

ANTHONY F. NATALE
481 FORT WASHINGTON AVE., #22 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10033
(212) 543-9970 4natale@verizon.net

September 12, 2012

Office of the Secretary, Rulemakings and Adjudications
 Staff, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
 Washington, DC 20555-0001
 Fax: (301) 415-1101
 Email: hearing.docket@nrc.gov.

I am writing to urge the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to deny Entergy's application for a 20-year license extension for the two operating nuclear reactors, IP-2 and IP-3, at Indian Point Energy Facility in Buchanan, NY.

Indian Point is located in the most densely populated region of the country and identified as one of the most dangerous nuclear plants in the nation, according to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) itself. It is no longer prudent to believe that "It can't happen here."

There are many factors that make Indian Point's relicensing flawed, and make denying it imperative, including:

A History of Serious Problems: The plant has a history of multiple transformer explosions, a major steam pipe rupture, clogged cooling system intakes, repeated siren failures - and is a sitting target for terrorism.

Dangerously over-crowded fuel pools: Spent fuel assemblies are densely packed into severely over-crowded fuel pools that are housed in totally unprotected metal storage buildings and leaking radioactivity into the Hudson.

On-Site Waste Storage: Indian Point is now storing 1,500 tons of highly-radioactive spent nuclear waste on-site, and would add an additional 1,000 tons if the plant is relicensed for another 20 years.

Health and Environmental Impacts: Indian Point's once-through cooling system uses 2.5 billion gallons of water a day from the Hudson River, seriously impacting its still declining fish population.

Evacuation is Impossible: Approximately 20 million people live or work within 50 miles of Indian Point and there is no evacuation plan for New York City.

Replacement Energy is Readily Available: In January 2012, the NYS Assembly Committee on Energy concluded that there is more than enough power to allow Indian Point to close without overburdening ratepayers or threatening reliability standards.

To relicense Indian Point in its present location and condition defies logic. To do so is playing a dangerous game of Russian roulette with our lives and future, when safer, cleaner alternatives are immediately available.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Sincerely,



Anthony F Natale

cc:
 Administrative Judge Lawrence G. McDade
 c/o Anne Siarnacki, Law Clerk
 Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, Mail Stop T-3F23
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001
 Fax: (301) 415-5599
 Email: anne.siarnacki@nrc.gov

DOCKETED
 USNRC

September 13, 2012 (8:00 a.m.)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
 RULEMAKINGS AND
 ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Template Secy-038

DS-03

Docket, Hearing

From: rukind710 [rukind710@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 7:08 PM
To: Docket, Hearing
Cc: Siarnacki, Anne
Subject: Indian Point re licensing
Attachments: 2012-09-12 NRC Indian Point.DOC

Dear NRC,
Please see my attached letter.