

**From:** [Markley, Anthony](mailto:Markley_Anthony)  
**To:** "[julioa.gamarra@gmail.com](mailto:julioa.gamarra@gmail.com)"  
**Cc:** [Helton, Shana](#); [Beall, Robert](#); [Mendiola, Doris](#); [Bladey, Cindy](#); [Regan, Christopher](#); [Murphy, Martin](#); [Tsirigotis, Alexander](#); [Terry, Leslie](#); [Manoly, Kamal](#)  
**Subject:** Response to Inquiry on ASME Code Application in 10 CFR 50.55a  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 21, 2012 11:16:00 AM

---

Mr. Gamarra-Gonzalez:

Thank you for your inquiry dated July, 31, 2012. Your request was transmitted to our technical staff for response. Our technical staff has suggested that you address this concern to the ASME Code Working Group Piping Design of SGD-BPV III, which performs code interpretations related to ASME Section III piping design subsections and topics. If you have any further questions, you may contact the following staff member directly:

Alexander Tsirigotis  
Mechanical Engineer  
NRR/ADES/DE/EMCB  
301-415-2258

Best regards,

*Tony Markley*

Senior Project Manager  
Rulemaking Branch  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Reference:

-----Original Message-----

From: Julio Andr s Gamarra Gonz lez [<mailto:julioa.gamarra@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2012 6:29 AM  
To: NRCREP Resource  
Subject: Response from "Comment on NRC Documents"

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by

Julio Andr s Gamarra Gonz lez ([julioa.gamarra@gmail.com](mailto:julioa.gamarra@gmail.com)) on Tuesday, July 31, 2012 at 06:28:55

-----

Document\_Title: 10CFR50.55A

Comments: First of all, I do not know if I am sending this message to the correct place, so I hope you forgive me if I am wrong.

I am a young engineer, and I have started working since a few months. I have read the "10CFR50.55A" and that affects to ASME in the design of pipelines, 10CFR50.55A

stablish that is necessary to use the ASME code of 1992-ADDENDA 1993, and in the same code I have the ADDENDA from 1994, and I do not have the sustituted sheets. I would like to know the reason for this change, for example in ND-3652 to ND-3653.2, I think it is because the sustitution of the earthquake for non-reversing loads in equations 9,10,11 and the adition of 11a. Am I wrong? I ask this question because it is very important for me, because I am computing a solution for a pipping system.

Best Regards

Julio Andrés Gamarra González

organization: Idom Internacional

address1:

address2:

city: Miranda de Ebro (Burgos)

state: ---Selected---

zip:

country: Spain

phone: 34628712500

-----