

Chang, Richard

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 11:55 AM
To: Schaperow, Jason
Subject: RE: draft email

Works for me.

From: Schaperow, Jason
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 11:54 AM
To: Chang, Richard
Subject: RE: draft email

I added a sentence. What do you think? Feel free to revise.

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 11:48 AM
To: Schaperow, Jason
Subject: RE: draft email

Jason,

Can you put a requested response time in?

Other than that it looks good.

Richard

From: Schaperow, Jason
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 11:46 AM
To: Chang, Richard
Subject: draft email

Richard, What do you think of this draft email to Bill Webster (Dominion)?

Hi Bill,

We are working to figure out how to address differences between the recently completed Surry Seismic PRA and the SOARCA seismically initiated scenarios (i.e., long-term SBO and short-term SBO). It would be helpful to us to have a more detailed understanding of the dominant scenario in the Surry Seismic PRA. The Surry seismic PRA states that the dominant scenario is loss of service water, and states that the loss of service water leads to core damage. Could you tell us what things fail in this scenario? Why do these things fail? What is the timing associated with each failure?

Would it be possible for us to get this info in the next week or two?

Thanks,
Jason