

**Kipfer, Lorna**

---

**From:** Langlie, Liz *MLL*  
**Sent:** Monday, June 11, 2012 4:38 PM  
**To:** Abraham, Susan  
**Cc:** Kipfer, Lorna; Le, Hong  
**Subject:** RE: Questions from OPA re: RIC Future and Budget (related to OMB Memo)

Thanks for checking with Dan, we will continue to wait!

---

**From:** Abraham, Susan *MLL*  
**Sent:** Monday, June 11, 2012 4:38 PM  
**To:** Langlie, Liz  
**Cc:** Kipfer, Lorna; Le, Hong  
**Subject:** RE: Questions from OPA re: RIC Future and Budget (related to OMB Memo)

Liz, thanks for the heads up.

By the way, Dan has not had a chance yet to review the RIC waiver; Hong and I checked. He knows it is a priority. Susan

---

**From:** Langlie, Liz  
**Sent:** Monday, June 11, 2012 4:35 PM  
**To:** Abraham, Susan  
**Cc:** Kipfer, Lorna  
**Subject:** Questions from OPA re: RIC Future and Budget (related to OMB Memo)

Hi Susan,

FYI, see question from Scott Burnell (OPA) below re: RIC future and budget as a result of an email from William Freebairn with Platts Publication (re: OMB Memo). Lorna recommended we ask Scott if there is a deadline for his response and stated that any responses to OPA need to go through you. NEI's letter of support is also mentioned in Platts' email below.

Thanks,

Liz

---

**From:** Langlie, Liz  
**Sent:** Monday, June 11, 2012 4:25 PM  
**To:** Burnell, Scott  
**Cc:** Kipfer, Lorna  
**Subject:** RE: two questions

Hi Scott,

Is there a deadline for you to respond to Mr. Freebairn? A waiver for the Chairman's signature has been drafted and is currently with NRR Management for review. Some of the language in that waiver may be appropriate for your response to Freebairn, however, the waiver has not gone through concurrence yet. After NRR completes its review of the waiver, a memo along with the waiver is due to go through ADM, OGC, and OCFO for concurrence and finally to EDO for signature. Then, the Chairman has to approve/sign waiver. We are hoping it will go through concurrence this week.

Please advise and thanks.

Liz

---

**From:** Burnell, Scott *1088*  
**Sent:** Monday, June 11, 2012 3:11 PM  
**To:** Langlie, Liz  
**Cc:** Leeds, Eric; Sheron, Brian  
**Subject:** FW: two questions

Liz;

Just speaking generally, what can we say about RIC's future and our commitment to providing a properly budgeted event? Thanks.

Scott

---

**From:** Freebairn, William [[mailto:william\\_freebairn@platts.com](mailto:william_freebairn@platts.com)]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 11, 2012 1:21 PM  
**To:** Burnell, Scott  
**Subject:** two questions

Hi Scott, here are links to those documents I asked about in my phone message. Again the question on the non-conformances is what does the company have to do and does it affect the ability of COL licensees to use the squib valves from SPX? And on the NEI letter, does this indicate there is a review of NRC's conference spending in support of the RIC and if so, where does that stand?

Thanks

This is SPX inspection report with nonconformances:

<http://adamswebsearch2.nrc.gov/webSearch2/main.jsp?AccessionNumber='ML12158A154>

And this is NEI letter expressing support for RIC:

<http://adamswebsearch2.nrc.gov/webSearch2/main.jsp?AccessionNumber='ML12153A358>

**William Freebairn**  
Senior Editor  
Platts Nuclear Publications  
(202) 383-2164  
[www.platts.com](http://www.platts.com)

---

The information contained in this message is intended only for the recipient, and may be a confidential attorney-client communication or may otherwise be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please be aware that any dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. reserves the right, subject to applicable local law, to monitor, review and process the content of any electronic message or information sent to or from McGraw-Hill e-mail addresses without informing the sender or recipient of the message. By sending electronic message or information to McGraw-Hill e-mail addresses you, as the sender, are consenting to McGraw-Hill processing any of your personal data therein.