

Ash, Darren

From: Ash, Darren
Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2011 8:23 AM
To: Dyer, Jim
Cc: Brown, Milton; Hudson, Sharon
Subject: RE: Conference Spending - Letter to Danny Werfel

Jim,
I am of the opinion that the letter is ready to go. I have no comments/changes to offer. Your changes helped, including the changed reference to the EDO (vice NRC). I did speak with Kathryn this morning. The separate letter to ODs/RAs is in concurrence w/in ADM, and is nearly ready to come up for Bill's signature.
Darren

From: Dyer, Jim
Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2011 7:50 AM
To: Ash, Darren
Subject: FW: Conference Spending - Letter to Danny Werfel

This is a clean copy of the revised response to OMB. It's the key item I wanted to discuss with you before I got called down to Capitol Hill with the Chairman. Can you let me know your thoughts asap so I can adjust and get signed out today. I think the key changes were that I referenced the EDO conclusion vs NRC since M-11-35 is aimed at the Dpty SEC or equivalent and I strengthened the 4th paragraph and tried to eliminate any loose ends.

Jim

From: Hudson, Sharon
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2011 2:33 PM
To: Dyer, Jim
Subject: Conference Spending - Letter to Danny Werfel

Jim,

Listed below is the ADAMS P-8 link (ML112990036) in which I have made the corrections you requested to the letter going to Mr. Werfel on *Eliminating Excess Conference Spending*. However, since you are down on Capitol Hill with the Chairman, I don't believe that you will be able to view the document on your blackberry. Therefore, I have additionally attached a scanned version for you to share with Mr. Ash.

[G20110718/LTR-11-0541/EDATS: SECY-2011-0530 - Ltr to D. Werfel, OMB from J. E. Dyer, NRC Re: NRC Analysis of Conference Spending](#)

Thanks,

Sharon M. Hudson
Administrative Assistant for the CFO and Deputy CFO
Office of the Chief Financial Officer
301-415-7322