## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Lawrence G. McDade, Chairman Dr. Michael F. Kennedy Dr. Richard E. Wardwell

In the Matter of

ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.

Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR

ASLBP No. 07-858-03-LR-BD01

(Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3)

August 2, 2012

### <u>ORDER</u>

(Denying Applicant's Motion for Leave to File Surrebuttal Testimony on NYS-12C)

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Applicant) has moved to file surrebuttal testimony concerning New York State Consolidated Contention NYS-12C (NYS-12C).<sup>1</sup> In support of this motion, Applicant argues that New York State (New York) presented, in its revised statement of position and rebuttal testimony on NYS-12C, "new arguments and evidence that expand the scope of the arguments set forth in [its] direct testimony[,]" to which Applicant "has not had a fair opportunity to respond."<sup>2</sup>

Specifically, Applicant contends that New York argues for the first time in its revised statement of position and rebuttal testimony on NYS-12-C that "in the 1980s, the NRC commissioned a 'sitespecific case study' to estimate the costs associated with a severe accident at Indian Point, and that the NRC Staff failed to disclose that study in connection with this proceeding."<sup>3</sup> Applicant further asserts

² <u>Id.</u>

<sup>3</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>See</u> Applicants' Motion for Leave to File Surrebuttal Testimony on Consolidated Contention NYS-12C (July 12, 2012) at 1.

that, according to New York, "the NRC's 'site-specific analysis' is described in Chapter 5 of draft NUREG/CR-5148 (PNL-6350), 'Property-Related Costs of Radiological Accidents' (Feb. 1990)," and that this draft NUREG -- though never made final or published -- was released to Purdue University in 1992 in response to a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request.<sup>4</sup>

Applicant states that "[a]Ithough this FOIA request appears in the NRC's ADAMS Public Legacy Library, [Applicant] could find no other record copy of this [draft NUREG] on the NRC's website or on the Internet in general."<sup>5</sup> In fact, according to the Applicant, this draft NUREG does not even appear on the U.S. Department of Commerce's National Technical Information Service database, which houses over two million government records, including NUREG Reports.<sup>6</sup>

Nevertheless, according to Applicant, New York located this draft NUREG and disclosed it on May 31, 2012, one month before New York filed its rebuttal testimony.<sup>7</sup> New York then relied on this document for the first time in its revised statement of position and rebuttal testimony, according to Applicant, to argue that "a site-specific analysis was not only required under NEPA and NRC's regulations, but eminently possible and had been completed in conjunction with NUREG/CR-5148."<sup>8</sup>

Applicant argues that New York's new assertions are "directly relevant and material to the parties' positions[,]" and therefore Applicant should be granted the opportunity to file surrebuttal testimony, on or before August 13, 2012, to respond.<sup>9</sup> Applicant represents that the NRC Staff (Staff) does not oppose this motion.<sup>10</sup> Moreover, according to Applicant, the Staff would like the option to file

- <sup>₅</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 3.
- 6 See id.
- <sup>7</sup> <u>See id.</u>

<sup>8</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 4.

<sup>9</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 4–5.

<sup>10</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 2–3.

its own surrebuttal testimony in response to New York's revised Statement of Position and rebuttal testimony regarding NYS-12C.<sup>11</sup>

New York opposes this motion.<sup>12</sup> First, New York argues that it properly submitted the exhibits and rebuttal testimony in question in "direct response to arguments in [Applicant's] and the . . . Staff's Statements of Position and Pre-filed Testimony on NYS-12C."<sup>13</sup> Specifically, New York argues that it did not "present[] 'new arguments and evidence that expand the scope of the arguments set forth in [its] direct testimony[.]"<sup>14</sup> Instead, New York contends that its "[revised Statement of Position] and Rebuttal Testimony[, which highlight draft NUREG/CR-5148,] responds directly to Entergy and [the] Staff's arguments that using NUREG-1150, and thus Sample Problem A, is reasonable under NEPA."<sup>15</sup>

New York also notes that an alternative to Applicant's requested relief exists: the issues raised in the instant motion "could be addressed by the parties" witnesses at the evidentiary hearing."<sup>16</sup> Based on these arguments, New York requests that Applicant's motion be denied.

If, however, the Board does grant the instant motion, New York argues that "fairness dictates that [it] should be afforded [an opportunity] to file its own sur-rebuttal testimony to any additional testimony proffered by [Applicant] and [the] Staff."<sup>17</sup> New York notes, however, that allowing the submittal of two additional rounds of testimony on NYS-12C may require the Board to extend prehearing deadlines, such as the August 29, 2012, deadline for proposed questions.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>13</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 2.

<sup>14</sup> <u>Id.</u>

<sup>15</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 2–3.

<sup>16</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 1.

<sup>17</sup> <u>Id.</u> at 6.

<sup>18</sup> <u>See id.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> <u>See</u> State of New York's Answer in Opposition to Entergy's Motion for Leave to File Sur-rebuttal Testimony on Consolidated Contention NYS-12C (July 23, 2012) at 7.

The Board agrees with New York that the issues raised in this motion can be handled at the evidentiary hearing. Moreover, the Board finds that the submission of two additional rounds of testimony on NYS-12C will have the prejudicial effect of delaying the evidentiary hearing scheduled to commence on October 15, 2012, at least as it relates to NYS-12C.

Therefore, we DENY Applicant's motion for leave to file surrebuttal testimony on NYS-12C. That being so, we encourage the parties to address the issues raised in New York's rebuttal testimony in their proposed questions for the Board to ask at the evidentiary hearing, which are due no later than Wednesday, August 29, 2012.<sup>19</sup>

#### FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

#### /RA/

Lawrence G. McDade, Chairman ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Rockville, Maryland August 2, 2012

<sup>19</sup> <u>See</u> Licensing Board Order (Memorializing Items Discussed During the July 9, 2012, Status Conference) (July 12, 2012) at 2 (unpublished).

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

)

)

)

In the Matter of

ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.

(Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Units 2 and 3) Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing **ORDER** (Denying Applicant's Motion for Leave to File Surrebuttal Testimony on NYS-12C) have been served upon the following persons by Electronic Information Exchange.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication Mail Stop O-7H4M Washington, DC 20555-0001 ocaamail@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop T-3F23 Washington, DC 20555-0001

Lawrence G. McDade, Chair Administrative Judge lawrence.mcdade@nrc.gov

Richard E. Wardwell Administrative Judge richard.wardwell@nrc.gov

Michael F. Kennedy Administrative Judge michael.kennedy@nrc.gov

Anne Siarnacki, Law Clerk anne.siarnacki@nrc.gov Shelbie Lewman, Law Clerk shelbie.lewman@nrc.gov James Maltese, Law Clerk james.maltese@nrc.gov U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of the Secretary of the Commission Mail Stop O-16C1 Washington, DC 20555-0001 Hearing Docket hearingdocket@nrc.gov

Sherwin E. Turk, Esg. Edward L. Williamson, Esg. Beth N. Mizuno, Esg. David E. Roth, Esq. Brian Harris, Esg. Mary B. Spencer, Esq. Anita Ghosh, Esq. Karl Farrar, Esq. Brian Newell, Paralegal U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of the General Counsel Mail Stop O-15D21 Washington, DC 20555-0001 sherwin.turk@nrc.gov; edward.williamson@nrc.gov beth.mizuno@nrc.gov; brian.harris.@nrc.gov david.roth@nrc.gov; mary.spencer@nrc.gov anita.ghosh@nrc.gov; karl.farrar@nrc.gov brian.newell@nrc.gov

OGC Mail Center OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov

# Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR **ORDER** (Denying Applicant's Motion for Leave to File Surrebuttal Testimony on NYS-12C)

William C. Dennis, Esq. Assistant General Counsel Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. 440 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601 wdennis@entergy.com

Elise N. Zoli, Esq. Goodwin Proctor, LLP Exchange Place 53 State Street Boston, MA 02109 ezoli@goodwinprocter.com

Kathryn M. Sutton, Esq. Paul M. Bessette, Esq. Martin J. O'Neill, Esq. Raphael Kuvler, Esg. Jonathan M. Rund, Esq. Lena Michelle Long, Esq. Laura Swett, Esq. Lance Escher, Esq. Mary Freeze, Legal Secretary Antoinette Walker, Legal Secretary Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004 ksutton@morganlewis.com martin.oneill@morganlewis.com rkuyler@morganlewis.com irund@morganlewis.com llong@morganlewis.com;lswett@morganlewis.com lescher@morganlewis.com mfreeze@morganlewis.com awalker@morganlewis.com

Clint Carpenter, Esq. Bobby Burchfield, Esq. Matthew Leland, Esq. McDermott, Will and Emergy LLP 600 13<sup>th</sup> Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 ccarpenter@mwe.com; bburchfield@mwe.com mleland@mwe.com

Matthew W. Swinehart, Esq. Covington & Burling LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004 Thomas F. Wood, Esq. Daniel Riesel, Esq. Victoria Shiah Treanor, Esq. Adam Stolorow, Esq. Jwala Gandhi, Paralegal Peng Deng, Paralegal Counsel for Town of Cortlandt Sive, Paget & Riesel, P.C. 460 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022 <u>driesel@sprlaw.com</u>; <u>vtreanor@sprlaw.com</u> <u>astolorow@sprlaw.com</u> ;jgandhi@sprlaw.com pdeng@sprlaw.com

Phillip Musegaas, Esq. Deborah Brancato, Esq. Ramona Cearley, Secretary Riverkeeper, Inc. 20 Secor Road Ossining, NY 10562 phillip@riverkeeper.org; dbrancato@riverkeeper.org rcearley@riverkeeper.org

Melissa-Jean Rotini, Esq. Assistant County Attorney Office of Robert F. Meehan, Westchester County Attorney 148 Martine Avenue, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor White Plains, NY 10601 <u>MJR1@westchestergov.com</u>

Manna Jo Greene, Environmental Director Steven C. Filler Karla Raimundi Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc. 724 Wolcott Ave. Beacon, NY 12508 <u>mannajo@clearwater.org; stephenfiller@gmail.com</u> karla@clearwater.org Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR **ORDER** (Denying Applicant's Motion for Leave to File Surrebuttal Testimony on NYS-12C)

mswinehart@cov.com

John Louis Parker, Esq. Office of General Counsel, Region 3 New York State Department of Environmental Conservation 21 South Putt Corners Road New Paltz, NY 12561-1620 jlparker@gw.dec.state.ny.us Michael J. Delaney, Esq. Director, Energy Regulatory Affairs NYC Department of Environmental Protection 59-17 Junction Boulevard Flushing, NY 11373 mdelaney@dep.nyc.gov

John J. Sipos, Esq. Charles Donaldson, Esq. Assistant Attorneys General Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York Elyse Houle, Legal Support The Capitol State Street Albany, New York 12224 John.Sipos@ag.ny.gov; charlie.donaldson@ag.ny.gov

Janice A. Dean, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Kathryn Liberatore, Esq. Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York 120 Broadway, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10271 janice.dean@ag.ny.gov; kathryn.liberatore@ag.ny.gov Robert D. Snook, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General State of Connecticut 55 Elm Street P.O. Box 120 Hartford, CT 06141-0120 robert.snook@po.state.ct.us

Sean Murray, Mayor Kevin Hay, Village Administrator Village of Buchanan Municipal Building 236 Tate Avenue Buchanan, NY 10511-1298 <u>SMurray@villageofbuchanan.com</u> Administrator@villageofbuchanan.com

> [Original signed by Christine M. Pierpoint] Office of the Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of August 2012