1223:14	judges 1214:18	1216:15 1217:1
1207:19 1211:7	1205:25 1206:1	1224:3	1238:9	leaves 1236:8
1218:10 1222:15	1228:4	<b>interest</b> 1204:19	<b>Justice</b> 1234:1,17	<b>left</b> 1225:20
1223:16 1226:13	importance	1242:24 1250:10	1235:3	legal 1243:18
1229:6,9 1231:25	1213:18	interested 1201:21		Legislative 1206:8
1235:9,11	important 1213:5	1205:21 1206:4	K	1206:12
1238:24 1239:4	<b>imposed</b> 1237:8	1213:5 1214:16	<b>Karla</b> 1196:4	length 1237:20
1239:13,15	imposes 1232:8	internet 1214:8	1198:20	letter 1200:3
1241:10 1242:15	impossible 1239:23	1218:3	<b>Kathryn</b> 1194:4	let's 1240:15
1243:16 1244:25	inappropriate	interpreter	1198:24	1249:16,16
1245:14 1246:15	1246:24	1229:22 1247:7,9	KENNEDY	level 1231:18
1246:22 1247:14	<b>include</b> 1205:6	interrogate 1237:4	1193:21	<b>Lewis</b> 1194:5
1247:23,25	1207:13	interrogation	kind 1218:18	<b>Liaison</b> 1206:13
1249:3,5 1250:16	<b>includes</b> 1214:15	1238:18	1227:17 1246:17	<b>License</b> 1235:24
1251:9,12	including 1212:10	Intervener 1236:5	kinds 1201:13	LICENSING
<b>Honors</b> 1199:1	incorrectly 1201:6	Interveners	1244:18	1193:4
Honor's 1245:17	indefinite 1232:25	1235:20	know 1208:12,13	liked 1250:19
hope 1203:10	<b>Indian</b> 1193:10	introduce 1220:2	1209:3 1214:6	likewise 1201:4,25
1232:16 1233:1	1198:5 1226:24	inviting 1236:7	1215:16,19	1202:5 1220:3
hoped 1202:24	indicated 1211:24	involved 1204:20	1218:13 1220:6	1228:25 1250:11
hopeful 1204:2	1229:20 1242:4	1219:6 1235:18	1220:19 1223:12	limine 1199:24,25
1210:9 1215:1	1249:20	1235:23,25	1229:18 1233:16	1200:6,13
1230:23 1231:8	indicates 1239:2	1236:2,2,4	1237:11 1244:14	limit 1208:20
1231:11 1233:9	indication 1233:2	involves 1215:16	1244:16 1245:12	1237:8 1238:6
1234:5 1236:19	indicative 1207:22	issue 1202:2,6	1246:5 1250:7	limited 1202:22
<b>hopefully</b> 1231:17	individuals	1215:21 1217:11	<b>knowing</b> 1232:11	1204:22 1206:5
1233:20	1204:13 1205:9	1217:14 1229:20	knowledge 1238:4	1208:8 1209:13
Hopenfeld 1226:21	1209:9,17 1210:4	1235:10 1240:6	knows 1232:2	1210:13,19
1228:25	1212:19 1221:14	issued 1245:20		1212:11,23
hoping 1232:14	1232:8	issues 1219:6,8	L	1213:13 1234:9
<b>Horowitz</b> 1226:17	inequitable	1231:6 1238:15	L 1235:19 1236:14	1240:1
1243:24 1244:4,8	1243:21	1243:21 1245:1	1241:14,16	limits 1237:11
1244:10,15,19	inform 1205:25	1246:12	laptop 1214:25	<b>Lindell</b> 1195:7
hotel 1214:7,9	1206:12 1210:21	i.e 1222:5	1215:4	1199:3
1217:3 1218:5	information 1248:5		<b>laptops</b> 1204:4,6	line 1199:11,14
1232:24	1248:22,25	J	1214:13	<b>Lisa</b> 1196:20
			large 1204:10	
	•	•	•	•

1198:13	1217:22 1218:2	1224:15,23	missed 1237:1	1198:17
list 1200:7,16,20	1217.22 1218.2	1224.13,23	1238:2	music 1199:20
1201:2,10,17,19	1234.21	1220:11 1227:2	mistake 1223:21	music 1199.20
1201:23 1202:5	M	1229:8,17 1230:4	misunderstood	
1201.23 1202.3	<b>M</b> 1194:3,4	1230:18 1233:5	1211:15	N 1195:3 1198:1
1204.23,23	magic 1217:9	1236:11 1237:13	<b>Mizuno</b> 1195:3	name 1201:13
1205.7,12,14	Mail 1195:10	1230.11 1237.13	1199:3	names 1211:16
1200:17 1207:3	main 1229:10	1241:24 1242:10	moment 1232:1	nature 1220:6
1207:14,22,23	maintain 1203:4	1241:24 1242:10	1235:16	1233:12 1237:2
1208.2,3,3	making 1217:3	1242:17 1244:7	moments 1224:12	1251:3
1212:15 1213:2,4	1230:17 1239:22	1245.5,25 1240.4	1237:25	Neal 1248:24
1213:7 1223:11	management	1240.7,23	Monday 1193:13	necessarily
1233:21 1234:3	1212:21	1247:16 1248:3	1200:22 1201:24	1207:22 1209:2
1245:19	manager 1212:21	1249:16,25	1200:22 1201:24	1218:21
listed 1201:2,3	<b>Manna</b> 1196:3	1247.10,23	1202:15,10	necessary 1211:4
1222:4,11 1224:1	1198:19	mean 1207:2	1203:13 1200:2	1231:14 1239:20
1247:3	march 1245:16	1239:23 1243:16	1230:24 1231:7	necessitated
lists 1202:8	mark 1202:4	1245:18 1246:7	1231:15 1233:8	1200:12
1204:14,21	1229:3	1246:10 1247:1	1233:19	need 1199:21
litigation 1206:23	marked 1228:23	meaningfully	money 1250:10	1205:25 1208:10
1209:11,18	Marshal 1207:7	1226:25	monitor 1203:23	1214:9 1216:3
1238:8	Massachusetts	meant 1223:23	1203:24 1204:11	1217:4 1218:5
little 1217:14	1235:25	medical 1243:25	1214:18,23	1224:17 1236:21
1233:2	matching 1201:23	1244:5,13	monitors 1219:18	1239:6 1240:2
Live 1215:9	1224:21	members 1209:4,9	months 1236:6,13	1244:20 1247:7
<b>LLP</b> 1194:5	materials 1228:16	1211:20 1229:19	1240:9	1249:22 1250:21
loaded 1214:13	1228:18	1240:20	<b>Morgan</b> 1194:5	1250:23
local 1211:5	matter 1193:7	mention 1202:20	morning 1198:15	needed 1211:21
locked 1216:11,15	1198:4 1237:25	mentioned 1216:10	1199:1 1204:8	1233:24 1242:8
logistical 1229:12	matters 1199:18,21	<b>Michael</b> 1193:21	1216:21,22	1250:14
logistics 1221:21	1220:13 1226:15	1195:8 1199:3	1234:11,13,20	needs 1244:5,11,11
long 1212:1	1240:24	microphones	mornings 1216:20	1244:20
1230:25 1244:1,9	McDADE 1193:19	1203:25 1214:17	<b>motion</b> 1200:6	new 1196:18,23,25
1249:9	1198:3,3,14,18,22	1219:20	1237:19 1241:13	1197:6 1198:10
longer 1217:13	1198:25 1199:5,8	mind 1206:16	1241:15,17,20,25	1199:16 1200:5,8
1245:6	1199:13 1200:14	1218:11,25	1242:12,20	1200:8 1201:9,9
look 1201:2,16,17	1202:18 1206:15	1231:2 1240:22	1246:23 1247:17	1202:2,2,3
1208:8 1224:25	1206:18,22	minds 1219:3	motions 1199:24	1206:24 1208:5
1233:1 1241:7	1207:17,20	minute 1208:22	1199:25 1200:1	1208:18,18,21
1249:22	1208:6 1209:5,8	1238:7,7,8	1200:13 1201:25	1213:3 1214:21
looked 1246:10	1211:9,13,18,22	1249:11,15	move 1205:17	1214:22 1218:8
<b>looking</b> 1213:7	1213:20 1214:1,5	minutes 1217:15	1231:3 1243:21	1218:22,22,24
1215:8 1221:23	1215:6,12 1216:9	1237:10,10	1244:22	1219:4,9,11
1223:3 1224:19	1218:20 1220:5	1245:5,7	moves 1242:22	1220:3,11 1221:9
1224:21 1225:20	1221:3 1222:1,3,7	misleading 1200:25	moving 1219:24	1233:22 1235:12
<b>lot</b> 1245:6,9,10	1222:9 1223:12	mispronouncing	1234:5	1236:1,7,24
lunch 1217:17,19	1223:22,25	1201:12	Musegaas 1195:17	1237:15,19,21
	•	•	•	•

1238:1,13 1239:2	objection 1201:21	1251:5	1248:18 1251:11	1194:6
1239:9,25 1240:4	1246:18	opposed 1201:8	participate	people 1204:14,18
1241:13,19,25	<b>objections</b> 1200:23	1240:8	1210:16	1204:20 1205:18
1242:2,18,19	1200:24 1202:16	oral 1227:22,25	participated	1205:19 1207:7
1245:18	observe 1210:16	1242:13 1248:13	1218:12 1235:22	1207:15 1208:9
night 1234:23	obviously 1204:24	1250:17	1235:25	1208:10,12,14,14
non-testifying	1217:18 1218:11	orally 1247:19,22	particular 1203:17	1210:14,18
1213:23	1220:17	order 1205:15	1205:8,13 1207:9	1210:14,10
normal 1239:16	October 1202:17	1213:2,7 1216:22	1209:10 1212:18	1213:5 1217:15
Nos 1193:7	1211:11 1220:2	1213:2,7 1210:22	1217:10,13	1217:24 1225:13
note 1202:12	1221:24 1222:3	1221:22 1222:4	1219:12 1221:5	1231:17 1232:6
1215:9 1216:25	1222:12	1222:19,22	1221:10 1230:21	1232:10,22
1238:24	offer 1246:21	1226:15,22	1233:7 1235:21	1238:11 1239:19
noted 1213:3	Office 1195:9	1227:3 1228:17	1241:2 1247:12	1247:3
notes 1221:6,13	1196:12,22	1235:12 1236:7	parties 1198:10	period 1216:19
notice 1241:16	official 1212:21	1239:2,7 1240:5	1200:15,22	1225:20 1228:6
1248:15	okay 1199:13	1243:18 1249:6	1200:13,22	1232:25
<b>Notices</b> 1208:5	1200:14 1202:20	ordering 1215:23	1203:7,18,20	periods 1244:1
noting 1200:18,19	1211:14 1214:3	1250:5,8	1206:23 1207:23	permitted 1239:17
notion 1245:17	1216:9 1219:22	organization	1209:10 1210:5	person 1206:7,8
NRC 1198:25	1220:24 1221:19	1212:20	1211:20 1212:10	1214:19,22
1200:5 1206:24	1222:2,8,14	originally 1229:21	1218:13,17	personal 1235:17
1211:25 1212:10	1223:1,15	Ossining 1195:20	1220:2 1222:23	perspective
1216:1 1236:25	1224:18 1226:8	outlined 1243:19	1227:3,21	1226:23 1227:16
1237:16 1242:25	1227:2,17 1229:5	overnight 1216:16	1228:24 1229:4	1228:7 1229:2
1249:1	1230:12 1232:15	overview 1227:12	1230:16 1231:5	<b>Philip</b> 1198:16
Nuclear 1193:2,8	1240:15 1245:24	<b>O-15</b> 1195:10	1231:10 1236:15	<b>PHILLIP</b> 1195:17
1193:10 1194:2	1246:5 1249:4,8		1236:16,21	<b>phone</b> 1206:7
1194:12 1195:1	1249:18 1251:13	P	1238:25 1240:17	1218:6
1195:11 1198:4,5	<b>old</b> 1238:10	<b>P</b> 1198:1	1240:22 1245:8	physical 1244:13
1198:6 1206:8	once 1217:22	page 1221:10	1245:16 1249:19	piecemeal 1218:18
number 1198:7	1225:1 1233:10	<b>Paget</b> 1197:4	1250:12,24	pivotal 1244:15
1203:16 1205:4	onerous 1232:25	paid 1232:23,24	parts 1219:25	placards 1210:24
1205:18,20	ones 1222:6	<b>Pakhani</b> 1201:12	party 1206:21	<b>place</b> 1202:23
1206:5,7 1208:21	open-ended	<b>PANEL</b> 1193:4	1218:16 1237:9	1249:11
1210:12,14,19	1238:19 1241:2	paper 1222:23	1237:14 1239:17	<b>Plains</b> 1194:14
1212:11,14	Operating 1235:23	1224:2	1239:21 1241:12	<b>plan</b> 1217:4
1213:12 1214:9	operation 1228:8	<b>Park</b> 1197:5	1241:17,23,24	1232:20 1242:3
1218:6 1219:24	<b>Operations</b> 1193:9	parking 1214:8	1247:16	<b>planning</b> 1212:12
numerical 1208:20	1194:2,12 1198:5	1218:4	<b>Paul</b> 1194:3	1246:19
<b>NY</b> 1194:14	opportunity	part 1235:15	1198:23 1206:15	<b>plant</b> 1215:17
1195:20 1196:7	1220:15 1226:25	1241:14	1222:15 1223:2	pleadings 1240:9
1196:25 1197:6	1227:4,14 1228:2	participants	<b>paying</b> 1250:5	please 1211:8
<b>N.W</b> 1194:6	1237:3 1240:11	1202:21 1203:17	<b>Pekan</b> 1214:21	<b>plowed</b> 1242:8
	1240:23 1241:12	1209:10,18	<b>pending</b> 1199:24	<b>plug</b> 1215:2
0	1242:6,11	1211:23 1212:16	1201:25	plus 1242:1
<b>O</b> 1198:1	1250:24 1251:2,3	1220:11 1248:14	Pennsylvania	<b>point</b> 1193:10
	•	•	•	-

1198:5,5 1205:5   1243:23 1244:4,9   <b>provides</b> 1248:13   1236:15,18,20,22   <b>record</b> 1198:	
	10
1205:22 1209:6   presented 1250:20   providing 1226:20   1237:21 1238:3   1200:19 120	
1212:11 1215:3   press 1210:6,21   prudent 1250:14   1238:12 1242:1,7   1212:8 121	
1215:19 1218:7   previously 1218:5   public 1209:22   1242:22 1243:5,8   1226:25 12	
1220:19 1221:25   1249:18   1210:10 1213:12   1244:18 1247:2,5   1227:11 12	
1222:6 1226:24 <b>prior</b> 1209:13 1213:14 1214:24 1247:5,11,24 1239:1 124	
1231:6 1233:8,16   1235:18   <b>pull</b> 1203:11   1248:2   1242:14 124	
1234:4 1236:12 <b>priorities</b> 1212:25 1214:20 <b>quick</b> 1206:16 1245:19 124	,
1237:14,18   <b>priority</b> 1204:20   <b>purpose</b> 1239:1   1221:20 1248:2   1251:18	
1239:15 1240:12	48:6
1241:2 1246:13   Private 1236:3   put 1202:4 1208:11   quickly 1231:3   redirect 1239	
points 1245:15   probably 1201:12   1221:6 1227:6   1250:17	
police 1211:5   1205:5 1208:1   1236:16 1243:5   R   redundancy	1224:6
<b>poor</b> 1229:14	
population 1204:18   1219:7 1226:2   p.m 1217:9   Raimundi 1196:4   reference 120	04:11
<b>position</b> 1218:25   1240:19 1245:19   1251:18   1198:21   1214:19,21	
positions 1231:5   problem 1222:25   P.O 1196:15   raise 1245:1   1228:22 123	29:1
possess 1233:18   1244:6 1248:17   raised 1215:21   references 12	
possible 1202:9   procedure 1220:22   Q   1229:21   referred 122:	
1206:13 1211:2	
1211:24 1212:3   proceeded 1234:7   quarter 1201:7,8   rapidly 1233:13   referring 121	9:19
1215:10 1221:8   proceeding 1198:6   question 1200:2   reach 1230:11   regard 1200:	
1232:14 1233:16   1203:17 1204:19   1215:7,8 1216:5,6   1232:18   1201:25 120	
1237:7 1249:23   1204:21 1210:22   1221:7 1224:19   <b>read</b> 1243:7   1202:13 120	05:21
post 1224:20   1211:24 1213:15   1229:10 1230:13   1247:21   1206:14,19	
power 1204:2,5   1229:23 1230:9   1232:1 1241:4   reading 1225:11   1214:7 1216	6:10
1215:1,11,14,17   1236:3,9,14   1248:9 1249:12   <b>ready</b> 1199:18   1217:17 12	18:3
<b>practicable</b> 1248:11 1250:17 1221:15 1219:8 122	2:17
1244:13 1246:12   proceedings   questioned 1229:15   realities 1202:25   1225:21 124	41:11
<b>preclude</b> 1250:6	,22
prefer 1229:15   1243:19 1248:7   questioning   1230:25 1232:14   1245:17,18	
<b>preference</b> 1205:15   1251:17   1218:15 1220:14   1233:16 1246:23   1246:6,16 1	248:8
1213:3,7   process 1224:8   1220:25 1224:14   reargue 1241:14   1248:21	
preferred 1204:17   produces 1250:18   1224:16 1229:13   reasonable 1216:19   regarding 12	19:23
prefiled 1227:10         professional         1231:1 1233:13         1228:6 1234:21         1224:10	
<b>premarked</b> 1204:19 1240:24 1244:10 1234:21 1237:3 <b>regular</b> 1216	
1220:17,18   proffer 1220:15   questions 1202:13   1238:18 1240:23   Regulatory 1	193:2
prepare 1222:20         program 1215:10         1206:17 1213:21         reasonably         1195:1,11 1	
prepared 1222:18   progress 1230:17   1218:9,16,18   1233:25,25   regurgitate 1	
1243:14   project 1212:20   1219:16,23   1234:16 1235:5   regurgitation	ı
preparing 1221:21         proposed 1225:2         1220:10 1221:17         rebut 1250:23         1237:23	
1225:14 1245:25 1221:20 1226:9 <b>rebuttal</b> 1250:17 <b>related</b> 1226:	18
<b>present</b> 1205:10 <b>provide</b> 1206:7 1226:12 1227:16 <b>receiving</b> 1220:22 1248:10	
1213:5 1248:18	10
<b>presentation</b> 1246:18 1248:5 1228:11 1229:6 <b>reciprocal</b> 1241:20 1246:19	
1226:16,22   provided 1205:1   1229:24 1231:2   recognize 1208:20   relating 1198	
1228:5,15	5:17

relatively 1201:18	responsible	1212:5 1214:4	1213:11,13,18,22	1231:25
1229:14	1216:17	1212:3 1214:4	second 1201:7	she'll 1229:18
release 1210:22	responsive 1238:11	1215:16 1210:11	1227:18 1230:13	SHIAH 1197:2
relevance 1220:7	review 1243:17	1210.13 1217.2,2	1230:22 1248:9	<b>Shoreham</b> 1236:1
relevant 1201:11	reviewed 1225:22	rotating 1207:15	1250:22 1248.9	short 1223:7
1220:21,23	revise 1201:24	1208:25	secondly 1214:3	1226:16 1233:15
1238:14	revised 1200:7	rotation 1209:2	seconds 1249:13	shoulder 1232:17
relicensing 1198:7	1202:5	Roth 1195:4	Seconds 1249.13 Secor 1195:19	show 1235:3
remainder 1226:7	revisions 1200:4	1199:3	secure 1202:23	show 1233.3 shown 1203:23
Remember 1208:7	<b>Richard</b> 1193:20	rule 1199:24	1210:18	1204:10,12
repeat 1227:9	1196:2 1198:20	run 1217:13	security 1211:1,4	significant 1209:8
1229:25	1213:20 1229:9	running 1225:5	1216:10,11	1210:14 1213:19
repetitive 1237:5,5	Riesel 1197:4	Tulling 1223.3	see 1219:5,19	signs 1210:24
1238:5,13 1243:9	right 1203:13	S	1238:22 1251:16	signs 1210.24 similar 1215:9
replace 1228:9	1207:18 1208:24	<b>S</b> 1198:1	seeking 1210:18	1226:21 1228:16
reply 1221:2	1207.18 1208.24	<b>SAFETY</b> 1193:4	send 1248:17	simplify 1246:20
reply 1221:2 reporter 1216:4	1222:3,7,14 1223:1,5,23	sake 1227:20	send 1248:17 sending 1208:4	simply 1246:20 simply 1201:19
1248:6,21,24	1223:1,3,23	satisfied 1240:1,6	sense 1223:11,13	1204:23 1218:21
representation	1230:19 1231:23	1242:7	1225:2 1240:7	1204.23 1218.21
1233:22	1235:13 1239:3	satisfy 1219:7	1241:5	1237:23 1239:3
representatives	1239:18,19,23	Saturday 1217:4	sent 1200:3	1237.23 1239.3
1206:6,10 1210:7	rights 1241:20	saying 1242:17,19	sent 1200.3 separate 1207:14	singing 1199:19
,	1242:17 1243:18	1247:16 1250:12	1213:25	sit 1211:20 1212:9
represented 1208:19	rings 1209:7	says 1218:24		1213:24 1225:18
request 1237:15,18	<b>River</b> 1196:5	schedule 1224:21	<b>September</b> 1193:13 1226:14 1228:17	sitting 1205:3
1239:22,23	Riverkeeper	1224:25 1225:10	seriatim 1234:20	1214:1 1232:7,10
1239.22,23	1195:15,18	1226:6 1230:7	service 1204:25	situated 1203:2,18
requested 1226:16	1193.13,18	1232:21 1233:2	1205:6,12 1207:3	Sive 1197:4
1241:12,20,23,24	1201:1,4,4,6	scheduled 1223:18	1207:0,12 1207.3	six 1232:4
requests 1247:16	1201:1,4,4,0	1223:20 1232:3	1207.22,23	sixth 1209:16
require 1219:9	1229:3,6 1236:24	scheduling 1222:19	session 1231:19	size 1204:22 1207:6
1226:2	1237:17	1234:25	set 1203:3 1205:4	<b>Sloop</b> 1196:5
requires 1222:23	Riverkeeper's	schematics 1203:5	1206:11 1209:20	smaller 1202:24
reserved 1205:20	1226:20 1246:7	screens 1204:10	1210:4,6,7 1212:5	Smith's 1238:10
1212:9,11	1246:16	1214:24	1210.4,0,7 1212.3	Snook 1196:10
resolution 1200:5	<b>RK</b> 1226:17	Seabrook 1235:23	1215:10,18	1199:7,7
resolve 1200:11	<b>RK-TP-2</b> 1227:1	seating 1202:22	1222:23 1227:18	somebody 1223:8
1217:12	road 1195:19	1204:13 1205:6	setting 1206:4	somewhat 1204:21
resolved 1202:2,6	1225:25 1240:10	1205:23 1206:5	1212:23	1221:18 1236:13
respectfully	<b>Rob</b> 1244:3	1206:11 1208:7	seven-day 1215:23	1243:20 1246:6
1226:19	<b>Robert</b> 1196:10	1209:9,12,24	1250:5	1243.20 1240.0
respond 1227:20	1199:7	1210:4,13 1212:9	sheet 1199:20	soon 1206:13
1227:22	role 1244:15	seats 1205:4,17,20	<b>Shepherd</b> 1201:11	sorry 1223:23
response 1227:23	room 1203:2	1206:20,21	1233:22	1242:16
1227:25	1204:13 1208:9	1209:3,25	Sherwin 1195:2	sort 1204:18
responsibility	1204.13 1208.9	1210:10,19	1199:2 1202:14	1209:25 1216:4
1216:16 1230:4	1210:1,2,17	1212:11,17,24	1211:7 1223:21	1217:16 1225:4
1210.10 1230.7	1210.1,2,17		1211./ 1223.21	1217.10 1223.4
	I	<u> </u>	I	l

	I	I	I	
1225:20 1227:8	1232:19 1233:10	1200:24	1245:12 1249:10	thing 1202:20
1231:20 1244:15	1240:15	substitute 1244:17	1249:13	1234:23
1248:15	<b>started</b> 1249:9,11	suffering 1243:25	taken 1205:17	things 1201:14
<b>sounds</b> 1215:10	<b>starting</b> 1209:21	sufficiently	takes 1231:1	1212:12 1221:5
1223:9	1217:20 1233:8	1239:22	1237:21 1244:23	1225:1,5,21
space 1203:11,16	1234:20 1238:23	<b>Suffolk</b> 1236:2	talked 1200:4	1233:14 1240:16
1212:23	state 1196:9,13,18	suggest 1214:6	talking 1200:24	1241:11
<b>spacing</b> 1208:16	1196:23 1198:11	suggesting 1247:18	1222:13 1223:25	think 1201:16
<b>Spanish</b> 1229:16	1199:5 1200:5,6	<b>summary</b> 1248:12	talks 1201:7	1207:24 1208:9
1229:19,25	1207:22 1218:11	1248:13	technical 1237:2	1211:15 1216:9
1246:16	1221:21 1224:12	<b>Sunday</b> 1203:3	Teleconference	1223:16 1224:5
Spanish-speaking	1236:1,4,8 1239:5	supplement	1193:15	1224:24 1227:2
1229:11 1246:7	1239:10 1242:18	1242:12,14	Telephone 1209:7	1228:1 1229:20
speak 1245:15	statement 1241:22	1243:1,2	tell 1209:12	1230:13,18,21
speaking 1244:1	1245:18	supporters 1209:12	1210:12 1218:12	1231:11 1233:6
1246:17 1249:14	statements 1250:18	1210:12,23	telling 1212:22	1233:24 1234:15
specific 1221:7	states 1193:1	<b>supposed</b> 1227:13	ten 1238:7 1249:21	1234:16 1235:7
1228:25,25	1235:21	<b>sure</b> 1199:18	tend 1238:9,9	1239:7 1243:13
1238:7 1240:16	<b>State's</b> 1226:9	1204:6 1206:18	term 1219:14	1246:24 1248:16
1247:11	1239:12	1213:9 1224:15	1233:15	third 1201:8
specifically	status 1200:9	1231:4,12 1247:8	terms 1220:24	1233:23
1205:23 1214:7	1245:4 1251:14	surprise 1235:14	1221:20	thorough 1236:20
1221:10	statutory 1239:3	surrounding	testify 1247:6	thought 1224:10
<b>specify</b> 1208:19	stay 1232:24	1217:23	testifying 1205:8	1227:10 1250:14
spectator 1213:16	Stolorow 1197:3	<b>Sutton</b> 1194:4	1205:12 1207:9	three 1202:3
1213:17	1199:12	1198:24	1212:18 1213:23	1203:13 1208:9
spectators 1210:2	<b>stop</b> 1195:10	sworn 1219:13	1218:14 1221:14	1208:12 1212:2
<b>speed</b> 1234:6	1224:8	system 1227:12	1233:23	1221:19 1232:5
<b>spend</b> 1250:10	Storage 1236:3	1228:3,8	testimony 1217:15	1249:14
<b>square</b> 1227:8	streaming 1215:9		1220:20 1221:2,2	ties 1235:10
staff 1198:25	<b>Street</b> 1196:14	T	1221:8,10,13	time 1200:6
1206:24 1211:20	<b>strips</b> 1204:2	table 1203:8,8,10	1225:12 1227:10	1215:19 1216:19
1211:25 1212:10	1215:1,14	1203:14,19,21,25	1228:10 1229:13	1217:10 1218:7
1219:1,10 1232:2	study 1225:18	1204:3 1205:3,7	1240:16 1241:1	1218:18 1219:13
1234:16 1236:25	studying 1225:12	1207:4 1208:8,15	1242:13 1245:21	1223:19 1224:15
1237:16 1242:25	submission 1225:2	1209:3 1211:17	1246:19 1247:12	1224:19 1225:5
1249:6 1250:7,8	1241:6	1211:25 1212:3,8	1250:18	1225:19 1226:10
1250:11	<b>submit</b> 1225:15,16	1213:24 1214:12	thank 1198:22	1226:22 1227:7
<b>staffs</b> 1206:6,10	1245:25	1214:15,15,23	1199:13 1207:18	1228:6 1229:7
1210:8	submitted 1237:15	1215:15	1216:7 1219:22	1230:15 1232:25
<b>Staff's</b> 1200:6	1242:1 1245:22	tables 1203:12,22	1220:24 1221:19	1233:8 1234:4
<b>stamp</b> 1201:3	<b>Subpart</b> 1235:19	1208:12,13,16	1224:9 1226:8,10	1237:8,20
stamped 1201:5,5	1236:13 1241:14	1219:15,17,18	1229:5,7 1230:3	1239:20 1240:12
stand 1250:22	1241:16	take 1206:10	1231:23 1239:14	1244:2,20
standpoint 1221:7	subsequent	1211:11 1216:17	1246:15 1247:23	1249:15 1250:20
1250:1,13	1209:23	1218:5,15 1231:4	1249:2 1251:12	1250:20
start 1227:8	substantive	1234:19 1244:21	1251:16	tires 1243:25

4-11202 10	1005 0 0 1006 11	N- 1050 10	1007 5 1000 10	1250 12
today 1202:19	1235:8,9 1236:11	usually 1250:18	1227:5 1229:12	1250:12
1251:15,16	1237:7 1238:24	Utah 1236:4	1241:5 1246:9	we've 1224:6
<b>told</b> 1246:13	1239:15,24	<b>U.S</b> 1195:11	webcast 1246:3	1225:21,22
top 1201:4	1249:5,9,14,18	v	website 1249:1	1230:5 1231:21
topic 1207:16	1250:16 1251:8,9		<b>Webster</b> 1196:2	1234:7 1242:7
totally 1221:6	turn 1219:1 1232:7	valid 1242:2	1198:20 1213:20	1245:7 1246:13
1236:8	1232:11	various 1247:1	1213:21 1214:3	whichever 1223:24
touch 1248:7	turnaround	venue 1202:25	1229:9,10 1230:3	<b>White</b> 1194:14
town 1197:1	1215:24 1216:2	Vermont 1228:2,17	1230:12 1231:23	<b>WiFi</b> 1214:4
1199:10 1234:8	1250:6	Victoria 1197:2	1246:22	WILLIAM
1248:1,10	<b>turned</b> 1246:11	1199:11 1248:1	Wednesday	1194:11
<b>TP-2</b> 1226:18	twenty 1238:7	view 1239:3	1233:24 1234:11	wind 1222:11
<b>Track</b> 1223:22	twice 1246:24	viewed 1241:25	week 1202:18	1234:12
1232:3	two 1203:25	Village 1199:8	1205:1 1232:12	<b>window</b> 1234:10
transcript 1200:20	1204:16 1205:11	visual 1216:12	1232:13,13,15,18	wish 1206:10
1202:12 1215:9	1208:1,13	<b>vying</b> 1213:12	1232:19,20	1210:15,16
1216:3 1243:7	1213:17 1214:17	$\mathbf{W}$	1233:3,4 1234:2	1214:25 1221:1
1249:23 1250:11	1216:23 1219:22		1234:18 1251:15	1237:9
transcripts	1230:14,23	waiting 1200:10	weekend 1217:1,3	wishes 1208:19
1215:22,22,24,25	1231:9 1232:5	1225:9,23 1232:7	1217:7	1216:2
1225:22 1248:8	1233:9,21 1248:2	1232:10	weeks 1236:5,12	witness 1201:11
1248:23,25	1251:16	want 1199:17	1251:16	1210:2 1214:2
1250:8		1205:10,24	went 1236:5,6	1218:24 1219:2
translate 1229:24	U	1207:2 1211:16	1251:17	1219:10,10,10,11
translator 1229:24	uncertainties	1213:9 1214:20	<b>Wentzel</b> 1195:8	1219:14,15,15,17
1230:5,10,11	1215:11	1216:17 1219:1	1199:4	1220:14 1221:8
travel 1232:24	understand	1221:4,5 1224:8	Westchester	1221:11,12
<b>Treanor</b> 1197:2	1199:23 1204:4	1225:15,19	1199:15	1226:17,21
1199:10,11	1213:8,22	1227:8 1229:1	we'll 1205:17	1227:6,25
1247:25 1248:1,4	1222:16 1224:25	1234:7 1238:20	1208:3 1243:21	1228:11 1229:11
1249:2	1226:14 1231:5	1241:13 1244:14	1245:24,25	1229:25 1230:6
tremendously	1236:10 1238:15	1245:6,11,12	we're 1199:19	1231:14 1238:19
1232:21	understanding	1246:17 1249:19	1200:23 1202:15	1239:17 1240:5,7
trifurcated	1237:4 1238:5	1250:9	1203:1 1207:5	1242:22 1243:4
1224:20	1239:9,12	wanted 1198:9	1209:21 1210:5,6	1244:17,22
try 1210:10 1212:5	understands	1202:20 1221:23	1212:22,23	1246:8,17 1247:6
trying 1209:24	1240:6	1226:19 1227:1	1216:12,13	1247:12 1250:22
1210:3 1211:22	Unfortunately	1228:14 1231:17	1217:14,19,20	witnesses 1205:8
1225:1	1233:5	1244:25	1219:7 1222:13	1205:12,24
<b>Tuesday</b> 1230:24	<b>unified</b> 1224:22	wants 1250:3	1223:25 1224:16	1207:9 1212:18
1231:8 1233:8,20	<b>UNITED</b> 1193:1	WARDWELL	1225:13,20,22	1213:23,24
Turk 1195:2	<b>Units</b> 1193:11	1193:20	1230:9,14,17,20	1217:12 1218:14
1199:1,2 1202:14	1198:6	warning 1231:18	1231:8,11 1233:6	1218:15,17,23
1202:14 1211:7,7	<b>unwieldy</b> 1204:22	warranted 1250:2	1233:9 1234:23	1219:12 1220:25
1211:9,10,14,19	<b>upcoming</b> 1235:15	Washington	1238:6 1243:11	1221:1,15 1228:1
1223:16 1231:25	<b>urge</b> 1215:3	1194:7 1195:12	1244:2,5 1248:20	1231:13 1232:2
1232:1 1233:5	use 1217:3 1219:14	<b>water</b> 1246:6	1249:21 1250:5	1233:13 1234:14
		way 1220:18		
	1	ı	ı	<u> </u>

	1	1		
1235:1 1237:4	0	<b>2013</b> 1224:22	<b>8-feet</b> 1212:1	
1238:9 1247:1,4	06141-0120	<b>202</b> 1194:8,9	<b>8-foot</b> 1203:8,19	
1247:10 1248:19	1196:16	20555-0001	1208:8,11	
<b>Wolcott</b> 1196:6	<b>07</b> 1198:7	1195:12	<b>8:00</b> 1209:22	
wondering 1238:21	07-858-03-LR-B	<b>24</b> 1193:13	1216:20,24	
1248:11	1193:11	<b>26</b> 1222:6,7	<b>8:30</b> 1209:23	
work 1203:6		1223:18 1232:6	<b>858-03</b> 1198:8	
1212:12 1227:13	1	<b>26th</b> 1196:24		
1232:23 1238:22	<b>1</b> 1201:4 1226:3	<b>27</b> 1232:6,22	9	
works 1212:4	1st 1211:11		<b>9:00</b> 1209:21	
1238:22	<b>1:00</b> 1216:23	3	1234:20	
wouldn't 1215:13	1217:20	<b>3</b> 1193:11 1198:6	<b>90</b> 1201:6	
1215:20 1219:14	<b>10</b> 1205:5,20	<b>30</b> 1237:10 1249:13	<b>914</b> 1214:10	
1240:14 1241:1	1206:20,20	<b>301</b> 1195:13	914)631-5700	
1243:9 1247:19	1211:16 1212:12	<b>38</b> 1222:6,7	1214:10	
writing 1227:22	1213:22 1222:3	1223:18		
1242:14 1243:6	1222:11,13	4		
1251:15	1223:3 1224:1			
written 1241:5	1225:8 1226:4	<b>411</b> 1201:10		
1242:12	1245:19	1214:21,22		
wrong 1224:4,7	<b>100</b> 1210:10	<b>415-3722</b> 1195:13		
	<b>10022</b> 1197:6	<b>440</b> 1194:13		
X	<b>10271</b> 1196:25	<b>460</b> 1197:5		
<b>x</b> 1193:6,12 1241:3	<b>10562</b> 1195:20	5		
<u> </u>	<b>10601</b> 1194:14	<b>5</b> 1200:5,9 1249:10		
<b>Yankee</b> 1228:2,17	<b>11:00</b> 1209:20	<b>50-247-LR</b> 1193:8		
year 1243:24	1216:21 1234:13	<b>50-286-LR</b> 1193:9		
York 1196:18,23	<b>11:09:43</b> 1198:2	<b>55</b> 1196:14		
1196:25 1197:6	<b>1111</b> 1194:6			
1198:11 1199:16	<b>12</b> 1202:2,3	6		
1200:5,8,9 1201:9	<b>12:23:15</b> 1251:18	<b>6</b> 1208:12 1221:9		
1200:9,0,9 1201:9	<b>12:30</b> 1209:23	<b>6th</b> 1200:3		
1206:24 1208:18	<b>120</b> 1196:15,24	<b>6-foot</b> 1203:9,20		
1208:19 1213:3	<b>12508</b> 1196:7	<b>6:00</b> 1217:9,14		
1214:21,22	<b>14th</b> 1203:4	1234:22		
1218:8,22,24	1226:15 1228:17	<b>60</b> 1202:6 1237:10		
1219:4,9,11	<b>15th</b> 1202:10,17	<b>631-5700</b> 1214:10		
1220:11 1221:9	1228:20 1235:3			
1233:22 1235:12	1238:23	7		
1236:1,7,24	16th 1235:4	<b>724</b> 1196:6		
1237:15,19,21	<b>18</b> 1232:2	<b>739-3001</b> 1194:9		
1238:1,13 1239:2	2	<b>739-5796</b> 1194:8		
1239:9 1240:4	<b>2</b> 1193:11 1198:6	<b>74</b> 1201:5		
1241:13 1242:1,2	<b>20</b> 1195:19	<b>76</b> 1201:5,6		
1242:18,20	<b>20004</b> 1194:7	8		
York's 1218:22	<b>2012</b> 1193:13	<b>8</b> 1200:8 1201:1		
1239:25 1241:19		0 1200.0 1201.1		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	