

Cha

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2010 9:01 AM
To: Armstrong, Kenneth
Subject: RE: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote

Ken,

Re-reading Jimi's input. I would just not change anything. Just keep it the way it originally was.

Thanks,
Richard

From: Armstrong, Kenneth
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2010 8:37 AM
To: Chang, Richard
Subject: FW: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote
Importance: High

Let me know what you think about the below?

From: Cupidon, Les
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2010 8:34 AM
To: Armstrong, Kenneth
Subject: RE: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote
Importance: High

Ken,

Based on Richard Chang and Jimi's comment, is the text in red to be changed:

To be priority for July. For potential Commission meeting in 2011 on SOARCA and Level 3 PRA. [Note: ACRS will review - *revised schedule not yet established.*]

to

To be priority for July. For potential Commission meeting in 2011 on SOARCA and Level 3 PRA. [Note: ACRS will review - *revised schedule is in development.*]

Or should the comment remain left out (as currently) since the changed text would essentially be equal.

Les

From: Armstrong, Kenneth
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2010 2:21 PM
To: Bush-Goddard, Stephanie
Subject: Fw: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote

Fyi

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions Ex 6
FOIA- 2011-0083

664

FF/1

Kenneth Armstrong
Sent from NRC blackberry

(b)(6)

From: Chang, Richard
To: Armstrong, Kenneth
Sent: Tue Nov 30 13:46:48 2010
Subject: FW: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote

Ken,

My response is that is Correct, and there is no change for now. However, we are developing a revised schedule.

Thanks,
Richard

From: Yerokun, Jimi
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2010 1:14 PM
To: Chang, Richard
Cc: Uhle, Jennifer
Subject: RE: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote

I would say – "Correct, no change for now. We are still developing the revised schedule."

By the way, I noticed that it is a monthly update and that it notes that our revised schedule has not been established.

Jimi T. Yerokun

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2010 8:10 AM
To: Yerokun, Jimi
Subject: FW: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote
Importance: High

Jimi,

How should I respond?

Thanks,
Richard

From: Armstrong, Kenneth
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2010 7:52 AM
To: Tomon, John; Chang, Richard
Subject: FW: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote
Importance: High

John/Richard,

We have spoken about this recently, but EDO is asking. So, we are on track for the:

- The AO report at the end of February.

- The SOARCA paper at the beginning of July.

Correct?

Thanks,
Kenneth

From: Bush-Goddard, Stephanie
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2010 5:36 PM
To: Hudson, Daniel; Armstrong, Kenneth; Cupidon, Les
Subject: FW: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote
Importance: High

Heads up:

Will need status on AO report and SOARCA (Ken), Level 3 PRA (Dan), CRGR (Les)

-Stephanie,
Acting RES TA

From: Santiago, Patricia
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2010 10:36 AM
To: Bush-Goddard, Stephanie
Subject: FW: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote
Importance: High

FYI
The TAs should provide input in the next few days and we need to send Greg a response by COB Thursday since he usually needs to respond to Jim by noon.
Thanks!

From: Bowman, Gregory
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2010 8:15 AM
To: Santiago, Patricia
Subject: FW: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote
Importance: High

Pat,

It's time for the monthly update of the list of items coming to the Commission for action. I owe any revisions to Jim by next Friday, December 3. RES only has a few items on the list:

- The AO report at the end of February.
- The proposal for revision to the CRGR charter at the end of February.
- The SOARCA paper at the beginning of July.
- The Level 3 PRA paper at the beginning of July.

The only thing I saw that I thought needed to be updated was the SOARCA due date – it currently references the extension request, but I think we can revise that to indicate the extension was approved. I still haven't heard back from SECY on the Level 3 PRA extension request, so I think that can stay as it is.

Greg

From: Andersen, James
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2010 2:16 PM

To: Bowman, Gregory; Brock, Kathryn; Corley, Cherrie; Deavers, Ron; Frazier, Alan; Gonzalez, Hipolito; Kotzalas, Margie; Merzke, Daniel; Miller, Geoffrey; Sanfilippo, Nathan; Olive, Karen

Subject: FW: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote

Importance: High

ETAs, time for the monthly update. Please work with your offices to review the attached file and provide feedback on any changes. Don't forget to discuss any significant changes with the appropriate DEDO.

Please provide all office inputs to me by **COB, December 3rd**.

I will coordinate with SECY and provide one OEDO input. Thanks.

Jim A.

From: Baval, Rochelle

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2010 2:08 PM

To: Burns, Stephen; Itzkowitz, Marvin; Rothschild, Trip; Dyer, Jim; Brown, Milton; Hudson, Sharon; Schmidt, Rebecca; Powell, Amy; Droggitis, Spiros; Poole, Brooke; Warner, MaryAnn; Doane, Margaret; Moore, Scott; Remsburg, Kristy; Dembek, Stephen; Owens, Janice; Pulley, Deborah; Abrams, Charlotte; Brenner, Eliot; Hayden, Elizabeth; Henderson, Karen; Belmore, Nancy; Quesenberry, Jeannette; Andersen, James; Mamish, Nader; Abrams, Charlotte; Hackett, Edwin; Harrington, Holly; Sanfilippo, Nathan; Kasputys, Clare; Brock, Kathryn; Kreuter, Jane

Cc: Vietti-Cook, Annette; Bates, Andrew; Laufer, Richard; Shea, Pamela; Hart, Ken; Taylor, Renee; Davis, Chon; Baval, Rochelle; Landau, Mindy; RidsEdoDraftSrmVote Resource

Subject: REPLY REQUESTED (by COB 12/3/10): Items Coming to the Commission for Action/Vote

Importance: High

Please review the attached list of items coming to the Commission for action/vote. SECY will be presenting this list to the Chairman to assist him in establishing his priorities for the next agenda planning session.

For each item:

- Is it an action/voting item?
- Is the due date correct?
- **Are there any other papers that you are generating that will be coming for Commission action/voting that are not on the list? If you are not sure of exactly when you will be providing something, please give an estimated date. It is better to have it on the list and adjust the date if need be.**

(Items in blue are for Commission meetings, and items in green are newer and/or changed.)

By close of business December 3rd, please confirm that the items for your office are correct and provide any other items that should be on the list through August 2011.

Please feel free to provide updates to these items at any time, as soon as you know something has changed and/or you are planning to send a new action/voting paper to the Commission. [Note that SECY is not adding staff generated items to the Commission Tracking System. We are only keeping them on the attached list.]

We appreciate your assistance and attention in putting together an accurate and comprehensive list of action items coming to the Commission.

Thank you,
Rochelle

Rochelle C. Bavol

Office of the Secretary

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Phone: 301-415-1651

Email: Rochelle.Bavol@nrc.gov

