

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED 2050 Leasing Company 2050 Haggerty Road Suite 200 Canton, MI 48187 REPORT NUMBER(S) 12-01		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-37408	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-32643-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 8/22/12	

LICENSEE:
The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- ✓ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):

4. During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited in accordance with NRC Enforcement Policy. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11 (Violations and Corrective Actions)

Statement of Corrective Actions

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

TITLE	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE	ASHOK B. JAIN	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/12/12
NRC INSPECTOR	Michael G. Herr, CHP	<i>[Signature]</i> LHD	9-10-12
BRANCH CHIEF	Tamara E. Bloomer	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/19/12

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: 2050 Leasing Company 2050 Haggerty Road Suite 200 Canton, MI 48187 REPORT NUMBER(S) 12-01	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352
--	---

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-37408	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-32643-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 8/22/12
--------------------------------------	---	---

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87130	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.08
--	--

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 02201	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Ashok Jain	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER (734) 326-0740
---------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------------------	---

Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: August 2017

Field Office Inspection

Temporary Job Site Inspection

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee was a small cardiology clinic located in Canton, Michigan. The licensee was authorized to administer licensed materials under 10 CFR 35.200. The licensee performs licensed activities at the facility only on Wednesdays and Thursdays. The licensee is staffed by two part-time nuclear medicine technologists. The licensee administers approximately 25 diagnostic doses a month and doses were received by the licensee from a local pharmacy in unit doses.

The inspector observed an administration of licensed material for a cardiac study. The inspector also observed dose preparation, and disposal of material associated with the cardiac study. The technologist was also observed to be wearing the appropriate dosimetry and PPE while administering and preparing the dose. Performance of daily and weekly surveys were also observed.

The nuclear medicine technologist demonstrated package receipt surveys, and survey meter QC. The technologist was also interviewed and posed with spill scenarios, package receipt discrepancies, and dose disparities. The technologist responses were found to be appropriate and adequate for each of the areas questioned.

No violations were identified at this time.