

Schaperow, Jason

From: Schaperow, Jason
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2011 8:49 AM
To: Chang, Richard
Cc: Tinkler, Charles; Santiago, Patricia
Subject: RE: meeting with the DD on Schedule

Hi Richard,

I appreciate your working to respond to comments from RES senior management on the SOARCA schedule. However, it is difficult to manage a project as complex as SOARCA without an approved schedule. Is there some way we can get management approval on the schedule quickly? Alternatively, maybe we can at least get quick management approval for the schedule for the near-term technical work (Tasks 1 through 18). That way, we can communicate to Sandia what it is we expect them to do over the next 3 months.

Thanks,
Jason

From: Chang, Richard
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 6:15 PM
To: Schaperow, Jason; Tinkler, Charles
Subject: meeting with the DD on Schedule

Jason and Charlie,

I am meeting with the acting DD tomorrow to look at the schedule that he wanted (e.g., the one that we added the 6 weeks to and Jason looked at), and Pat asked me to come up with some options on combined PRA Level 3 and SOARCA.

As an FYI- here is what I will be presenting.

For the combined SECY of PRA Level 3 and SOARCA due 7/7/11 should we:

- a. Go ahead and provide Options for Level 3 PRA and SOARCA on 7/7/11 and share the draft SOARCA report.
Pro: NRC staff would meet a commitment made in a previous CA Note on a combined PRA Level 3 and SOARCA document.
Con: It will be unlikely that the draft SOARCA report will have completed Peer Review by that time. However, all technical analysis should be completed by then.
- b. Provide options for Level 3 PRA and SOARCA on 7/7/11 and DO not share the draft SOARCA report.
Pro: NRC staff would meet a commitment made in a previous CA Note on a combined PRA Level 3 and SOARCA document. NRC staff can provide options for SOARCA/ Level 3 PRA without needing to complete all documents.
Con: The Commission may not decide on SOARCA and PRA Level 3 without the final SOARCA documents.
- c. Delink PRA Level 3 and SOARCA
Pro: Staff could focus on the task of getting the project done and to public concurrence. NRC staff could share the publically available document with the Commission at a later date.
Con: NRC staff would be going against a previous commitment to the Commission.

I will probably swing by tomorrow to chat with you guys about this.

Thanks,
Richard Chang
Program Manager
RES/DSA/SPB
301-251-7980