

Chang, Richard

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Friday, October 08, 2010 8:34 AM
To: Schaperow, Jason
Cc: Ghosh, Tina; Barr, Jonathan
Subject: RE: CA note

Jason,

I won't be able to close this action on 10/8. Since Ken is out until next Tuesday, I plan on sitting down with him when he gets back and seeing how the CA note got tweaked. I personally feel that chasing down how it changed is lower priority than clarifying the intent of the statement in a future briefing with the Commission.

Cheers,
Richard

From: Schaperow, Jason
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2010 4:42 PM
To: Chang, Richard
Cc: Ghosh, Tina
Subject: FW: CA note

For your action. This is a priority item. Please handle on October 8.

Thanks,
Jason

From: Gibson, Kathy
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2010 4:31 PM
To: Schaperow, Jason
Cc: Valentin, Andrea; Chang, Richard; Yerokun, Jimi
Subject: Re: CA note

Please have Richard and Jon look back at the versions of the CA note and see how and when this got put in. Ken and Pat can help if needed. Thx

From: Schaperow, Jason
To: Gibson, Kathy
Cc: Valentin, Andrea; Chang, Richard; Yerokun, Jimi
Sent: Thu Oct 07 16:26:05 2010
Subject: CA note

We double-checked the peer review committee report for their views regarding the uncertainty study. On page E-3 of the peer review committee report, the peer review committee members did express interest in the uncertainty study as stated on slide 4 of the attached TA slides (September 3, 2010). However, they did not recommend that the uncertainty analysis be completed "before the SOARCA NUREG is issued in final" as stated in the attached CA note (October 5, 2010).

An earlier draft of the attached slides also said that peer review committee members recommended that the uncertainty analysis be completed before the SOARCA NUREG is issued in final, but we fixed the slides before the TA briefing.