

Docket, Hearing

From: Shields, Mark [mshields@curtisswright.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 9:42 AM
To: Docket, Hearing
Cc: Siarnacki, Anne
Subject: FW: Indian Point

DOCKETED
 USNRC

September 12, 2012 (9:42 a.m.)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
 RULEMAKINGS AND
 ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

[9/12/12]

Office of the Secretary
 Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, DC 20555-0001
 Fax to: (301) 415-1101 (verification (301) 415-1966)
 Email to: hearing.docket@nrc.gov

Re: Indian Point Units 2 and 3 License Renewal Application
 NRC Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR
 ASLBP No. 07-858-03-LR-BD01

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am Mark Shields a concerned NY State resident residing in Carmel NY about 25 Miles northeast of Indian Point. I am writing to express my support for the relicensing of Indian Point Units 2 and 3 in Buchanan, NY. It is my understanding that the NRC is considering numerous issues in connection with the license renewal application, including the potential for alternative energy sources

Indian Point is an important source of baseload energy, and it emits virtually no greenhouse gasses. It supplies between 25% and 30% of the electricity for New York City and the lower Westchester County area, at a reasonable cost, while supporting the reliability of the electric grid. The facility employs about 1,100 full-time workers and it contributes hundreds of millions of dollars in economic impact to the region.

Various alternative energy sources have been proposed over the years, but none of them would be an acceptable substitute for Indian Point. Last year, the City of New York's Department of Environmental Protection released the results of a study:

Best Regards,
Mark Shields

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are proprietary and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have reason to believe that you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender and destroy this email and any attached files. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this e-mail are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Curtiss-Wright Corporation or any of its subsidiaries. Documents attached hereto may contain technology subject to government export regulations. Recipient is solely responsible for ensuring that any re-export, transfer or disclosure of this information is in accordance with applicable government export regulations. The recipient should check this e-mail and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Curtiss-Wright Corporation and its subsidiaries accept no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

Docket, Hearing

From: mshields7@comcast.net
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 9:43 AM
To: Docket, Hearing
Cc: Siarnacki, Anne
Subject: Re: Indian Point

[9/12/12]

Office of the Secretary
Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
Fax to: (301) 415-1101 (verification (301) 415-1966)
Email to: hearing.docket@nrc.gov

Re: Indian Point Units 2 and 3 License Renewal Application
NRC Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR
ASLBP No. 07-858-03-LR-BD01

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am Mark Shields a concerned NY State resident residing in Carmel NY about 25 Miles northeast of Indian Point. I am writing to express my support for the relicensing of Indian Point Units 2 and 3 in Buchanan, NY. It is my understanding that the NRC is considering numerous issues in connection with the license renewal application, including the potential for alternative energy sources

Indian Point is an important source of baseload energy, and it emits virtually no greenhouse gasses. It supplies between 25% and 30% of the electricity for New York City and the lower Westchester County area, at a reasonable cost, while supporting the reliability of the electric grid. The facility employs about 1,100 full-time workers and it contributes hundreds of millions of dollars in economic impact to the region.

Various alternative energy sources have been proposed over the years, but none of them would be an acceptable substitute for Indian Point. Last year, the City of New York's Department of Environmental Protection released the results of a study performed by Charles River Associates. That independent study demonstrated that an alternative to Indian Point would be higher-priced, would reduce air quality, and would reduce the reliability of the electric grid.

I strongly encourage you to issue a renewed license for Indian Point once you have completed your technical reviews of the facility. Thank you.

Sincerely, 
2