

**Ash, Darren**

---

**From:** Ash, Darren  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 01, 2011 8:23 AM  
**To:** Dyer, Jim  
**Cc:** Brown, Milton; Hudson, Sharon  
**Subject:** RE: Conference Spending - Letter to Danny Werfel

Jim,  
I am of the opinion that the letter is ready to go. I have no comments/changes to offer. Your changes helped, including the changed reference to the EDO (vice NRC). I did speak with Kathryn this morning. The separate letter to ODs/RAs is in concurrence w/in ADM, and is nearly ready to come up for Bill's signature.  
Darren

---

**From:** Dyer, Jim  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 01, 2011 7:50 AM  
**To:** Ash, Darren  
**Subject:** FW: Conference Spending - Letter to Danny Werfel

This is a clean copy of the revised response to OMB. It's the key item I wanted to discuss with you before I got called down to Capitol Hill with the Chairman. Can you let me know your thoughts asap so I can adjust and get signed out today. I think the key changes were that I referenced the EDO conclusion vs NRC since M-11-35 is aimed at the Dpty SEC or equivalent and I strengthened the 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph and tried to eliminate any loose ends.

Jim

---

**From:** Hudson, Sharon  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2011 2:33 PM  
**To:** Dyer, Jim  
**Subject:** Conference Spending - Letter to Danny Werfel

Jim,

Listed below is the ADAMS P-8 link (ML112990036) in which I have made the corrections you requested to the letter going to Mr. Werfel on *Eliminating Excess Conference Spending*. However, since you are down on Capitol Hill with the Chairman, I don't believe that you will be able to view the document on your blackberry. Therefore, I have additionally attached a scanned version for you to share with Mr. Ash.

G20110718/LTR-11-0541/EDATS: SECY-2011-0530 - Ltr to D. Werfel, OMB from J. E. Dyer, NRC Re: NRC Analysis of Conference Spending

Thanks,

*Sharon M. Hudson*  
*Administrative Assistant for the CFO and Deputy CFO*  
*Office of the Chief Financial Officer*  
301-415-7322