



OFFICE OF THE
GENERAL COUNSEL

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

August 20, 2012

Lawrence G. McDade, Chair
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: T-3 F23
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Michael F. Kennedy
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: T-3 F23
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Richard E. Wardwell
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: T-3 F23
Washington, D.C. 20555

In the Matter of
ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.
(Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3)
Docket Nos. 50-247-LR/286-LR

Dear Administrative Judges:

On August 10, 2012, the State of Connecticut ("Connecticut") filed a "Motion for Leave to File Reply to Responses of NRC Staff and Entergy to Connecticut's Statement of Position" ("Motion"), to which it attached its proposed reply.¹ In its Motion, Connecticut recited the position expressed by NRC Staff ("Staff") Counsel during consultations on the Motion, *viz.*, that the Staff opposed Connecticut's Motion and reserved the right to file a response. *Id.* at 2.

The Staff wishes to inform you that it does not intend to file a response to Connecticut's Motion.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Sherwin E. Turk".

Sherwin E. Turk
Counsel for NRC Staff

cc: Service List

¹ "State of Connecticut's Reply to Applicant's Response and Response of NRC Staff to the Statement of Position of the Attorney General of Connecticut" (Aug. 10, 2012).