

Schaperow, Jason

---

**From:** Schaperow, Jason  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 04, 2011 4:44 PM  
**To:** Chang, Richard  
**Cc:** Tinkler, Charles  
**Subject:** RE: Topics for Wednesday Teleconference

Should I bring this email to the Wednesday teleconference for my use as an agenda?

---

**From:** Chang, Richard  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 04, 2011 4:29 PM  
**To:** Schaperow, Jason; Tinkler, Charles  
**Subject:** FW: Topics for Wednesday Teleconference  
**Importance:** High

FYI- I will send out a scheduler.

---

**From:** McClellan, Yvonne [<mailto:ymcclel@sandia.gov>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 04, 2011 2:42 PM  
**To:** Chang, Richard  
**Cc:** McClellan, Yvonne; Burns, Shawn; Gauntt, Randall O  
**Subject:** FW: Topics for Wednesday Teleconference  
**Importance:** High

Richard,

I have quickly responded to most of list of questions you had this morning. If at all possible, could we leave the rest for tomorrow's teleconference call with Shawn and Randy. I also added a few other items to your list (e.g., 7 & 8...). So my list of items for tomorrow would include items 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 and should be discussed with Shawn and Randy on the line as well.

1. Status update on KC Wagner's availability. YMac response: I have contacted KC and he will be available the second week of January, but we are still waiting for the SNL management to give us the green light. We need to make a decision tomorrow about the Plant visit and develop a back-up plan if we cannot bring KC on the trip.
2. Status of Mark Leonard's revision of Appendix A- can NRC get weekly interim products? Can we speed up his work? YMac response: I visited with Mark this morning. He is making progress on Appendix A. I offered to assist him with some of the effort to allow him time to focus on the big issues. Mark stated that he is working on this task and is making progress. He assured me that Appendix A will be available by the end of January. He will send Shawn and I a draft of the interim product around the middle of January.
3. Nate Bixler's status on MACCS revisions to Appendix A and comparison with Siting study (e.g., has he received Charlie's comments/ is he reviewing them?) YMac response: Nate send out the revised MACCS revision today. He will be working on a few peer review comments today and tomorrow. Nate is in the process of responding to Jason's request to provide a Word version to make it easy to provide comments back to SNL.
4. How is the comparison to the old Peer Review vs. New Comments coming? YMac response: It is going very well. I am making progress.

5. When should we expect the draft peer Review Letter? YMac response: I will have a draft letter to you by January 12. [Hoping to have it sooner, but am adding some breathing room for Murphy's Law.]
6. When will QTE edits be incorporated/ when should the NUREGs be transferred to NRC? YMac response: To be discussed tomorrow in the weekly teleconference call.
7. Version control/roles and responsibilities.
8. Schedule.
9. Uncertainty analysis and the deadlines.
10. Next week's plant visit.

There may be additional items added to this list over the next few hours. Please feel free to add an item that you wish to discuss for tomorrow's teleconference.

Regards,  
Yvonne